

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING IN DISCIPLINE Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline	
IN RE: COMPLAINANT, Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline, and RESPONDENT, Sean K. Murphy.	
Attorney for Respondent Nathan Bruggeman, #39621 David M. Beller, #35767 RECHT KORNFELD, P.C. 1600 Stout Street Suite 1400 Denver, CO 80202 (303) 573-1900 nate@rklawpc.com david@rklawpc.com Attorney for Complainant Jeffrey M. Walsh, #33762 Special Counsel Ralph L. Carr Colorado Judicial Center 1300 Broadway, Suite 210 Denver, CO 80203 (303) 457-5131 j.walsh@jd.state.co.us	▲ COURT USE ONLY ▲ Case No. 25-185 (Supreme Court Case No. 2025SA317)
JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT	

Respondent, Sean K. Murphy, through his counsel, Nathan Bruggeman and David Beller of Recht Kornfeld, P.C., and the Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline, through its counsel, Jeffrey Walsh, hereby jointly request an extension of time for Judge Murphy's answer and state in support:

1. On December 3, 2025, the Adjudicative Judicial Discipline Panel (“Panel”) entered an order establishing December 14, 2025, as the deadline for the filing of Judge Murphy’s answer. As December 14 is a Sunday, the answer is due the next business day, Monday, December 15. *See* C.R.C.P. 6(a)(1).¹

2. The parties have reached an agreement to resolve this proceeding, but they require additional time to finalize the agreement.

3. The parties will expeditiously address the outstanding issues. Out of an abundance of caution, and recognizing the intervening holidays and the parties’ and counsels’ prior commitments, they are requesting an extension until December 31, 2025. The parties intend, to the extent possible, to finalize the resolution prior to then.

4. Good cause supports this extension. *First*, considerations of efficiency and judicial economy support providing the parties with additional time. The negotiated resolution is in the best interests of the parties, and permitting the parties to focus their attention on finalizing the agreement will save resources for the parties and the Panel.

5. *Second*, the parties will not be prejudiced by the extension.

6. *Finally*, the settlement will resolve the case and, therefore, permitting the parties to finalize the settlement will not delay the case. The parties do not foresee any reason that they will not be able to resolve the case as agreed within the next two weeks.

¹ Pending the adoption of temporary or permanent rules to govern these proceedings, the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure apply. *See* Colo. Const. art. VI, sec. 23(k)(II) (“The Colorado rules of evidence and Colorado rules of civil procedure, as amended, apply to proceedings before a panel of the adjudicative board until and unless the rule-making committee promulgates rules governing panel proceedings.”).

WHEREFORE, Respondent respectfully requests that the Panel extend the deadline for the filing of Judge Murphy's answer to December 31, 2025.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of December, 2025.

RECHT KORNFELD, P.C.

By: Nathan Bruggeman
Nathan Bruggeman (#39621)
David M. Beller (#35767)

Attorneys for Respondent

COLORADO COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

By: Jeffrey Walsh
Jeffrey Walsh (#33762)

Attorney for Complainant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 15th day of December, 2025, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing **JOINT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER COMPLAINT** was filed with the 2d Judicial District Support Staff by emailing a copy to kenidee.bronner@judicial.state.co.us and nikolaus.zender@judicial.state.co.us, and was served on the following via email, addressed as follows:

Jeffrey M. Walsh, Esq.
j.walsh@jd.state.co.us
Special Counsel for Complainant
Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline

S/ Nate Bruggeman