SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood 9:00 a.m. EN BANC

#### 2024SA276 (1 HOUR)

#### In Re

#### Plaintiff:

People of the State of Colorado by and through the People of the City of Westminster,

٧.

#### Defendant:

Aleah Michelle Camp.

#### For Defendant-Petitioner:

Robert C. Blume
Al Kelly
NoahLani Litwinsella
GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
and
Yun Wang
BRITT TSHERING & WANG LLC

### For Plaintiff and Respondent City of Westminster Municipal Court:

Josh A. Marks Chris G. Seldin BERG HILL GREENLEAF RUSCITTI LLP

### For Amici Curiae ACLU of Colorado and Colorado Freedom Fund:

Timothy R. Macdonald
Emma Mclean-Riggs
ACLU FOUNDATION OF COLORADO
and
Dana Steiner
COLORADO FREEDOM FUND

#### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Criminal Defense Bar:

William D. Hauptman Carolyn M. Welter Elizabeth Poche WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP

#### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Municipal League:

Robert D. Sheesley Rachel Bender COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE

## For Amici Curiae Denver Office of the Municipal Public Defender and the Aurora Public Defender Office:

Rachel K. Mercer
DENVER OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL PUBLIC
DEFENDER
and
Dustin J. Klein
AURORA PUBLIC DEFENDER OFFICE

Original Proceeding, Municipal Court, City of Westminster, 2022-002574-MO

Docketed: October 16, 2024 At Issue: January 10, 2025

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether state law preempts enforcement of Westminster Municipal Code section 6-3-1 to the extent that code provision punishes theft more harshly than a state statute criminalizing identical conduct.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood 9:00 a.m. EN BANC

#### [CONTINUED]

Whether Westminster violated the Colorado Constitution's equal-protection guarantee by charging Petitioner under Westminster Municipal Code section 6-3-1, which punishes theft more harshly than a state statute criminalizing identical conduct.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO

Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 13, 2025 Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood 10:15 a.m. EN BANC

2024SA308 & 24SA309 (1 HOUR)

In Re

#### Plaintiff:

The People of the State of Colorado by and through the City of Aurora,

٧.

#### Defendant:

Danielle Ashley Simons.

#### For the Defendant-Petitioner:

Amy D. Trenary
APPEAL TO JUSTICE LLC
and
Ashley Cordero
POWER LAW LLC

#### For the Plaintiff:

Josh A. Marks Chris G. Seldin BERG HILL GREENLEAF RUSCITTI LLP

### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Criminal Defense Bar:

William D. Hauptman
Carolyn M. Welter
Elizabeth Poche
WHEELER TRIGG O'DONNELL LLP

#### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Municipal League:

Robert D. Sheesley Rachel Bender COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE

### For Amicus Curiae Denver District Attorney and Denver City Attorney:

Anya Havriliak
DENVER DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE
and
Katie McLoughlin
DENVER CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE

Original Proceeding, Aurora Municipal Court, City of Aurora, J316178 & J317516

Docketed: November 22, 2024 At Issue: February 24, 2025

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether state law preempts enforcement of Westminster Municipal Code section 6-3-1 to the extent that code provision punishes theft more harshly than a state statute criminalizing identical conduct.

Whether Westminster violated the Colorado Constitution's equal-protection guarantee by charging Petitioner under Westminster Municipal Code section 6-3-1, which punishes theft more harshly than a state statute criminalizing identical conduct.

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 13, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood

1:00 p.m. EN BANC

2024SC2 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Isaac U. Mion.

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2021CA1230

Docketed: January 02, 2024 At Issue: February 25, 2025

ISSUE(S):

For Petitioner:
Jaycey Dehoyos
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent: Joseph Chase SHULMAN CHASE LLC

Whether the court of appeals erred in adopting a rule that does not require defendants to provide some evidence of innocent mistake when invoking the affirmative defense of involuntary intoxication.

### SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Tuesday, May 13, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Hood

2:15 p.m. EN BANC

2023SC776 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

Respondent:

Kelly James Schnorenberg.

For the Petitioner:

Trina K. Kissel
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

For the Respondent:

Lynn C. Hartfield LAW OFFICE OF LYNN C. HARTFIELD LLC

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2019CA223

Docketed: October 25, 2023 At Issue: December 10, 2024

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether, in this securities fraud case, the court of appeals misconstrued the law in concluding that the trial court reversibly erred by limiting the defendant's testimony, and not giving a defense-tendered jury instruction, about the advice of counsel.

# SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Public Hearing - 3:30 p.m.

Proposed Colorado Rules of Family Procedure

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, May 14, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

9:00 a.m. EN BANC

2024SC8 (1 HOUR)

#### **Petitioners:**

Jennifer Lind-Barnett and Julie Davis,

٧.

#### Respondent:

Tender Care Veterinary Center, Inc.

#### For the Petitioners:

Steven D. Zansberg ZANSBERG BEYLKIN LLC and Mark H. Kane KANE LAW FIRM P.C.

