

Colorado Judicial Branch Annual Statistical Report

Fiscal Year 2020



Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Chief Justice Introduction

Forward	i
Colorado Judicial Districts Map.....	ii
Organizational Chart.....	iii

I. Supreme Court

List of Supreme Court Justices	1
Narrative.....	2
Table 1: Supreme Court Caseload, Fiscal Years 1979 to 2020.....	3
Table 2: Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court Fiscal Years 1992 to 2020.....	4
Table 3: Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, Fiscal Year End 2020	5
Table 4: Distribution of Case Terminations, Fiscal Years 1978 to 2020	6
Table 5: Supreme Court Written Opinions, Fiscal Years 2006 to 2020	7
Table 6: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2020.....	8
Table 7: Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of Fiscal Year 2020.....	8

II. Court of Appeals

List of Court of Appeals Judges	9
Narrative.....	10
Table 8: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings by Case Type Fiscal Year 2020.....	11
Chart A: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings by Case Type Fiscal Year 2020.....	11
Table 9: Colorado Court of Appeals Dispositions Fiscal Year 2020	12
Chart B: Colorado Court of Appeals Dispositions Fiscal Year 2020	12
Table 10: Colorado Court of Appeals Pending Caseload Fiscal Year 2020	13
Chart C: Colorado Court of Appeals Pending Caseload Fiscal Year 2020	13
Table 11: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings and Dispositions Fiscal Year 2020	14
Chart D and E: Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings and Dispositions by Month	14

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

III. District Court

List of District Court Judges.....	15
Narrative.....	16
Chart 1 and 2: District Court Case Filings: Proportion of Cases by Class, Ten Year Comparison FY 2011 and FY 2020	17
Table 12: District Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2019 and FY 2020	18
Table 12 Chart: New Case Filings by Case Class FY 2019 Versus FY 2020	19
Table 13: District Court Caseload FY 2011 to FY 2020	20
Table 13 Chart: District Court New Cases Filed FY 2011 through FY 2020	21
Table 14: District Court New Filings, Terminations and Percent Change by Judicial District FY 2019 and FY 2020	22
Table 14 Chart: District Court New Case Filings by Judicial District Comparison FY 2019 and FY 2020..	23
Table 15: District Court Filings and Terminations.....	24-26
Table 16: Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court.....	27-28
Table 16 Chart: Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court Comparison FY2019 and FY2020	29
Table 17: District Court Civil Filings by Case Type FY 2020	30-53
Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type FY 2020.....	54-62
Table 19: DUI-Felony Filings by District FY 2016 to FY 2020	63
Table 19 Chart: DUI-Felony Filings by District FY 2016 through 2020	64
Table 20: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type FY 2020	65-67
Table 21: District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type FY 2020.....	68-76
Table 22: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type FY 2020	77-88
Table 23: District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type FY 2020	89-94
Table 24: District Court Probate Filings by Case Type FY 2020	95-103

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

IV. County Court

List of County Court Judges.....	104
Narrative.....	105
Chart 3 and 4: County Court Filings- Proportion of Cases by Class, Ten Year Comparison FY 2011 and FY 2020.....	106
Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2019 and FY 2020	107
Table 25 Chart: Fiscal Year 2019 Versus Fiscal Year 2020.....	108
Table 26: County Court Caseload FY 2011 to FY 2020	109
Table 26 Chart: County Court New Case Filings FY 2011 through FY 2020.....	110
Table 27: County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change by Judicial District	111
Table 27 Chart: County Court New Case Filings by Judicial District Comparison FY 2019 and FY 2020	112
Table 28: County Court Filings and Terminations by Case Class FY 2020	113-115
Table 29: Court and Jury Trials for County Court FY 2020	116-118
Table 29 Chart: Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in County Court FY 2020	119
Table 30: County Court DUI/DWAI Filings by District FY 2011 to FY 2020	120
Table 30 Chart: DUI/DWAI New Filings FY 2011 to FY 2020	121
Table 31: County Court Civil Filings by Case Type FY 2020	122-124
Table 32: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type FY 2020.....	125-136
Table 33: County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type FY 2020	137-139
Table 34: County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type FY 2020.....	140-142

V. Water Court

List of Water Court Judges.....	143
Narrative.....	144
Table 35: Filings, Claims and Percent Change by Water Division for FY 2019 and FY 2020.....	145

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

VI. Office of Dispute Resolution

Narrative	146
Table 36: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, Fiscal Year 2020	147
Table 37: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2020	148
Table 38: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, Fiscal Year 2020	149

VII. Office of Language Access

Narrative	150
Table 39: Number of Events Requiring Language Access by Language	151-152

VIII. Financial Information

Budget/Financial Narrative	153
Chart F: Fiscal Year 2020 Statewide Total Appropriations:	154
Chart G: Fiscal Year 2020 Judicial Appropriation	154
Chart H and Chart I: Fiscal Year 2020 Judicial Appropriation Major Program	155
Collections Narrative	156
Table 40: Collections and Revenue	157-158
Chart J: Restitutions Collections	159
Chart K: Restitution Assessments and Totals	160-174
Chart L: Restitution Interest Collection	175

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

IX. Colorado Probation

List of Chief Probation Officers	176
Probation Overview Narrative.....	177
Chart 5: Probation Active Population on June 30 th Type- Ten Year Comparison	177
Table 41: Grand Totals – Probation Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile Regular, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020	178
Chart 6: Active Population on June 30 th Fiscal year 2019 compared to Fiscal Year 2020	179
Table 42: Colorado Adult Probation State Regular and Private Combined Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations Fiscal Year 2020	180

Adult State Regular Probation

Adult State Regular Probation Narrative	181
Chart 7: New Adult Regular Probationers by Gender Ten Year Comparison	182
Chart 8: New Adult Regular Probationers by Offense Type Ten Year Comparison	182
Chart 9: New Adult Regular Probationers by Age Ten Year Comparison	183
Chart 10: New Adult Regular Probationers by Ethnicity Ten Year Comparison	183
Table 43: Adult Regular Probation Investigations: Fiscal Year 2020.....	184
Table 44: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Offense Type	185
Table 45: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Court of Origin	186
Table 46: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Supervision Type	187
Table 47: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Gender	188
Table 48: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Age	189
Table 49: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Ethnic Group	190
Table 50: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	191
Table 51: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 All Probation Supervision Closure Types	192
Table 52: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	193
Table 53: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Length of Stay	194
Table 54: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 State Adult Regular and Private Probation Active Clients on June 30, 2020	195

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 55: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Active Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2020	196
Table 56: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Regular Adult, Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020	197
Table 57: Adult Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Problem Solving Court, Mental Health and Economic Crime Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020	198

Private Probation

Private Probation Narrative	199
Table 58: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Supervision Type	200
Table 59: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Gender	201
Table 60: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Age	202
Table 61: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Ethnic Group	203
Table 62: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	204
Table 63: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 All Closure Types	205
Table 64: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	206
Table 65: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Length of Stay	207
Table 66: Private Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Active Clients on June 30, 2020	208

Juvenile Regular Probation

Juvenile Regular Probation Narrative	209
Chart 11: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Gender Ten Year Comparison	210
Chart 12: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Offense Type Ten Year Comparison	210
Chart 13: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Age Ten Year Comparison	211
Chart 14: Juvenile Regular Probationers by Ethnicity Ten Year Comparison	211
Table 67: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Investigations	212
Table 68: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Offense Type	213
Table 69: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Supervision Type	214
Table 70: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Gender	215
Table 71: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Age	216
Table 72: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Ethnic Group	217
Table 73: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	218

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 74: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 All Probation Supervision Closure Types	219
Table 75: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	220
Table 76: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Length of Stay	221
Table 77: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020	222
Table 78: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Active Juvenile Regular and Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses Client Risk Levels, on June 30, 2020	223
Table 79: Juvenile Regular Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Active Problem-Solving Court and Mental Disorder Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020.....	224

Intensive Probation Programs:

Intensive Probation Programs Narrative	225
Chart 15: Probation intensive Program Active Population as of June 30 Ten Year Comparison.....	225

LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP):

LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Narrative (LSIP)	226
Table 80: LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP): Fiscal Year 2020 New Client by Order Type	227
Table 81: LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP): Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	228
Table 82: LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP): Fiscal Year 2020 Discharges.....	229
Table 83: LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP): Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	230

CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP)

CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP) Narrative	231
Table 84: CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Order Type	232
Table 85: CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	233
Table 86: CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Discharges	234
Table 87: CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	235

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Narrative	236
Table 88: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Order Type	237
Table 89: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	238
Table 90: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 Discharges	239
Table 91: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	240

Female Offender Program (FOP):

Female Offender Program Narrative	241
Table 92: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Order Type	242
Table 93: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	243
Table 94: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2020 Discharges	244
Table 95: Female Offender Program: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	245

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation Narrative	246
Table 96: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 New Clients by Order Type	247
Table 97: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations ...	248
Table 98: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 Discharges	249
Table 99: Juvenile Intensive Supervision: Fiscal Year 2020 Type of Revocation	250

Intensive Programs Summary:

Table 100: Intensive Program Completion Transferred to Regular Probation Fiscal Year 2020	251
Table 101: Active Client Summary on June 30, 2020, Fiscal Year 2020	252

Victim Services:

Victim Services Narrative	253
Table 102: Summary of Victim Services Fiscal Year 2014 to Fiscal Year 2020	254
Table 103: Victims Services Notifications for Fiscal Year 2020	255
Table 104: Victim Services Notification of Critical Probation Event for Fiscal Year 2020	256

Table of Contents

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Programs (ADDS):

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program Narrative	257
Table 105: New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Fiscal Year 2020	258
Table 106: New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender Fiscal Year 2020	259
Table 107: New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group Fiscal Year 2020	260
Table 108: New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age Fiscal Year 2020	261
Table 109: State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations Fiscal Year 2020	262
Table 110: State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types Fiscal Year 2020	263
Table 111: State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation Fiscal Year 2020	264
Table 112: State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay Fiscal Year 2020	265
Table 113: Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2020 Fiscal Year 2020	266

X. Glossary of Terms

Probation:	267-269
Supreme Court:	270-271
Trial Courts:	272-296

Colorado Supreme Court

Nathan B. Coats
Chief Justice

I am pleased to present the Judicial Department's annual statistical report, providing data on probation programs and the numbers and types of cases filed and resolved in Colorado's courts during the fiscal year that ended June 30, 2020.

This report shows the initial impact of a global pandemic on our system of justice. In normal times, I review this report to evaluate year-over-year trends and to determine whether we are making incremental improvements in the services we provide and increasing access to justice. This report presents a different story. It evidences a reduction in court services and a change in our business practices that I have never seen in my career. Beginning in March of 2020, I ordered that our courts reduce operations to only those matters that are fundamental and essential to protecting constitutional rights and ensuring the safety of our citizens. I directed our courts to halt jury trials and in-person proceedings to the extent possible, ushering in new and widespread use of technology to conduct court proceedings. This transition was necessary, but it was not always smooth. We have learned a great deal about our business needs over the past six months, and I am confident that our work will never be the same. We will no doubt continue the use of virtual proceedings to the extent that it is consistent with our constitutional obligations. We are beginning to learn how to safely conduct in-person jury trials, albeit on a very limited basis. We have learned how to supervise and rehabilitate probationers while limiting in-person interactions.

I have been impressed and inspired by the work of our judges and staff throughout the state to adapt our operations and facilitate the delivery of justice, but we have difficult work ahead of us. We are now tasked with resuming our full operations safely and efficiently. We must still handle as much business as we can remotely, and we must keep our courthouses open to the public. We must provide a forum for resolving all of the disputes we have in the past. And we must begin to work on the backlog of cases that has developed over the past months. I anticipate that next year's annual report will provide a more complete picture of the pandemic's impact on the Judicial Department.

Foreword

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.


The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2020 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are caseload statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2020 (July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020), unless otherwise specified. This report is also available electronically by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department's Web site by clicking the following link [Annual Statistical Report](https://www.courts.state.co.us/Administration/Unit.cfm?Unit=annrep) or entering the following address in your web browser.
(<https://www.courts.state.co.us/Administration/Unit.cfm?Unit=annrep>).

If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Court Services Division or Division of Probation Services at (720) 625-5000.


Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Colorado Judicial Districts



Organization Chart of the Judicial Branch

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1. Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2. The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3. Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.



Section I: Supreme Court

Supreme Court

Justices and Sworn into Office Dates

Chief Justice Nathan B. Coats

Sworn into office May 25, 2010
Appointed Chief Justice July 1, 2018

Monica M. Márquez

Sworn into Office December 10, 2010

Brian D. Boatright

Sworn into office November 21, 2011

William W. Hood, III

Sworn into office January 13, 2014

Richard L. Gabriel

Sworn into office September 1, 2015

Melissa Hart

Sworn into office December 20, 2017

Carlos A. Samour, Jr.

Sworn into office July 2, 2018

*This list consists of Justices that served during Fiscal Year 2020

Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all other Colorado state courts.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve ten-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Branch and is the ex-officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, polices the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. For more information, please contact the Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 2 East 14th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80203; or call (720) 625-5150 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available on the Judicial Branch's website at:

<http://www.courts.state.co.us/Index.cfm>.

**Table 1:
Supreme Court Caseload
Fiscal Years 1979 through 2020**

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated(a)	Cases Pending June 30
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461
2009-10	461	1,518	1,979	1,554	425
2010-11	425	1,387	1,812	1,440	372
2011-12	372	1,494	1,866	1,612	254
2012-13	254	1,457	1,711	1,508	203
2013-14	203	1,465	1,668	1,401	267
2014-15	267	1,549	1,816	1,624	192
2015-16	192	1,494	1,686	1,522	164
2016-17	164	1,285	1,449	1,012	437
2017-18	437	1,231	1,668	1,216	452
2018-19	452	1,333	1,785	1,359	426
2019-20	426	1,474	1,900	1,571	329

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

Table 2:
Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court
Fiscal Years 1992 through 2020

Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers		Total Filings
		From Court of Appeals	Reopened Cases	
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643
2009-10	1,504	14	0	1,518
2010-11	1,379	8	0	1,387
2011-12	1,485	9	0	1,494
2012-13	1,455	2	0	1,457
2013-14	1,458	7	0	1,465
2014-15	1,534	15	0	1,549
2015-16	1,479	15	0	1,494
2016-17	1,281	4	0	1,285
2017-18	1,255	2	0	1,257
2018-19	1,333	4	0	1,337
2019-20	1,455	19	0	1,474

Transfers from court of appeals includes only the cases where the supreme court accepted the transfer request.

**Table 3:
Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court
Fiscal Year End 2020***

	19-20
Criminal Appeals	
Death Penalty	0
Interlocutory	11
By defendant (constitutional)	0
By People (constitutional)	0
Civil Appeals	
Constitutional Challenge	1
Elections	5
Habeas Corpus	60
P.U.C.	1
Water	3
Petitions for Certiorari review	1065
Original Proceedings	
Habeas Corpus (C.A.R. 21)	35
Certified Question of Law (C.A.R. 21.1)	2
Other under C.A.R. 21	172
In Attorney Admissions (C.R.C.P. 206)	25
Statutory	
Ballot Title Reviews	49
Interrogatories	1
Reapportionment	0
Discipline - Attorney	6
Discipline - Judge	2
Disability - Attorney	0
Disability - Judge	1
Discipline - Unauthorized Practice of Law	6
Continuing Legal Education	1
Multi-District Litigation	24
Miscellaneous	4
Total	1,474

*Table 3 was updated in FYE2020 to reflect the current case type data collected in the supreme court

**Table 4:
Distribution of Case Terminations
Fiscal Years 1978 through 2020**

Fiscal Year	Disposed of by Written Opinion	Disposed of Without Opinion	Total Dispositions	Transferred to Court of Appeals	Total Terminations
1977-78	322	564	886	7	893
1978-79	284	587	871	6	877
1985-86	222	745	967	6	973
1986-87	238	793	1,031	5	1,036
1989-90	237	1,008	1,245	16	1,261
1990-91	227	1,086	1,313	13	1,326
1991-92	216	1,058	1,274	12	1,286
1992-93	181	1059	1240	21	1261
1993-94	192	1093	1285	5	1290
1994-95	233	1077	1310	6	1316
1995-96	193	1176	1369	1	1370
1996-97	214	1212	1426	6	1432
1997-98	187	1372	1559	2	1561
1998-99	196	1413	1609	6	1615
1999-00	111	1452	1563	0	1563
2000-01	128	1291	1419	6	1425
2001-02	121	1287	1408	7	1415
2002-03	85	1354	1439	2	1441
2003-04	89	1228	1317	2	1319
2004-05	93	1357	1450	1	1451
2005-06	78	1321	1399	1	1400
2006-07	86	1361	1447	3	1450
2007-08	99	1658	1757	3	1760
2008-09	67	1484	1551	3	1554
2009-10	75	1478	1553	1	1554
2010-11	98	1339	1437	3	1440
2011-12	76	1533	1609	3	1612
2012-13	77	1429	1506	2	1508
2013-14	88	1313	1401	0	1401
2014-15	67	1557	1624	1	1625
2015-16	73	1449	1522	0	1522
2016-17	109	903	1012	0	1012
2014-15	67	1557	1624	1	1625
2015-16	73	1449	1522	0	1522
2016-17	103	903	1012	0	1012
2017-18	92	1124	1216	0	1216
2018-19	102	1192	1294	2	1296
2019-20	102	1327	1429	4	1433

**Table 5:
Supreme Court Written Opinions
Fiscal Years 2006 through 2020**

Fiscal Year	Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court	Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents	Number of Dissents	Number of Concurrences and Special Concurrences	Total Number of Written Opinions
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2008-09	66	3	33	8	110
2009-10	68	9	22	4	103
2010-11	98	9	29	4	140
2011-12	76	7	33	8	124
2012-13	77	6	27	11	121
2013-14	88	11	27	11	137
2014-15	67	10	16	4	97
2015-16	73	2	27	8	110
2016-17	103	5	30	18	156
2017-18	92	6	18	1	117
2018-19	102	4	23	11	140
2019-20	102	7	21	7	137

**Tables 6 and 7:
Distribution of Supreme Court Cases At Issue and
Not At Issue FYE 2020**

Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue End of FY 2020					
	Original Proceedings	Certiorari	Appeals	Grievances	Total
Cases at issue awaiting oral	0	6	1	0	7
Cases at issue awaiting	0	0	0	0	0
Cases orally argued awaiting	0	8	0	0	8
Cases submitted without	1	4	1	0	6
Opinions announced awaiting	0	1	0	0	1
Total	1	19	2	0	22

Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue End of FY 2020	
Pending Cases	Total
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	34
Certiorari pending (pre-merit)	257
Appeals pending	13
Original proceedings pending	13
Interlocutory appeals pending	1
Grievance matters pending	9
Unauthorized practice pending	1
Judicial discipline pending	1
Total	329



Section II: Court of Appeals

Court of Appeals

Judges and Appointment Dates

Chief Judge Steve Bernard

Appointed: July 5, 2006

John D. Dailey

Appointed: January 7, 2000

Gilbert M. Román

Appointed: August 1, 2005

John R. Webb

Appointed: February 1, 2002

Robert D. Hawthorne

Appointed: August 12, 2004

David Furman

Appointed: December 16, 2005

Diana Terry

Appointed: July 5, 2006

Jerry N. Jones

Appointed: July 5, 2006

David J. Richman

Appointed: June 30, 2008

Maria Teresa “Terry” Fox

Appointed: January 7, 2011

Stephanie Dunn

Appointed: November 23, 2012

Anthony J. Navarro

Appointed: January 18, 2013

Michael H. Berger

Appointed: December 17, 2013

Elizabeth L. Harris

Appointment: July 23, 2015

Rebecca Rankin Freyre

Appointment: November 16, 2015

Craig R. Welling

Appointment: January 16, 2017

Ted C. Tow III

Appointment: February 13, 2018

Lino S. Lipinsky de Orlov

Appointment: January 9, 2019

Matthew D. Grove

Appointment: January 9, 2019

Neeti Vasant Pawar

Appointment: March 18, 2019

Jaclyn Casey Brown

Appointment: June 1, 2019

Susana P. Johnson

Appointment: June 1, 2019

Christina F. Gomez

Appointment: February 27, 2020

David H. Yun

Appointment: March 2, 2020

*This list consists of Judges that served during Fiscal Year 2020.

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. As of July 1, 2008, the Court consists of 22 judges who are retained by the public to serve eight-year terms. The Court sits in three-member divisions to decide cases. The Chief Judge, appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, assigns judges to the divisions and rotates their assignments.

The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial, and timely resolutions of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law. The Court of Appeals was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to the areas specified by state statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts.

Established under Section 1 of Article VI of the [Colorado Constitution](#) and Section 13-4-101, et seq., C.R.S. ([Colorado Revised Statutes](#)), the Court of Appeals has initial jurisdiction, with exceptions, over appeals from the Colorado District Courts, Denver Probate Court, and Denver Juvenile Court. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Reviews of the Court of Appeals' decisions are directed to the Colorado Supreme Court.

Court of Appeals Webpage:


(http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Court_of_Appeals/Index.cfm).

**Table 8 and Chart A:
Colorado Court of Appeals New Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Month	Civil			Criminal			Industrial Claims			Juvenile			Month Total		
	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change
July	70	72	2.9%	95	98	3.2%	10	9	-10.0%	20	24	20.0%	195	203	4.1%
August	92	78	-15.2%	78	82	5.1%	5	8	60.0%	50	23	-54.0%	225	191	-15.1%
September	77	57	-26.0%	78	81	3.8%	9	7	-22.2%	36	22	-38.9%	200	167	-16.5%
October	84	69	-17.9%	97	93	-4.1%	13	10	-23.1%	36	45	25.0%	230	217	-5.7%
November	70	81	15.7%	65	87	33.8%	8	2	-75.0%	40	23	-42.5%	183	193	5.5%
December	76	95	25.0%	98	83	-15.3%	16	8	-50.0%	34	27	-20.6%	224	213	-4.9%
January	85	85	0.0%	90	82	-8.9%	8	11	37.5%	25	34	36.0%	208	212	1.9%
February	80	84	5.0%	60	85	41.7%	9	9	0.0%	24	23	-4.2%	173	201	16.2%
March	76	67	-11.8%	84	98	16.7%	9	8	-11.1%	27	36	33.3%	196	209	6.6%
April	76	87	14.5%	85	86	1.2%	13	14	7.7%	34	22	-35.3%	208	209	0.5%
May	95	66	-30.5%	91	55	-39.6%	9	13	44.4%	29	14	-51.7%	224	148	-33.9%
June	87	73	-16.1%	93	52	-44.1%	10	7	-30.0%	25	10	-60.0%	215	142	-34.0%
YTD Total	968	914	-5.6%	1,014	982	-3.2%	119	106	-10.9%	380	303	-20.3%	2,481	2,305	-7.1%

	YTD Total		
	2019	2020	% Change
July	195	203	4.1%
August	420	394	-6.2%
September	620	561	-9.5%
October	850	778	-8.5%
November	1,033	971	-6.0%
December	1,257	1,184	-5.8%
January	1,465	1,396	-4.7%
February	1,638	1,597	-2.5%
March	1,834	1,806	-1.5%
April	2,042	2,015	-1.3%
May	2,266	2,163	-4.5%
June	2,481	2,305	-7.1%

FY2020 Filings - 2,305



**Table 9 and Chart B:
Colorado Court of Appeals Dispositions
Fiscal Year 2020**

Month	Published Opinions			Unpublished Opinions			Dismissed			Closed and Consolidated			Transfers			Month Total		
	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change	2019	2020	% Change
July	15	17	13.3%	86	88	2.3%	42	48	14.3%	3	3	0.0%	0	0		146	156	6.8%
August	17	21	23.5%	131	167	27.5%	57	82	43.9%	8	5	-37.5%	1	1	0.0%	214	276	29.0%
September	18	13	-27.8%	121	107	-11.6%	54	35	-35.2%	4	1	-75.0%	0	2		197	158	-19.8%
October	6	15	150.0%	95	170	78.9%	79	57	-27.8%	5	6	20.0%	1	0		186	248	33.3%
November	17	12	-29.4%	173	135	-22.0%	45	38	-15.6%	3	1	-66.7%	1	4	300.0%	239	190	-20.5%
December	14	8	-42.9%	144	128	-11.1%	52	54	3.8%	3	5	66.7%	0	1		213	196	-8.0%
January	14	15	7.1%	121	117	-3.3%	49	51	4.1%	6	2	-66.7%	1	2	100.0%	191	187	-2.1%
February	14	16	14.3%	124	142	14.5%	41	58	41.5%	0	4		0	1		179	221	23.5%
March	18	20	11.1%	129	129	0.0%	57	43	-24.6%	5	6	20.0%	1	0		210	198	-5.7%
April	13	19	46.2%	141	159	12.8%	51	44	-13.7%	6	7	16.7%	0	3		211	232	10.0%
May	25	10	-60.0%	144	133	-7.6%	47	75	59.6%	4	10	150.0%	0	1		220	229	4.1%
June	14	14	0.0%	122	124	1.6%	37	66	78.4%	2	5	150.0%	0	7		175	216	23.4%
YTD Totals	185	180	-2.7%	1,531	1,599	4.4%	611	651	6.5%	49	55	12.2%	5	22	340.0%	2,381	2,507	5.3%

Total Change in Opinions: **3.7%**


	YTD Total		
	2019	2020	% Change
July	146	156	6.8%
August	360	432	20.0%
September	557	590	5.9%
October	743	838	12.8%
November	982	1,028	4.7%
December	1,195	1,224	2.4%
January	1,386	1,411	1.8%
February	1,565	1,632	4.3%
March	1,775	1,830	3.1%
April	1,986	2,060	3.7%
May	2,206	2,289	3.8%
June	2,381	2,505	5.2%

FY2020 Dispositions - 2,507



**Table 10 and Chart C:
Colorado Court of Appeals Pending Caseload
Fiscal Year 2020**


Month	CASES			MONTHLY CHANGE	
	New	Closed	Pending	#	%
July	203	156	2,549		
August	191	276	2,464	-85	-3.4%
September	167	158	2,473	9	0.4%
October	217	248	2,442	-31	-1.3%
November	193	190	2,445	3	0.1%
December	213	196	2,462	17	0.7%
January	212	187	2,487	25	1.0%
February	201	221	2,467	-20	-0.8%
March	209	198	2,478	11	0.4%
April	209	230	2,457	-21	-0.9%
May	148	229	2,376	-81	-3.4%
June	142	216	2,302	-74	-3.2%
YTD Total	2,305	2,505		-247	-10.4%



**Table 11 and Charts D and E:
Colorado Court of Appeals Roling Filings and Dispositions
Fiscal Year 2020**

	July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
New Filings	203	191	167	217	193	213	212	201	209	209	148	142
Dispos	156	276	158	248	190	196	187	221	198	230	229	216
Ending Pending	2,549	2,464	2,473	2,442	2,445	2,462	2,487	2,467	2,478	2,457	2,376	2,302
Prior 12 mo. Filings	2,489	2,455	2,422	2,409	2,419	2,408	2,412	2,440	2,453	2,454	2,378	2,305
	102.4%	100.4%	102.1%	101.4%	101.1%	102.2%	103.1%	101.1%	101.0%	100.1%	99.9%	99.9%

● New Filings ● Dispos



● Ending Pending ● Prior 12 mo. Filings





Section III: District Court

District Court Judges

This list contains the names of Judges that served during FY2020.

First Judicial District

Jeffrey R. Pilkington, Chief Judge
Randall C. Arp
Christie Bachmeyer
Diego Hunt
Russell Klein
Robert Lochary
Philip J. McNulty
Ann Gail Meinster
Lily W. Oeffler
Tamara Russell
Laura A. Tighe
Lindsay Van Gilder
Todd L. Vriesman
Christopher Zenisek

Second Judicial District

Michael A. Martinez, Chief Judge
Lisa Arnolds
Christopher Baumann
Nikea Bland
Karen L. Brody
Edward D. Bronfin
Ross Buchanan
Jill Dorancy
Martin F. Egelhoff
J. Eric Elliff
Ericka Englert
Kandace Gerdes
Shelley I. Gilman
David Goldberg
Jay S. Grant
Morris B. Hoffman
Eric M. Johnson
A. Bruce Jones
Kenneth M. Laff
John W. Madden, IV
Andrew P. McCallin
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.
Darryl F. Shockley
Lisa Teesch-Maquire
Jennifer B. Torrington
Michael J. Vallejos
Brian Whitney

Denver Juvenile Court

David Brett Woods, Presiding Judge
Laurie A. Clark
Donna J. Schmalberger

Denver Probate Court

Elizabeth D. Leith, Presiding Judge

Third Judicial District

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge
Leslie J. Gerbracht
John McKisson

Fourth Judicial District

William B. Bain Chief Judge
Eric Bentley
Linda Billings Vela
Jill Brady
Robin Chittum
Jessica Curtis
Jann DuBois
David A. Gilbert
Deborah J. Grohs
Mitchell Helton
Marcus Henson
Frances Johnson
Thomas K. Kane
Robert L. Lowrey
Michael P. McHenry
Chad Miller
G. David Miller
David Prince
Marla Prudek
Timothy Schutz
Larry E. Schwartz
Scott A. Sells
David L. Shakes
Erin Lynn Sokol
Gregory R. Werner

Fifth Judicial District

Mark D. Thompson, Chief Judge
Catherine Cheroutes
Paul R. Dunkelman
Russell H. Granger
Reed Owens
Karen A. Romeo

Sixth Judicial District

Jeffrey R. Wilson, Chief Judge
Suzanne F. Carlson
William L. Herringer
Todd P. Norvell

Seventh Judicial District

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Mary E. Deganhart
Cory Jackson
Steven L. Schultz
Keri Yoder

Eighth Judicial District

Stephen E. Howard, Chief Judge
Susan Blanco
Carroll Michelle Brinegar
Laurie Dean
Julie K. Field
Thomas R. French
Stephen Jouard
Gregory M. Lammons
Daniel McDonald
Juan G. Villaseñor

Ninth Judicial District

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge
Denise K. Lynch
John F. Neiley
Anne Norrdin
Christopher Seldin

Tenth Judicial District

Deborah R. Eyler, Chief Judge
William Alexander
Allison Ernst
Steven Fieldman
Thomas B. Flesher
Kimberly Karn
David Lobato
Larry C. Schwartz
Roberto Silva
Gregory Styduhar

Eleventh Judicial District

Patrick W. Murphy, Chief Judge
Stephen A. Groome
Ramsey L. Lama
Lynette M. Wenner

Twelfth Judicial District

Michael A. Gonzales Chief Judge
Pattie P. Swift, Chief Judge (retired)
Martin A. Gonzales
Amanda C. Hopkins
Crista L. Newmyer-Olsen

Thirteenth Judicial District

Michael K. Singer, Chief Judge
Stephanie Gagliano
Charles M. Hobbs
Kevin Hoyer
Carl S. McGuire, III

Fourteenth Judicial District

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge
Shelley A. Hill
Mary C. Hoak

Fifteenth Judicial District

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge
Michael J. Davidson

Sixteenth Judicial District

Mark A. MacDonnell, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl

Seventeenth Judicial District

Emily Anderson, Chief Judge
Tomee Crespin
Caryn Datz
Katherine R. Delgado
Sean Finn
Sharon Holbrook
Robert W. Kiesnowski, Jr.
Priscilla Jeffrey Loew
Rayna Gokli McIntyre
Edward C. Moss
Patrick H. Pugh
Donald S. Quick
Roberto Ramirez
Kyle P. Seedorf
Jeffrey A. Smith
Mark D. Warner

Eighteenth Judicial District

Michelle Amico, Chief Judge
Andrea C. Baum
Natalie T. Chase
Patricia Herron
Jeffrey K. Holmes
Clay Hurst
Gary M. Kramer
Ben L. Leutwyler
Robert R. Lung
Cynthia D. Mares
Fredrick T. Martinez
Bonnie H. McLean
Peter F. Michaelson
Charles M. Pratt (retired)
John E. Scipione
Teresa Slade
Michael Spear
David Stevens
Ryan J. Stuart
Darren L. Vahle
Liz Volz
Elizabeth A. Weishaupl
John Wheeler
Shay Whitaker
Eric White

Nineteenth Judicial District

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge
W. Troy Hause
Julie Hoskins
Ryan Kamada (Resigned)
Timothy Kerns
Marcelo A. Kopcow
Shannon Lyons
Thomas J. Quammen
Meghan Saleebey
Kimberly Schutt
Todd Taylor
Vicente Vigil

Twentieth Judicial District

Ingrid S. Bakke, Chief Judge
Patrick Butler
Andrew Hartman
Judith LaBuda
Bruce Langer
Andrew MacDonald
Thomas F. Mulvahill
Nancy Salomone
Norma A. Sierra

Twenty-First Judicial District

Brian J. Flynn, Chief Judge
Matthew D. Barrett
Dick Gurley
Gretchen Larson
Valerie J. Robinson
Lance Timbreza

Twenty-Second Judicial District

Douglas S. Walker, Chief Judge
Todd J. Plewe


District Court

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven counties. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 204 district judges that served during Fiscal Year 2020.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado’s municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2020, there were 228,570 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Compared to Fiscal Year 2019, district court filings in Fiscal Year 2020 have increased by 2.03 percent. The greatest area of increase was in Civil filings, which increased by 19.86 percent (driven by Dstraint Warrants). Alternatively, Juvenile filings decreased by 18.83 percent.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <https://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/District/Choose.cfm>.



**Chart 1 and Chart 2:
District Case Filings
Proportion of Cases by Class - Ten Year Comparison
Fiscal Year 2011 and Fiscal Year 2020**






Table 12:
District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY19	FY20	Percent Change
Civil	87,295	104,632	19.86%
Criminal	56,292	51,378	-8.73%
Domestic Relations	33,610	30,697	-8.67%
Juvenile	22,847	18,545	-18.83%
Mental Health	7,779	7,533	-3.16%
Probate	16,191	15,785	-2.51%
Total	224,014	228,570	2.03%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY19	FY20	Percent Change
Civil	87,015	105,175	20.87%
Criminal	54,573	47,714	-12.57%
Domestic Relations	33,807	31,180	-7.77%
Juvenile	21,620	18,580	-14.06%
Mental Health	7,804	7,765	-0.50%
Probate	16,116	16,126	0.06%
Total	220,935	226,540	2.54%


**TABLE 12 CHART
NEW CASE FILINGS BY CASE CLASS
FISCAL YEAR 2019 VERSUS FISCAL YEAR 2020**



**Table 13:
District Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 2011 to Fiscal Year 2020**

Case Class	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	125,597	169,055	108,634	96,325	101,112	88,277	80,632	96,176	87,295	104,632
Cases Terminated	126,804	169,186	111,606	97,728	101,355	88,758	80,917	95,618	87,015	105,175
CRIMINAL										
New Cases Filed	35,966	35,551	37,888	37,966	40,903	46,004	51,775	54,479	56,292	51,378
Cases Terminated	36,324	34,957	37,293	37,615	39,343	42,730	47,998	51,258	54,573	47,714
DOMESTIC RELATIONS										
New Cases Filed	36,009	35,434	34,630	34,907	34,841	34,966	35,057	34,357	33,610	30,697
Cases Terminated	35,748	35,683	34,593	35,067	34,352	34,877	34,799	34,348	33,807	31,180
JUVENILE										
New Cases Filed	29,958	28,731	27,296	24,600	24,681	24,324	23,339	23,120	22,847	18,545
Cases Terminated	29,326	26,462	26,951	23,866	23,274	22,518	21,722	22,072	21,620	18,580
MENTAL HEALTH										
New Cases Filed	5,543	6,064	6,480	7,072	7,326	7,689	7,947	7,933	7,779	7,533
Cases Terminated	5,483	5,744	6,531	7,072	7,408	7,731	7,905	7,994	7,804	7,765
PROBATE										
New Cases Filed	13,655	14,042	15,553	15,203	15,728	16,309	16,619	16,738	16,191	15,785
Cases Terminated	14,067	17,387	15,578	15,387	15,718	16,151	16,699	16,751	16,116	16,126
TOTAL										
<i>New Cases Filed</i>	246,728	288,877	230,481	216,073	224,591	217,569	215,369	232,803	224,014	228,570
<i>Cases Terminated</i>	247,752	289,419	232,552	216,735	221,450	212,765	210,040	228,041	220,935	226,540

**TABLE 13 CHART
DISTRICT COURT NEW CASES FILED
FISCAL YEAR 2011 THROUGH 2020**




**Table 14:
District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY19	FY20	Percent Change	FY19	FY20	Percent Change
1	19,941	20,211	1.35%	20,135	19,499	-3.16%
2	33,663	35,161	4.45%	33,763	36,341	7.64%
Juvenile-2	2,973	2,401	-19.24%	2,728	2,448	-10.26%
Probate-2	3,425	3,355	-2.04%	3,434	3,647	6.20%
3	1,459	1,344	-7.88%	1,287	1,275	-0.93%
4	29,732	30,120	1.30%	28,747	29,810	3.70%
5	3,591	3,617	0.72%	3,632	3,588	-1.21%
6	2,486	2,441	-1.81%	2,463	2,442	-0.85%
7	3,916	3,827	-2.27%	3,927	3,741	-4.74%
8	11,151	11,210	0.53%	11,018	11,137	1.08%
9	3,232	3,481	7.70%	3,010	3,430	13.95%
10	8,545	8,052	-5.77%	8,729	8,020	-8.12%
11	3,755	3,982	6.05%	3,479	3,864	11.07%
12	2,599	2,592	-0.27%	2,566	2,825	10.09%
13	3,458	3,614	4.51%	3,375	3,562	5.54%
14	1,982	1,992	0.50%	1,910	1,987	4.03%
15	1,013	1,034	2.07%	1,008	1,003	-0.50%
16	1,577	1,478	-6.28%	1,548	1,530	-1.16%
17	22,351	24,057	7.63%	21,939	23,057	5.10%
18	32,025	33,362	4.17%	31,669	32,388	2.27%
19	12,415	13,189	6.23%	11,964	12,977	8.47%
20	9,831	9,928	0.99%	9,933	9,564	-3.71%
21	7,591	6,829	-10.04%	7,429	7,103	-4.39%
22	1,303	1,293	-0.77%	1,242	1,302	4.83%
State Total^(a)	224,014	228,570	2.03%	220,935	226,540	2.54%

^(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

**TABLE 14 CHART
DISTRICT COURT-NEW CASE FILINGS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMPARISON FISCAL YEAR 2019 AND FISCAL YEAR 2020**



**Table 15:
District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile		Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
1	Gilpin	181	221	120	120	30	28	6	7	4	4	28	29	369	409
	Jefferson	4,612	3,886	8,658	8,697	2,691	2,577	1,497	1,574	643	654	1,741	1,702	19,842	19,090
	Total	4,793	4,107	8,778	8,817	2,721	2,605	1,503	1,581	647	658	1,769	1,731	20,211	19,499
2	Denver	5,192	6,179	25,940	25,889	4,029	4,273	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35,161	36,341
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,401	2,448	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2,401	2,448
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	21	17	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,420	1,494	1,914	2,136	3,355	3,647
	Total	5,192	6,179	25,961	25,906	4,029	4,273	2,401	2,448	1,420	1,494	1,914	2,136	40,917	42,436
3	Huerfano	301	271	90	98	36	42	71	66	3	3	50	45	551	525
	Las Animas	300	227	208	224	99	109	82	81	19	19	85	90	793	750
	Total	601	498	298	322	135	151	153	147	22	22	135	135	1,344	1,275
4	El Paso	7,910	7,307	10,563	10,581	5,192	5,433	2,618	2,609	1,164	1,169	1,767	1,771	29,214	28,870
	Teller	290	324	329	336	103	115	76	66	23	22	85	77	906	940
	Total	8,200	7,631	10,892	10,917	5,295	5,548	2,694	2,675	1,187	1,191	1,852	1,848	30,120	29,810
5	Clear Creek	131	110	129	140	37	47	71	52	8	8	51	52	427	409
	Eagle	337	331	992	991	254	272	72	76	14	16	112	124	1,781	1,810
	Lake	75	81	128	138	52	49	21	27	6	6	18	18	300	319
	Summit	322	298	558	538	122	108	25	30	20	19	62	57	1,109	1,050
	Total	865	820	1,807	1,807	465	476	189	185	48	49	243	251	3,617	3,588
6	Archuleta	135	121	213	218	80	90	39	33	2	4	55	53	524	519
	La Plata	595	578	684	694	287	295	74	72	46	47	180	182	1,866	1,868
	San Juan	4	6	35	35	2	4	0	0	0	0	10	10	51	55
	Total	734	705	932	947	369	389	113	105	48	51	245	245	2,441	2,442
7	Delta	355	350	365	363	203	212	76	84	26	31	133	131	1,158	1,171
	Gunnison	108	109	204	188	58	66	17	16	1	2	52	39	440	420
	Hinsdale	0	0	8	10	7	9	0	0	0	0	7	4	22	23
	Montrose	576	508	538	542	276	272	157	163	30	27	172	167	1,749	1,679
	Ouray	22	18	80	83	21	18	6	9	0	0	15	15	144	143
	San Miguel	79	66	171	178	34	35	13	11	0	0	17	15	314	305
Total	1,140	1,051	1,366	1,364	599	612	269	283	57	60	396	371	3,827	3,741	

**Table 15:
District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile		Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
8	Jackson	38	19	14	12	10	11	8	8	1	0	6	7	77	57
	Larimer	3,022	2,767	4,127	4,230	1,652	1,751	1,060	1,036	449	468	823	828	11,133	11,080
	Total	3,060	2,786	4,141	4,242	1,662	1,762	1,068	1,044	450	468	829	835	11,210	11,137
9	Garfield	719	682	1,050	1,037	401	419	249	237	8	6	133	128	2,560	2,509
	Pitkin	98	100	386	378	96	104	31	21	2	1	57	58	670	662
	Rio Blanco	67	67	92	94	36	35	28	37	1	2	27	24	251	259
	Total	884	849	1,528	1,509	533	558	308	295	11	9	217	210	3,481	3,430
10	Pueblo	2,313	2,260	2,831	2,833	1,016	1,084	873	865	391	389	628	589	8,052	8,020
11	Chaffee	261	210	259	240	97	93	68	58	9	10	86	84	780	695
	Custer	57	40	66	64	21	22	13	16	1	1	26	28	184	171
	Fremont	706	605	1,001	1,143	296	266	239	204	38	36	201	197	2,481	2,451
	Park	115	107	246	268	62	63	41	33	8	7	65	69	537	547
	Total	1,139	962	1,572	1,715	476	444	361	311	56	54	378	378	3,982	3,864
	Alamosa	394	409	216	235	150	162	136	153	94	92	64	83	1,054	1,134
12	Conejos	117	140	120	122	34	39	40	35	13	12	37	46	361	394
	Costilla	75	72	50	60	20	19	24	28	14	16	106	149	289	344
	Mineral	2	5	14	13	3	1	1	3	1	1	10	12	31	35
	Rio Grande	168	194	132	136	74	78	62	69	27	27	49	73	512	577
	Saguache	102	86	120	114	47	48	25	25	15	15	36	53	345	341
Total	858	906	652	680	328	347	288	313	164	163	302	416	2,592	2,825	
13	Kit Carson	80	62	93	98	42	51	27	22	2	2	29	26	273	261
	Logan	598	538	292	318	120	127	110	111	32	32	86	90	1,238	1,216
	Morgan	387	345	485	481	182	200	149	142	21	21	125	193	1,349	1,382
	Phillips	25	19	46	47	19	12	9	7	2	3	18	21	119	109
	Sedgwick	49	19	20	20	16	18	2	3	2	1	25	21	114	82
	Washington	45	45	66	70	29	31	24	24	3	3	49	45	216	218
	Yuma	60	53	127	133	46	38	18	19	7	7	47	44	305	294
	Total	1,244	1,081	1,129	1,167	454	477	339	328	69	69	379	440	3,614	3,562
14	Grand	112	107	288	296	65	66	12	12	5	5	41	42	523	528
	Moffat	272	261	227	217	95	92	29	32	0	0	56	55	679	657
	Routt	201	199	345	358	116	113	51	53	4	4	73	75	790	802
	Total	585	567	860	871	276	271	92	97	9	9	170	172	1,992	1,987

**Table 15:
District Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile		Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
15	Baca	29	29	45	47	27	23	24	20	3	3	21	25	149	147
	Cheyenne	15	13	21	23	16	11	3	4	1	1	16	14	72	66
	Kiowa	35	17	13	14	13	8	12	12	2	2	26	25	101	78
	Prowers	288	291	161	159	104	100	89	84	9	10	61	68	712	712
	Total	367	350	240	243	160	142	128	120	15	16	124	132	1,034	1,003
16	Bent	102	113	93	98	38	35	38	30	5	5	19	33	295	314
	Crowley	134	100	101	109	17	24	32	24	6	6	18	13	308	276
	Otero	303	324	250	253	133	135	103	135	15	15	71	78	875	940
	Total	539	537	444	460	188	194	173	189	26	26	108	124	1,478	1,530
17	Adams	4,846	4,059	11,043	11,075	2,675	2,612	1,582	1,491	507	523	1,051	1,027	21,704	20,787
	Broomfield	581	487	1,160	1,190	324	326	97	78	61	59	130	130	2,353	2,270
	Total	5,427	4,546	12,203	12,265	2,999	2,938	1,679	1,569	568	582	1,181	1,157	24,057	23,057
18	Arapahoe	3,809	3,188	12,548	12,604	3,022	2,958	1,824	1,912	869	881	1,621	1,618	23,693	23,161
	Douglas	1,418	1,062	3,979	4,052	1,514	1,476	892	791	254	271	648	661	8,705	8,313
	Elbert	100	91	307	309	110	113	57	46	14	11	56	60	644	630
	Lincoln	116	94	111	107	31	28	23	31	2	2	37	22	320	284
	Total	5,443	4,435	16,945	17,072	4,677	4,575	2,796	2,780	1,139	1,165	2,362	2,361	33,362	32,388
19	Weld	3,095	2,832	5,560	5,495	1,819	1,807	1,491	1,567	283	302	941	974	13,189	12,977
20	Boulder	2,394	2,067	4,099	4,105	1,296	1,233	719	694	573	635	847	830	9,928	9,564
21	Mesa	2,085	2,143	1,993	2,031	1,013	1,095	807	875	346	349	585	610	6,829	7,103
22	Dolores	14	16	42	45	14	15	8	7	0	0	17	17	95	100
	Montezuma	406	386	359	362	173	184	93	102	4	4	163	164	1,198	1,202
	Total	420	402	401	407	187	199	101	109	4	4	180	181	1,293	1,302
State Total		51,378	47,714	104,632	105,175	30,697	31,180	18,545	18,580	7,533	7,765	15,785	16,126	228,570	226,540



**Table 16:
Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials						
1	Gilpin	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	4
	Jefferson	0	89	30	20	9	3	39	112
	Total	0	93	31	20	9	3	40	116
2	Denver	1	70	38	50	0	0	39	120
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	45	0	45	0
	Total	1	70	38	50	45	0	84	120
3	Huerfano	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	3
	Las Animas	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	4	1	1	0	0	1	5
4	El Paso	3	136	14	18	14	3	31	157
	Teller	0	5	2	1	0	1	2	7
	Total	3	141	16	19	14	4	33	164
5	Clear Creek	1	3	0	0	1	0	2	3
	Eagle	0	3	2	1	1	0	3	4
	Lake	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	4
	Summit	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	1	14	2	1	3	0	6	15
6	Archuleta	1	2	1	0	1	0	3	2
	La Plata	1	13	1	2	0	0	2	15
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	2	16	2	2	1	0	5	18
7	Delta	0	5	1	1	2	0	3	6
	Gunnison	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	3	1	2	1	0	2	5
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	12	2	5	3	0	5	17
8	Jackson	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Larimer	0	22	6	9	0	1	6	32
	Total	1	24	6	9	0	1	7	34
9	Garfield	0	8	2	0	0	1	2	9
	Pitkin	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	4
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	0	13	3	0	0	1	3	14
10	Pueblo	1	25	10	3	11	0	22	28
11	Chaffee	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Fremont	3	6	2	0	3	1	8	7
	Park	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
	Total	3	7	4	1	3	1	10	9

**Table 16:
Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials						
12	Alamosa	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	2
	Conejos	0	3	1	1	1	0	2	4
	Costilla	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Total	0	9	2	2	2	1	4	12
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Logan	0	6	0	0	1	0	1	6
	Morgan	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	3
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Yuma	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	2
Total	0	13	1	0	2	1	3	14	
14	Grand	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Moffat	0	5	0	0	2	0	2	5
	Routt	0	6	1	1	0	0	1	7
	Total	0	11	2	1	2	0	4	12
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	4
	Total	1	3	1	1	1	1	3	5
16	Bent	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Crowley	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Otero	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	3
	Total	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	7
17	Adams	1	84	6	12	9	3	16	99
	Broomfield	0	7	4	1	0	1	4	9
	Total	1	91	10	13	9	4	20	108
18	Arapahoe	2	82	21	19	34	1	57	102
	Douglas	0	15	7	12	0	1	7	28
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Lincoln	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	2	102	28	31	34	3	64	136
19	Weld	3	41	10	4	10	2	23	47
20	Boulder	0	29	6	12	4	0	10	41
21	Mesa	3	43	6	1	14	3	23	47
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
State Total		22	773	182	176	167	25	371	974

Table 16 Chart
Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in District Court
Comparison Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020



**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easements	County Court or Municipal Appeal
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Jefferson	216	4	0	3	3	0	47
	<i>Total</i>	219	4	0	3	3	0	49
2	Denver	699	7	11	1	30	0	64
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	699	7	11	1	30	0	64
3	Huerfano	4	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	7	0	0	0	0	0	2
4	El Paso	307	3	9	3	2	0	74
	Teller	14	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	321	3	9	3	2	0	78
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	33	0	2	0	2	0	12
	Lake	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	14	0	0	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	52	0	2	0	2	0	17
6	Archuleta	3	0	1	1	0	0	3
	La Plata	22	1	2	2	1	1	11
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	25	1	3	3	1	1	14
7	Delta	9	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Gunnison	13	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	8	0	0	2	0	0	8
	Ouray	4	0	1	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	8	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	42	0	1	2	0	0	19

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Disclaimer	Distraint Warrant	Employment Discrimination	Extreme Risk	Foreclosure Other Than Rule 120
1	Gilpin	2	0	0	83	1	0	0
	Jefferson	29	3	0	6,862	3	6	59
	<i>Total</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6,945</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>59</i>
2	Denver	127	1	0	20,916	31	1	79
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	0	19	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20,916</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>79</i>
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	57	0	0	3
	Las Animas	0	0	0	139	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>
4	El Paso	18	5	0	7,750	7	7	84
	Teller	0	1	0	239	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7,989</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>84</i>
5	Clear Creek	2	0	0	93	0	1	0
	Eagle	5	1	0	718	0	0	27
	Lake	1	0	0	97	0	0	1
	Summit	6	1	0	388	0	0	10
	<i>Total</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1,296</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>38</i>
6	Archuleta	4	0	0	123	0	1	26
	La Plata	5	1	0	502	0	1	6
	San Juan	0	0	0	34	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>659</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>32</i>
7	Delta	4	1	0	257	0	0	0
	Gunnison	2	0	0	136	0	0	2
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	0	397	0	0	1
	Ouray	1	0	0	54	0	0	0
	San Miguel	2	0	0	126	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>974</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and			Goods Sold and		Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien
		Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud	Delivered				
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Jefferson	29	20	15	5	13	7	2	
	<i>Total</i>	29	20	15	5	13	7	2	
2	Denver	61	52	68	45	157	75	4	
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	61	52	68	45	157	75	4	
3	Huerfano	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Las Animas	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	
4	El Paso	16	53	19	23	21	1	0	
	Teller	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	18	53	21	23	21	1	0	
5	Clear Creek	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Eagle	2	6	3	0	5	1	2	
	Lake	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
	Summit	4	2	0	1	5	0	2	
	<i>Total</i>	7	9	4	1	10	2	4	
6	Archuleta	2	1	0	1	3	0	0	
	La Plata	2	9	0	1	2	0	0	
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	4	10	0	2	5	0	0	
7	Delta	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	Gunnison	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Montrose	2	3	2	2	4	0	0	
	Ouray	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	
	San Miguel	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	4	12	3	3	6	0	0	

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Motion to Approve							
		Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money	Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change	Negligence	Note	
1	Gilpin	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	
	Jefferson	16	37	262	7	27	40	9	
	Total	16	37	268	7	28	40	9	
2	Denver	89	97	387	30	61	121	89	
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	89	97	387	30	61	121	89	
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	
	Las Animas	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	
	Total	0	1	1	4	3	2	0	
4	El Paso	28	35	292	40	39	75	18	
	Teller	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	
	Total	28	35	301	40	39	75	18	
5	Clear Creek	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	Eagle	1	8	20	0	3	10	0	
	Lake	0	0	3	1	0	2	0	
	Summit	0	13	20	0	0	3	0	
	Total	2	21	45	1	3	15	0	
6	Archuleta	0	1	5	0	2	1	0	
	La Plata	2	2	22	1	3	5	0	
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Total	2	3	28	1	5	6	0	
7	Delta	0	0	20	0	3	4	2	
	Gunnison	2	0	5	0	0	6	0	
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Montrose	1	4	26	2	1	2	2	
	Ouray	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	
	San Miguel	0	0	7	1	0	4	0	
	Total	3	4	59	4	4	17	4	

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Property Damage
1	Gilpin	2	1	3	4	0	1	0
	Jefferson	121	29	99	270	6	25	7
	Total	123	30	102	274	6	26	7
2	Denver	199	120	268	1,023	95	137	2
	Denver Probate*	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	201	120	268	1,023	95	137	2
3	Huerfano	3	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Las Animas	6	2	2	6	0	0	1
	Total	9	2	3	7	0	0	1
4	El Paso	203	43	112	584	3	12	6
	Teller	7	2	5	9	0	1	0
	Total	210	45	117	593	3	13	6
5	Clear Creek	4	0	2	3	0	1	1
	Eagle	15	6	17	15	4	5	3
	Lake	5	1	0	0	1	1	0
	Summit	12	2	25	15	1	2	0
	Total	36	9	44	33	6	9	4
6	Archuleta	1	1	4	3	0	0	0
	La Plata	10	5	6	9	1	3	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	11	6	10	12	1	3	1
7	Delta	9	1	4	6	0	0	0
	Gunnison	7	0	3	1	1	4	1
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	14	0	5	4	1	0	0
	Ouray	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	San Miguel	3	0	2	1	0	0	0
	Total	35	1	14	13	2	4	1

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Protection Order	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	1	0	3	0
	Jefferson	0	1	0	31	23	30	10
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	32	23	33	10
2	Denver	7	91	0	36	66	22	33
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	7	91	0	36	66	22	33
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	1	1	8	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	1	16	2
4	El Paso	2	37	0	34	16	22	30
	Teller	0	2	0	1	3	6	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	39	0	35	19	28	30
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	2	1	4	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	2	11	10	3
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	4	1
	Summit	0	0	0	0	1	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	4	13	22	4
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	3	14	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	5	3	6	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	5	6	20	0
7	Delta	1	0	0	4	1	9	1
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	1	2	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Montrose	1	0	0	6	5	9	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
	San Miguel	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	11	7	23	7

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Rule 120 Deed of		Sexual	Special District	Specific	Unemployment	Wages
		Trust	Services Rendered	Harassment		Performance	Compensation	
1	Gilpin	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	253	3	2	3	1	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>259</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>
2	Denver	316	61	0	7	4	0	30
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>316</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>30</i>
3	Huerfano	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	457	10	0	13	1	1	9
	Teller	22	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>479</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9</i>
5	Clear Creek	7	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Eagle	38	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Lake	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	19	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>
6	Archuleta	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	28	0	0	1	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montrose	20	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Ouray	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total	Total minus Distant and Rule 120 Cases
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	120	31
	Jefferson	1	9	3	2	8,658	1,543
	<i>Total</i>	1	10	3	2	8,778	1,574
2	Denver	68	15	23	14	25,940	4,708
	Denver Probate*	0	0	0	0	21	21
	<i>Total</i>	68	15	23	14	25,961	4,729
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	90	29
	Las Animas	0	6	1	0	208	52
	<i>Total</i>	0	7	1	0	298	81
4	El Paso	0	25	10	4	10,563	2,356
	Teller	0	0	0	0	329	68
	<i>Total</i>	0	25	10	4	10,892	2,424
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	129	29
	Eagle	0	1	0	0	992	236
	Lake	0	0	0	0	128	28
	Summit	0	0	0	2	558	151
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	2	1,807	444
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	213	81
	La Plata	0	1	0	1	684	154
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	35	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	1	932	236
7	Delta	0	1	0	0	365	88
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	204	59
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	8	4
	Montrose	0	0	1	1	538	121
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	80	21
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	171	40
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	2	2	1,366	333

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easements	County Court or Municipal Appeal
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	144	3	0	3	0	0	15
	<i>Total</i>	144	3	0	3	0	0	15
9	Garfield	26	0	0	0	2	0	4
	Pitkin	22	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Blanco	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	52	1	0	0	2	0	7
10	Pueblo	62	0	0	0	0	0	23
11	Chaffee	13	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Fremont	10	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Park	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	25	1	0	0	0	0	10
12	Alamosa	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Saguache	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	19	0	0	0	1	0	8
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Logan	4	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Morgan	6	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Washington	2	0	0	9	0	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	13	0	0	15	0	0	6
14	Grand	8	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Moffat	2	0	0	7	0	0	2
	Routt	8	0	0	1	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	18	0	0	8	0	0	7

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Disclaimer	Distrain Warrant	Employment Discrimination	Extreme Risk	Foreclosure Other Than Rule 120
8	Jackson	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
	Larimer	13	0	0	3,108	2	3	29
	<i>Total</i>	13	0	0	3,117	2	3	29
9	Garfield	1	0	0	854	1	1	7
	Pitkin	12	0	0	239	2	1	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	65	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	13	0	0	1,158	3	2	9
10	Pueblo	1	0	0	2,092	1	0	14
11	Chaffee	2	0	0	152	1	0	0
	Custer	2	0	0	40	0	0	0
	Fremont	3	0	0	448	1	0	0
	Park	1	0	0	188	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	0	828	2	0	5
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	150	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	85	0	0	2
	Costilla	0	0	0	31	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	92	0	0	0
	Saguache	1	0	0	54	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	417	0	1	2
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	63	0	0	0
	Logan	2	0	0	171	0	0	1
	Morgan	0	0	0	391	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	32	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	36	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	104	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	811	0	0	1
14	Grand	4	0	0	201	0	1	16
	Moffat	0	0	0	173	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	243	0	1	9
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	0	617	0	2	25

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

JudicialDistrict	Court Location	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	6	21	7	12	16	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	21	7	12	16	1	0
9	Garfield	2	2	1	2	2	0	0
	Pitkin	2	4	2	0	6	0	2
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	7	3	2	8	0	2
10	Pueblo	3	11	4	7	17	1	1
11	Chaffee	0	2	3	0	0	0	0
	Custer	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	2	1	1	7	0	0
	Park	0	1	1	0	2	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	5	6	1	9	1	0
	Alamosa	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
12	Conejos	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	2	1	2	2	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	11	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Morgan	2	0	1	2	17	0	0
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	13	5	2	3	18	0	0	
14	Grand	0	2	2	0	2	0	0
	Moffat	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Routt	3	2	2	1	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	5	5	1	3	0	0

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

JudicialDistrict	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement							Note
		Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money	Name Change	Negligence			
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Larimer	8	16	144	15	28	25	5	
	<i>Total</i>	8	16	144	15	28	25	5	
9	Garfield	0	2	42	4	2	3	0	
	Pitkin	1	5	19	0	0	5	1	
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	1	7	62	4	2	8	1	
10	Pueblo	5	5	74	4	6	9	3	
11	Chaffee	0	0	14	0	0	2	0	
	Custer	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	Fremont	0	0	28	2	0	3	0	
	Park	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	47	2	0	6	0	
	Alamosa	0	0	10	0	1	2	0	
12	Conejos	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	
	Costilla	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rio Grande	1	0	3	1	4	1	0	
	Saguache	2	0	4	0	0	1	0	
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	21	3	6	4	0	
13	Kit Carson	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	
	Logan	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	
	Morgan	0	2	11	1	1	1	1	
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
	Sedgwick	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	Washington	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	
	Yuma	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	29	3	6	6	1	
14	Grand	0	1	8	0	0	4	0	
	Moffat	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	
	Routt	0	2	18	0	2	2	0	
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	29	1	2	7	0	

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

JudicialDistrict	Court Location	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Property Damage
8	Jackson	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	43	21	64	153	7	6	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>2</i>
9	Garfield	10	4	5	13	0	1	0
	Pitkin	14	3	10	4	2	2	2
	Rio Blanco	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>
10	Pueblo	50	2	38	140	2	9	1
11	Chaffee	3	2	2	4	1	0	0
	Custer	4	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Fremont	5	1	4	11	0	1	0
	Park	6	0	3	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
	Alamosa	8	0	3	6	0	1	0
12	Conejos	3	0	3	4	0	0	0
	Costilla	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Mineral	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	2	0	1	2	0	1	0
	Saguache	30	0	1	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
	Kit Carson	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
13	Logan	24	1	1	3	0	0	0
	Morgan	2	1	3	7	1	0	0
	Phillips	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	5	0	2	5	0	0	2
	Moffat	2	0	1	0	0	1	0
	Routt	8	1	9	10	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

JudicialDistrict	Court Location	Protection Order	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin	Review - Other	Rule 105 Quiet	Rule 106 - Writs /
						Local Government / Person	Title	Contempt
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	0	19	0	15	18	14	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	19	0	15	18	14	5
9	Garfield	0	0	0	3	5	6	2
	Pitkin	0	0	0	1	3	3	2
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	4	8	11	4
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	12	7	12	6
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	2	1	4	11
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	4	1	7	58
	Park	0	0	0	1	0	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	7	2	19	69
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	4	1	4	1
	Conejos	0	0	0	2	0	3	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	1	0	3	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	9	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	7	1	21	3
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Logan	0	0	0	1	1	2	36
	Morgan	1	0	0	2	1	1	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	3	1	2	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	7	3	9	36
14	Grand	2	0	0	0	1	5	1
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	3	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	0	5	11	1

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

JudicialDistrict	Court Location	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	112	1	0	9	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	112	1	0	9	1	0	7
9	Garfield	37	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Pitkin	10	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Rio Blanco	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	60	0	0	1	2	0	1
10	Pueblo	203	0	0	0	2	0	0
11	Chaffee	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	6	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	40	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Park	22	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	75	1	0	0	1	0	2
12	Alamosa	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	12	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	44	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	14	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Morgan	25	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Phillips	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	60	0	0	1	1	0	1
14	Grand	13	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Moffat	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	51	0	0	1	0	0	0

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total	Total minus Distant and Rule 120 Cases
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	14	5
	Larimer	0	1	6	1	4,127	907
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	6	1	4,141	912
9	Garfield	1	3	0	0	1,050	159
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	386	137
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	92	14
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	1	0	1,528	310
10	Pueblo	0	1	2	1	2,831	536
11	Chaffee	0	32	0	0	259	100
	Custer	0	0	0	0	66	20
	Fremont	0	355	1	0	1,001	513
	Park	0	0	0	0	246	36
	<i>Total</i>	0	387	1	0	1,572	669
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	216	50
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	120	28
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	50	17
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	14	8
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	132	28
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	120	60
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	0	652	191
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	93	22
	Logan	0	6	0	1	292	107
	Morgan	0	0	2	1	485	69
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	46	9
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	20	6
	Washington	0	3	0	0	66	26
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	127	19
	<i>Total</i>	0	9	3	2	1,129	258
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	288	74
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	227	26
	Routt	0	0	0	0	345	92
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	860	192

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Condemnation	Confirm Arbitration Award	Conservation Easements	County Court or Municipal Appeal
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	5	0	0	1	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	6	0	0	1	0	0	3
17	Adams	183	2	7	13	4	0	50
	Broomfield	18	1	0	0	236	0	6
	<i>Total</i>	201	3	7	13	240	0	56
18	Arapahoe	352	11	0	3	3	0	49
	Douglas	119	2	0	1	4	0	13
	Elbert	15	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Lincoln	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	487	13	0	4	7	0	65
19	Weld	103	3	0	37	0	0	22
20	Boulder	170	2	2	5	2	0	32
21	Mesa	25	0	0	2	0	0	14
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	3	0	0	1	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	0	1	0	0	6
<i>State Total</i>		2,702	41	35	101	290	1	517
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		3%	0.04%	0.03%	0.10%	0.28%	0.001%	0%

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Determination of Interests	Disclaimer	Distraint Warrant	Employment Discrimination	Extreme Risk	Foreclosure Other Than Rule 120
15	Baca	0	0	0	32	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	13	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	0	0	128	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>183</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	51	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	39	0	0	1
	Otero	1	0	0	175	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>265</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>
17	Adams	20	1	0	9,136	3	2	80
	Broomfield	2	1	0	681	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9,817</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>83</i>
18	Arapahoe	28	1	0	9,640	5	2	168
	Douglas	15	1	0	2,912	6	5	75
	Elbert	1	0	0	230	0	0	10
	Lincoln	0	0	0	59	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12,841</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>254</i>
19	Weld	17	0	0	4,543	7	5	27
20	Boulder	18	2	0	2,902	4	0	29
21	Mesa	10	1	0	1,548	1	0	9
22	Dolores	0	0	0	36	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	0	0	281	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>317</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>370</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>80,431</i>	<i>76</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>796</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.35%</i>	<i>0.02%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>77%</i>	<i>0.073%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Fraud	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief	Landlord-Tenant	Lien
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	27	21	5	17	9	8	3
	Broomfield	5	6	3	2	8	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>4</i>
18	Arapahoe	57	45	15	20	17	11	3
	Douglas	24	29	12	9	20	11	3
	Elbert	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>7</i>
19	Weld	12	13	4	8	15	2	3
20	Boulder	18	10	7	9	8	8	1
21	Mesa	1	7	4	3	6	0	1
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>308</i>	<i>349</i>	<i>190</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>372</i>	<i>129</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.29%</i>	<i>0.334%</i>	<i>0.18%</i>	<i>0.17%</i>	<i>0.36%</i>	<i>0.12%</i>	<i>0.03%</i>

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement							Note
		Malpractice	Mechanics Lien	Money	Name Change	Negligence			
15	Baca	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Prowers	0	0	5	0	0	2	1	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	
16	Bent	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	Crowley	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
	Otero	0	0	4	0	5	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	
17	Adams	5	29	224	15	30	35	17	
	Broomfield	2	1	26	0	2	9	1	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>250</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>18</i>	
18	Arapahoe	26	17	421	17	21	64	27	
	Douglas	3	16	170	8	11	25	7	
	Elbert	0	2	7	1	1	0	2	
	Lincoln	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>599</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>36</i>	
19	Weld	4	19	130	7	10	21	7	
20	Boulder	14	17	118	4	30	43	6	
21	Mesa	4	0	64	6	13	11	0	
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	Montezuma	1	1	7	3	1	1	0	
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	
<i>State Total</i>		<i>216</i>	<i>338</i>	<i>2,678</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>318</i>	<i>553</i>	<i>198</i>	
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.21%</i>	<i>0.32%</i>	<i>3%</i>	<i>0.18%</i>	<i>0.30%</i>	<i>0.53%</i>	<i>0.19%</i>	

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Other	Out of State Subpoena	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Conviction	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Property Damage
15	Baca	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	3	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	7	1	0	0	0	1	0
16	Bent	10	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	2	0	1	0
	Otero	6	0	0	4	0	1	0
	Total	17	0	0	7	0	2	0
17	Adams	86	10	95	345	12	14	1
	Broomfield	36	13	14	41	2	5	0
	Total	122	23	109	386	14	19	1
18	Arapahoe	175	73	158	577	20	36	3
	Douglas	43	26	48	177	6	8	1
	Elbert	5	1	1	2	1	1	0
	Lincoln	17	0	3	1	0	0	0
	Total	240	100	210	757	27	45	4
19	Weld	53	8	31	155	4	4	4
20	Boulder	92	36	89	262	7	18	2
21	Mesa	24	18	12	33	12	7	0
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	17	0	1	6	0	0	0
	Total	18	0	1	6	0	0	0
State Total		1,441	436	1,163	3,931	191	311	40
Percent of State Total		1.38%	0.42%	1%	4%	0.18%	0%	0.04%

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Protection Order	Public Nuisance	Public Utilities	Replevin	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt
15	Baca	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	1	0	1	8
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	3	31
	Otero	0	0	0	2	1	13	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>39</i>
17	Adams	0	27	0	38	16	13	9
	Broomfield	0	2	0	3	4	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>9</i>
18	Arapahoe	2	7	0	42	27	7	9
	Douglas	1	0	0	16	6	8	5
	Elbert	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	2	2	7
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>21</i>
19	Weld	0	0	0	34	10	19	1
20	Boulder	0	1	0	14	8	13	2
21	Mesa	2	1	0	12	7	7	1
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	1	1	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>19</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>342</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>356</i>	<i>283</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.02%</i>	<i>0.18%</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0.33%</i>	<i>0.25%</i>	<i>0.34%</i>	<i>0.27%</i>

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Services Rendered	Sexual Harassment	Special District	Specific Performance	Unemployment Compensation	Wages
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	8	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	14	1	0	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	6	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	22	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	29	0	1	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	376	6	0	33	2	0	2
	Broomfield	20	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	396	6	0	33	2	0	3
18	Arapahoe	326	14	1	19	1	0	13
	Douglas	123	3	0	1	1	0	1
	Elbert	15	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Lincoln	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	472	17	1	22	2	0	14
19	Weld	191	1	0	22	0	0	2
20	Boulder	65	3	2	7	1	0	7
21	Mesa	122	1	0	3	0	0	0
22	Dolores	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	17	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	19	0	0	0	0	0	1
<i>State Total</i>		3,151	107	6	128	18	1	84
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		3%	0.10%	0.006%	0.12%	0.02%	0.001%	0.08%

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 17:
District Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Workman's Compensation	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Total	Total minus Distrant and Rule 120 Cases
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	45	11
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	21	6
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	13	1
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	161	25
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>43</i>
16	Bent	0	10	0	0	93	36
	Crowley	0	17	0	0	101	61
	Otero	0	0	0	0	250	53
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>150</i>
17	Adams	0	3	5	4	11,043	1,531
	Broomfield	0	1	1	0	1,160	459
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>12,203</i>	<i>1,990</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	10	5	12,548	2,582
	Douglas	0	1	3	0	3,979	944
	Elbert	0	0	1	1	307	62
	Lincoln	0	4	1	0	111	44
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>16,945</i>	<i>3,632</i>
19	Weld	0	0	2	0	5,560	826
20	Boulder	1	2	4	2	4,099	1,132
21	Mesa	0	1	0	0	1,993	323
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	42	4
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	359	61
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>401</i>	<i>65</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>71</i>	<i>500</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>104,632</i>	<i>21,050</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.07%</i>	<i>0.48%</i>	<i>0.08%</i>	<i>0.04%</i>	<i>100%</i>	

*Denver Probate Court handles Extreme Risk Protection Orders (ERPO) along with Denver District Court.

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Bail	Bribery-	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Driving	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Fugitive	
				Violation	Tampering				Under the Influence					from Justice	
1	Gilpin	0	7	0	0	8	0	2	0	9	53	0	5	4	10
	Jefferson	17	510	25	31	228	16	87	0	95	1,286	112	101	10	106
	Total	17	517	25	31	236	16	89	0	104	1,339	112	106	14	116
2	Denver	9	650	30	30	425	17	97	4	56	1,711	219	80	80	108
3	Huerfano	3	23	19	0	30	3	1	0	11	90	7	0	4	11
	Las Animas	0	31	0	0	25	1	5	0	4	60	2	2	2	28
	Total	3	54	19	0	55	4	6	0	15	150	9	2	6	39
4	El Paso	32	900	2	321	632	26	182	12	159	1,566	297	105	45	228
	Teller	1	28	0	1	14	1	5	0	10	81	0	4	2	19
	Total	33	928	2	322	646	27	187	12	169	1,647	297	109	47	247
5	Clear Creek	0	21	4	2	11	0	2	0	13	22	1	0	1	8
	Eagle	0	35	15	1	28	0	6	0	17	73	3	9	4	13
	Lake	0	12	4	0	8	0	3	0	1	14	1	0	0	0
	Summit	0	44	24	0	21	0	12	0	19	36	0	9	4	10
	Total	0	112	47	3	68	0	23	0	50	145	5	18	9	31
6	Archuleta	0	16	22	0	7	0	3	0	4	13	0	2	0	6
	La Plata	3	57	45	0	48	3	15	2	33	78	8	6	3	78
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	73	67	0	55	3	18	2	37	91	8	8	3	84
7	Delta	3	30	13	1	29	3	6	1	10	104	4	6	4	5
	Gunnison	0	13	9	0	8	0	8	0	4	23	1	1	3	13
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	3	50	55	1	19	3	5	2	16	151	12	13	1	19
	Montrose-Nucla	0	5	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Ouray	0	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	2
	San Miguel	0	16	1	0	4	0	0	0	4	24	1	1	0	4
Total	6	116	82	2	64	6	19	3	36	303	18	23	8	43	

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Habitual		Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Obstruction of Public				
		Gambling	Traffic						Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	7	0	1	3	0	13	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	5	53	76	0	32	181	24	271	0	0	0
	Total	1	5	53	83	0	33	184	24	284	0	0	0
2	Denver	0	0	96	37	0	50	223	19	106	0	0	4
	Total	0	0	96	37	0	50	223	19	106	0	0	4
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	5	0	2	12	9	18	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	8	5	1	4	23	0	28	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	9	10	1	6	35	9	46	0	0	0
4	El Paso	0	0	132	40	2	113	410	61	290	1	1	4
	Teller	1	0	0	9	0	2	20	1	39	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	132	49	2	115	430	62	329	1	1	4
5	Clear Creek	0	0	1	6	0	1	5	0	8	0	1	0
	Eagle	0	0	1	25	0	2	21	4	19	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	0	8	2	0	0
	Summit	0	0	1	7	0	4	13	4	13	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	3	39	0	8	45	8	48	2	1	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	5	0	0	11	1	7	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	4	30	0	6	23	2	29	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	5	35	0	6	36	3	37	0	0	0
7	Delta	0	0	3	10	0	2	7	6	27	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	4	27	0	6	28	6	15	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	6	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	7	39	0	9	44	14	54	0	0	0

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Sex Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	4	2	0	33	14	0	0	5	181
	Jefferson	0	0	42	104	193	4	778	155	13	1	56	4,612
	Total	0	0	42	108	195	4	811	169	13	1	61	4,793
2	Denver	0	0	51	155	163	0	479	117	9	5	162	5,192
3	Huerfano	0	0	3	2	6	0	20	14	2	0	5	301
	Las Animas	0	0	0	5	2	2	32	19	2	0	9	300
	Total	0	0	3	7	8	2	52	33	4	0	14	601
4	El Paso	1	0	163	170	268	8	1,193	283	32	3	228	7,910
	Teller	0	0	0	1	11	4	18	5	0	0	13	290
	Total	1	0	163	171	279	12	1,211	288	32	3	241	8,200
5	Clear Creek	0	0	3	0	2	0	10	5	0	1	3	131
	Eagle	0	0	6	0	10	1	18	16	6	0	4	337
	Lake	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	2	0	5	75
	Summit	0	1	12	4	15	0	43	22	3	1	0	322
	Total	0	1	21	4	29	3	72	45	11	2	12	865
6	Archuleta	0	0	3	0	1	3	19	4	3	0	4	135
	La Plata	0	0	7	7	21	0	49	24	4	1	9	595
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	0	0	10	7	22	3	69	28	7	1	13	734
7	Delta	0	0	7	2	8	0	32	19	1	1	11	355
	Gunnison	0	0	1	0	4	0	6	1	0	1	6	108
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	12	10	11	1	47	28	1	1	9	556
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	20
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	22
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	4	1	0	1	79
Total	0	0	20	12	27	1	95	53	3	3	30	1,140	

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Driving Under the Influence													Fugitive from Justice
		Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Drugs	Escape	Forgery	Fraud		
8	Jackson	0	4	8	0	6	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	5	268	121	47	212	6	51	0	107	796	103	39	2	92
	Total	5	272	129	47	218	7	52	0	108	798	103	39	2	93
9	Garfield	0	80	8	2	43	6	35	1	34	195	1	11	4	21
	Pitkin	0	10	8	0	7	1	2	0	6	15	0	0	0	3
	Rio Blanco	0	7	1	0	3	1	2	0	8	12	0	0	0	11
	Total	0	97	17	2	53	8	39	1	48	222	1	11	4	35
10	Pueblo	9	269	25	6	228	12	50	0	37	429	102	33	4	64
11	Chaffee	0	47	1	0	29	0	7	2	8	63	1	5	1	5
	Custer	0	3	0	0	19	2	0	0	0	5	1	1	1	3
	Fremont	5	112	1	0	77	3	12	0	24	184	1	13	5	21
	Park	0	12	0	0	8	1	2	0	10	18	0	1	0	10
	Total	5	174	2	0	133	6	21	2	42	270	3	20	7	39
12	Alamosa	0	44	5	0	46	1	7	0	10	91	32	6	5	15
	Conejos	2	10	8	0	12	0	0	0	5	11	3	3	1	3
	Costilla	0	7	0	0	13	0	0	0	3	3	0	2	0	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	17	3	0	25	2	2	0	2	33	0	3	1	8
	Saguache	1	16	3	0	16	0	1	0	0	11	7	1	0	4
	Total	4	94	19	0	112	3	10	0	20	150	42	15	7	33
13	Kit Carson	1	7	5	0	2	0	1	0	7	9	0	1	0	13
	Logan	0	75	52	16	46	0	6	0	10	130	94	7	3	18
	Morgan	3	49	43	0	32	2	7	0	16	58	1	10	2	18
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	1
	Sedgwick	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	3
	Washington	0	3	1	0	3	1	2	0	0	13	0	0	0	3
	Yuma	0	4	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	21	0	0	0	3
	Total	4	143	108	16	85	3	17	0	35	238	95	19	5	59
14	Grand	0	24	0	0	6	1	4	0	5	28	1	2	1	1
	Moffat	1	25	13	1	14	0	1	0	7	99	7	3	1	5
	Routt	0	26	15	1	17	1	2	1	6	34	0	2	5	5
	Total	1	75	28	2	37	2	7	1	18	161	8	7	7	11

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Obstruction of Public Justice											
		Habitual Gambling	Habitual Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	18	138	0	6	113	35	144	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>145</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>
9	Garfield	0	1	0	44	0	0	42	0	35	1	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	13	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	6	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	0	32	80	0	20	136	27	71	0	0	0
	Chaffee	0	1	3	3	0	0	7	0	39	0	0	0
11	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	16	3	0	6	38	1	34	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	3	1	0	1	11	0	20	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
	Alamosa	0	0	4	7	2	3	15	0	44	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	5	3	0	0	8	0	16	0	0	0
12	Costilla	0	1	1	1	0	0	7	0	13	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	3	0	2	9	0	2	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	3	1	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	3	2	0	3	15	8	15	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	4	9	0	1	17	0	51	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	7	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	2	3	0	1	4	0	5	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
	14	Grand	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	2	2	0	0
Moffat		0	0	0	2	0	2	15	3	7	0	0	0
Routt		0	0	3	4	0	2	17	3	5	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	5	38
	Larimer	0	0	68	23	84	7	366	115	13	5	36	3,022
	Total	0	0	69	23	88	7	366	116	13	5	41	3,060
9	Garfield	0	0	6	5	37	0	56	32	4	1	14	719
	Pitkin	0	0	0	3	5	1	14	5	0	0	0	98
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	4	1	4	1	0	0	0	67
	Total	0	0	6	9	46	2	74	38	4	1	14	884
10	Pueblo	0	0	55	61	68	0	331	99	10	2	53	2,313
	Chaffee	0	0	1	0	3	0	20	9	0	1	5	261
11	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	1	57
	Fremont	0	0	9	2	26	2	45	37	0	0	29	706
	Park	0	0	0	0	2	1	10	1	0	0	3	115
	Total	0	0	10	2	32	3	86	47	0	1	38	1,139
	Alamosa	0	0	3	2	12	0	20	13	2	0	5	394
12	Conejos	0	0	0	1	13	0	3	6	2	0	2	117
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	9	2	0	2	75
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Rio Grande	0	0	20	2	7	0	17	7	0	0	1	168
	Saguache	0	0	5	1	4	0	15	4	0	1	0	102
	Total	0	0	28	6	38	1	61	39	6	1	10	858
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	8	0	15	2	0	0	2	80
	Logan	0	0	8	3	23	1	30	12	4	0	14	598
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	11	2	24	16	1	0	10	387
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	11	0	1	1	0	0	0	25
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	27	0	3	1	0	1	0	49
	Washington	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	45
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	1	0	0	0	60
	Total	0	0	8	6	83	3	81	38	5	1	26	1,244
	14	Grand	0	0	2	0	9	0	9	5	1	0	1
Moffat		0	0	3	2	10	5	18	17	3	0	8	272
Routt		0	0	8	0	5	0	24	8	1	0	6	201
Total		0	0	13	2	24	5	51	30	5	0	15	585

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Case Type												Fugitive from Justice	
		Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Bribery-Tampering	Burglary	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs	Escape	Forgery		Fraud
15	Baca	0	5	1	0	6	0	1	0	0	5	0	1	0	7
	Cheyenne	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	14	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	1	22	4	9	23	0	4	0	10	67	12	3	2	19
	Total	1	30	7	9	33	0	5	0	10	93	12	5	2	26
16	Bent	0	10	0	0	11	0	2	0	6	11	13	3	2	6
	Crowley	1	12	3	0	8	0	1	0	2	12	67	6	0	0
	Otero	0	34	4	5	32	4	1	1	3	58	4	8	2	11
	Total	1	56	7	5	51	4	4	1	11	81	84	17	4	17
17	Adams	12	556	35	12	293	14	84	1	135	1,412	86	92	11	133
	Broomfield	0	46	4	2	56	1	8	0	5	146	1	13	18	13
	Total	12	602	39	14	349	15	92	1	140	1,558	87	105	29	146
18	Arapahoe	14	515	36	6	231	10	38	1	55	1,016	87	64	15	131
	Douglas	3	127	19	47	76	3	24	0	46	384	13	23	14	59
	Elbert	1	10	2	0	8	0	1	0	7	23	0	4	1	2
	Lincoln	1	14	9	1	2	0	0	0	1	18	32	1	1	9
	Total	19	666	66	54	317	13	63	1	109	1,441	132	92	31	201
19	Weld	7	287	13	162	206	10	52	0	79	861	87	33	11	73
20	Boulder	11	269	79	40	259	6	62	1	50	439	17	26	21	50
21	Mesa	8	195	45	17	178	8	41	0	46	576	33	23	19	39
22	Dolores	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	59	43	0	43	3	7	0	8	48	0	8	6	35
	Total	2	60	44	0	45	3	7	0	8	50	0	8	6	35
State Total		160	5,739	900	762	3,853	173	961	29	1,228	12,753	1,474	799	326	1,589
Percent of State Total		0.31%	11%	2%	1.48%	7%	0.34%	2%	0.06%	2.39%	25%	3%	2%	1%	3%

**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Habitual							Obstruction of Public				
		Gambling	Traffic	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping	Menacing	Justice	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Prostitution
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	2	0	1	10	7	15	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	3	2	0	1	12	8	17	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	2	1	0	1	14	1	1	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0
	Otero	0	2	3	9	0	3	26	6	4	0	0	0
	Total	0	2	6	10	0	4	41	10	6	0	0	0
17	Adams	0	2	60	98	1	35	219	13	275	0	0	0
	Broomfield	0	0	2	30	0	3	14	0	40	0	0	1
	Total	0	2	62	128	1	38	233	13	315	0	0	1
18	Arapahoe	0	1	103	46	0	28	148	6	177	0	0	3
	Douglas	0	1	8	31	0	6	64	8	73	1	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	1	2	0	5	0	14	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	2	111	79	2	34	221	16	264	1	0	3
19	Weld	0	0	32	19	0	36	106	1	234	0	0	0
20	Boulder	0	0	15	50	1	24	132	16	95	0	0	0
21	Mesa	0	0	13	67	0	17	86	18	91	2	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	4	6	0	3	19	0	19	1	0	0
	Total	0	1	4	6	0	3	20	0	19	1	0	0
State Total		2	15	651	961	9	435	2,331	301	2,488	8	2	14
Percent of State Total		0.00%	0%	1%	2%	0.02%	1%	5%	0.59%	5%	0.02%	0.00%	0.03%


**Table 18:
District Court Criminal Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Public Indecency	Abuse of Public Office	Public Peace and Order	Robbery	Sex Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	29
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	15
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	4	35
	Prowers	0	0	14	2	3	0	23	16	1	0	17	288
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>367</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	1	5	0	7	3	0	0	2	102
	Crowley	0	0	2	2	3	1	7	0	1	0	0	134
	Otero	0	1	13	5	9	0	26	20	1	1	7	303
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>539</i>
17	Adams	0	0	95	79	131	9	647	184	20	7	95	4,846
	Broomfield	0	0	1	10	12	1	135	15	1	0	3	581
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>96</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>143</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>782</i>	<i>199</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>98</i>	<i>5,427</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	14	98	148	2	591	92	23	6	104	3,809
	Douglas	0	0	33	13	34	0	239	40	7	3	19	1,418
	Elbert	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	4	1	0	2	100
	Lincoln	0	0	3	0	4	0	10	2	0	0	1	116
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>843</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>126</i>	<i>5,443</i>
19	Weld	0	0	45	47	143	3	365	115	10	3	55	3,095
20	Boulder	0	0	80	47	107	4	358	113	3	0	19	2,394
21	Mesa	0	0	51	37	61	16	198	134	5	0	61	2,085
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	1	14
	Montezuma	0	0	9	7	15	3	36	14	3	1	3	406
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>420</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>860</i>	<i>924</i>	<i>1,782</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>6,495</i>	<i>1,894</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>1,124</i>	<i>51,378</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.00%</i>	<i>0.00%</i>	<i>1.67%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>3%</i>	<i>0.17%</i>	<i>13%</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>0.39%</i>	<i>0.09%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>100%</i>

**Table 19:
DUI-Felony Filings by District
Fiscal Year 2016 Through Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	Totals
1	111	137	176	153	104	681
2	88	90	60	62	56	356
3	3	12	12	12	15	54
4	112	133	142	139	169	695
5	45	48	52	70	50	265
6	17	40	35	22	37	151
7	31	42	46	44	36	199
8	80	80	121	142	108	531
9	28	39	35	52	48	202
10	29	32	28	33	37	159
11	13	22	18	25	42	120
12	17	15	22	18	20	92
13	24	38	20	24	35	141
14	10	16	33	18	18	95
15	10	10	5	4	10	39
16	5	3	13	4	11	36
17	169	164	170	143	140	786
18	185	141	125	126	109	686
19	55	112	87	76	79	409
20	58	74	56	65	50	303
21	34	49	49	55	46	233
22	8	19	19	29	8	83
State Totals	1,132	1,316	1,324	1,316	1,228	6,316

**TABLE 19
DUI-FELONY FILINGS
FISCAL YEAR 2016 THROUGH FISCAL YEAR 2020**



**Table 20:
District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody Allocation of Parental Rsp	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidation	Civil Union Legal Separation	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
		IV-D											
1	Gilpin	0	3	0	0	0	24	0	1	1	0	1	30
	Jefferson	8	454	4	0	1	1,991	65	32	76	27	33	2,691
	Total	8	457	4	0	1	2,015	65	33	77	27	34	2,721
2	Denver	0	792	12	1	0	2,857	81	56	118	95	17	4,029
3	Huerfano	0	7	1	0	1	24	1	0	0	0	2	36
	Las Animas	0	23	1	0	0	59	0	1	5	1	9	99
	Total	0	30	2	0	1	83	1	1	5	1	11	135
4	El Paso	1	712	8	0	0	3,966	218	39	152	21	75	5,192
	Teller	0	15	0	0	0	83	1	1	2	0	1	103
	Total	1	727	8	0	0	4,049	219	40	154	21	76	5,295
5	Clear Creek	0	3	0	0	0	32	0	0	2	0	0	37
	Eagle	0	52	3	0	0	186	2	1	9	0	1	254
	Lake	0	14	0	0	0	33	1	1	1	1	1	52
	Summit	2	17	0	0	0	97	0	1	4	1	0	122
	Total	2	86	3	0	0	348	3	3	16	2	2	465
6	Archuleta	0	18	0	0	0	55	3	1	3	0	0	80
	La Plata	0	34	0	0	1	226	1	3	14	1	7	287
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	52	0	0	1	283	4	4	17	1	7	369
7	Delta	0	36	1	0	0	154	3	2	6	0	1	203
	Gunnison	0	8	0	0	0	48	0	1	0	0	1	58
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Montrose	0	57	0	0	0	197	9	2	8	1	2	276
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	21
	San Miguel	1	4	0	0	0	27	0	0	1	0	1	34
	Total	1	107	1	0	0	452	12	5	15	1	5	599

**Table 20:
District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody Allocation of Parental Rsp	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidation	Civil Union Legal Separation	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidation of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
		IV-D											
8	Jackson	0	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Larimer	0	309	2	0	0	1,260	5	16	38	6	16	1,652
	Total	0	311	2	0	0	1,268	5	16	38	6	16	1,662
9	Garfield	0	84	0	0	0	283	20	5	8	0	1	401
	Pitkin	0	4	0	0	0	85	1	0	1	1	4	96
	Rio Blanco	0	9	0	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	1	36
	Total	0	97	0	0	0	393	22	5	9	1	6	533
10	Pueblo	0	271	1	0	0	662	28	7	18	2	27	1,016
	Chaffee	2	15	0	0	0	76	1	0	0	0	3	97
11	Custer	0	3	0	0	0	16	2	0	0	0	0	21
	Fremont	0	44	1	0	0	222	17	2	9	0	1	296
	Park	1	8	0	0	0	46	0	2	4	1	0	62
	Total	3	70	1	0	0	360	20	4	13	1	4	476
12	Alamosa	0	38	0	0	0	100	2	0	2	3	5	150
	Conejos	0	6	0	0	1	23	0	2	2	0	0	34
	Costilla	0	10	0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	20
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Rio Grande	0	23	1	0	1	45	0	0	0	1	3	74
	Saguache	0	9	0	0	0	33	2	1	0	0	2	47
	Total	0	86	1	0	2	212	4	3	6	4	10	328
13	Kit Carson	0	12	0	0	0	23	0	0	2	1	4	42
	Logan	1	30	0	0	0	80	1	1	3	0	4	120
	Morgan	0	58	0	0	0	119	0	0	3	1	1	182
	Phillips	0	5	0	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Sedgwick	1	4	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	16
	Washington	0	7	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	1	29
	Yuma	0	7	0	0	0	38	0	0	1	0	0	46
	Total	2	123	0	0	1	304	1	1	10	2	10	454
14	Grand	0	13	0	0	0	48	0	0	4	0	0	65
	Moffat	0	19	0	0	0	72	0	0	1	1	2	95
	Routt	1	14	0	0	0	94	4	0	2	0	1	116
	Total	1	46	0	0	0	214	4	0	7	1	3	276

**Table 20:
District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order IV-D	Child Custody Allocation of Parental Rsp	Civil Union Dissolution	Civil Union Invalidation	Civil Union Legal Separation	Dissolution of Marriage	Incoming Registration of Support	Invalidity of Marriage	Legal Separation	Other	Registration of Foreign Decree	Total
15	Baca	0	13	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	1	0	27
	Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	0	16
	Kiowa	0	5	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	13
	Prowers	0	28	0	0	0	67	5	1	3	0	0	104
	Total	0	49	0	0	0	97	9	1	3	1	0	160
16	Bent	0	9	0	0	0	27	2	0	0	0	0	38
	Crowley	1	3	0	0	0	10	3	0	0	0	0	17
	Otero	0	50	1	0	0	74	1	1	3	0	3	133
	Total	1	62	1	0	0	111	6	1	3	0	3	188
17	Adams	2	631	5	0	0	1,831	81	13	51	20	41	2,675
	Broomfield	0	33	0	1	0	253	3	2	15	14	3	324
	Total	2	664	5	1	0	2,084	84	15	66	34	44	2,999
18	Arapahoe	0	504	4	1	0	2,274	4	25	79	5	126	3,022
	Douglas	0	141	11	0	0	1,255	11	15	66	2	13	1,514
	Elbert	5	22	0	0	0	75	2	0	6	0	0	110
	Lincoln	0	7	2	0	0	21	1	0	0	0	0	31
	Total	5	674	17	1	0	3,625	18	40	151	7	139	4,677
19	Weld	66	401	1	0	0	1,299	0	12	23	5	12	1,819
20	Boulder	0	194	4	0	0	982	32	8	49	1	26	1,296
21	Mesa	0	177	2	0	0	755	1	14	10	2	52	1,013
22	Dolores	0	3	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	14
	Montezuma	1	31	0	1	0	128	4	2	3	0	3	173
	Total	1	34	0	1	0	139	4	2	3	0	3	187
State Total		93	5,510	65	4	6	22,592	623	271	811	215	507	30,697
Percent of State Total		0.30%	18%	0.21%	0.01%	0.02%	74%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	100%

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location							D&N
		Abandonment	Adoption	Administrative Exclusion	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	Expedited Placement
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Jefferson	0	223	4	0	3	73	108
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>224</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>109</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	180	0	15	1	93	171
3	Huerfano	0	6	0	0	0	5	5
	Las Animas	0	6	0	0	0	2	15
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>20</i>
4	El Paso	1	351	0	0	10	178	308
	Teller	0	10	0	1	0	12	10
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>361</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>190</i>	<i>318</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	32	0	0	0	6	2
	Eagle	0	3	0	0	0	2	5
	Lake	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Summit	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>10</i>
6	Archuleta	0	6	0	0	0	0	2
	La Plata	0	11	0	0	0	3	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>
7	Delta	0	13	0	0	0	5	16
	Gunnison	0	2	0	0	0	3	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	17	0	0	1	18	20
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>40</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other	Juvenile Relinquishment	Paternity	IV-D Paternity Order	Petition for Review of Need for Placement
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Jefferson	0	12	1	38	16	64	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	12	1	38	16	65	0
2	Denver Juvenile	1	0	21	5	30	202	0
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	1	1	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	1	9	0
4	El Paso	2	25	5	18	45	102	0
	Teller	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	26	5	18	47	102	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	21	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	1	7	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	21	1	15	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	16	0
	La Plata	0	0	1	1	3	3	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	1	4	19	2
7	Delta	0	0	0	2	2	4	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	0	0	11	2	6	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	13	4	10	1

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	IV-D Support				Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Non-JD+JD Total
		Support	Warrant	Order	Truancy			
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	3	3	6
	Jefferson	1	2	263	84	892	605	1,497
	Total	1	2	263	84	895	608	1,503
2	Denver Juvenile	1	0	332	453	1,505	896	2,401
3	Huerfano	1	0	19	0	41	30	71
	Las Animas	0	0	13	9	51	31	82
	Total	1	0	32	9	92	61	153
4	El Paso	0	0	231	70	1,346	1,272	2,618
	Teller	1	0	2	3	42	34	76
	Total	1	0	233	73	1,388	1,306	2,694
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	0	63	8	71
	Eagle	0	0	17	13	48	24	72
	Lake	1	0	0	0	11	10	21
	Summit	0	0	4	0	8	17	25
	Total	1	0	23	13	130	59	189
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	0	26	13	39
	La Plata	0	0	24	0	50	24	74
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	25	0	76	37	113
7	Delta	0	0	3	0	45	31	76
	Gunnison	0	0	3	0	10	7	17
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	41	0	120	37	157
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	2	4	6
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	3	10	13
Total	2	0	48	0	180	89	269	

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location							D&N
		Abandonment	Adoption	Administrative Exclusion	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	Expedited Placement
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	121	0	0	0	33	84
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>84</i>
9	Garfield	0	27	0	0	7	2	14
	Pitkin	0	3	0	0	0	2	3
	Rio Blanco	0	8	0	0	1	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>18</i>
10	Pueblo	0	64	1	0	2	15	79
11	Chaffee	0	10	0	0	0	6	2
	Custer	0	3	0	0	1	3	0
	Fremont	0	29	1	0	0	16	28
	Park	0	2	0	0	0	2	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>35</i>
12	Alamosa	0	34	0	0	0	12	19
	Conejos	0	9	0	0	0	7	5
	Costilla	0	3	0	0	0	2	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	11	0	0	0	6	9
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>38</i>
	Kit Carson	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Logan	0	20	0	0	1	15	16
	Morgan	0	30	0	0	0	21	26
13	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	5	0	0	0	4	7
	Yuma	0	6	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>58</i>
14	Grand	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
	Moffat	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
	Routt	0	3	0	0	0	2	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other	Juvenile Relinquishment	Paternity	IV-D Paternity Order	Petition for Review of Need for Placement
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	0	1	1	14	17	36	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>1</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	1	2	9	4	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	2	5	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	3	14	114	7
11	Chaffee	0	0	3	2	0	1	0
	Custer	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	1	0	2	8	7	0
	Park	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	1	2	11	0
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>2</i>
	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	2	3	0
	Logan	0	0	1	3	2	5	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	1	1	4	0
13	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>1</i>
	14	Grand	0	0	0	0	1	0
Moffat		0	0	1	6	1	1	0
Routt		0	0	0	1	1	0	0
<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	IV-D Support				Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Non-JD+JD Total
		Support	Warrant	Order	Truancy			
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	2	6	8
	Larimer	1	0	247	5	561	499	1,060
	Total	1	0	247	5	563	505	1,068
9	Garfield	15	0	34	0	115	134	249
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	15	16	31
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	15	13	28
	Total	15	0	34	1	145	163	308
10	Pueblo	1	0	250	120	670	203	873
11	Chaffee	3	0	3	11	41	27	68
	Custer	0	0	0	0	9	4	13
	Fremont	19	0	37	10	159	80	239
	Park	1	0	6	2	20	21	41
	Total	23	0	46	23	229	132	361
12	Alamosa	0	0	35	2	117	19	136
	Conejos	0	0	10	1	33	7	40
	Costilla	0	0	8	7	21	3	24
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Rio Grande	1	0	15	5	50	12	62
	Saguache	0	0	5	1	17	8	25
	Total	1	0	73	16	239	49	288
	Kit Carson	0	0	7	0	21	6	27
13	Logan	0	0	26	1	90	20	110
	Morgan	0	0	22	9	114	35	149
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	8	9
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Washington	1	0	1	0	19	5	24
	Yuma	0	0	3	0	13	5	18
	Total	1	0	59	10	259	80	339
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	5	7	12
	Moffat	0	0	3	2	18	11	29
	Routt	3	0	10	0	22	29	51
	Total	3	0	13	2	45	47	92

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Case Type						
		Abandonment	Adoption	Administrative Exclusion	Confidential Intermediary	Consent for Marriage	Dependency & Neglect	D&N Expedited Placement
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	5	2
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	0	0	0	1	2
	Prowers	0	6	1	0	1	3	10
	Total	0	9	1	0	1	9	14
16	Bent	0	5	0	0	0	4	4
	Crowley	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
	Otero	0	15	0	0	0	7	16
	Total	0	23	0	0	0	11	25
17	Adams	0	242	6	0	1	111	259
	Broomfield	0	12	0	0	0	5	6
	Total	0	254	6	0	1	116	265
18	Arapahoe	0	219	0	0	4	83	121
	Douglas	0	124	0	0	0	45	55
	Elbert	0	13	0	0	0	13	5
	Lincoln	0	1	1	0	0	2	2
	Total	0	357	1	0	4	143	183
19	Weld	0	123	0	0	5	94	159
20	Boulder	0	61	0	0	0	33	78
21	Mesa	0	92	0	0	0	29	58
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	11	0	0	0	6	9
	Total	0	12	0	0	0	6	9
State Total		1	2,189	14	16	38	1,001	1,779
Percent of State Total		0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	5%	10%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Grandparent Visitation	Juvenile Expungement	Juvenile Other	Juvenile Relinquishment	Paternity	IV-D Paternity Order	Petition for Review of Need for Placement
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	11	1	0
	Total	0	0	1	0	14	3	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	1	2	4	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	4	1	0
	Otero	0	0	1	0	8	1	0
	Total	0	0	1	1	14	6	0
17	Adams	3	0	1	19	36	69	0
	Broomfield	1	1	0	0	2	4	0
	Total	4	1	1	19	38	73	0
18	Arapahoe	1	7	9	16	63	83	1
	Douglas	2	0	4	28	7	4	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Total	3	7	13	44	70	91	1
19	Weld	1	0	37	8	8	48	0
20	Boulder	2	0	110	16	15	16	0
21	Mesa	2	5	2	15	10	47	2
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Total	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
State Total		17	53	208	236	339	901	17
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	5%	0%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 21:
District Court Juvenile Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	IV-D Support				Non-JD Juvenile Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Non-JD+JD Total
		Support	Warrant	Order	Truancy			
15	Baca	2	0	4	0	19	5	24
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Kiowa	0	0	4	0	9	3	12
	Prowers	14	0	18	2	68	21	89
	Total	16	0	26	2	96	32	128
16	Bent	0	0	8	7	35	3	38
	Crowley	7	0	2	8	30	2	32
	Otero	22	0	6	12	88	15	103
	Total	29	0	16	27	153	20	173
17	Adams	3	0	221	163	1,134	448	1,582
	Broomfield	1	0	20	7	59	38	97
	Total	4	0	241	170	1,193	486	1,679
18	Arapahoe	44	0	250	66	967	857	1,824
	Douglas	1	0	89	64	423	469	892
	Elbert	0	0	9	0	41	16	57
	Lincoln	3	0	9	0	21	2	23
	Total	48	0	357	130	1,452	1,344	2,796
19	Weld	1	0	327	98	909	582	1,491
20	Boulder	0	4	105	16	456	263	719
21	Mesa	0	12	142	35	451	356	807
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	6	8
	Montezuma	8	1	1	0	38	55	93
	Total	8	1	1	0	40	61	101
State Total		158	19	2,893	1,287	11,166	7,379	18,545
Percent of State Total		1%	0%	16%	7%	60%	40%	100%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 22 of this report.

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location										Delinquent Case Remand from District Court	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs
		Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals				
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Jefferson	3	155	0	20	0	1	45	1	0	1	31	
	<i>Total</i>	3	155	0	20	0	1	45	1	0	1	31	
2	Denver Juvenile	1	138	0	60	0	1	22	1	0	1	54	
3	Huerfano	1	7	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Las Animas	0	6	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	
	<i>Total</i>	1	13	3	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	3	
4	El Paso	6	245	0	79	10	1	106	0	1	0	47	
	Teller	0	3	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	6	248	0	82	10	1	110	0	1	0	47	
5	Clear Creek	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Eagle	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	
	Lake	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	Summit	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	5	
	<i>Total</i>	3	15	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	7	
6	Archuleta	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	La Plata	0	6	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	0	8	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	
7	Delta	0	5	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	3	
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Montrose	0	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	
	<i>Total</i>	0	11	0	4	0	2	4	0	0	2	10	

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	2	2	0	0	25	4	4	1	0
	Total	2	2	0	0	26	4	4	1	0
2	Denver Juvenile	1	4	1	0	11	21	1	2	7
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
4	El Paso	10	5	1	0	59	17	5	4	6
	Teller	1	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	1
	Total	11	6	1	0	62	17	5	4	7
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
7	Delta	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Charge other than					Possession of Alcohol by		Public Peace and Order
		Menacing	Curfew	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Minor	Prostitution	
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	15	0	121	0	0	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	15	0	122	0	0	0	0	7
2	Denver Juvenile	40	0	102	0	0	1	0	8
3	Huerfano	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Las Animas	3	0	7	0	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	7	0	0	1	0	5
4	El Paso	41	0	107	0	0	7	0	15
	Teller	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	42	0	111	0	0	7	0	15
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Lake	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
	Summit	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	5	0	0	1	0	3
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
	La Plata	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	2	0	0	5	0	0
7	Delta	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	12	0	0	1	0	2

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex									Total
		Robbery	Runaway	Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
	Jefferson	22	0	27	0	64	33	1	0	20	605
	<i>Total</i>	22	0	27	0	64	34	1	0	20	608
2	Denver Juvenile	85	7	53	1	129	43	0	1	100	896
3	Huerfano	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	30
	Las Animas	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	31
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	61
4	El Paso	63	0	89	2	178	87	1	1	79	1,272
	Teller	1	0	3	0	7	2	0	0	0	34
	<i>Total</i>	64	0	92	2	185	89	1	1	79	1,306
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Eagle	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	24
	Lake	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
	Summit	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	17
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	5	0	3	1	0	0	1	59
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	13
	La Plata	0	0	3	0	4	1	1	0	1	24
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	0	6	1	1	0	1	37
7	Delta	0	0	2	1	5	0	1	0	2	31
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	7	0	2	4	0	0	1	37
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	10
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	9	1	8	5	1	0	4	89

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court										Driving Under the Influence	Drugs
		Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals				
8	Jackson	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Larimer	2	78	1	35	0	2	40	0	0	7	52	
	<i>Total</i>	3	79	1	35	0	2	41	0	0	7	54	
9	Garfield	3	15	0	5	0	0	13	0	0	5	9	
	Pitkin	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	
	Rio Blanco	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	4	19	0	8	0	0	14	0	0	6	11	
10	Pueblo	1	52	3	16	1	1	8	0	0	0	8	
11	Chaffee	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	Fremont	0	20	0	10	0	0	10	1	0	0	7	
	Park	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	
	<i>Total</i>	0	24	0	15	0	0	13	1	0	1	13	
	Alamosa	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Costilla	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Rio Grande	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	0	7	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Logan	0	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Morgan	2	7	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
	Phillips	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Washington	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Yuma	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<i>Total</i>	2	14	1	10	3	0	1	0	0	1	3	
14	Grand	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Moffat	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	
	Routt	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	0	6	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	1	0	31	1	4	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	0	31	1	4	0	1
9	Garfield	0	0	0	1	8	0	1	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	9	0	1	0	0
10	Pueblo	0	0	0	0	12	5	0	2	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
12	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Charge other than					Possession of Alcohol by		Public Peace and Order
		Menacing	Curfew	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Minor	Prostitution	
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	13	0	77	0	0	7	0	25
	<i>Total</i>	14	0	77	0	0	7	0	25
9	Garfield	4	0	21	0	0	6	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	25	0	0	6	0	0
10	Pueblo	16	0	11	0	0	0	0	3
11	Chaffee	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Park	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	3	0	0	4	0	3
	Alamosa	0	0	6	0	0	2	0	0
	Conejos	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
12	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	10	0	0	2	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Logan	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
	Morgan	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	4	0	8	0	0	2	0	2	
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex						Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
		Robbery	Runaway	Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass				
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Larimer	3	0	15	4	64	25	0	0	11	499
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	15	4	64	25	0	0	11	505
9	Garfield	0	0	6	2	17	15	0	0	3	134
	Pitkin	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	16
	Rio Blanco	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	13
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	10	2	22	15	0	0	5	163
10	Pueblo	9	0	9	1	25	3	0	1	16	203
11	Chaffee	1	0	2	0	8	1	0	0	0	27
	Custer	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	0	0	8	0	6	4	0	0	1	80
	Park	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	21
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	13	0	18	5	0	0	3	132
	Alamosa	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	19
	Conejos	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
12	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	12
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	8
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	5	0	8	0	0	0	2	49
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6
	Logan	1	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	1	20
	Morgan	0	0	8	0	2	2	0	0	1	35
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	8
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	Yuma	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	10	0	9	6	0	0	2	80
14	Grand	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	7
	Moffat	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	11
	Routt	0	0	5	0	6	3	1	0	1	29
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	9	0	8	6	1	0	2	47

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Delinquent Case Remand from District Court										
		Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Burglary	Bribery - Tampering	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Driving Under the Influence	Drugs	
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Prowers	0	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	1	0	8	0	0	3	0	0	0	6
16	Bent	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	2	83	0	42	3	0	20	0	1	0	15
	Broomfield	3	16	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Total	5	99	0	44	3	0	21	0	1	0	16
18	Arapahoe	2	156	4	58	0	0	55	2	0	0	29
	Douglas	1	72	2	9	1	2	25	0	0	0	120
	Elbert	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	232	6	67	1	2	81	2	0	0	151
19	Weld	9	130	0	25	0	0	38	1	0	1	33
20	Boulder	8	47	7	9	1	0	11	0	0	0	18
21	Mesa	6	74	4	14	0	0	22	0	0	0	20
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	12
	Total	0	7	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	1	12
State Total		55	1,382	26	432	20	10	457	6	2	25	502
Percent of State Total		1%	19%	0.35%	6%	0.27%	0.14%	6%	0.08%	0.03%	0%	7%

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Escape	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Harassment	Homicide	Impersonation	Incest	Kidnapping
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1
17	Adams	1	2	1	0	16	3	2	0	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0
	Total	1	2	1	0	21	3	3	0	0
18	Arapahoe	39	0	1	0	33	7	0	3	3
	Douglas	0	0	1	0	25	0	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	39	0	2	0	58	7	0	3	3
19	Weld	2	0	0	0	23	1	0	1	3
20	Boulder	0	0	1	0	11	0	3	2	1
21	Mesa	1	0	0	0	16	0	3	1	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
State Total		63	14	8	1	313	61	27	16	23
Percent of State Total		1%	0.19%	0.11%	0.01%	4%	0.83%	0%	0.22%	0.31%

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Charge other than					Possession of Alcohol by		Public Peace and Order
		Menacing	Curfew	Other	Perjury	Pornography	Minor	Prostitution	
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	17	0	33	0	0	0	0	7
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	17	0	33	0	0	0	0	7
18	Arapahoe	27	0	92	0	0	0	0	27
	Douglas	6	0	17	0	0	11	0	23
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	33	0	109	0	0	11	0	50
19	Weld	14	0	80	0	0	8	0	3
20	Boulder	12	0	18	0	0	1	0	9
21	Mesa	15	0	39	0	0	1	0	4
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	0	7	0	0	2	0	4
	Total	2	0	7	0	0	2	0	4
State Total		256	0	783	0	0	60	0	154
Percent of State Total		3%	0%	11%	0.00%	0.00%	1%	0.00%	2%

**Table 22:
District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex									Total
		Robbery	Runaway	Offenses	Tampering	Theft	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5
	Cheyenne	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	21
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	32
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Otero	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	15
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	1	20
17	Adams	27	1	44	0	49	36	1	1	41	448
	Broomfield	4	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	38
	<i>Total</i>	31	1	46	0	52	36	1	1	41	486
18	Arapahoe	56	0	38	2	106	50	2	1	64	857
	Douglas	5	0	31	7	54	45	1	0	11	469
	Elbert	0	0	6	0	2	0	0	1	0	16
	Lincoln	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	61	0	76	9	163	95	3	2	75	1,344
19	Weld	8	0	41	1	100	42	0	0	18	582
20	Boulder	8	0	23	1	40	26	0	1	5	263
21	Mesa	9	0	18	2	50	41	0	1	15	356
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	6
	Montezuma	1	0	2	0	5	1	1	0	0	55
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	2	0	7	1	1	0	0	61
<i>State Total</i>		309	9	472	24	974	475	10	8	402	7,379
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		4%	0.12%	6%	0.33%	13%	6%	0.14%	0.11%	5%	100%

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Emergency Commitment	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Legal Disability
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	39	0	0	11	0	6
	<i>Total</i>	39	0	0	11	0	6
2	Denver Probate	40	0	0	45	18	7
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	4	0	0	0	0	2
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	0	0	0	0	2
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	1	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	Short Term COV	72 Hour Hold	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
	Jefferson	7	2	0	578	0	0	643
	<i>Total</i>	7	2	0	582	0	0	647
2	Denver Probate	40	3	1	1,255	4	7	1,420
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
	Las Animas	1	0	0	16	0	2	19
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	17	1	3	22
4	El Paso	1	1	0	1,145	11	0	1,164
	Teller	0	0	0	23	0	0	23
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	0	1,168	11	0	1,187
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	7	0	1	8
	Eagle	0	0	0	14	0	0	14
	Lake	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
	Summit	0	0	0	20	0	0	20
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	47	0	1	48
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	La Plata	0	0	0	41	0	5	46
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	42	0	5	48
7	Delta	0	0	0	26	0	0	26
	Gunnison	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	29	1	0	30
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	56	1	0	57

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Emergency Commitment	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Legal Disability
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Larimer	1	0	0	1	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	2	0	2
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Pitkin	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	2
10	Pueblo	1	1	0	11	173	2
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	1	1	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	Short Term COV	72 Hour Hold	Total
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	1	0	5	438	1	0	449
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	5	438	1	0	450
9	Garfield	0	0	0	6	0	0	8
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	7	0	1	11
10	Pueblo	7	0	0	193	1	2	391
11	Chaffee	1	0	0	8	0	0	9
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Fremont	1	0	0	36	0	0	38
	Park	0	0	0	8	0	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	0	53	0	0	56
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	29	2	61	94
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	13	13
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	2	12	14
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	10	0	16	27
	Saguache	0	0	0	5	0	9	15
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	44	4	112	164
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Logan	0	0	0	20	0	12	32
	Morgan	0	0	0	12	0	9	21
	Phillips	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Washington	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	Yuma	0	0	0	2	0	4	7
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	41	0	27	69
14	Grand	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	3	0	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	8	0	1	9

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Emergency Commitment	Evaluation	Involuntary Medication Procedure	Legal Disability
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0
17	Adams	3	0	0	3	0	4
	Broomfield	0	0	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	0	5	0	4
18	Arapahoe	21	0	0	19	1	10
	Douglas	11	0	0	3	3	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	32	0	0	23	4	10
19	Weld	5	0	0	1	1	0
20	Boulder	3	0	0	24	0	1
21	Mesa	0	0	0	0	0	4
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>State Total</i>		129	1	1	127	197	41
<i>Percent State Total</i>		2%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%

**Table 23:
District Court Mental Health Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	Short Term COV	72 Hour Hold	Total
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Prowers	0	0	0	8	0	0	9
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	11	0	3	15
16	Bent	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
	Crowley	0	0	0	5	0	1	6
	Otero	0	0	0	13	0	1	15
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	23	0	2	26
17	Adams	3	0	0	492	2	0	507
	Broomfield	0	1	0	58	0	0	61
	<i>Total</i>	3	1	0	550	2	0	568
18	Arapahoe	10	5	3	792	5	3	869
	Douglas	5	3	0	227	1	1	254
	Elbert	0	0	0	11	0	2	14
	Lincoln	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	15	8	3	1,032	6	6	1,139
19	Weld	3	0	0	273	0	0	283
20	Boulder	8	1	0	528	8	0	573
21	Mesa	0	0	0	341	1	0	346
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	2	0	2	4
<i>State Total</i>		89	16	9	6,711	40	172	7,533
<i>Percent State Total</i>		1%	0.21%	0.12%	89%	0.53%	2%	100%

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservator/				Disclaimer	Determination of Heirship
			Conservatorship/ Adult	Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/ Minor	Conservatorship/ Guardian-Minor		
1	Gilpin	3	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Jefferson	18	31	53	34	5	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	21	31	53	36	5	0	2
2	Denver Probate	15	25	60	25	1	1	6
3	Huerfano	10	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Las Animas	5	0	2	0	0	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	15	1	2	0	0	0	4
4	El Paso	18	26	41	46	3	0	0
	Teller	5	1	1	4	1	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	23	27	42	50	4	0	2
5	Clear Creek	7	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	24	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Lake	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	16	0	0	2	1	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	50	2	0	3	2	0	1
6	Archuleta	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	30	0	2	2	0	0	3
	San Juan	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	52	0	2	2	0	0	3
7	Delta	4	2	5	3	0	0	1
	Gunnison	13	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	6	0	7	0	2	0	0
	Ouray	3	0	1	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	36	2	14	4	2	0	2

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Foreign						Public		
		Protective Proceeding	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other	Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single* Transaction
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	64	65	49	296	7	10	2	48
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>302</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>48</i>
2	Denver Probate	2	84	27	66	343	22	30	3	104
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	3	16	0	0	0	2
	Las Animas	0	6	1	3	23	0	0	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>
4	El Paso	1	138	157	38	298	5	142	2	43
	Teller	0	4	6	2	19	1	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>163</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>317</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>45</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	1	3	7	1	0	0	1
	Eagle	0	2	4	2	19	0	0	0	0
	Lake	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	2	1	11	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
6	Archuleta	0	3	4	2	14	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	8	12	2	24	0	0	0	6
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>
7	Delta	0	7	3	2	21	1	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	1	6	0	15	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	4	20	2	33	0	1	0	5
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Special	Special	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform	Will	Total
		Administrator	Administrator	Formal	Informal			Veterans		
		Formal	Informal	Formal	Informal			Act		
1	Gilpin	0	1	1	11	0	2	0	0	28
	Jefferson	6	2	93	840	32	81	0	3	1,741
	Total	6	3	94	851	32	83	0	3	1,769
2	Denver Probate	14	2	122	705	119	135	0	3	1,914
3	Huerfano	0	0	4	12	0	0	0	0	50
	Las Animas	0	0	3	31	1	2	0	0	85
	Total	0	0	7	43	1	2	0	0	135
4	El Paso	9	1	78	625	17	75	0	4	1,767
	Teller	1	0	4	30	1	1	0	0	85
	Total	10	1	82	655	18	76	0	4	1,852
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	25	0	2	0	0	51
	Eagle	1	0	6	46	1	5	0	0	112
	Lake	0	0	2	6	1	0	0	0	18
	Summit	0	0	3	20	0	3	0	0	62
	Total	1	0	13	97	2	10	0	0	243
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	55
	La Plata	1	0	6	77	3	4	0	0	180
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	10
	Total	1	0	6	94	3	4	0	0	245
7	Delta	0	0	10	71	0	3	0	0	133
	Gunnison	0	0	2	13	0	0	0	0	52
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	7
	Montrose	0	0	5	80	0	6	0	0	172
	Ouray	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	15
	San Miguel	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	17
Total	0	0	20	177	0	10	0	0	396	

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/ Minor	Conservatorship/ Guardian-Minor	Disclaimer	Determination of Heirship
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	17	18	27	18	3	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
9	Garfield	8	0	2	2	0	0	0
	Pitkin	9	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	2	3	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
10	Pueblo	23	4	15	7	2	0	2
11	Chaffee	4	0	3	0	1	0	1
	Custer	3	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Fremont	9	2	6	5	4	0	3
	Park	20	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>
12	Alamosa	2	2	1	3	0	0	0
	Conejos	1	0	0	2	0	0	1
	Costilla	39	0	0	2	0	0	4
	Mineral	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	4	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	
13	Kit Carson	4	0	1	0	0	1	7
	Logan	1	2	3	0	2	0	3
	Morgan	3	0	5	0	5	0	8
	Phillips	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
	Washington	7	1	1	2	1	0	6
	Yuma	5	2	1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>26</i>
14	Grand	6	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Moffat	12	1	0	1	0	0	3
	Routt	7	0	3	1	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Foreign						Public		
		Protective Proceeding	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other	Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single* Transaction
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	44	22	17	116	7	6	0	19
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>117</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>
9	Garfield	0	1	13	1	21	1	1	0	3
	Pitkin	0	1	0	1	8	2	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>
10	Pueblo	0	53	58	24	132	0	0	0	19
11	Chaffee	0	5	2	0	20	0	1	1	1
	Custer	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	13	6	7	40	0	0	0	4
	Park	0	2	0	2	19	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>20</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>
12	Alamosa	0	8	7	7	8	0	0	0	3
	Conejos	0	1	3	8	7	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	0	17	6	2	0	0	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	2	0	9	0	0	0	2
	Saguache	0	0	1	5	6	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	3	8	2	20	1	0	1	0
	Morgan	0	3	12	3	29	1	1	0	4
	Phillips	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	4	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	1	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	0	2	9	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>
14	Grand	0	1	3	0	7	0	0	0	2
	Moffat	0	1	6	1	8	1	0	0	1
	Routt	0	3	1	3	10	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Special Administrator	Special Administrator	Testate	Testate	Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform Veterans	Will	Total
		Formal	Informal	Formal	Informal			Guardianship Act	Transfer	
8	Jackson	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	6
	Larimer	2	2	31	404	18	49	0	2	823
	<i>Total</i>	2	2	31	408	18	49	0	2	829
9	Garfield	1	0	7	58	3	11	0	0	133
	Pitkin	0	0	2	27	2	4	0	0	57
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	13	1	0	0	0	27
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	10	98	6	15	0	0	217
10	Pueblo	3	0	63	208	5	10	0	0	628
11	Chaffee	0	0	1	44	0	2	0	0	86
	Custer	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	26
	Fremont	0	0	4	93	1	4	0	0	201
	Park	0	0	3	17	0	1	0	0	65
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	9	162	1	7	0	0	378
12	Alamosa	0	0	4	19	0	0	0	0	64
	Conejos	1	0	7	6	0	0	0	0	37
	Costilla	0	0	19	15	0	0	0	0	106
	Mineral	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	10
	Rio Grande	0	0	7	20	0	2	0	0	49
	Saguache	0	0	4	9	0	0	0	0	36
<i>Total</i>	1	0	41	73	0	2	0	0	302	
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	6	0	1	0	0	29
	Logan	0	0	1	32	1	6	0	0	86
	Morgan	0	0	3	44	0	4	0	0	125
	Phillips	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	18
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	25
	Washington	0	0	2	15	2	3	0	0	49
	Yuma	0	0	1	22	1	1	0	0	47
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	8	138	4	15	0	0	379
14	Grand	0	0	2	19	0	0	0	0	41
	Moffat	0	0	1	19	1	0	0	0	56
	Routt	0	0	0	33	3	6	0	1	73
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	71	4	6	0	1	170

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Conservator/ Guardian-Adult							Determination of Heirship
		Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/Adult	Guardian-Adult	Conservatorship/Minor	Conservatorship/Guardian-Minor	Disclaimer		
15	Baca	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	Cheyenne	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Kiowa	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Prowers	3	1	0	0	0	0	2	
	Total	18	1	0	0	0	0	4	
16	Bent	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Crowley	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	Otero	3	0	1	0	0	0	5	
	Total	5	1	2	0	0	0	6	
17	Adams	4	15	43	47	1	0	7	
	Broomfield	1	0	6	2	3	0	0	
	Total	5	15	49	49	4	0	7	
18	Arapahoe	18	19	55	45	6	0	6	
	Douglas	6	4	21	19	1	0	0	
	Elbert	2	1	1	3	0	0	1	
	Lincoln	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total	26	26	77	67	7	0	7	
19	Weld	44	5	21	20	1	0	79	
20	Boulder	18	12	35	21	2	0	1	
21	Mesa	6	12	36	5	2	0	3	
22	Dolores	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	Montezuma	11	2	1	2	2	0	3	
	Total	13	2	1	2	2	0	4	
State Total		549	199	468	327	51	2	178	
Percent of State Total		3%	1%	3%	2%	0.32%	0%	1%	

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Foreign Protective Proceeding	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Other	Public Administrator Statement	Registration of Foreign Order	Single* Transaction
15	Baca	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	4	11	2	10	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	6	11	3	19	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	2	2	5	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	6	1	1	17	0	0	0	1
	Total	0	8	3	3	29	0	0	0	1
17	Adams	4	64	59	45	230	1	33	0	51
	Broomfield	0	9	1	4	16	0	2	0	7
	Total	4	73	60	49	246	1	35	0	58
18	Arapahoe	3	106	118	57	284	0	3	0	68
	Douglas	0	36	28	14	95	2	0	0	36
	Elbert	0	4	1	1	12	0	0	0	1
	Lincoln	0	3	5	1	7	0	0	1	0
	Total	3	149	152	73	398	2	3	1	105
19	Weld	0	41	58	22	206	3	1	0	38
20	Boulder	6	38	32	17	101	2	0	0	25
21	Mesa	0	32	73	27	91	1	7	0	7
22	Dolores	0	0	1	1	9	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	8	20	0	40	0	0	1	2
	Total	0	8	21	1	49	0	0	1	2
State Total		18	826	869	476	2,811	63	238	11	514
Percent of State Total		0.11%	5%	6%	3%	18%	0.40%	2%	0.07%	3%

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.

**Table 24:
District Court Probate Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Special Administrator Formal	Special Administrator Informal	Testate Formal	Testate Informal	Trust Trust	Trust Registrations	Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act	Will Transfer	Total
15	Baca	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	21
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	16
	Kiowa	0	0	2	13	0	0	0	1	26
	Prowers	0	0	1	23	0	4	0	0	61
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>124</i>
16	Bent	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	19
	Crowley	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	18
	Otero	0	0	1	34	0	1	0	0	71
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>108</i>
17	Adams	11	1	79	320	9	23	1	3	1,051
	Broomfield	0	0	10	61	1	6	0	1	130
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>381</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>1,181</i>
18	Arapahoe	5	1	89	617	28	88	0	5	1,621
	Douglas	4	0	29	281	15	55	0	2	648
	Elbert	0	0	1	24	0	4	0	0	56
	Lincoln	0	0	1	17	0	0	0	0	37
	<i>Total</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>939</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>147</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>2,362</i>
19	Weld	4	1	37	334	5	21	0	0	941
20	Boulder	12	2	33	406	27	56	0	1	847
21	Mesa	0	0	37	193	14	39	0	0	585
22	Dolores	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	17
	Montezuma	1	0	9	59	1	1	0	0	163
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>180</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>76</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>840</i>	<i>6,194</i>	<i>313</i>	<i>722</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>15,785</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0.48%</i>	<i>0.08%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>39%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>0.01%</i>	<i>0.16%</i>	<i>100%</i>

*Single Transaction cases include the approval of personal injury settlements and personal injury settlements by insurance company cases.



Section IV: County Court

County Court Judges

*This list contains the names of Judges that served during FY2020.

First Judicial District

Gilpin: David C. Taylor
Jefferson: Bradley A. Burbach
Verna L. Carpenter
Corinne Magid
Sara Garrido
Jennifer Melton
Karolyn (KJ) Moore
Mark M. Randall
Harold Sargent
Ryan Stuart
Thomas E. Vance
Jean Woodford (retired)

Denver County Court

Theresa Spahn, Presiding Judge
Johnny Barajas
Brian T. Campbell
Andrea Eddy
Adam Espinoza
Beth Faragher
Olympia Fay
Clarisse M. Gonzales-Magnall
Gary Jackson
Kerri Lombardi
Chelsea Malone
Michelle Martinez
Isabel Pallares
Nicole Rodarte
Andre L. Rudolph
Barry Schwartz
Fran Simonet
Judith Smith
James Zobel

Third Judicial District

John "Clay" McKisson
Las Animas: Bruce Billings
Las Animas: John Mochel
Huerfano: Dawn Mann

Fourth Judicial District

El Paso: Samorreyan Burney
Meredith Patrick Cord
Samuel Evig
Laura Findorff
Shannon Gerhart
Monica Gomez
Karla J. Hansen
Larry D. Martin (retired)
Douglas J. Miles
D. Denise Peacock
Ann M. Rotolo
Teller: Theresa Kilgore

Fifth Judicial District

Clear Creek: Cynthia Jones
Eagle: Rachel Fresquez
Lake: Johnathan Shamis
Summit: Edward J. Casias

Sixth Judicial District

Archuleta: Justin Fay
La Plata: Dondi Osborne
San Juan: Anthony D. Edwards

Seventh Judicial District

Delta: Jin Ho Pak
Gunnison: Ashley M. Burgemeister
Hinsdale: Alvin K. Lutz (retired)
Montrose: Bennett A. Morris
Montrose (Nucla): Kurt Beckenhauer
Ouray: Zachary Martin
San Miguel: Susanne Ross

Eighth Judicial District

Jackson: Chelsea Rengel
Larimer: Mary Joan Berenato
Kraig S. Ecton
Katharine Ellison
Joshua B. Lehman
Thomas L. Lynch
Peter E. Schoon, Jr. (retired)

Ninth Judicial District

Garfield: Paul Metzger
Jonathan B. Pototsky
Pitkin: Erin Fernandez-Ely
Rio Blanco: Laurie A. Noble

Tenth Judicial District

Pueblo: Steve Fieldman
David Lobato
Roberto Silva

Eleventh Judicial District

Chaffee: William P. Alderton
Custer: Amanda Hunter
Fremont: Alexandra Robak
Park: Brian L. Green

Twelfth Judicial District

Alamosa: Daniel Walzl
Conejos: Kimberly Cortez-Rodriguez
Costilla: Kimberly L. Wood
Mineral: Ruth M. Acheson
Rio Grande: Barbara A. Zollars
Saguache: Anna N. Ulrich

Thirteenth Judicial District

Kit Carson: Michael Grinnan
Logan: Ray Ann Brammer
Morgan: Dennis Brandenburg
Phillips: Kimbra Killin
Sedgwick: James Craig Dolezal
Washington: Kelly Hansen
Yuma: Kristei Jones

Fourteenth Judicial District

Grand: Nicholas Catanzarite
Moffat: Sandra Gardner
Routt: James H. Garrecht

Fifteenth Judicial District

Baca: Debra M. Gunkel
Cheyenne: Gerald Keefe
Kiowa: Gary W. Davis
Prowers: Lane Porter

Sixteenth Judicial District

Bent: Samuel S. Vigil
Crowley: Richard Medina
Otero: Douglas R. Manley

Seventeenth Judicial District

Adams: Brian N. Bowen
Michael A. Cox
Martin (M.J.) Flaum
Cindy Hoang-Ha Thi Dang
Bryon L. Howell
Leroy D. Kirby
Sabino E. Romano
Dianna Roybal
Broomfield: Amy Bockman

Eighteenth Judicial District

Arapahoe: Colleen Clark
Chantel E. Contiguglia
Kelly LaFave
Anne M. Ollada
Michael Roche
Cheryl Rowles-Stokes
Don J. Toussaint
Darren L. Vahle
Joshua Williford
Douglas: Lawrence R. Bowling
Kolony Fields
Monica J. Gomez
Susanna Meissner-Cutler
Elbert: Palmer L. Boyette
Lincoln: Truston Lee Fisher

Nineteenth Judicial District

Weld: John J. Briggs
Michele L. Meyer
Dana J. Nichols
Charles S. Unfug

Twentieth Judicial District

Boulder: Elizabeth Brodsky
Zachary Malkinson
Jonathon Martin
Kristy Martinez
Longmont: David Archuleta

Twenty-First Judicial District

Mesa: Michael J. Grattan
Craig P. Henderson
Bruce R. Raam

Twenty-Second Judicial District

Dolores: E. Dale Boyd
Montezuma: JenniLynn E. Lawrence

County Court

Colorado’s county courts serve the citizens of each of the state’s 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$25,000 (increased from \$15,000 on January 1, 2019), and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.

Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2020, there were 372,116 case filings at the county court level. Compared to Fiscal Year 2019, county court filings in Fiscal Year 2020 have decreased by 9.86 percent to the current level of filings. The greatest area of decrease was in Small Claims filings, which decreased by 19.40 percent. Additionally, Felony Complaints decreased by 17.89%.

Specific information regarding each county court is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/County/Choose.cfm>.






Chart 3 and Chart 4: County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type Ten Year Comparison

Fiscal Year 2011
Total Number of Filings: 505,265



Fiscal Year 2020
Total Number of Filings: 372,116



**Table 25:
County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change
Fiscal Years 2019 and 2020**

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY19	FY20	Percent Change
Civil	142,877	118,329	-17.18%
Infractions	65,572	63,572	-3.05%
Misdemeanors	61,951	61,550	-0.65%
Small Claims	6,655	5,364	-19.40%
Traffic	112,733	104,402	-7.39%
Felony Complaints	23,018	18,899	-17.89%
Total	412,806	372,116	-9.86%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY19	FY20	Percent Change
Civil	142,038	117,864	-17.02%
Infractions	65,343	60,317	-7.69%
Misdemeanors	60,108	50,519	-15.95%
Small Claims	6,935	5,323	-23.24%
Traffic	110,139	91,288	-17.12%
Felony Complaints	23,018	18,899	-17.89%
Total with Felony Complaints	407,581	344,210	-15.55%
Total without Felony Complaints	384,563	325,311	-15.41%

TABLE 25 CHART
FISCAL YEAR 2019 VERSUS FISCAL YEAR 2020

FY19 FY20





Table 26:
County Court Caseload
Fiscal Year 2011 to Fiscal Year 2020
(Numbers do not include Denver County Court)

Case Class	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	200,250	193,282	174,466	158,525	144,868	138,631	140,462	143,591	142,877	118,329
Cases Terminated	199,308	192,635	174,554	132,170	144,018	137,744	138,286	142,319	142,038	117,864
INFRACTIONS										
New Cases Filed	84,610	75,464	67,581	69,515	70,375	69,782	66,561	65,344	65,572	63,572
Cases Terminated	87,072	76,228	68,033	67,854	71,664	70,107	66,823	65,996	65,343	60,317
MISDEMEANORS										
New Cases Filed	67,137	70,068	62,740	60,585	62,131	60,682	61,298	62,589	61,951	61,550
Cases Terminated	68,187	67,482	65,310	57,193	59,852	59,799	59,396	60,748	60,108	50,519
SMALL CLAIMS										
New Cases Filed	9,629	9,117	8,171	7,589	7,404	7,309	7,118	6,990	6,655	5,364
Cases Terminated	9,707	9,244	8,357	6,710	7,245	7,266	6,896	6,713	6,935	5,323
TRAFFIC										
New Cases Filed	126,788	121,112	115,465	117,389	124,922	118,215	115,370	113,865	112,733	104,402
Cases Terminated	135,046	124,842	115,706	114,112	114,989	116,252	114,885	113,648	110,139	91,288
FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)	16,851	15,328	17,832	16,794	16,247	18,095	19,546	21,515	23,018	18,899
TOTAL										
<i>New Cases Filed</i>	505,265	484,371	446,255	430,397	425,947	412,714	410,355	413,894	412,806	372,116
<i>Cases Terminated (b)</i>	499,320	470,431	431,960	378,039	397,768	391,168	386,286	389,424	384,563	325,311

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as CR, that being in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between court locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

TABLE 26 CHART
COUNTY COURT NEW CASE FILINGS
FISCAL YEARS 2011 THROUGH 2020




**Table 27:
County Court Filings, Terminations and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Filings			Terminations ^(a)		
	FY19	FY20	Percent Change	FY19	FY20	Percent Change
1	47,049	41,782	-11.19%	41,815	36,864	-11.84%
2	121,502	101,051	-16.83%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	3,595	3,731	3.78%	3,541	4,629	30.73%
4	60,346	56,407	-6.53%	57,493	48,819	-15.09%
5	11,461	10,844	-5.38%	10,220	9,344	-8.57%
6	5,642	5,140	-8.90%	5,383	4,528	-15.88%
7	9,454	9,200	-2.69%	8,397	7,687	-8.46%
8	22,500	21,309	-5.29%	22,660	18,628	-17.79%
9	7,569	7,268	-3.98%	7,220	6,731	-6.77%
10	14,677	14,219	-3.12%	14,421	13,320	-7.63%
11	7,760	7,517	-3.13%	7,642	6,722	-12.04%
12	7,892	6,759	-14.36%	6,994	5,765	-17.57%
13	7,736	7,293	-5.73%	7,514	7,080	-5.78%
14	5,604	5,140	-8.28%	5,085	4,487	-11.76%
15	4,038	4,922	21.89%	3,686	4,515	22.49%
16	3,734	3,641	-2.49%	3,581	3,267	-8.77%
17	56,817	47,506	-16.39%	51,110	38,348	-24.97%
18	74,474	65,395	-12.19%	69,297	56,049	-19.12%
19	25,935	22,895	-11.72%	25,350	21,276	-16.07%
20	17,901	15,057	-15.89%	15,052	12,214	-18.85%
21	15,404	13,160	-14.57%	15,323	12,758	-16.74%
22	3,218	2,931	-8.92%	2,779	2,280	-17.96%
State Total Without Denver County Court^(a)	412,806	372,116	-9.86%	384,563	325,311	-15.41%
State Total	534,308	473,167	-11.44%	n/a	n/a	n/a

(a) Does not include felony complaints.

**TABLE 27 CHART
COUNTY COURT- NEW CASE FILINGS BY JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COMPARISON FY2019 AND FY2020**

■ FY2019 ■ FY2020



**Table 28:
County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions		Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term. ^(c)
1	Gilpin	111	113	200	200	499	555	286	294	5	6	515	555	1,616	1,523
	Jefferson	13,084	13,217	3,738	3,738	6,704	5,486	6,271	6,079	559	586	9,810	9,973	40,166	35,341
	Total	13,195	13,330	3,938	3,938	7,203	6,041	6,557	6,373	564	592	10,325	10,528	41,782	36,864
2	Denver ^(a)	23,328	n/a ^(b)	8,640	n/a ^(b)	8,713	n/a ^(b)	n/a ^(c)	n/a ^(b)	961	n/a ^(b)	59,409	n/a ^(b)	101,051	n/a ^(b)
3	Huerfano	150	142	26	26	233	245	499	471	9	11	557	778	1,474	1,647
	Las Animas	378	393	16	16	386	461	715	700	26	25	736	1,403	2,257	2,982
	Total	528	535	42	42	619	706	1,214	1,171	35	36	1,293	2,181	3,731	4,629
4	El Paso	18,202	18,039	216	216	8,168	6,459	10,302	9,022	869	859	16,515	12,538	54,272	46,917
	Teller	383	373	32	32	483	408	421	433	17	21	799	667	2,135	1,902
	Total	18,585	18,412	248	248	8,651	6,867	10,723	9,455	886	880	17,314	13,205	56,407	48,819
5	Clear Creek	126	129	106	106	255	231	637	612	16	14	857	743	1,997	1,729
	Eagle	397	403	315	315	646	571	1,295	1,378	106	113	1,648	1,437	4,407	3,902
	Eagle-Basalt	24	29	0	0	46	54	50	57	7	11	64	90	191	241
	Lake	139	134	71	71	160	123	131	136	9	10	187	175	697	578
	Summit	237	227	249	249	562	407	1,086	1,066	56	64	1,362	1,130	3,552	2,894
	Total	923	922	741	741	1,669	1,386	3,199	3,249	194	212	4,118	3,575	10,844	9,344
6	Archuleta	217	228	111	111	285	255	337	281	18	20	368	246	1,336	1,030
	La Plata	946	997	74	74	730	606	632	619	64	53	1,273	1,153	3,719	3,428
	San Juan	3	7	2	2	17	14	16	16	6	4	41	29	85	70
	Total	1,166	1,232	187	187	1,032	875	985	916	88	77	1,682	1,428	5,140	4,528
7	Delta	682	707	303	303	551	453	636	524	37	23	594	510	2,803	2,217
	Gunnison	131	123	100	100	283	249	284	280	29	24	295	304	1,122	980
	Hinsdale	7	8	0	0	25	29	9	9	0	0	43	38	84	84
	Montrose	810	815	541	541	813	753	642	625	59	53	1,009	993	3,874	3,239
	Montrose-Nucla	54	54	15	15	64	52	32	28	6	5	40	32	211	171
	Ourray	51	46	17	17	64	56	256	257	7	7	193	190	588	556
	San Miguel	64	75	71	71	139	134	96	94	13	13	135	124	518	440
	Total	1,799	1,828	1,047	1,047	1,939	1,726	1,955	1,817	151	125	2,309	2,191	9,200	7,687

(a) Termination numbers are not available.
(b) Denver County Traffic and Infractions are combined.
(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 28:
County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions		Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term. ^(c)
8	Jackson	17	12	0	0	55	46	24	22	3	3	66	50	165	133
	Larimer	4,767	4,709	95	95	3,251	2,531	2,873	2,531	298	261	3,570	3,063	14,854	13,095
	Larimer-Loveland	1,679	1,756	0	0	1,845	1,360	0	1	49	61	2,717	2,222	6,290	5,400
	Total	6,463	6,477	95	95	5,151	3,937	2,897	2,554	350	325	6,353	5,335	21,309	18,628
9	Garfield	571	556	61	61	470	406	420	460	67	67	884	831	2,473	2,320
	Garfield-Rifle	853	843	75	75	758	708	413	413	30	33	941	850	3,070	2,847
	Pitkin	140	134	14	14	224	178	245	230	48	44	439	376	1,110	962
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	55	54	5	5	60	52	178	188	5	5	116	112	419	411
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	33	33	0	0	46	55	30	29	6	7	81	67	196	191
	Total	1,652	1,620	155	155	1,558	1,399	1,286	1,320	156	156	2,461	2,236	7,268	6,731
10	Pueblo	5,625	5,875	105	105	2,587	2,143	1,828	1,659	195	211	3,879	3,432	14,219	13,320
11	Chaffee	230	259	8	8	628	607	406	435	29	30	321	318	1,622	1,649
	Custer	55	50	2	2	73	64	155	151	13	10	132	134	430	409
	Fremont	951	899	86	86	851	621	802	769	60	58	984	769	3,734	3,116
	Park	261	242	3	3	312	261	598	581	20	19	537	445	1,731	1,548
	Total	1,497	1,450	99	99	1,864	1,553	1,961	1,936	122	117	1,974	1,666	7,517	6,722
	Alamosa	466	452	385	385	429	358	460	466	24	23	588	532	2,352	1,831
12	Conejos	151	160	113	113	127	119	172	185	12	10	161	161	736	635
	Costilla	85	89	57	57	86	90	366	365	5	8	299	268	898	820
	Mineral	7	8	2	2	19	16	320	342	0	0	152	135	500	501
	Rio Grande	236	250	140	140	280	259	364	378	7	7	403	381	1,430	1,275
	Saguache	135	136	72	72	141	84	266	267	7	9	222	207	843	703
	Total	1,080	1,095	769	769	1,082	926	1,948	2,003	55	57	1,825	1,684	6,759	5,765
13	Kit Carson	115	115	12	12	87	88	181	189	14	17	189	157	598	566
	Logan	403	466	50	50	436	410	805	822	22	30	690	568	2,406	2,296
	Morgan	588	641	59	59	538	609	497	485	45	49	904	846	2,631	2,630
	Phillips	64	68	0	0	52	51	63	64	11	9	101	102	291	294
	Sedgwick	45	41	0	0	40	51	99	104	2	2	77	64	263	262
	Washington	75	69	4	4	62	68	177	182	2	1	163	150	483	470
	Yuma	180	180	6	6	115	93	118	122	8	9	194	158	621	562
	Total	1,470	1,580	131	131	1,330	1,370	1,940	1,968	104	117	2,318	2,045	7,293	7,080
14	Grand	143	157	103	103	371	362	519	534	22	19	547	547	1,705	1,619
	Moffat	266	272	245	245	248	193	278	280	23	21	349	304	1,409	1,070
	Routt	214	218	187	187	445	425	524	542	31	24	625	589	2,026	1,798
	Total	623	647	535	535	1,064	980	1,321	1,356	76	64	1,521	1,440	5,140	4,487

(a) Termination numbers are not available.
(b) Denver County Traffic and Infractions are combined.
(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 28:
County Court Filings and Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions		Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term. ^(c)
15	Baca	62	71	1	1	66	55	211	227	1	1	156	122	497	476
	Cheyenne	28	27	1	1	16	21	450	476	4	5	130	106	629	635
	Kiowa	15	14	1	1	57	36	1,101	1,088	0	0	248	137	1,422	1,275
	Prowers	296	288	25	25	449	373	690	741	26	28	888	699	2,374	2,129
	Total	401	400	28	28	588	485	2,452	2,532	31	34	1,422	1,064	4,922	4,515
16	Bent	129	122	7	7	173	116	197	219	3	3	168	126	677	586
	Crowley	78	78	11	11	93	46	238	225	11	10	212	142	643	501
	Otero	598	568	28	28	540	437	512	587	33	31	610	557	2,321	2,180
	Total	805	768	46	46	806	599	947	1,031	47	44	990	825	3,641	3,267
17	Adams	17,113	16,194	3,654	3,654	5,346	4,089	4,596	3,990	457	473	12,112	10,071	43,278	34,817
	Broomfield	1,279	1,319	455	455	585	486	638	574	57	47	1,214	1,105	4,228	3,531
	Total	18,392	17,513	4,109	4,109	5,931	4,575	5,234	4,564	514	520	13,326	11,176	47,506	38,348
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	1,086	1,098	2,971	2,971	4,363	3,136	4,729	4,626	0	0	9,913	8,053	23,062	16,913
	Arapahoe-Littleton	19,715	19,656	0	0	0	9	0	0	742	718	0	33	20,457	20,416
	Douglas	5,174	5,241	971	971	2,901	2,357	3,755	3,510	215	213	5,641	4,857	18,657	16,178
	Elbert	331	330	86	86	124	108	1,029	886	14	14	515	452	2,099	1,790
	Lincoln	103	90	106	106	113	84	314	275	6	7	478	296	1,120	752
	Total	26,409	26,415	4,134	4,134	7,501	5,694	9,827	9,297	977	952	16,547	13,691	65,395	56,049
19	Weld	7,910	7,734	85	85	3,797	3,192	3,405	3,280	299	277	7,399	6,793	22,895	21,276
20	Boulder	3,544	3,444	1,944	1,944	2,255	1,856	855	801	262	284	2,155	2,061	11,015	8,446
	Boulder-Longmont	1,323	1,370	0	0	1,043	843	451	405	0	0	1,225	1,150	4,042	3,768
	Total	4,867	4,814	1,944	1,944	3,298	2,699	1,306	1,206	262	284	3,380	3,211	15,057	12,214
21	Mesa	4,377	4,650	89	89	3,180	2,808	2,102	2,219	200	188	3,212	2,893	13,160	12,758
22	Dolores	32	29	9	9	38	35	111	104	3	3	39	39	232	210
	Montezuma	530	538	363	363	662	523	374	307	55	52	715	650	2,699	2,070
	Total	562	567	372	372	700	558	485	411	58	55	754	689	2,931	2,280
State Total Without Denver County Court		118,329	117,864	18,899	18,899	61,550	50,519	63,572	60,317	5,364	5,323	104,402	91,288	372,116	325,311
State Total With Denver County Court		141,657	n/a^(a)	27,539	n/a^(a)	70,263	n/a^(a)	n/a^(a)	n/a^(b)	6,325	n/a^(a)	163,811	n/a^(b)	473,167	n/a^(a)

(a) Termination numbers are not available.
(b) Denver County Traffic and Infractions are combined.
(c) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaints.

**Table 29:
Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	2	0	0	5	5	2	7
	Jefferson	55	1	22	88	138	9	45	51	224	134
	Total	55	1	24	90	138	9	50	56	226	141
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	3	5	0	1	9	5	4
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	6	0
	Total	0	0	0	3	11	0	1	11	11	4
4	El Paso	44	1	8	68	224	5	42	0	281	111
	Teller	13	0	2	4	13	1	5	45	29	9
	Total	57	1	10	72	237	6	47	45	310	120
5	Clear Creek	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	8	3	2
	Eagle	2	0	2	0	18	0	3	3	22	3
	Eagle--Basalt	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	0
	Lake	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	2	2
	Summit	1	0	0	0	32	1	3	5	34	3
Total	6	0	2	2	57	1	8	16	66	10	
6	Archuleta	1	0	2	1	10	0	2	18	13	3
	La Plata	7	1	2	9	2	1	11	37	12	21
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	8	1	4	10	13	1	13	55	26	24
7	Delta	3	0	0	2	4	0	1	3	7	3
	Gunnison	1	0	0	0	11	0	0	20	12	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	0	4	0	32	2	2	24	39	2
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	3	1
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	7	3	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	2	5	1
	Total	6	0	4	4	57	2	3	57	69	7
8	Jackson	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Larimer	12	0	0	21	48	0	13	14	60	34
	Larimer-Loveland	4	0	1	9	12	0	9	0	17	18
	Total	19	0	1	30	60	0	22	14	80	52

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 29:
Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
9	Garfield	8	0	0	0	39	0	7	11	47	7
	Garfield-Rifle	7	0	0	2	11	0	1	6	18	3
	Pitkin	2	0	0	1	25	1	0	21	28	1
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	4	0
	Total	17	0	0	3	80	1	8	40	98	11
10	Pueblo	117	0	2	42	55	0	10	17	174	52
11	Chaffee	3	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	6	1
	Custer	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	7	2	1
	Fremont	7	0	4	12	11	0	5	13	22	17
	Park	2	0	6	1	12	0	2	7	20	3
		Total	13	0	12	15	24	1	7	28	50
12	Alamosa	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	4	0
	Conejos	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	5	5	1
	Costilla	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	2	5	2	1	4	7	3
	Saguache	2	0	0	0	4	0	1	6	6	1
	Total	5	1	0	4	14	3	4	18	22	9
13	Kit Carson	2	0	0	2	5	0	0	2	7	2
	Logan	20	0	1	4	10	1	3	3	32	7
	Morgan	21	0	3	4	36	4	2	9	64	6
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	3	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	3	1
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Yuma	2	0	4	0	3	0	0	3	9	0
	Total	45	0	9	12	59	5	6	18	118	18
14	Grand	0	0	0	2	8	0	3	8	8	5
	Moffat	10	0	1	6	16	0	0	4	27	6
	Routt	1	0	3	9	15	5	7	5	24	16
		Total	11	0	4	17	39	5	10	17	59



*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

**Table 29:
Court and Jury Trials for County Court
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
	Cheyenne	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0
	Prowers	2	0	0	2	14	2	1	5	18	3
	Total	4	0	0	2	15	3	1	35	22	3
16	Bent	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	4	1
	Crowley	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	9	0
	Otero	2	0	0	3	20	2	3	11	24	6
	Total	5	0	0	4	30	2	3	14	37	7
17	Adams	68	1	0	58	95	0	45	8	163	104
	Broomfield	3	0	0	9	6	0	12	0	9	21
	Total	71	1	0	67	101	0	57	8	172	125
18	Arapahoe	0	0	2	42	0	2	37	3	4	79
	Arapahoe-Littleton	44	1	0	0	72	0	0	0	116	1
	Douglas	17	1	5	15	34	8	13	23	64	29
	Elbert	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	8	3	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	4	2	1
Total	62	2	7	58	109	11	50	38	189	110	
19	Weld	20	0	0	54	58	1	26	65	79	80
20	Boulder	17	1	6	29	50	2	16	14	75	46
	Boulder-Longmont	7	0	1	7	0	1	4	5	9	11
	Total	24	1	7	36	50	3	20	19	84	57
21	Mesa	30	0	3	18	39	1	16	89	73	34
22	Dolores	5	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	8	0
	Montezuma	2	0	0	2	8	1	1	1	11	3
	Total	7	0	0	2	11	1	1	1	19	3
State Total		582	8	89	545	1,257	56	363	661	1,984	916

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

Table 29 Charts
Number of Court and Jury Trials Held in County Court
Comparison Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020




**Table 30:
DUI/DWAI Filings by District
Fiscal Year 2011 to Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20	Totals
1	2,152	1,902	1,982	2,054	2,068	1,997	1,987	2,110	1,941	1,626	19,819
Denver County^(a)	3,064	2,932	2,692	2,371	2,597	2,612	1,940	1,940	1,828	1,288	35,627
3	219	147	164	155	137	137	127	116	152	166	1,520
4	3,067	2,871	2,757	2,572	2,768	2,390	2,381	2,597	2,847	2,780	27,030
5	910	927	869	874	889	963	884	888	907	763	8,874
6	475	477	473	458	472	585	547	454	450	411	4,802
7	572	532	522	504	509	557	562	502	503	410	5,173
8	1,656	1,623	1,811	1,778	1,539	1,464	1,419	1,502	1,753	1,615	16,160
9	654	687	584	604	494	653	647	672	641	537	6,173
10	828	671	629	634	542	559	432	483	464	466	5,708
11	328	319	344	340	357	344	350	396	427	315	3,520
12	550	420	336	320	300	269	203	239	237	153	3,027
13	325	376	388	378	361	298	269	266	273	285	3,219
14	469	403	360	399	372	405	392	496	387	305	3,988
15	136	151	128	101	107	146	79	80	93	144	1,165
16	195	137	128	168	177	89	114	141	127	120	1,396
17	3,121	3,164	3,021	3,029	2,718	2,487	2,267	2,264	2,241	1,903	26,215
18	3,547	3,605	4,053	4,016	3,866	3,298	3,023	2,428	2,130	2,066	11,524
19	1,334	1,139	1,118	1,205	1,178	1,067	1,077	1,252	1,122	1,032	11,524
20	1,364	1,341	1,518	1,250	1,204	1,117	951	909	883	618	11,155
21	866	651	587	775	751	638	550	520	484	490	6,312
22	178	212	212	139	138	143	196	169	147	147	1,681
State Totals Without Denver County Court	22,946	21,755	21,984	21,753	20,947	19,606	18,457	18,484	18,209	16,352	200,493
State Totals	26,010	31,442	24,676	31,055	30,958	31,472	20,397	20,424	20,037	17,640	254,111

(a) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes

TABLE 30 CHART
DUI/DWAI NEW TRAFFIC CASE FILINGS
FISCAL YEAR 2011 THROUGH FISCAL YEAR 2020
(STATE TOTAL INCLUDES DENVER COUNTY COURT)



**Table 31:
County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and Foreign					Name		Protection Order Domestic		Total
		Extreme Risk	Detainer	Judgment	Money	Change	Other	Order	Abuse	Replevin	
1	Gilpin	1	15	0	64	1	4	13	13	0	111
	Jefferson	0	2,935	17	8,540	286	97	826	342	41	13,084
	Total	1	2,950	17	8,604	287	101	839	355	41	13,195
3	Huerfano	0	18	2	81	1	4	24	19	1	150
	Las Animas	0	29	0	178	8	4	150	4	5	378
	Total	0	47	2	259	9	8	174	23	6	528
4	El Paso	0	4,315	10	10,882	352	27	394	2,192	30	18,202
	Teller	0	21	0	266	9	0	62	24	1	383
	Total	0	4,336	10	11,148	361	27	456	2,216	31	18,585
5	Clear Creek	0	29	0	65	4	2	25	0	1	126
	Eagle	0	64	1	211	12	4	57	45	3	397
	Eagle-Basalt	0	8	0	7	2	0	4	3	0	24
	Lake	0	16	0	104	2	1	13	1	2	139
	Summit	0	29	1	131	6	0	43	27	0	237
	Total	0	146	2	518	26	7	142	76	6	923
6	Archuleta	0	22	0	100	4	17	47	27	0	217
	La Plata	0	99	3	625	29	4	163	21	2	946
	San Juan	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Total	0	121	3	727	33	21	211	48	2	1,166
7	Delta	0	104	1	465	10	2	46	48	6	682
	Gunnison	1	9	0	70	3	3	35	10	0	131
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
	Montrose	0	119	0	508	11	10	73	77	12	810
	Montrose-Nucla	0	17	0	3	0	1	25	6	2	54
	Ouray	0	13	0	20	1	2	10	5	0	51
	San Miguel	0	5	0	30	8	0	20	1	0	64
	Total	1	267	1	1,102	34	18	209	147	20	1,799

**Table 31:
County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and Foreign					Name Change			Protection Order		Total
		Extreme Risk	Detainer	Judgment	Money	Other	Protection Order	Domestic Abuse	Replevin			
8	Jackson	0	6	0	9	0	0	1	1	0	17	
	Larimer	0	830	4	3,371	153	10	295	98	6	4,767	
	Larimer-Loveland	0	281	0	1,274	27	3	36	51	7	1,679	
	Total	0	1,117	4	4,654	180	13	332	150	13	6,463	
9	Garfield	0	37	0	427	14	6	63	23	1	571	
	Garfield-Rifle	0	103	0	636	1	1	46	65	1	853	
	Pitkin	0	15	1	85	6	3	23	7	0	140	
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	4	0	43	1	1	3	3	0	55	
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	4	0	20	0	0	7	2	0	33	
	Total	0	163	1	1,211	22	11	142	100	2	1,652	
10	Pueblo	1	926	1	3,816	70	21	611	158	21	5,625	
11	Chaffee	0	28	1	164	6	3	21	5	2	230	
	Custer	0	3	0	41	1	1	7	2	0	55	
	Fremont	0	144	1	591	19	2	59	129	6	951	
	Park	0	31	1	159	7	13	40	9	1	261	
	Total	0	206	3	955	33	19	127	145	9	1,497	
	Alamosa	2	58	1	262	4	3	113	20	3	466	
	Conejos	0	13	0	104	1	0	27	5	1	151	
12	Costilla	0	2	0	43	0	15	22	2	1	85	
	Mineral	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	7	
	Rio Grande	0	22	1	173	0	1	32	4	3	236	
	Saguache	0	15	0	59	3	4	26	25	3	135	
	Total	2	110	2	647	8	23	220	57	11	1,080	
	Kit Carson	0	10	0	94	0	0	9	2	0	115	
13	Logan	0	110	0	241	3	1	39	6	3	403	
	Morgan	0	69	1	417	17	0	68	12	4	588	
	Phillips	0	0	0	56	0	0	4	4	0	64	
	Sedgwick	0	6	0	31	0	0	1	7	0	45	
	Washington	0	12	0	46	3	0	10	2	2	75	
	Yuma	0	10	0	140	2	1	24	1	2	180	
	Total	0	217	1	1,025	25	2	155	34	11	1,470	
14	Grand	0	24	0	69	7	0	40	3	0	143	
	Moffat	0	55	1	185	4	2	15	4	0	266	
	Routt	0	20	1	144	6	1	31	10	1	214	
	Total	0	99	2	398	17	3	86	17	1	623	

**Table 31:
County Court Civil Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Forcible Entry and Detainer		Foreign Judgment	Name Change	Other	Protection Order	Protection Order Domestic Abuse		Total	
		Extreme Risk	Replevin								
15	Baca	0	3	0	33	2	0	14	10	0	62
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	23	0	0	4	0	0	28
	Kiowa	0	2	0	12	0	0	1	0	0	15
	Prowers	0	29	0	172	1	13	61	20	0	296
	Total	0	35	0	240	3	13	80	30	0	401
16	Bent	0	21	0	72	0	0	22	14	0	129
	Crowley	0	9	0	42	3	8	10	5	1	78
	Otero	0	86	0	355	9	2	79	64	3	598
	Total	0	116	0	469	12	10	111	83	4	805
17	Adams	1	4,529	6	10,926	228	227	361	779	56	17,113
	Broomfield	0	305	0	835	38	5	51	43	2	1,279
	Total	1	4,834	6	11,761	266	232	412	822	58	18,392
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	0	0	0	0	0	6	780	300	0	1,086
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	6,799	23	12,265	318	46	203	22	39	19,715
	Douglas	0	923	7	3,760	132	27	186	132	7	5,174
	Elbert	0	16	0	272	6	1	27	9	0	331
	Lincoln	0	13	0	45	3	0	22	20	0	103
	Total	0	7,751	30	16,342	459	80	1,218	483	46	26,409
19	Weld	0	1,202	3	5,577	134	97	325	542	30	7,910
20	Boulder	3	642	5	2,176	169	27	349	158	15	3,544
	Boulder-Longmont	1	112	1	898	55	14	151	76	15	1,323
	Total	4	754	6	3,074	224	41	500	234	30	4,867
21	Mesa	0	643	5	2,885	68	2	382	375	17	4,377
22	Dolores	0	3	0	14	2	0	12	1	0	32
	Montezuma	0	69	1	316	5	1	90	44	4	530
	Total	0	72	1	330	7	1	102	45	4	562
State Total		10	26,112	100	75,742	2,278	750	6,834	6,140	363	118,329
Percent of State Total		0%	22%	0.08%	64%	2%	0.63%	6%	5%	0.31%	100%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
1	Gilpin	9	6	1	10	0	7	9	1	0
	Jefferson	48	229	5	259	2	242	157	19	36
	<i>Total</i>	57	235	6	269	2	249	166	20	36
3	Huerfano	8	6	3	14	8	5	7	0	0
	Las Animas	4	2	0	19	0	4	22	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	12	8	3	33	8	9	29	0	0
4	El Paso	274	145	10	475	0	244	250	230	0
	Teller	7	12	0	11	0	9	6	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	281	157	10	486	0	253	256	234	0
5	Clear Creek	6	19	0	19	1	6	5	7	0
	Eagle	53	13	1	33	7	11	32	2	0
	Eagle-Basalt	3	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
	Lake	10	12	0	9	1	4	1	0	0
	Summit	39	17	2	32	8	4	20	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	111	61	3	95	17	25	59	10	0
6	Archuleta	13	29	0	11	22	1	8	3	0
	La Plata	16	80	0	58	13	10	30	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	29	109	0	69	35	11	38	3	0
7	Delta	9	45	2	39	1	13	14	1	0
	Gunnison	6	10	0	22	11	7	1	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	13	39	0	30	9	22	28	1	0
	Montrose-Nucla	1	1	0	7	0	0	3	2	0
	Ouray	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	0	0
	San Miguel	6	3	1	8	6	2	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	36	100	3	109	27	46	51	4	0

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Domestic Violence	Drugs	Escape	Fare Evasion	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Habitual Traffic	Harassment
1	Gilpin	54	17	0	0	8	131	54	18	7
	Jefferson	1,634	567	59	0	3	5	0	350	128
	Total	1,688	584	59	0	11	136	54	368	135
3	Huerfano	31	24	1	0	0	0	0	9	18
	Las Animas	50	37	0	0	0	0	0	8	16
	Total	81	61	1	0	0	0	0	17	34
4	El Paso	2,890	622	3	0	3	38	0	210	246
	Teller	80	43	0	0	0	9	64	16	13
	Total	2,970	665	3	0	3	47	64	226	259
5	Clear Creek	33	5	0	0	0	2	0	13	4
	Eagle	131	29	0	0	2	1	0	10	35
	Eagle-Basalt	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Lake	48	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Summit	140	17	0	0	0	0	0	7	25
	Total	364	62	0	0	2	3	0	30	77
6	Archuleta	60	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	27
	La Plata	114	28	0	0	0	1	0	4	41
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	176	33	0	0	0	1	0	8	68
7	Delta	76	50	2	0	0	4	0	31	27
	Gunnison	53	9	1	0	0	0	0	7	19
	Hinsdale	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	198	41	2	0	1	0	0	50	14
	Montrose-Nucla	17	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	8
	Ouray	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	4
	San Miguel	21	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	10
	Total	384	111	5	0	1	5	0	96	82

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)		Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing							
1	Gilpin	1	0	35	0	0	41	1	0
	Jefferson	17	0	593	0	1	620	4	92
	<i>Total</i>	18	0	628	0	1	661	5	92
3	Huerfano	2	0	8	0	0	28	2	4
	Las Animas	7	0	10	0	0	40	1	40
	<i>Total</i>	9	0	18	0	0	68	3	44
4	El Paso	47	0	525	1	4	285	50	178
	Teller	1	0	80	0	0	67	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	48	0	605	1	4	352	50	178
5	Clear Creek	1	0	10	0	0	45	0	16
	Eagle	7	0	31	0	0	63	0	24
	Eagle-Basalt	1	0	4	0	0	8	1	1
	Lake	2	0	9	0	0	14	0	9
	Summit	3	0	40	0	0	46	1	47
	<i>Total</i>	14	0	94	0	0	176	2	97
6	Archuleta	1	0	27	0	0	38	1	5
	La Plata	3	0	52	0	0	149	3	8
	San Juan	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	91	0	0	187	4	13
7	Delta	0	0	48	0	0	79	1	30
	Gunnison	0	0	19	0	0	50	2	11
	Hinsdale	0	0	15	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	44	0	0	166	3	20
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	1
	Ouray	0	0	7	0	0	10	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	22	0	0	12	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	155	0	0	325	8	62

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age			Total
					Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
1	Gilpin	2	40	20	7	3	17	499
	Jefferson	87	737	194	252	111	253	6,704
	Total	89	777	214	259	114	270	7,203
3	Huerfano	0	8	20	2	3	22	233
	Las Animas	1	54	16	1	7	47	386
	Total	1	62	36	3	10	69	619
4	El Paso	98	726	314	200	87	13	8,168
	Teller	2	21	11	0	20	7	483
	Total	100	747	325	200	107	20	8,651
5	Clear Creek	1	3	6	9	6	38	255
	Eagle	3	22	27	86	10	13	646
	Eagle-Basalt	0	1	2	3	0	1	46
	Lake	0	5	2	6	2	7	160
	Summit	2	48	27	24	4	9	562
	Total	6	79	64	128	22	68	1,669
6	Archuleta	1	3	11	3	0	12	285
	La Plata	7	61	25	5	12	10	730
	San Juan	0	1	1	0	0	0	17
	Total	8	65	37	8	12	22	1,032
7	Delta	3	30	14	21	7	4	551
	Gunnison	1	13	17	5	6	13	283
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	1	1	4	25
	Montrose	6	24	13	62	16	9	813
	Montrose-Nucla	0	3	2	3	0	5	64
	Ouray	0	2	4	0	3	3	64
	San Miguel	1	7	7	9	6	2	139
	Total	11	79	57	101	39	40	1,939

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
8	Jackson	1	2	0	1	7	1	0	0	0
	Larimer	208	79	1	176	31	53	135	1	0
	Larimer-Loveland	111	84	0	69	12	26	32	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>320</i>	<i>165</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>246</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	52	22	2	33	1	6	18	0	0
	Garfield-Rifle	53	56	2	16	0	10	11	1	0
	Pitkin	46	8	0	18	0	0	5	1	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	11	3	0	4	0	5	2	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>164</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	9	109	0	142	0	68	45	17	6
11	Chaffee	25	39	0	16	5	5	15	0	0
	Custer	0	2	0	6	1	2	4	1	0
	Fremont	9	35	0	64	0	16	30	1	0
	Park	18	21	0	14	0	4	4	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>
12	Alamosa	2	7	2	22	0	17	10	1	0
	Conejos	0	9	0	6	0	0	3	1	0
	Costilla	1	1	0	4	0	2	2	0	0
	Mineral	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	6	6	0	12	0	9	3	0	0
	Saguache	3	3	0	7	0	2	11	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	
13	Kit Carson	6	3	0	6	0	2	1	0	0
	Logan	27	2	1	20	1	13	17	2	0
	Morgan	33	8	1	27	0	7	8	0	0
	Phillips	3	0	0	5	0	3	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	4	0	2	2	1	0	0	0
	Washington	2	0	0	6	0	2	2	0	0
	Yuma	5	5	0	7	1	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	12	14	1	19	0	6	14	3	0
	Moffat	2	15	0	15	1	3	4	3	0
	Routt	11	11	0	13	1	8	16	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Domestic Violence	Drugs	Escape	Fare Evasion	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Habitual Traffic	Harassment
8	Jackson	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	484	324	4	0	2	7	0	111	118
	Larimer-Loveland	348	193	0	0	1	5	0	113	38
	Total	844	518	4	0	3	12	0	224	156
9	Garfield	91	34	0	0	1	3	0	12	29
	Garfield-Rifle	195	52	0	0	1	0	0	16	23
	Pitkin	31	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	7	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
	Total	330	94	0	0	2	4	0	28	86
10	Pueblo	880	58	11	0	1	6	0	82	45
11	Chaffee	93	36	0	0	1	2	0	1	19
	Custer	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	Fremont	167	34	0	0	0	12	0	24	40
	Park	59	15	0	0	0	0	0	12	9
	Total	323	85	0	0	1	15	0	37	72
12	Alamosa	84	13	5	0	0	7	0	38	15
	Conejos	31	6	0	0	0	3	0	3	9
	Costilla	17	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Rio Grande	56	22	1	0	1	9	0	12	17
	Saguache	10	3	1	0	0	2	0	9	6
Total	198	48	7	0	1	21	0	65	53	
13	Kit Carson	22	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	8
	Logan	101	36	1	0	2	0	0	22	10
	Morgan	124	14	1	0	1	2	0	24	12
	Phillips	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	Sedgwick	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Washington	14	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	9
	Yuma	15	13	0	0	0	1	0	5	4
	Total	296	72	2	0	3	5	0	54	52
14	Grand	86	9	0	0	0	1	0	7	13
	Moffat	35	17	0	0	0	0	0	8	7
	Routt	89	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	25
	Total	210	41	0	0	0	1	0	17	45

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)		Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing							
8	Jackson	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	3
	Larimer	7	0	337	0	19	291	13	121
	Larimer-Loveland	11	0	148	1	4	170	4	36
	Total	18	0	487	1	23	464	17	160
9	Garfield	4	0	17	0	1	69	3	16
	Garfield-Rifle	3	0	30	0	0	110	3	24
	Pitkin	2	0	17	0	0	25	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	3	0	0	10	0	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	1	0	3	0	0	11	1	0
Total	10	0	70	0	1	225	7	40	
10	Pueblo	11	0	70	2	1	560	2	18
11	Chaffee	10	0	184	0	0	36	1	4
	Custer	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0
	Fremont	6	0	47	0	1	113	1	40
	Park	1	0	43	0	0	23	1	3
	Total	17	0	281	0	1	179	3	47
12	Alamosa	2	0	37	0	0	105	0	11
	Conejos	1	2	11	0	0	22	0	1
	Costilla	4	0	10	0	0	17	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	1	10	1	0	44	1	18
	Saguache	1	0	9	0	0	17	0	14
Total	11	3	78	1	0	205	1	44	
13	Kit Carson	1	0	6	0	0	12	0	1
	Logan	0	0	36	0	0	69	0	2
	Morgan	1	0	37	0	1	141	1	2
	Phillips	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	3	0	0	3	0	0
	Washington	0	0	3	0	0	9	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	8	0	0	23	1	0
Total	2	1	96	0	1	262	2	5	
14	Grand	6	0	21	0	0	32	1	27
	Moffat	1	0	23	0	0	51	0	3
	Routt	1	0	28	0	1	47	2	18
	Total	8	0	72	0	1	130	3	48

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Trespass	Under Age			Total
					Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
8	Jackson	0	3	2	0	0	17	55
	Larimer	41	384	202	38	33	31	3,251
	Larimer-Loveland	11	185	38	12	17	176	1,845
	Total	52	572	242	50	50	224	5,151
9	Garfield	2	13	12	15	9	5	470
	Garfield-Rifle	3	18	17	81	4	29	758
	Pitkin	1	18	15	0	2	3	224
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	2	6	1	1	2	60
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	1	1	2	3	0	4	46
	Total	7	52	52	100	16	43	1,558
10	Pueblo	38	170	46	41	32	117	2,587
11	Chaffee	0	67	26	24	5	14	628
	Custer	0	4	1	23	0	6	73
	Fremont	17	121	31	21	8	13	851
	Park	0	4	12	8	6	53	312
	Total	17	196	70	76	19	86	1,864
12	Alamosa	4	29	10	3	5	0	429
	Conejos	2	2	11	0	3	1	127
	Costilla	0	7	5	1	3	1	86
	Mineral	0	0	6	0	0	4	19
	Rio Grande	0	34	9	1	2	2	280
	Saguache	0	19	11	3	1	9	141
	Total	6	91	52	8	14	17	1,082
13	Kit Carson	1	6	4	3	0	3	87
	Logan	6	17	7	8	7	29	436
	Morgan	1	25	12	15	2	38	538
	Phillips	0	5	3	5	2	0	52
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	1	1	2	40
	Washington	0	3	2	3	0	1	62
	Yuma	2	4	4	12	1	3	115
	Total	10	60	33	47	13	76	1,330
14	Grand	2	18	25	17	9	28	371
	Moffat	3	5	9	10	7	26	248
	Routt	4	13	14	74	11	39	445
	Total	9	36	48	101	27	93	1,064

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Alcohol	Animal Violation	Arson	Assault	Bail Violation	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals	Curfew Violation
15	Baca	1	2	0	11	0	2	1	1	0
	Cheyenne	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
	Kiowa	6	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Prowers	26	7	1	12	1	6	8	2	0
	Total	35	10	1	24	1	9	11	4	0
16	Bent	8	1	1	12	0	1	5	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	0	0
	Otero	17	21	1	33	3	8	16	2	0
	Total	25	22	2	51	3	11	22	2	0
17	Adams	320	150	9	189	1	211	107	0	0
	Broomfield	10	0	0	29	0	17	9	1	0
	Total	330	150	9	218	1	228	116	1	0
18	Arapahoe	167	57	5	212	2	197	122	2	3
	Douglas	115	99	3	85	0	50	58	30	55
	Elbert	1	17	0	9	0	3	1	0	0
	Lincoln	7	0	0	6	2	1	3	0	0
	Total	290	173	8	312	4	251	184	32	58
19	Weld	31	49	5	207	4	120	77	10	0
20	Boulder	28	33	4	135	10	47	73	7	0
	Boulder-Longmont	12	16	2	48	10	28	43	0	1
	Total	40	49	6	183	20	75	116	7	1
21	Mesa	65	150	5	129	5	62	72	3	0
22	Dolores	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
	Montezuma	19	12	2	40	25	23	22	1	0
	Total	20	13	2	40	25	24	23	3	0
State Total		2,021	1,839	73	2,956	215	1,646	1,614	369	102
Percent of State Total		3%	3%	0.12%	5%	0%	3%	3%	1%	0.17%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Domestic Violence	Drugs	Escape	Fare Evasion	Forgery	Fraud	Gambling	Habitual Traffic	Harassment
15	Baca	19	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	3
	Cheyenne	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
	Kiowa	7	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Prowers	86	13	0	0	0	5	0	11	28
	Total	115	25	0	0	0	7	0	18	32
16	Bent	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	8
	Crowley	14	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
	Otero	104	10	1	0	0	7	0	27	12
	Total	142	20	1	0	0	7	0	33	25
17	Adams	1,200	584	19	0	4	9	0	560	75
	Broomfield	148	36	0	0	0	1	0	64	18
	Total	1,348	620	19	0	4	10	0	624	93
18	Arapahoe	789	220	34	0	4	4	0	281	91
	Douglas	529	199	0	0	1	5	0	74	47
	Elbert	33	7	0	0	0	0	0	5	2
	Lincoln	24	8	0	0	0	0	0	5	4
	Total	1,375	434	34	0	5	9	0	365	144
19	Weld	811	246	42	0	1	1	0	384	69
20	Boulder	344	61	15	0	0	3	0	45	83
	Boulder-Longmont	237	30	2	0	0	3	0	36	42
	Total	581	91	17	0	0	6	0	81	125
21	Mesa	478	259	9	0	3	2	0	84	101
22	Dolores	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	124	20	0	0	0	1	0	7	24
	Total	131	23	0	0	0	1	0	7	26
State Total		13,725	4,150	214	0	41	299	118	2,848	1,779
Percent of State Total		22%	7%	0.35%	0.00%	0.07%	0%	0.19%	5%	3%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)		Other	Perjury	Prostitution	Protection Order Violation	Public Indecency	Public Peace and Order
		Menacing							
15	Baca	0	1	2	0	0	9	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
	Kiowa	0	0	4	0	0	16	0	0
	Prowers	2	0	14	0	0	24	3	51
	Total	2	1	21	0	0	50	5	53
16	Bent	5	0	8	0	0	46	1	5
	Crowley	0	1	19	0	0	8	0	3
	Otero	7	0	14	0	0	111	2	13
	Total	12	1	41	0	0	165	3	21
17	Adams	9	0	350	0	1	915	30	94
	Broomfield	0	0	21	0	0	114	0	10
	Total	9	0	371	0	1	1,029	30	104
18	Arapahoe	15	0	297	0	4	789	17	99
	Douglas	8	0	306	0	0	416	6	58
	Elbert	0	0	12	0	0	18	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	6	0	0	18	0	6
	Total	23	0	621	0	4	1,241	23	163
19	Weld	7	0	295	0	3	626	6	68
20	Boulder	13	0	116	0	1	250	7	129
	Boulder-Longmont	9	0	78	0	0	208	5	31
	Total	22	0	194	0	1	458	12	160
21	Mesa	19	0	199	0	1	465	5	72
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	1
	Montezuma	3	0	29	0	0	170	3	49
	Total	3	0	30	0	0	180	3	50
State Total		270	6	4,517	5	43	8,008	194	1,539
Percent of State Total		0.44%	0.010%	7%	0.01%	0.07%	13%	0.32%	3%

**Table 32:
County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Under Age					Total
			Theft	Trespass	Alcohol Offense	Weapon	Wildlife	
15	Baca	1	1	2	0	0	3	66
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	0	16
	Kiowa	1	1	1	1	1	2	57
	Prowers	2	74	33	34	3	3	449
	Total	4	76	36	35	5	8	588
16	Bent	2	7	7	4	2	19	173
	Crowley	1	6	2	13	1	2	93
	Otero	6	49	19	47	8	2	540
	Total	9	62	28	64	11	23	806
17	Adams	77	180	148	32	58	14	5,346
	Broomfield	3	95	5	0	4	0	585
	Total	80	275	153	32	62	14	5,931
18	Arapahoe	63	437	104	201	48	99	4,363
	Douglas	11	352	53	231	27	83	2,901
	Elbert	1	8	4	0	3	0	124
	Lincoln	3	2	3	13	0	2	113
	Total	78	799	164	445	78	184	7,501
19	Weld	79	339	98	109	59	51	3,797
20	Boulder	68	454	121	172	15	21	2,255
	Boulder-Longmont	34	85	40	37	2	4	1,043
	Total	102	539	161	209	17	25	3,298
21	Mesa	45	338	413	122	31	43	3,180
22	Dolores	0	4	2	0	1	1	38
	Montezuma	0	31	14	18	10	15	662
	Total	0	35	16	18	11	16	700
State Total		751	5,449	2,345	2,156	749	1,509	61,550
Percent of State Total		1%	9%	4%	4%	1%	2%	100%

**Table 33:
County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Covenant	Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Jefferson	0	0	0	555	4	559
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>560</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>564</i>
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	9	0	9
	Las Animas	3	0	1	20	2	26
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>35</i>
4	El Paso	0	0	1	865	3	869
	Teller	2	0	0	15	0	17
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>880</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>886</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	16	0	16
	Eagle	0	0	7	99	0	106
	Eagle-Basalt	1	0	2	4	0	7
	Lake	0	0	0	9	0	9
	Summit	0	0	0	56	0	56
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>194</i>	
6	Archuleta	0	0	1	17	0	18
	La Plata	5	0	13	44	2	64
	San Juan	0	0	3	2	1	6
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>88</i>
7	Delta	0	0	1	36	0	37
	Gunnison	6	3	3	16	1	29
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	6	52	1	59
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Ouray	0	0	0	7	0	7
	San Miguel	1	0	1	11	0	13
<i>Total</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>128</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>151</i>	

**Table 33:
County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of Contract	Covenant	Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	Total
8	Jackson	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Larimer	13	0	16	264	5	298
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	5	44	0	49
	<i>Total</i>	13	0	21	311	5	350
9	Garfield	10	1	16	20	20	67
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	2	28	0	30
	Pitkin	0	0	7	40	1	48
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Rio Blanco-Rangley	0	0	0	6	0	6
	<i>Total</i>	10	1	25	99	21	156
10	Pueblo	9	0	12	171	3	195
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	29	0	29
	Custer	0	1	0	12	0	13
	Fremont	0	0	2	58	0	60
	Park	2	0	5	12	1	20
	<i>Total</i>	2	1	7	111	1	122
12	Alamosa	1	0	2	21	0	24
	Conejos	0	0	0	12	0	12
	Costilla	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	2	4	0	7
	Saguache	0	0	0	7	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	4	49	0	55
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	14	0	14
	Logan	8	3	9	2	0	22
	Morgan	1	0	0	44	0	45
	Phillips	0	0	1	10	0	11
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Washington	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Yuma	0	0	0	8	0	8
	<i>Total</i>	9	3	10	82	0	104
14	Grand	1	0	2	19	0	22
	Moffat	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Routt	7	0	2	22	0	31
	<i>Total</i>	8	0	4	64	0	76

**Table 33:
County Court Small Claims Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Breach of		Landlord			Total
		Contract	Covenant	Tenant	Money	Other	
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	0	25	0	26
	Total	0	1	0	30	0	31
16	Bent	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Crowley	0	0	1	10	0	11
	Otero	0	0	1	32	0	33
	Total	0	0	2	45	0	47
17	Adams	33	0	35	376	13	457
	Broomfield	0	1	0	56	0	57
	Total	33	1	35	432	13	514
18	Arapahoe-Centennial	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Arapahoe-Littleton	63	1	63	590	25	742
	Douglas	2	0	2	211	0	215
	Elbert	1	0	1	12	0	14
	Lincoln	0	0	0	6	0	6
	Total	66	1	66	819	25	977
19	Weld	25	3	28	242	1	299
20	Boulder	17	0	52	176	17	262
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	17	0	52	176	17	262
21	Mesa	1	3	0	196	0	200
22	Dolores	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Montezuma	0	0	1	54	0	55
	Total	0	0	1	57	0	58
State Total		213	17	306	4,728	100	5,364
Percent of State Total		4%	0.32%	6%	88%	2%	100%

**Table 34:
County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
1	Gilpin	146	85	5	279	0	515
	Jefferson	1,848	1,489	47	6,426	0	9,810
	Total	1,994	1,574	52	6,705	0	10,325
3	Huerfano	140	73	7	337	0	557
	Las Animas	114	80	6	536	0	736
	Total	254	153	13	873	0	1,293
4	El Paso	5,022	2,566	46	8,881	0	16,515
	Teller	219	158	10	412	0	799
	Total	5,241	2,724	56	9,293	0	17,314
5	Clear Creek	199	91	6	561	0	857
	Eagle	322	269	15	1,042	0	1,648
	Eagle-Basalt	16	16	0	32	0	64
	Lake	41	35	1	110	0	187
	Summit	251	317	13	781	0	1,362
	Total	829	728	35	2,526	0	4,118
6	Archuleta	93	82	0	193	0	368
	La Plata	306	308	11	648	0	1,273
	San Juan	0	10	0	31	0	41
	Total	399	400	11	872	0	1,682
7	Delta	202	83	1	307	1	594
	Gunnison	35	100	5	155	0	295
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	41	0	43
	Montrose	391	144	8	466	0	1,009
	Montrose-Nucla	17	3	0	20	0	40
	Ouray	58	28	0	107	0	193
	San Miguel	37	36	0	62	0	135
	Total	740	396	14	1,158	1	2,309

**Table 34:
County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
8	Jackson	18	6	0	42	0	66
	Larimer	859	826	22	1,863	0	3,570
	Larimer-Loveland	856	730	31	1,100	0	2,717
	<i>Total</i>	1,733	1,562	53	3,005	0	6,353
9	Garfield	160	204	9	511	0	884
	Garfield-Rifle	319	168	12	442	0	941
	Pitkin	121	119	0	199	0	439
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	28	16	0	72	0	116
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	21	9	0	51	0	81
<i>Total</i>	649	516	21	1,275	0	2,461	
10	Pueblo	1,589	454	12	1,824	0	3,879
11	Chaffee	90	0	2	229	0	321
	Custer	27	33	0	72	0	132
	Fremont	280	200	3	501	0	984
	Park	24	76	1	436	0	537
	<i>Total</i>	421	309	6	1,238	0	1,974
12	Alamosa	225	63	3	297	0	588
	Conejos	49	14	1	97	0	161
	Costilla	90	13	1	195	0	299
	Mineral	17	4	0	131	0	152
	Rio Grande	130	34	2	237	0	403
	Saguache	30	18	0	174	0	222
<i>Total</i>	541	146	7	1,131	0	1,825	
13	Kit Carson	34	25	1	129	0	189
	Logan	212	62	2	414	0	690
	Morgan	230	126	4	544	0	904
	Phillips	27	7	2	65	0	101
	Sedgwick	19	11	1	46	0	77
	Washington	36	6	0	121	0	163
	Yuma	38	35	3	118	0	194
<i>Total</i>	596	272	13	1,437	0	2,318	
14	Grand	158	123	2	264	0	547
	Moffat	131	51	2	165	0	349
	Routt	68	123	4	430	0	625
	<i>Total</i>	357	297	8	859	0	1,521

**Table 34:
County Court Traffic Filings by Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Motor Vehicle	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Total
15	Baca	6	9	0	141	0	156
	Cheyenne	15	4	0	111	0	130
	Kiowa	38	42	1	167	0	248
	Prowers	166	84	4	634	0	888
	Total	225	139	5	1,053	0	1,422
16	Bent	43	18	1	106	0	168
	Crowley	40	14	1	157	0	212
	Otero	190	82	4	334	0	610
	Total	273	114	6	597	0	990
17	Adams	4,297	1,767	10	6,038	0	12,112
	Broomfield	568	125	1	520	0	1,214
	Total	4,865	1,892	11	6,558	0	13,326
18	Arapahoe	3,200	1,268	6	5,439	0	9,913
	Douglas	1,219	686	23	3,713	0	5,641
	Elbert	97	43	0	375	0	515
	Lincoln	71	37	3	367	0	478
	Total	4,587	2,034	32	9,894	0	16,547
19	Weld	2,541	1,007	25	3,826	0	7,399
20	Boulder	592	398	4	1,161	0	2,155
	Boulder-Longmont	415	212	4	594	0	1,225
	Total	1,007	610	8	1,755	0	3,380
21	Mesa	1,125	487	3	1,597	0	3,212
22	Dolores	7	5	1	26	0	39
	Montezuma	156	135	6	418	0	715
	Total	163	140	7	444	0	754
State Total		30,129	15,954	398	57,920	1	104,402
Percent of State Total		29%	15%	0%	55%	0.00%	100%



Section V: Water Court

Water Court Judges

Water Division One – Greeley

South Platte River Basin

James Hartmann, Jr.

Water Division Two – Pueblo

Arkansas River Basin

Larry C. Schwartz

Water Division Three – Alamosa

Rio Grande River Basin

Michael A. Gonzales

Water Division Four – Montrose

Gunnison River Basin

J. Steven Patrick

Water Division Five – Glenwood Springs

Colorado River Basin

James B. Boyd

Water Division Six – Steamboat Springs

White River Basin

Michael A. O'Hara, III

Water Division Seven – Durango

San Juan River Basin

Jeffrey R. Wilson

Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: The South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. A division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court staff each water division.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/Courts/Water/Index.cfm>.

**Table 35:
Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change
Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020**

Division	County		FY2019	FY2020	Percent Change
One	Weld County, Greeley	Filings	319	258	-19%
		Claims	2,936	2,039	-31%
Two	Pueblo County, Pueblo	Filings	92	91	-1%
		Claims	421	489	16%
Three	Alamosa County, Alamosa	Filings	30	51	70%
		Claims	27	90	233%
Four	Montrose County, Montrose	Filings	131	163	24%
		Claims	292	372	27%
Five	Garfield County, Glenwood Springs	Filings	222	203	-9%
		Claims	1,063	1,099	3%
Six	Routt County, Steamboat Springs	Filings	67	68	1%
		Claims	195	209	7%
Seven	La Plata County, Durango	Filings	81	76	-6%
		Claims	201	166	-17%
State Total		Filings	942	910	-3.40%
		Claims	5,135	4,464	-13.07%



Section VI:
Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. ODR provides services, including mediation, early neutral assessment, parenting coordination/decision-making, parenting plan facilitation, family group conferencing, and settlement conferences. In fiscal year 2020 (FY20), ODR contract mediators handled 6,880 cases among Colorado's twenty-two judicial districts including domestic relations, civil, criminal, juvenile, dependency and neglect, child support enforcement, county court, small claims, and probate cases. The overall agreement rate (full or partial) was 51.3%. Mediation services constituted approximately 98.8% percent of ODR's services in FY20. The remaining caseload included limited neutral services in select districts including early neutral assessment, parenting coordination/decision-making, and parenting plan facilitation.

ODR also manages the federal Child Access and Visitation Grant for the State of Colorado providing support and funding for dispute resolution programs targeting never-married parents with the goal of increasing parenting time between non-custodial parents and children.

FY20 was a challenging year due to COVID-19. Faced with this daunting challenge, ODR contract mediators were able to pivot quickly to provide online services via teleconference and telephone to provide continuity for parties and courts. This online pivot required minimal additional training while providing huge value to both parties and the courts as physical courthouse access closed to the public in FY20 for significant periods of time due to COVID-19, apart from criminal proceedings or emergency hearings. Despite these closures, ODR contractors were able to maintain an overall service level at 88% of the FY 19 (7,845) case level. Given this success, ODR is currently exploring more online dispute resolution tools to provide additional online resources for civil litigants.

Diversity of ODR contract services providers has been a priority for ODR in FY20. To this end, ODR participated in an initiative to diversify its contractor mediator pool by working with community mediation centers in Colorado to enhance community-based conflict resolution. ODR also continues to sponsor Early Neutral Assessment training for districts to increase the spectrum of ADR service offerings. Districts continue to consult with ODR for technical assistance in designing and implementing dispute resolution programs, including exploration of online tools, dispute resolution education, and training.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for administrative and program costs. ODR continues to provide reduced-fee services for qualifying indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and continued receipt of the federal Child Access and Visitation Program grant funds. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at www.coloradoODR.org

**Table 36:
ADR Services by Case Type and Disposition Percentage
Fiscal Year 2020**

Case Type	Cases completely or partially resolved	Cases not resolved ¹	Cases served left with proposal ²	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	ADR referred, session not held ³	Total # of cases reported
Domestic - Initial Filings	1,657	672	206	10	179	170	2,894
Percentage	57.3%	23.2%	7.1%	3.0%	6.2%	5.9%	
Domestic - Modifications	897	947	169	13	127	47	2,200
Percentage	40.8%	43.0%	7.7%	0.6%	5.8%	2.1%	
Dependency & Neglect	324	114	51	0	29	16	534
Percentage	60.7%	21.3%	9.6%	0.0%	5.4%	3.0%	
Child Support Enforcement	35	10	0	0	1	23	69
Percentage	50.7%	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	33.3%	
Juvenile	51	9	7	1	2	8	78
Percentage	65.4%	11.5%	9.0%	1.3%	2.6%	10.3%	
District Civil	49	51	31	0	8	6	145
Percentage	33.8%	35.2%	21.4%	0.0%	5.5%	4.1%	
County Civil	298	153	69	0	19	46	585
Percentage	50.9%	26.2%	11.8%	0.0%	3.2%	7.9%	
Criminal	5	2	0	0	0	0	7
Percentage	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Probate	9	5	2	0	0	1	17
Percentage	52.9%	29.4%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	
Collections	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
Percentage	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Small Claims	174	87	1	0	1	34	297
Percentage	58.6%	29.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	11.4%	
Restraining Orders	3	1	0	0	0	0	4
Percentage	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
HOA	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Percentage	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Other	27	10	1	1	1	1	41
Percentage	65.9%	24.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.1%	2.4%	
Total Cases Reported	3,532	2,065	533	25	368	354	6,880
Percentage	51.3%	30.0%	7.7%	0.4%	5.3%	5.1%	100%

¹ No agreement was reached at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

² Parties left with proposed agreement. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.

³ ADR session was not held for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to ADR service, refusal by one party to attend, decision to use another provider, and other.

**Table 37:
ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	Child Support Services	D&N (EPP & Non-EPP)	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Protection Orders	HOA	Other	Total Cases Served
1	300	230	0	0	1	22	40	0	0	0	0	0	2	595
2	157	134	0	209	50	13	47	0	6	0	0	0	1	617
3	20	2	1	0	0	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	31
4	512	463	14	307	5	19	137	1	5	1	1	0	2	1467
5	54	36	1	2		6	13	1	0	1	0	0	1	115
6	4	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
7	23	11	1	1	0	3	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	52
8	155	97	0	0	3	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	264
9	27	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
10	281	148	0	0	0	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	450
11	79	17	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99
12	2	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
13	32	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	42
14	15	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13
17	253	334	6	2	0	4	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	610
18	556	406	45	1	10	29	271	1	2	294	2	2	8	1627
19	133	51	0	0	5	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	201
20	89	87	0	11	2	16	36	1	1	1	0	0	2	246
21	189	113	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	332
22	2	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
State Total	2894	2200	69	534	78	145	585	6	17	297	4	3	48	6880

**Table 38:
Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

Judicial District	Domestic Relations - Initial Filing	Domestic Relations - Modification	Child Support Services	D&N (EPP & Non-EPP)	Juvenile	District Civil	County Civil	Collections	Probate	Small Claims	Protection Orders	HOA	Other	Total Cases Served
1	300	230	0	0	1	22	40	0	0	0	0	0	2	595
2	157	133	0	203	36	13	47	0	6	0	0	0	1	596
3	20	2	1	0	0	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	31
4	512	463	14	307	5	19	137	1	5	1	1	0	2	1467
5	54	36	1	2	0	6	13	1	0	1	0	0	1	115
6	4	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
7	23	8	1	1	0	3	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	49
8	139	94	0	0	3	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	245
9	27	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
10	281	148	0	0	0	10	9	0	1	0	0	0	1	450
11	79	17	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99
12	2	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
13	32	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	42
14	15	24	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	13
17	234	333	6	2	0	4	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	590
18	556	404	45	1	10	29	271	1	2	294	2	2	8	1625
19	132	51	0	0	5	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	200
20	76	82	0	11	2	16	36	1	1	1	0	0	2	228
21	189	113	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	332
22	2	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
State Total	2845	2185	69	528	64	145	585	6	17	297	4	3	48	6796




Section VII:
Office of Language Access

Office of Language Access

The Colorado Judicial Department is committed to providing court users with meaningful access to the courts at no cost, regardless of the language they speak. The Office of Language Access (OLA) provides access to the courts for limited English proficient (LEP) individuals through interpreter services in more than 117 languages during Fiscal Year 2020, and through translations and other bilingual resources.

In Fiscal Year 2019, OLA transitioned to obtaining data through the case management system (JPOD) used by the Colorado Judicial Department for court related data. This transition aligns OLA data for easier comparison and understanding among other court programs and events within the 64 counties across Colorado. OLA data is pulled from scheduled events held.

In Fiscal Year 2020, there were 77,927 events requiring language access. Compared to Fiscal Year 2019, events requiring language access decreased by 0.26 percent. During March 2020, a worldwide pandemic hit Colorado and reduced court events significantly. Even with the reduction of court events due to the Pandemic, we finished FY20 almost even with FY19. The inference can be drawn that if the Pandemic would not have occurred, FY20 would have had language access events far exceeding FY19.



**Table 39:
Number of Events Requiring Language Access by Language**

Judicial District	Spanish	Mandarin	Vietnamese	Russian	Arabic	Somali	Burmese	French	Nepali	Korean	Remaining Events with Interpreter	Total Events with Interpreters
1	3,689	100	174	126	47	23	24	2	22	44	552	4,803
2	5,052	38	113	21	107	44	47	81	43	10	550	6,106
3	87	1	1								7	96
4	7,507	50	55	48	53	4	9	8	3	102	656	8,495
5	4,081	1	3	26		6	17	4	8	19	72	4,237
6	288				1		4				15	308
7	1,536	5	68					4			208	1,821
8	2,559	46	27	10	27	3	18	5	1	18	454	3,168
9	3,525	11		16	6				2	5	34	3,599
10	1,068	16	19	1							168	1,272
11	127		3	7					3		30	170
12	715	1									73	789
13	2,006	1	9	2	28	74	6			1	168	2,295

**Table 39:
Number of Events Requiring Language Access by Language**

Judicial District	Spanish	Mandarin	Vietnamese	Russian	Arabic	Somali	Burmese	French	Nepali	Korean	Remaining Events with Interpreter	Total Events with Interpreters
14	359	5	7								35	406
15	553	3									18	574
16	217	1	4		5						87	314
17	11,463	127	110	80	15	33	36	37	124	11	500	12,536
18	8,985	243	155	228	189	77	143	196	67	134	1,300	11,717
19	9,167	81	10	13	21	239	163	36	82	9	902	10,723
20	2,811	85	22	14	10		21	13	4	1	132	3,113
21	950	38	10	4							43	1,045
22	61	3									70	134
Total	66,806	856	790	596	509	503	488	386	359	354	6,074	77,721



Section VIII: Financial Information

Financial Information

In Fiscal Year 2020, the Judicial Department's (Courts and Probation) budget was \$625.2 million (\$393.1 million in General Fund, \$171.3 million cash funds, \$50.3 million reappropriated funds and \$4.4 million federal funds) and 3,928.0 full-time equivalent staff. This is an increase of \$53.3 million from the FY2019 budget. Major components of the increase are SB19-043 which created 15.0 new District Court Judge positions statewide for \$6.0 million; \$17.2 million increase in statewide common policy adjustments; \$5.1 million increase in IT Infrastructure spending; \$4.6 million increase for the initial funding of the Ralph L Carr Building Maintenance Fund and an increase of \$12.3 million in Probation and Related Services due to budget clarification measures that results in additional reappropriated funds.


In Fiscal Year 2020, personnel costs of \$302.4 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$65.3 million; operating costs \$18.0 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$30.4 million and \$16.9 million was appropriated for mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining amounts were appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, offender services and treatment, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

FY 2020 Courts and Probation Budget Appropriation


Personal Services (non-Judge)	\$	241,007,478	38.5%
Personal Services (Judge)	\$	61,390,632	9.8%
Benefits	\$	65,304,025	10.4%
Operating	\$	18,008,446	2.9%
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$	16,990,255	2.7%
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$	30,425,000	4.9%
Offender Treatment and Services Funding	\$	36,285,591	5.8%
Federal Funds & Other Grants	\$	8,500,000	1.4%
Other	\$	147,379,431	23.6%
	\$	625,290,858	100%

The Judicial Branch's total FY 2020 appropriation of \$832.1 million (including the Courts and Probation, Office of the State Public Defender, Office of the Alternate Defense Counsel, Office of the Child's Representative, Independent Ethics Commission, Office of Public Guardianship and District Attorney Mandated Costs) represented 2.6% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget. The Courts and Probation's FY2020 appropriation of \$625M represented 1.95% of the State's total appropriated budget.


**Chart F:
FY 2020 Total Appropriation
(All Funds)**




**Chart G:
FY 2020 Judicial Appropriation by Agency**



**Chart H:
FY 2020 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category**



**Chart I:
FY 2020 Judicial Appropriation Major Function**



Collections & Revenue

In Fiscal Year 2020, the state courts throughout Colorado processed \$203.6 million in revenue. Over fifty different statutory funds and purposes are supported by these revenues, including the General Fund, Highway Users Trust Fund, Judicial Stabilization Fund, Correctional Treatment Cash Fund, Offender Services Fund, and many others. Specifically, \$24.8 million was received by the Department and transferred to other state departments for treatment, rehabilitation and other social services programs administered by the State. Over \$7.6 million was received by the Department and transferred to municipal and county governments, and the Division of Motor Vehicles, to support local programs and operations. Approximately \$52.6 million (26%) of the total went to crime victim related programs, including \$32.9 million in restitution and interest for victims of crime.

As victim restitution remains a priority, the other driving factor for the Collections Program is client success. At the onset of the Covid-19 Pandemic, the Department moved quickly to implement proactive strategies supporting affected clients by suspending penalties for non-payment and other enforcement measures. These modifications will remain in effect for the duration of the pandemic, and program staff continues to work with clients to establish plans based on their individual circumstances and ability to pay.

Additionally, the collections program continues to offer education, resources and tools to improve budgeting and employment skills, promoting financial accountability and management. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other Department personnel play key roles in the collection process, including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators and district attorneys, as well as other State and local criminal justice agencies.

Restitution recovery remains a priority for the Colorado courts. Included in this annual report are tables that show, by judicial district, restitution collections over the last four years, as well as total amounts assessed in restitution and funds paid to-date toward those obligations for sentences during Fiscal Years 2016 through 2020 (5 years).

**Table 40:
Collections and Revenue**

CATEGORY	Fiscal Year 2020
GENERAL FUND	
Civil Action Tax and General Fund Civil Fees	\$ 253,202
Miscellaneous Criminal Costs, Forfeitures, and Related	\$ 2,932,852
Miscellaneous Fees/Revenue	\$ 522,973
Public Defender Fees (Including Parental Refusal Fees)	\$ 532,890
Seized Asset Forfeitures: 1% Statutory Share	\$ 12,334
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 79,901
Subtotal	\$ 4,334,152
Percentage of Total	2%
HIGHWAY USERS TRUST FUND	
D.U.I. Fines (HUTF Portion)	\$ 1,735,678
Highway Construction Workers Safety Fund	\$ 58,192
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 7,989,280
Wildlife Crossing Zones Safety Account	\$ 3,520
Subtotal	\$ 9,786,670
Percentage of Total	5%
VICTIM RESTITUTION AND PROGRAM FUNDS	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 30,320,785
Interest on Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 2,659,319
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Department of Personnel & Admin)	\$ 154,163
Victim Assistance Surcharges* (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 12,511,856
Victim Compensation Costs* (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 6,953,662
Subtotal	\$ 52,599,785
Percentage of Total	26%

Table 40: Collections and Revenue

OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS

Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 24,173
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 3,162,404
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 298,792
Collaborative Management Incentive Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 2,690,204
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 350,153
Commercial Vehicle Enterprise Tax Fund (for Dept. of Revenue - Share of Excess Vehicle Weight Penalties)	\$ 38,770
Correctional Treatment Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 5,612,069
Court Security Fund	\$ 1,942,084
Crimes Against At-Risk Persons Surcharge (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 39,126
Disabled Parking Education and Enforcement Fund (for Dept. of Revenue)	\$ 4,500
Discovery Sharing Surcharges (for Colorado District Attorneys Council)	\$ 103,041
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 101,987
Domestic Abuse Program Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 150,778
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 215,335
Family Violence Justice Fund	\$ 152,860
Felony and Misdemeanor Fines	\$ 1,088,140
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund (for Div. of Parks & Wildlife)	\$ 27,646
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund (for Div. of Parks & Wildlife)	\$ 74,151
Interstate Compact Probation Transfer Cash Fund	\$ 165,911
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 13,124,031
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 458,265
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 29,095,536
Justice Center Fund	\$ 15,695,897
Law Enforcement Assistance Fund (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation, Human Services)	\$ 1,445,575
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 3,081,546
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 7,675,664
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety)	\$ 788,165
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 2,375
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,660,269
Probation Supervision Fees	\$ 17,996,283
Restorative Justice Surcharge	\$ 810,973
Rural Alcohol and Substance Abuse Fund (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 100,523
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 604,875
Substance-Affected Driving Data (for Dept. of Revenue)	\$ 21,576
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Combined: Attorney Reg., CLE, Law Examiner Bd.)	\$ 16,970,100
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 3,438,958
Tax - Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 75,941
Time Payment, Late Fees, Collection Costs	\$ 6,705,292
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 847,873
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs only)	\$ 120,430
Subtotal	\$ 136,962,275
Percentage of Total	67%
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	\$ 203,682,882

* Victim Assistance and Victim Compensation totals exclude federal grant funds and restitution received in these funds.

Chart J:

Colorado Judicial Department Restitution Collections

(Principal Only)

District	County / Location	FY20		FY19		FY18		FY17	
1	Gilpin (Central City)	\$ 42,014	\$ 2,995,766	\$ 95,774	\$ 3,469,783	\$ 83,377	\$ 2,910,029	\$ 54,429	\$ 2,719,182
	Jefferson (Golden)	\$ 2,953,753		\$ 3,374,009		\$ 2,826,651		\$ 2,664,753	
2	Denver (District)	\$ 3,840,119	\$ 4,154,488	\$ 3,996,935	\$ 4,387,199	\$ 4,408,700	\$ 4,629,097	\$ 4,510,970	\$ 4,733,244
	Denver (Juvenile)	\$ 314,370		\$ 390,265		\$ 220,396		\$ 222,274	
3	Huerfano (Walsenburg)	\$ 34,961	\$ 97,516	\$ 18,439	\$ 84,699	\$ 43,704	\$ 112,643	\$ 18,845	\$ 95,407
	Las Animas (Trinidad)	\$ 62,555		\$ 66,260		\$ 68,938		\$ 76,562	
4	El Paso (Colo. Springs)	\$ 5,024,870	\$ 5,202,527	\$ 5,570,902	\$ 5,758,884	\$ 5,101,706	\$ 5,253,117	\$ 4,844,687	\$ 5,001,645
	Teller (Cripple Creek)	\$ 177,657		\$ 187,982		\$ 151,411		\$ 156,959	
5	Clear Creek (Georgetown)	\$ 40,227	\$ 742,696	\$ 56,340	\$ 763,250	\$ 37,794	\$ 894,603	\$ 45,060	\$ 997,688
	Eagle	\$ 347,390		\$ 363,886		\$ 447,865		\$ 688,208	
	Eagle (Basalt)	\$ 65,678		\$ 112,920		\$ 606		\$ 3,454	
	Lake (Leadville)	\$ 287,214		\$ 229,014		\$ 68,849		\$ 51,567	
	Summit (Breckenridge)	\$ 2,187		\$ 1,090		\$ 339,489		\$ 209,408	
6	Archuleta (Pagosa Springs)	\$ 51,971	\$ 289,153	\$ 84,963	\$ 323,695	\$ 79,903	\$ 361,567	\$ 122,971	\$ 474,663
	La Plata (Durango)	\$ 235,941		\$ 235,941		\$ 278,993		\$ 343,617	
	San Juan (Silverton)	\$ 1,240		\$ 2,791		\$ 2,671		\$ 8,076	
7	Delta	\$ 68,092	\$ 389,966	\$ 120,631	\$ 469,182	\$ 83,803	\$ 434,957	\$ 130,241	\$ 438,560
	Gunnison	\$ 108,008		\$ 108,493		\$ 114,203		\$ 100,405	
	Hinsdale (Lake City)	\$ 1,453		\$ 2,265		\$ 464		\$ 494	
	Montrose	\$ 168,714		\$ 196,916		\$ 194,151		\$ 167,768	
	Montrose (Nucla)	\$ 10,197		\$ 22,702		\$ 8,546		\$ 4,462	
	Ouray	\$ 33,085		\$ 17,797		\$ 29,170		\$ 10,955	
8	San Miguel (Telluride)	\$ 417	\$ 1,986,319	\$ 378	\$ 2,074,430	\$ 4,620	\$ 2,476,748	\$ 24,235	\$ 2,248,499
	Jackson (Walden)	\$ 14,440		\$ 14,520		\$ 14,702		\$ 12,961	
	Larimer (Ft. Collins)	\$ 1,869,707		\$ 1,923,144		\$ 2,346,940		\$ 2,157,938	
	Larimer (Loveland)	\$ 102,172		\$ 136,766		\$ 115,106		\$ 77,601	
9	Garfield (Glenwood Springs)	\$ 235,905	\$ 385,834	\$ 218,409	\$ 334,880	\$ 327,532	\$ 477,218	\$ 282,152	\$ 490,654
	Garfield (Rifle)	\$ 117,657		\$ 64,679		\$ 102,499		\$ 19,400	
	Pitkin (Aspen)	\$ 17,138		\$ 31,966		\$ 29,605		\$ 152,595	
	Rio Blanco (Meeker)	\$ 10,552		\$ 17,236		\$ 11,626		\$ 34,140	
10	Rio Blanco (Rangely)	\$ 4,582	\$ 765,005	\$ 2,591	\$ 647,894	\$ 9,957	\$ 625,543	\$ 2,367	\$ 647,029
	Pueblo	\$ 765,005		\$ 647,894		\$ 625,543		\$ 647,029	
11	Chaffee (Salida)	\$ 106,429	\$ 333,863	\$ 212,491	\$ 505,542	\$ 141,814	\$ 448,683	\$ 168,459	\$ 556,524
	Custer (Westcliffe)	\$ 12,718		\$ 9,900		\$ 19,389		\$ 25,000	
	Fremont (Canon City)	\$ 188,444		\$ 211,844		\$ 216,918		\$ 273,499	
	Park (Fairplay)	\$ 46,272		\$ 71,307		\$ 70,562		\$ 89,567	
12	Alamosa	\$ 165,626	\$ 324,754	\$ 115,203	\$ 323,623	\$ 157,623	\$ 373,246	\$ 104,078	\$ 374,802
	Conejos	\$ 36,649		\$ 59,458		\$ 45,464		\$ 47,640	
	Costilla (San Luis)	\$ 12,822		\$ 9,844		\$ 14,100		\$ 15,670	
	Mineral (Creede)	\$ 1,225		\$ 2,274		\$ 2,885		\$ 27,924	
	Rio Grande (Del Norte)	\$ 72,123		\$ 82,990		\$ 71,475		\$ 137,319	
	Saguache	\$ 36,310		\$ 53,854		\$ 81,699		\$ 42,171	
13	Kit Carson (Burlington)	\$ 44,068	\$ 429,432	\$ 25,141	\$ 384,698	\$ 22,432	\$ 422,190	\$ 21,421	\$ 428,867
	Logan (Sterling)	\$ 142,080		\$ 107,506		\$ 151,325		\$ 140,724	
	Morgan (Ft. Morgan)	\$ 171,118		\$ 157,067		\$ 177,436		\$ 207,413	
	Phillips (Holyoke)	\$ 9,762		\$ 36,322		\$ 15,664		\$ 11,720	
	Sedgwick (Julesburg)	\$ 19,252		\$ 17,846		\$ 6,136		\$ 5,412	
	Washington (Akron)	\$ 9,722		\$ 13,529		\$ 27,211		\$ 18,078	
14	Yuma (Wray)	\$ 33,429	\$ 296,573	\$ 27,286	\$ 283,520	\$ 21,985	\$ 378,678	\$ 24,099	\$ 273,840
	Grand (Hot Sulphur Springs)	\$ 113,805		\$ 115,496		\$ 179,112		\$ 94,669	
	Moffat (Craig)	\$ 101,809		\$ 79,866		\$ 84,618		\$ 98,306	
15	Routt (Steamboat)	\$ 80,960	\$ 120,181	\$ 88,157	\$ 229,689	\$ 114,948	\$ 136,515	\$ 80,865	\$ 175,130
	Baca (Springfield)	\$ 15,757		\$ 13,021		\$ 35,567		\$ 49,603	
	Cheyenne (Cheyenne Wells)	\$ 8,536		\$ 20,518		\$ 1,463		\$ 8,049	
	Kiowa (Eads)	\$ 5,273		\$ 9,068		\$ 3,954		\$ 2,724	
16	Prowers (Lamar)	\$ 90,615	\$ 103,910	\$ 187,082	\$ 171,616	\$ 95,532	\$ 127,869	\$ 114,753	\$ 145,899
	Bent (Las Animas)	\$ 16,169		\$ 19,308		\$ 18,362		\$ 45,142	
	Crowley (Ordway)	\$ 13,748		\$ 16,505		\$ 16,582		\$ 5,947	
17	Otero (La Junta)	\$ 73,993	\$ 2,959,341	\$ 135,803	\$ 3,449,241	\$ 92,925	\$ 3,350,316	\$ 94,810	\$ 3,202,816
	Adams (Brighton)	\$ 2,754,749		\$ 3,069,064		\$ 3,144,244		\$ 2,990,358	
18	Broomfield County	\$ 204,592	\$ 4,523,236	\$ 380,177	\$ 4,850,636	\$ 206,072	\$ 5,018,727	\$ 212,459	\$ 5,267,160
	Arapahoe	\$ 3,169,933		\$ 3,105,930		\$ 3,408,138		\$ 3,588,379	
	Douglas (Castle Rock)	\$ 1,213,324		\$ 1,561,698		\$ 1,466,125		\$ 1,564,357	
	Elbert (Kiowa)	\$ 92,860		\$ 153,363		\$ 105,235		\$ 89,251	
19	Lincoln (Hugo)	\$ 47,120	\$ 1,596,852	\$ 29,644	\$ 1,693,278	\$ 39,229	\$ 1,763,247	\$ 25,173	\$ 1,641,320
	Weld (Greeley)	\$ 1,596,852		\$ 1,693,278		\$ 1,763,247		\$ 1,641,320	
20	Boulder	\$ 1,300,569	\$ 1,415,138	\$ 1,401,294	\$ 1,517,457	\$ 1,362,125	\$ 1,457,682	\$ 1,252,799	\$ 1,346,911
	Boulder (Longmont)	\$ 114,569		\$ 116,163		\$ 95,557		\$ 94,112	
21	Mesa (Grand Junction)	\$ 1,055,998	\$ 1,055,998	\$ 1,194,642	\$ 1,194,642	\$ 1,184,097	\$ 1,184,097	\$ 1,114,233	\$ 1,114,233
	Dolores (Dove Creek)	\$ 13,644		\$ 9,621		\$ 11,051		\$ 8,287	
22	Montezuma (Cortez)	\$ 138,593	\$ 152,236	\$ 205,491	\$ 215,112	\$ 209,006	\$ 220,057	\$ 161,074	\$ 169,361
Statewide Totals		\$ 30,320,785	\$ 33,132,952	\$ 33,056,826	\$ 32,543,435				

