

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO
Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 17, 2026
Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Boatright

9:00 a.m.
EN BANC

25SC209 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Erin Amber Trujillo,

v.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado

For the Petitioner:

Emily Hessler
OFFICE OF THE COLORADO STATE
PUBLIC DEFENDER

For the Respondent:

Jessica E. Ross
Yaried Hailu
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2022CA1067

Docketed: April 10, 2025

At Issue: September 9, 2026

ISSUE(S)

[REFRAMED] Whether People v. Hickman, 988 P.2d 628 (Colo. 1999), created a rule that a person can commit retaliation against a victim or witness under section 18-8-706, C.R.S. (2025), when the victim or witness has not yet testified or been scheduled to testify.

[REFRAMED] Whether, if People v. Hickman, 988 P.2d 628 (Colo. 1999), created a rule that a person can commit retaliation against a victim or witness under section 18-8-706, C.R.S. (2025), when the victim or witness has not yet testified or been scheduled to testify, that rule is contrary to the statute's plain language, undermines legislative intent, and creates equal protection concerns.

[REFRAMED] Whether the evidence was insufficient to prove petitioner committed attempted retaliation by allegedly threatening or harassing a would-be victim in a criminal prosecution that had not even been initiated.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO
Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 17, 2026
Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Boatright

1:00 p.m.
EN BANC

25SC634 (1 HOUR)

In re the Marriage of

Petitioner:

Christopher Clay Clark,

v.

Respondent:

Jessica Kay Clark.

For the Petitioner:

Wendy J. Smock
Courtney J. Leathers Allen
EPSTEIN PATIERNO, LLP

For the Respondent:

Robin Lutz Beattie
BEATTIE, WESTMONT & SIMPSON,
LLC

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2024CA1713

Docketed: October 9, 2025

At Issue: September 23, 2026

ISSUE(S)

Whether the court of appeals erred in declining to follow *In re Marriage of Cerrone*, 2021 COA 116, ¶ 20, 499 P.3d 1064, 1067-68, to the extent that *Cerrone* could be read to require specific use of the term “remarriage” to define the circumstances under which maintenance obligations will not terminate.
