

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| DISTRICT COURT, ALAMOSA COUNTY, COLORADO                                       |  | <p>DATE FILED<br/>July 1, 2025 2:31 PM</p> <p>⚠ COURT USE ONLY ⚠</p>  |
| Court Address:<br>8955 INDEPENDENCE WAY, ALAMOSA, CO, 81101                    |  |   |
| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO<br>v.<br><b>Defendant(s)</b> BARRY MORPHEW |  |   |
|  |  | Case Number: 2025CR128<br>Division: C                      Courtroom: |
| <b>Order: Proposed Order on D-001 Motion to Adopt Numbering Convention</b>     |  |   |

The motion/proposed order attached hereto: GRANTED.

Issue Date: 7/1/2025



AMANDA CLAIRE HOPKINS  
District Court Judge

|   |   |
|---|---|
| DISTRICT COURT, ALAMOSA COUNTY, COLORADO,<br>8955 Independence Way<br>Alamosa, CO 81101   | <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; opacity: 0.1; transform: rotate(-45deg); pointer-events: none;">       Attachment to Order - 2025CR128     </div> |
| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,<br>Plaintiff,<br><br>v.<br><br>BARRY LEE MORPHEW, Defendant.   |   |
| JANE FISHER-BYRIALSEN, #49133<br>FISHER & BYRIALSEN, PLLC<br>4600 S. Syracuse Street, 9th Floor<br>Denver, CO 80237<br>(202)256-5664<br><a href="mailto:Jane@fblaw.org">Jane@fblaw.org</a><br><br>DAVID BELLER, #35767<br>RECHT KORNFELD, P.C.<br>1600 Stout Street, Suite 1400<br>Denver, CO 80202<br>(303)573-1900<br>Fax: (303) 446-9400<br><a href="mailto:david@rklawpc.com">david@rklawpc.com</a> | Case Number: 25CR128<br><br>Division C  |
| <b>MOTION TO ORDER NUMBERING OF FILINGS AND USE OF STANDARD<br/>         NUMBERING CONVENTION (D-001)</b>   |   |

COMES NOW Barry Morpew, by and through counsel, requests this Court order use of a consistent numbering convention for all filings for the parties and the Court, as follows:

1. The party initiating the motion shall use the identifier “D” or “P”, for example, the first motion initiated by the Defense would be labeled “Motion ..... (D-001)”. Once a number is utilized for an initiating motion, all further filings with respect to that motion use the same identifying number in the caption for the motion.
2. Thus, the People’s response to D-001 would be “People’s Response to ... (D-001 Response)”. Replies and Supplements will also include the identifier “D-001 Reply”, “D-001 Supplement,” etc.

3. The Court uses the same identifier, for example: “Order re D-001” or “Order (D-001)”.
4. For matters initiated by the Court not related to a motion already filed with an identifying party number (e.g. scheduling orders), the Court would use the identifier “C”, C-001, C-002, and so on.
5. The same convention should be used for Notices, Writs, and all other filings.
6. A response, reply, or supplement to a motion should not assign a new number. The identifying number is set by the initiating motion.

The reasons for this request are obvious. The proposed standard numbering system ensures that the record is clear and that the Court and the parties can quickly locate within the record all filings that relate to a specific motion. Use of the system avoids confusion and delay and helps to fulfill the objective that the court strive for “simplicity in procedure, fairness in administration, and the elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay.” Crim. P. Rule 2.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Morpew requests that this Court order that parties identify their pleadings as either “D” (Defense) or “P” (People”) and that responsive filings and supplements utilize the same number as the initiating motion.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of June, 2025.

**FISHER & BYRIALSEN, LLC**

/s/ Jane Fisher-Byrialsen  
Jane Fisher-Byrialsen, #49133

**RECHT KORNFELD, P.C.**

/s/ David Beller  
David Beller, #35767

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that on June 30, 2025 I caused the foregoing to be filed with the Alamosa County District Court and a copy of the same to be served on the Alamosa County District Attorney’s office via CCE-File Service.

/s/ David Beller