#### For the Respondents:

Tanner W. Havens RELEVANT LAW

### For Amici Curiae Public Citizen and Public Participation Project:

Ian Speir COVENANT LAW PLLC

#### For Amicus Curiae Yelp Inc.:

Katayoun A. Donnelly AZIZPOUR DONNELLY LLC

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2022CA1611

Docketed: January 04, 2024 At Issue: February 05, 2025

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether Colorado's anti-SLAPP statute imposes a "nexus" requirement that demands a defendant's speech encourage, facilitate, or contribute to a general debate before that speech is made "in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest."

Whether the same standard for "matter of public concern" under Colorado's substantive law regarding defamation and invasion of privacy applies equally to the "matter of public interest" provision of Colorado's anti-SLAPP Act.

Whether Colorado's anti-SLAPP statute requires courts to evaluate a speaker's motive when determining whether the speech in question was made "in connection with a public issue or an issue of public interest."

SUPREME COURT, STATE OF COLORADO Oral Argument: Wednesday, May 14, 2025

Bailiff: Chambers of Justice Gabriel

10:15 a.m. EN BANC

2024SC51 (1 HOUR)

#### **Petitioner/Cross-Respondent:**

The Sentinel Colorado,

٧.

#### Respondent/Cross-Petitioner:

Kadee Rodriguez, City Clerk, in her official capacity as Records Custodian for City of Aurora.

#### For Petitioner/Cross-Respondent:

Rachel Johnson REPORTERS COMMITTEE FOR FREEDOM OF THE PRESS c/o COLORADO NEWS COLLABORATIVE and Steven D. Zansberg ZANSBERG BEYLKIN LLC

#### For the Respondent/Cross-Petitioner:

Corey Y. Hoffmann Katharine J. Vera HOFFMAN PARKER WILSON & CARBERRY PC

### For Amicus Curiae Colorado Freedom of Information Coalition:

Ashley I. Kissinger BALLARD SPAHR LLP

### For Amici Curiae Colorado Municipal League and Special District Association of Colorado:

Robert D. Sheesley
Rachel Bender
COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE
and
Ann Terry
SPECIAL DISTRICT ASSOCIATION OF COLORADO

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2022CA1934 Docketed: January 24, 2024 At Issue: February 06, 2025

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether The Sentinel Colorado ("the Sentinel"), which is owned by Aurora Media Group LLC, and operated by the Aurora Sentinel Community Media, a Colorado 501(c)(3) corporation, is a "citizen" for the purposes of section 24-6-402(9)(b), C.R.S., of the Colorado Open Meetings Law ("COML").

Whether the court of appeals erred in finding that a general description of the discussion of an executive session in a later public City Council agenda packet constituted a waiver of the entire attorney-client privilege and the executive-session privilege by the public body.

#### Courts in the Community - Falcon High School, Peyton

2024SC216 (1 HOUR)

Petitioner:

Matthew K. Hobbs,

٧.

#### Respondents:

City of Salida; Christy Doon, in her official capacity as city of Salida Administrator; and Giant Hornet LLC d/b/a High Side! Bar and Grill.

#### For Petitioner:

Christopher O. Murray Laura J. Ellis Julian R. Ellis, Jr. FIRST AND FOURTEENTH PLLC

# For the Respondents City of Salida and Christy Doon, in her official capacity as city of Salida Administrator:

Geoffrey T. Wilson Erica Romberg WILSON WILLIAMS FELLMAN DITTMAN

### For the Respondent Giant Hornet LLC d/b/a High Side! Bar and Grill:

Thomas H. Wagner ANDERSON LAW GROUP

For Amicus Curiae Notes Live, Inc. and Notes Live Real Estate and Development, LLC:

Tobin D. Kern KERN LAW LLC

For Amici Curiae Colorado Municipal League and Special District Association of Colorado:

Robert D. Sheesley Rachel Bender COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2023CA73

Docketed: April 03, 2024 At Issue: March 04, 2025

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether under subsection 25-12-103(11) the City may excuse violations of statewide noise limits set in Colorado's Noise Abatement Act by permitting for-profit entities to exceed the limits when holding "cultural, entertainment, athletic, or patriotic events" on private property, or are such permits invalid under the Act.

2023SC834 (1 HOUR)

#### **Petitioner/Cross-Respondent:**

The People of the State of Colorado,

٧.

#### Respondent/Cross-Petitioner:

Nathan Crawford Hollis.

Certiorari to the Court of Appeals, 2020CA1746

Docketed: November 16, 2023 At Issue: March 25, 2025

#### ISSUE(S):

Whether a law enforcement agency is entitled to restitution for unrecovered "buy money" under section 18-1.3-602 (3)(a) and (b), C.R.S. (2023), as was held in People v. Juanda, 2012 COA 159, 303 P.3d 128, and contrary to the court of appeals holding here.

#### For Petitioner:

Marixa Frias
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

#### For the Respondent:

Lisa Weisz OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER