SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 18, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2024SC16 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Maria Laida Day.

Frank R. Lawson

Frank R. Lawson
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent:

Andrew C. Heher OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2020CA1717

Docketed: January 10, 2024 At Issue: August 19, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals erred by holding that the trial court abused its discretion in considering respondent's non-cooperation with the court-ordered mental condition examination.

Whether the court of appeals improperly expanded People v. Moore, 2021 CO 26, 485 P.3d 1088, to preclude consideration of the purpose for which mental condition evidence is offered when evaluating the admissibility of such evidence under CRE 403.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 18, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2024SC281 (40 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

Unite for Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Colorado Department of State; Jena Griswold, in her official capacity as the Colorado Secretary of State; and Andrew Kline, in his official capacity as Colorado Deputy Secretary of State.

For the Petitioner:

Jason R. Dunn BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK LLP

For the Respondent:

Peter G. Baumann OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2023CA989

Docketed: April 25, 2024 At Issue: May 16, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether the court of appeals erred in interpreting the Colorado Constitution's "major purpose" standard, Colo. Const. art. XXVIII, § 2(10)(a)(I), which qualifies an organization as an "issue committee" subject to registration, reporting, and disclosures, by adopting a multifactor test that permits a major purpose finding when an organization spends 10% or less of its total outlays supporting or opposing a particular ballot measure.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

2024SC644 (1 HOUR)

Petitioners:

CenturyLink, Inc.; Glen F. Post, III; R. Stewart Ewing, Jr.; David D. Cole; William A. Owens; Martha H. Bejar; Virginia Boulet; Peter C. Brown; W. Bruce Hanks; Jeffrey K. Storey; Steven T. Clontz; Mary L. Landrieu; Gregory J. McCray; Harvey P. Perry; Michael J. Roberts; Laurie A. Siegel; and Sunit S. Patel;

٧.

Respondent:

Dean Houser.

For the Petitioners:

Frederick R. Yarger Kathryn A. Reilly Daniel N. Guisbond WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP

For the Respondent:

Jason P. Kane RANSON & KANE PC and Albert Y. Chang BOTTINI & BOTTINI, INC.

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Defense Lawyers Association:

Rudy E. Verner Geoffrey C. Klingsporn Andrew C. Fischer BERG HILL GREENLEAF RUSCITTI LLP

For Amicus Curiae Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association:

Kendra N. Beckwith Kenneth F. Rossman WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2023CA1214

Docketed: October 3, 2024 At Issue: August 27, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether C.R.C.P. 11(a)'s non-delegable duty to conduct an objectively reasonable inquiry is satisfied when an attorney "borrows plausibility" from a complaint in another lawsuit.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, November 18, 2025

2:00 p.m. EN BANC

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood

2024SC698 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Rebeca Hinds,

٧.

Respondent:

Corrine Foreman.

For the Petitioner:

Dan Ernst ERNST LEGAL GROUP LLC

For the Respondent:

J. Casey Martin Laurel Quinto Quentin H. Morse JVAM PLLC

C.A.R. 50 to the Court of Appeals, 2024CA1380

Docketed: November 5, 2024 At Issue: July 14, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether a county court's grant of a special motion to dismiss under sections 13-4-102.2, C.R.S. (2024), and 13-20-1101, C.R.S. (2024), can be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals given that both sections appear to conflict with section 13-6-310(1), C.R.S. (2024), and article VI, section 17 of the Colorado Constitution.

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2024SC537 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Ralph L. Wadsworth Construction Company, LLC,

٧.

Respondents:

Regional Rail Partners; Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.; Graham Contracting Ltd.; Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America; Balfour Beatty, LLC; and Graham Business Trust.

For the Petitioner:

Giovanni M. Ruscitti Rudy E. Verner Jack P. Storti Lawrence Myers BERG HILL GREENLEAF RUSCITTI LLP

For the Respondents:

LI. Rhyddid Watkins MARTIN HILD P.A. and Sean Connelly CONNELLY LAW LLC

For Amici Curiae American Subcontractors Association, Inc. and Colorado Chapter of the American Subcontractors Association:

Mark D. Gruskin Ashley C. VanTol SENN VISCIANO CANGES P.C.

For Amici Curiae Associated General Contractors of Colorado, Colorado Contractors Association and Independent Electrical Contractors Rocky Mountain:

Henry C. Bangert Rachel L. Broyles BELTZER BANGERT & GUNNELL LLP and Kristin R.B. White FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2022CA2154

Docketed: August 27, 2024 At Issue: July 31, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether a claimant who files an excessive verified statement of claim under the Colorado Public Works Act, §§ 38-26 -101 to -110, C.R.S. (2024), forfeits both its statutory remedies and all other available legal remedies or, as with an excessive lien claimant under the General Mechanics' Lien Act, forfeits its statutory remedies only.

Whether a verified statement of claim under the Colorado Public Works Act may lawfully include disputed amounts, such as additional costs incurred by a subcontractor due to project delays and disruptions, or whether such claims are limited to undisputed, liquidated amounts.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, November 19, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

10:00 a.m. EN BANC

2024SC778 (40 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

Bernard E. Sandoval,

٧.

Respondent:

City of Colorado Springs.

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2024CA198

Docketed: December 12, 2024

At Issue: June 25, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether the division of the court of appeals correctly interpreted section 24-10-106(1)(d)(II), C.R.S. (2024).

For the Petitioner:

Brian Hugen RAMOS LAW

For the Respondent:

Wynetta P. Massey
W. Erik Lamphere
OFFICE OF THE COLORADO SPRINGS CITY
ATTORNEY

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

2024SC372 (1 HOUR)

Petitioners:

United Services Automobile Association and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company,

٧.

Respondent:

Anthony Wenzell.

For Petitioner United Services Automobile Association:

Evan Stephenson Jeremy Moseley SPENCER FANE LLP

For Petitioner State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company:

Katherine C. Yarger
LEHOTSKY KELLER COHN LLP
and
Franklin D. Patterson
Karl A. Chambers
PATTERSON RIPPLINGER P.C.

For the Respondent:

Robert J. Anderson Scott F. Anderson Timothy G. Buxton ROBERT J. ANDERSON P.C. and L. Dan Rector PATRICK COLLINS ESQ. LLC

For Amici Curiae Colorado Defense Lawyers Association and Colorado Civil Justice League:

Karen H. Wheeler Jami A. Maul Jesse O. Brant WHEELER LAW P.C.

For Amici Curiae National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies and American Property Casualty Insurance Association:

Kendra N. Beckwith Holly C. White Elizabeth Michaels WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP

For Amicus Curiae Colorado Trial Lawyers Association:

Nelson A. Waneka WESTERN SLOPE LAW

For Amicus Curiae Rocky Mountain Association of Public Insurance Adjusters:

D. Dean Batchelder Patrick M. Sweet BURG SIMPSON ELDREDGE HERSH & JARDINE P.C.

For Amicus Curiae United Policyholder:

Gideon S. Irving LEVIN SITCOFF PC

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, November 19, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2023CA1327

Docketed: May 30, 2024 At Issue: September 10, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether the restrictions from section 10-3-1118, C.R.S. (2024), which solely govern "a failure-to-cooperate defense" arising from an insured's failure to provide "the information the [first-party] insurer seeks," may extend to other, dissimilar defenses arising from neither (i) the insurance contract's cooperation provision nor (ii) an insurer's request for information directly from the insured.

Whether the court of appeals' nullification of all exhaustion provisions in excess underinsured-motorist policies conflicts with this court's principle that underlying insurance "must first be exhausted" before excess insurance responds. Pub. Serv. Co. of Colo. v. Wallis & Cos., 986 P.2d 924, 941 (Colo. 1999).

Whether the court of appeals erred in conflating the specific contract duty to "provide written authorization for [petitioner] to obtain: (a) medical bills; (b) medical records; (c) wage, salary, and employment information; and (d)any other information we deem necessary to substantiate the claim," with the general duty to cooperate.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, November 19, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

2:00 p.m. EN BANC

2025SC12 (40 MINUTES)

Petitioner:

Khristina Phillips,

٧.

Respondent:

The People of the State of Colorado

For the Petitioner:

Erin A. Wigglesworth WIGGLESWORTH LAW OFFICES LLC

For the Respondent:

Joseph W. Eden Doyle Baker OFFICE OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT ATTORNEY

Certiorari to the District Court, El Paso County, 2024CV30024

Docketed: January 6, 2025 At Issue: September 15, 2025

ISSUE(S):

Whether the tolling of speedy trial due to the government filing an interlocutory appeal in the district court challenging a county court ruling concerning the form in which evidence could be presented at trial violates a defendant's right to speedy trial and right to due process.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

Public Hearing - November 19, 2025 at 3:30 p.m.

Rules Governing Lawyer Discipline and Disability Proceedings, Protective Appointment of Counsel, Continuing Legal and Judicial Education, Attorneys' Fund for Client Protection, and Lawyer Assistance Programs