


DISTRICT COURT, ALAMOSA COUNTY, COLORADO Court Address: 8955 INDEPENDENCE WAY, ALAMOSA, CO, 81101	DATE FILED June 24, 2026 4:19 PM ⚠ COURT USE ONLY ⚠ Case Number: 2025CR128 Division: C Courtroom:
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO v. Defendant(s) BARRY MORPHEW	
Order:[D-042-Order] ORDER restricting public access to P1	

The motion/proposed order attached hereto: GRANTED.

Having received no objection, the Prosecution's Motion [P-1] will remain suppressed until further order of the Court.

Issue Date: 6/24/2026



AMANDA CLAIRE HOPKINS
 District Court Judge

DISTRICT COURT, ALAMOSA COUNTY, COLORADO, 8955 Independence Way Alamosa, CO 81101 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO Plaintiff, v. BARRY LEE MORPHEW, Defendant.	 ▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲
	Case Number: 25 CR 128
ORDER re [D-042] LIMITING PUBLIC ACCESS TO [P1], PEOPLE'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO CRE 807	

This Court having considered the above-captioned motion, GRANTS Defendant's D-042. This Court restricts public access to [P1], Notice of People's Intent to Introduce Statements Pursuant to CRE 807 submitted for filing on April 13, 2026.

Public access is limited until after this Court issues a further order after the conclusion of this case.

This Court makes the following findings:

1. Substantial interests would be served by making the court records inaccessible to the public, including Mr. Morpew's constitutional right to a fair and impartial jury and the interests of all parties and the court in being able to seat a jury that has not been contaminated by pretrial exposure. The material has not yet been ruled admissible and its

admissibility is contested. This Court has already expressly excluded some of the material from trial.

2. Substantial interests would be severely impaired if it becomes impossible to seat a jury, because it could cause a mistrial, a delay in resolution of this case, and quite probably a change of venue.

3. No less restrictive means than making the record inaccessible to the public exists to achieve or protect the substantial interests identified.

4. The substantial interests identified override the presumptive public access to the court records. Restricting access only until the trial is concluded does not deprive the public of the filing forever but places a reasonable restriction on its dissemination at this critical point prior to trial. This minimal restriction – a delay only at this time, not a complete bar – is necessitated by the fact that trial is scheduled for October 2026.

Dated: _____

Judge Amanda Hopkins
Chief Judge
12th Judicial District Court