Note: Excludes Interest

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY16						FY17					
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
1	a. \$1,000 or less	617	417	67.6%	\$ 246,946	\$ 171,119	69.3%	562	336	59.8%	\$ 233,440	\$ 148,179	63.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	317	140	44.2%	\$ 713,779	\$ 371,282	52.0%	294	129	43.9%	\$ 683,746	\$ 331,839	48.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	125	39	31.2%	\$ 1,248,641	\$ 450,984	36.1%	118	35	29.7%	\$ 1,254,232	\$ 448,548	35.8%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	22	4	18.2%	\$ 990,336	\$ 295,264	29.8%	16	3	18.8%	\$ 690,468	\$ 178,444	25.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	4		0.0%	\$ 1,264,406	\$ 485,788	38.4%	2		0.0%	\$ 814,715	\$ 8,351	1.0%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 7,025,972	\$ 3,562	0.1%	1		0.0%	\$ 1,563,755	\$ 5,023	0.3%
1 Total		1,087	600	55.2%	\$ 11,490,080	\$ 1,777,999	15.5%	993	503	50.7%	\$ 5,240,356	\$ 1,120,385	21.4%
2A	a. \$1,000 or less	295	146	49.5%	\$ 137,138	\$ 70,664	51.5%	279	109	39.1%	\$ 125,794	\$ 52,185	41.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	267	86	32.2%	\$ 649,525	\$ 251,295	38.7%	271	80	29.5%	\$ 650,591	\$ 216,803	33.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	160	42	26.3%	\$ 1,711,187	\$ 669,020	39.1%	146	33	22.6%	\$ 1,485,863	\$ 486,124	32.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	26	3	11.5%	\$ 1,148,778	\$ 192,077	16.7%	33	4	12.1%	\$ 1,597,624	\$ 316,519	19.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	21	2	9.5%	\$ 6,137,313	\$ 590,194	9.6%	17		0.0%	\$ 5,883,527	\$ 345,855	5.9%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 5,390,070	\$ -	0.0%	1		0.0%	\$ 1,665,483	\$ 63,824	3.8%
2A Total		770	279	36.2%	\$ 15,174,011	\$ 1,773,251	11.7%	747	226	30.3%	\$ 11,408,881	\$ 1,481,309	13.0%
2J	a. \$1,000 or less	56	22	39.3%	\$ 28,809	\$ 13,892	48.2%	41	14	34.1%	\$ 18,530	\$ 7,212	38.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	50	11	22.0%	\$ 103,500	\$ 31,667	30.6%	31	6	19.4%	\$ 69,008	\$ 17,906	25.9%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	9	1	11.1%	\$ 76,286	\$ 11,714	15.4%	6	1	16.7%	\$ 49,761	\$ 6,803	13.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2		0.0%	\$ 59,786	\$ 2,951	4.9%	1		0.0%	\$ 58,454	\$ -	0.0%
2J Total		117	34	29.1%	\$ 268,380	\$ 60,224	22.4%	79	21	26.6%	\$ 195,753	\$ 31,921	16.3%
3	a. \$1,000 or less	44	17	38.6%	\$ 8,892	\$ 3,564	40.1%	38	25	65.8%	\$ 8,632	\$ 6,232	72.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	11	4	36.4%	\$ 28,189	\$ 12,752	45.2%	9	4	44.4%	\$ 21,861	\$ 12,100	55.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	2	66.7%	\$ 36,713	\$ 18,201	49.6%	1	1	100.0%	\$ 5,120	\$ 5,120	100.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							1	1	100.0%	\$ 36,889	\$ 36,889	100.0%
3 Total		58	23	39.7%	\$ 73,794	\$ 34,517	46.8%	49	31	63.3%	\$ 72,501	\$ 60,341	83.2%
4	a. \$1,000 or less	1,294	817	63.1%	\$ 438,643	\$ 281,471	64.2%	1,096	621	56.7%	\$ 403,763	\$ 235,139	58.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	646	232	35.9%	\$ 1,513,636	\$ 651,777	43.1%	607	195	32.1%	\$ 1,440,425	\$ 515,489	35.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	269	50	18.6%	\$ 2,773,257	\$ 727,299	26.2%	282	43	15.2%	\$ 2,895,469	\$ 550,396	19.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	44	8	18.2%	\$ 1,829,355	\$ 542,682	29.7%	57	4	7.0%	\$ 2,667,398	\$ 442,356	16.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	9	1	11.1%	\$ 2,220,263	\$ 215,858	9.7%	17		0.0%	\$ 4,012,248	\$ 16,080	0.4%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 1,821,563	\$ 1,339	0.1%	1		0.0%	\$ 1,071,098	\$ 3,146	0.3%
4 Total		2,263	1,108	49.0%	\$ 10,596,717	\$ 2,420,424	22.8%	2,060	863	41.9%	\$ 12,490,401	\$ 1,762,605	14.1%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY16						FY17					
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
5	a. \$1,000 or less	106	82	77.4%	\$ 43,733	\$ 34,604	79.1%	79	63	79.7%	\$ 34,130	\$ 29,691	87.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	61	41	67.2%	\$ 140,509	\$ 106,018	75.5%	76	48	63.2%	\$ 181,597	\$ 125,310	69.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	26	8	30.8%	\$ 256,466	\$ 113,472	44.2%	32	22	68.8%	\$ 434,493	\$ 318,287	73.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6	2	33.3%	\$ 240,205	\$ 78,620	32.7%	15	9	60.0%	\$ 668,213	\$ 419,813	62.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	1	100.0%	\$ 106,837	\$ 106,837	100.0%	1		0.0%	\$ 500,000	\$ 724	0.1%
5 Total		200	134	67.0%	\$ 787,749	\$ 439,551	55.8%	203	142	70.0%	\$ 1,818,432	\$ 893,826	49.2%
6	a. \$1,000 or less	104	79	76.0%	\$ 40,794	\$ 29,328	71.9%	72	51	70.8%	\$ 30,546	\$ 21,730	71.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	57	35	61.4%	\$ 130,585	\$ 85,743	65.7%	52	27	51.9%	\$ 121,203	\$ 72,135	59.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	25	8	32.0%	\$ 213,397	\$ 84,205	39.5%	19	8	42.1%	\$ 193,029	\$ 89,748	46.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	7		0.0%	\$ 265,851	\$ 22,656	8.5%	4		0.0%	\$ 191,390	\$ 9,042	4.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000												
6 Total		193	122	63.2%	\$ 650,628	\$ 221,932	34.1%	147	86	58.5%	\$ 536,168	\$ 192,655	35.9%
7	a. \$1,000 or less	108	83	76.9%	\$ 37,236	\$ 28,730	77.2%	106	69	65.1%	\$ 38,935	\$ 22,901	58.8%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	60	30	50.0%	\$ 156,025	\$ 89,854	57.6%	48	24	50.0%	\$ 107,197	\$ 59,839	55.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	14	5	35.7%	\$ 169,527	\$ 69,670	41.1%	14	6	42.9%	\$ 114,847	\$ 63,955	55.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 123,650	\$ 36,860	29.8%	2	1	50.0%	\$ 66,762	\$ 26,491	39.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 130,342	\$ 3,534	2.7%						
	f. Over \$1,000,000												
7 Total		187	119	63.6%	\$ 616,781	\$ 228,648	37.1%	170	100	58.8%	\$ 327,741	\$ 173,186	52.8%
8	a. \$1,000 or less	490	339	69.2%	\$ 178,933	\$ 127,331	71.2%	433	317	73.2%	\$ 155,011	\$ 119,212	76.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	225	114	50.7%	\$ 533,890	\$ 313,900	58.8%	204	100	49.0%	\$ 505,723	\$ 285,444	56.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	85	30	35.3%	\$ 814,535	\$ 379,880	46.6%	87	25	28.7%	\$ 866,888	\$ 341,407	39.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	7	2	28.6%	\$ 387,562	\$ 117,424	30.3%	9	2	22.2%	\$ 418,702	\$ 136,911	32.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000												
	f. Over \$1,000,000												
8 Total		807	485	60.1%	\$ 1,914,920	\$ 938,535	49.0%	733	444	60.6%	\$ 1,946,324	\$ 882,973	45.4%
9	a. \$1,000 or less	86	52	60.5%	\$ 37,318	\$ 22,457	60.2%	85	54	63.5%	\$ 39,237	\$ 24,495	62.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	65	27	41.5%	\$ 153,432	\$ 79,319	51.7%	71	26	36.6%	\$ 180,052	\$ 72,298	40.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	23	5	21.7%	\$ 270,718	\$ 80,711	29.8%	14	4	28.6%	\$ 199,543	\$ 82,747	41.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6		0.0%	\$ 217,130	\$ 26,119	12.0%	3	1	33.3%	\$ 155,415	\$ 73,532	47.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 334,218	\$ 1,005	0.3%	2		0.0%	\$ 459,749	\$ 7,107	1.5%
9 Total		181	84	46.4%	\$ 1,012,816	\$ 209,612	20.7%	175	85	48.6%	\$ 1,033,997	\$ 260,179	25.2%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY16						FY17					
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
10	a. \$1,000 or less	264	145	54.9%	\$ 99,924	\$ 58,101	58.1%	223	91	40.8%	\$ 85,295	\$ 39,897	46.8%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	110	38	34.5%	\$ 270,602	\$ 98,045	36.2%	113	29	25.7%	\$ 261,125	\$ 83,878	32.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	38	11	28.9%	\$ 379,401	\$ 153,356	40.4%	44	13	29.5%	\$ 448,127	\$ 151,663	33.8%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5		0.0%	\$ 259,583	\$ 54,917	21.2%	5		0.0%	\$ 218,671	\$ 15,068	6.9%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 100,639	\$ -	0.0%	1		0.0%	\$ 182,825	\$ -	0.0%
10 Total		418	194	46.4%	\$ 1,110,149	\$ 364,419	32.8%	386	133	34.5%	\$ 1,196,043	\$ 290,506	24.3%
11	a. \$1,000 or less	142	102	71.8%	\$ 45,179	\$ 33,502	74.2%	117	70	59.8%	\$ 40,854	\$ 25,005	61.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	63	30	47.6%	\$ 148,675	\$ 83,746	56.3%	50	29	58.0%	\$ 106,058	\$ 67,551	63.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	16	9	56.3%	\$ 154,839	\$ 95,465	61.7%	16	9	56.3%	\$ 146,573	\$ 89,466	61.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							3		0.0%	\$ 172,325	\$ 1,436	0.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 338,121	\$ 4,313	1.3%	1		0.0%	\$ 195,671	\$ -	0.0%
11 Total		222	141	63.5%	\$ 686,814	\$ 217,026	31.6%	187	108	57.8%	\$ 661,481	\$ 183,459	27.7%
12	a. \$1,000 or less	90	57	63.3%	\$ 31,264	\$ 20,281	64.9%	58	32	55.2%	\$ 18,237	\$ 11,727	64.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	42	18	42.9%	\$ 88,708	\$ 37,411	42.2%	42	15	35.7%	\$ 95,715	\$ 35,621	37.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	11	4	36.4%	\$ 119,090	\$ 59,656	50.1%	12	3	25.0%	\$ 144,384	\$ 52,341	36.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 193,710	\$ 77,043	39.8%	1		0.0%	\$ 28,470	\$ 1,000	3.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1	1	100.0%	\$ 101,000	\$ 101,000	100.0%
12 Total		147	80	54.4%	\$ 432,772	\$ 194,391	44.9%	114	51	44.7%	\$ 387,806	\$ 201,689	52.0%
13	a. \$1,000 or less	95	70	73.7%	\$ 33,305	\$ 25,024	75.1%	93	63	67.7%	\$ 31,358	\$ 20,466	65.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	44	23	52.3%	\$ 103,877	\$ 57,199	55.1%	41	15	36.6%	\$ 97,182	\$ 40,158	41.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	13	7	53.8%	\$ 142,921	\$ 60,638	42.4%	20	5	25.0%	\$ 227,069	\$ 69,109	30.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	3		0.0%	\$ 97,265	\$ 16,855	17.3%	1	1	100.0%	\$ 29,748	\$ 29,748	100.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 146,615	\$ 24,562	16.8%	3		0.0%	\$ 509,457	\$ 11,311	2.2%
13 Total		156	100	64.1%	\$ 523,983	\$ 184,279	35.2%	158	84	53.2%	\$ 894,813	\$ 170,792	19.1%
14	a. \$1,000 or less	79	66	83.5%	\$ 26,854	\$ 20,980	78.1%	57	48	84.2%	\$ 20,936	\$ 18,212	87.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	26	20	76.9%	\$ 55,310	\$ 46,861	84.7%	23	8	34.8%	\$ 48,207	\$ 20,290	42.1%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	10	6	60.0%	\$ 125,862	\$ 106,232	84.4%	8	2	25.0%	\$ 63,210	\$ 23,826	37.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1		0.0%	\$ 38,065	\$ 1,716	4.5%	1		0.0%	\$ 42,978	\$ 317	0.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 551,114	\$ 62,520	11.3%	1		0.0%	\$ 120,000	\$ 1,278	1.1%
14 Total		118	92	78.0%	\$ 797,205	\$ 238,309	29.9%	90	58	64.4%	\$ 295,331	\$ 63,923	21.6%
15	a. \$1,000 or less	62	41	66.1%	\$ 14,207	\$ 8,833	62.2%	62	42	67.7%	\$ 15,601	\$ 10,287	65.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	12	9	75.0%	\$ 26,789	\$ 20,523	76.6%	14	6	42.9%	\$ 27,271	\$ 19,102	70.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	1	33.3%	\$ 27,199	\$ 8,636	31.8%	7		0.0%	\$ 81,216	\$ 6,189	7.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000							2	2	100.0%	\$ 107,683	\$ 107,683	100.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 223,325	\$ 12,792	5.7%						
15 Total		78	51	65.4%	\$ 291,520	\$ 50,784	17.4%	85	50	58.8%	\$ 231,771	\$ 143,261	61.8%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY16						FY17					
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
16	a. \$1,000 or less	46	23	50.0%	\$ 10,876	\$ 5,559	51.1%	57	32	56.1%	\$ 17,196	\$ 9,549	55.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	7	2	28.6%	\$ 16,186	\$ 5,539	34.2%	14	2	14.3%	\$ 31,372	\$ 8,047	25.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	1	33.3%	\$ 46,791	\$ 23,395	50.0%	4		0.0%	\$ 38,185	\$ 7,808	20.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2		0.0%	\$ 64,913	\$ 11,421	17.6%	4		0.0%	\$ 181,454	\$ 45,646	25.2%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 231,578	\$ 9,218	4.0%						
16 Total		59	26	44.1%	\$ 370,345	\$ 55,132	14.9%	79	34	43.0%	\$ 268,208	\$ 71,050	26.5%
17	a. \$1,000 or less	619	394	63.7%	\$ 264,171	\$ 177,120	67.0%	683	377	55.2%	\$ 310,081	\$ 186,972	60.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	400	174	43.5%	\$ 942,244	\$ 475,197	50.4%	401	173	43.1%	\$ 923,879	\$ 471,468	51.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	130	42	32.3%	\$ 1,342,740	\$ 553,978	41.3%	168	42	25.0%	\$ 1,750,335	\$ 606,533	34.7%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	25	5	20.0%	\$ 1,067,261	\$ 330,142	30.9%	32	5	15.6%	\$ 1,432,401	\$ 279,195	19.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	5		0.0%	\$ 884,604	\$ 60,692	6.9%	6		0.0%	\$ 1,210,429	\$ 1,923	0.2%
17 Total		1,179	615	52.2%	\$ 4,501,021	\$ 1,597,129	35.5%	1,290	597	46.3%	\$ 5,627,124	\$ 1,546,091	27.5%
18	a. \$1,000 or less	681	463	68.0%	\$ 275,641	\$ 187,065	67.9%	670	458	68.4%	\$ 287,100	\$ 203,675	70.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	401	202	50.4%	\$ 955,554	\$ 515,959	54.0%	385	193	50.1%	\$ 900,390	\$ 478,832	53.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	148	54	36.5%	\$ 1,450,671	\$ 633,704	43.7%	169	53	31.4%	\$ 1,800,104	\$ 691,886	38.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	34	7	20.6%	\$ 1,533,751	\$ 486,740	31.7%	31	4	12.9%	\$ 1,393,949	\$ 306,526	22.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	17		0.0%	\$ 5,184,836	\$ 266,298	5.1%	6	1	16.7%	\$ 1,233,960	\$ 145,142	11.8%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 3,177,540	\$ -	0.0%	2		0.0%	\$ 3,422,101	\$ 1,449	0.0%
18 Total		1,282	726	56.6%	\$ 12,577,993	\$ 2,089,766	16.6%	1,263	709	56.1%	\$ 9,037,605	\$ 1,827,510	20.2%
19	a. \$1,000 or less	271	178	65.7%	\$ 105,958	\$ 71,732	67.7%	281	172	61.2%	\$ 113,891	\$ 72,184	63.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	152	72	47.4%	\$ 384,333	\$ 206,311	53.7%	158	71	44.9%	\$ 411,254	\$ 207,317	50.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	75	24	32.0%	\$ 858,179	\$ 379,817	44.3%	59	17	28.8%	\$ 602,330	\$ 206,720	34.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	9	1	11.1%	\$ 393,945	\$ 82,700	21.0%	14		0.0%	\$ 588,846	\$ 91,335	15.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 767,334	\$ 1,439	0.2%	4		0.0%	\$ 1,032,709	\$ 12,336	1.2%
19 Total		509	275	54.0%	\$ 2,509,749	\$ 741,999	29.6%	516	260	50.4%	\$ 2,749,030	\$ 589,892	21.5%
20	a. \$1,000 or less	366	246	67.2%	\$ 137,456	\$ 98,057	71.3%	301	198	65.8%	\$ 123,889	\$ 86,374	69.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	165	81	49.1%	\$ 368,615	\$ 201,488	54.7%	176	79	44.9%	\$ 403,754	\$ 201,979	50.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	69	14	20.3%	\$ 637,498	\$ 172,365	27.0%	67	17	25.4%	\$ 644,722	\$ 188,177	29.2%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	11		0.0%	\$ 541,783	\$ 68,460	12.6%	11	2	18.2%	\$ 608,528	\$ 138,754	22.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	4		0.0%	\$ 647,342	\$ 36,248	5.6%	4	1	25.0%	\$ 1,463,638	\$ 205,016	14.0%
	f. Over \$1,000,000												
20 Total		615	341	55.4%	\$ 2,332,694	\$ 576,619	24.7%	559	297	53.1%	\$ 3,244,531	\$ 820,301	25.3%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY16						FY17					
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
21	a. \$1,000 or less	276	201	72.8%	\$ 103,650	\$ 81,417	78.5%	296	200	67.6%	\$ 98,315	\$ 66,283	67.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	121	66	54.5%	\$ 249,620	\$ 141,065	56.5%	126	51	40.5%	\$ 316,765	\$ 150,237	47.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	49	15	30.6%	\$ 473,936	\$ 193,611	40.9%	37	10	27.0%	\$ 382,284	\$ 139,215	36.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6	2	33.3%	\$ 293,789	\$ 126,515	43.1%	9		0.0%	\$ 556,961	\$ 50,907	9.1%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 700,000	\$ 6,194	0.9%	2		0.0%	\$ 276,189	\$ 5,671	2.1%
21 Total		454	284	62.6%	\$ 1,820,995	\$ 548,802	30.1%	470	261	55.5%	\$ 1,630,514	\$ 412,313	25.3%
22	a. \$1,000 or less	51	33	64.7%	\$ 18,610	\$ 12,227	65.7%	42	24	57.1%	\$ 18,649	\$ 9,856	52.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	28	11	39.3%	\$ 62,914	\$ 29,589	47.0%	42	26	61.9%	\$ 94,912	\$ 61,328	64.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 36,924	\$ 26,428	71.6%	12	3	25.0%	\$ 116,141	\$ 53,870	46.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2		0.0%	\$ 89,773	\$ 5,060	5.6%						
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 277,035	\$ -	0.0%	1		0.0%	\$ 339,001	\$ -	0.0%
22 Total		86	45	52.3%	\$ 485,257	\$ 73,304	15.1%	97	53	54.6%	\$ 568,703	\$ 125,054	22.0%
Statewide	a. \$1,000 or less	6,242	4073	65.3%	\$ 2,365,536	\$ 1,583,058	66.9%	5,731	3466	60.5%	\$ 2,269,421	\$ 1,427,464	62.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	3,330	1466	44.0%	\$ 7,796,496	\$ 3,912,542	50.2%	3,248	1336	41.1%	\$ 7,679,284	\$ 3,554,970	46.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1,308	379	29.0%	\$ 13,366,778	\$ 5,072,437	37.9%	1,342	352	26.2%	\$ 13,943,926	\$ 4,679,938	33.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	226	36	15.9%	\$ 9,836,494	\$ 2,576,222	26.2%	255	39	15.3%	\$ 11,913,329	\$ 2,707,612	22.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	75	4	5.3%	\$ 20,245,924	\$ 1,887,493	9.3%	69	3	4.3%	\$ 18,335,117	\$ 861,793	4.7%
	f. Over \$1,000,000	5		0.0%	\$ 17,415,146	\$ 4,901	0.0%	5		0.0%	\$ 7,722,437	\$ 73,443	1.0%
Grand Total		11,186	5,958	53.3%	\$ 71,026,374	\$ 15,036,652	21.2%	10,650	5,196	48.8%	\$ 61,863,514	\$ 13,305,220	21.5%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY18						FY19						
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders
1	a. \$1,000 or less	426	265	62.2%	\$ 190,582	\$ 122,042	64.0%	457	230	50.3%	\$ 216,604	\$ 107,145	49.5%	413
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	250	102	40.8%	\$ 578,832	\$ 261,099	45.1%	259	78	30.1%	\$ 616,836	\$ 221,820	36.0%	215
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	108	28	25.9%	\$ 1,198,123	\$ 411,685	34.4%	101	16	15.8%	\$ 1,091,417	\$ 264,815	24.3%	104
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	24	3	12.5%	\$ 1,099,785	\$ 234,590	21.3%	24	5	20.8%	\$ 1,271,925	\$ 300,752	23.6%	16
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	11		0.0%	\$ 3,400,549	\$ 117,139	3.4%	8	1	12.5%	\$ 1,208,643	\$ 225,726	18.7%	5
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 1,296,295	\$ 1,725	0.1%	2		0.0%	\$ 2,692,469	\$ 317	0.0%	1
1 Total		820	398	48.5%	\$ 7,764,165	\$ 1,148,280	14.8%	851	330	38.8%	\$ 7,097,894	\$ 1,120,574	15.8%	754
2A	a. \$1,000 or less	296	112	37.8%	\$ 134,247	\$ 52,686	39.2%	322	67	20.8%	\$ 158,356	\$ 43,721	27.6%	286
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	308	79	25.6%	\$ 732,319	\$ 241,813	33.0%	339	48	14.2%	\$ 814,908	\$ 156,191	19.2%	273
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	166	34	20.5%	\$ 1,858,548	\$ 562,817	30.3%	203	19	9.4%	\$ 2,002,604	\$ 294,757	14.7%	157
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	26	3	11.5%	\$ 1,189,986	\$ 210,435	17.7%	35	1	2.9%	\$ 1,669,430	\$ 204,268	12.2%	24
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	9		0.0%	\$ 2,642,577	\$ 307,318	11.6%	10		0.0%	\$ 2,152,537	\$ 159,220	7.4%	11
	f. Over \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 2,927,952	\$ 148	0.0%	1		0.0%	\$ 1,185,213	\$ -	0.0%	
2A Total		806	228	28.3%	\$ 9,485,629	\$ 1,375,217	14.5%	910	135	14.8%	\$ 7,983,048	\$ 858,157	10.7%	751
2J	a. \$1,000 or less	31	6	19.4%	\$ 15,546	\$ 4,027	25.9%	25	3	12.0%	\$ 11,519	\$ 1,261	10.9%	12
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	30	3	10.0%	\$ 67,465	\$ 14,219	21.1%	16	2	12.5%	\$ 33,270	\$ 6,425	19.3%	13
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	5		0.0%	\$ 40,592	\$ 701	1.7%	7		0.0%	\$ 66,983	\$ 243	0.4%	4
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000													1
2J Total		66	9	13.6%	\$ 123,603	\$ 18,947	15.3%	48	5	10.4%	\$ 111,773	\$ 7,929	7.1%	30
3	a. \$1,000 or less	52	29	55.8%	\$ 13,857	\$ 7,628	55.0%	23	4	17.4%	\$ 4,936	\$ 647	13.1%	17
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	12	3	25.0%	\$ 23,847	\$ 11,800	49.5%	10	5	50.0%	\$ 22,352	\$ 15,132	67.7%	9
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	4	2	50.0%	\$ 28,982	\$ 15,785	54.5%	5		0.0%	\$ 44,820	\$ 350	0.8%	7
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1		0.0%	\$ 79,566	\$ 12,145	15.3%							
3 Total		69	34	49.3%	\$ 146,251	\$ 47,358	32.4%	38	9	23.7%	\$ 72,107	\$ 16,129	22.4%	33
4	a. \$1,000 or less	1,060	508	47.9%	\$ 426,479	\$ 221,721	52.0%	966	357	37.0%	\$ 401,343	\$ 173,369	43.2%	733
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	615	193	31.4%	\$ 1,429,026	\$ 505,059	35.3%	683	123	18.0%	\$ 1,606,040	\$ 384,900	24.0%	532
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	306	30	9.8%	\$ 3,144,919	\$ 494,901	15.7%	294	25	8.5%	\$ 2,997,056	\$ 346,651	11.6%	248
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	66	3	4.5%	\$ 2,951,440	\$ 250,469	8.5%	61		0.0%	\$ 2,861,977	\$ 89,766	3.1%	45
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	15		0.0%	\$ 3,923,503	\$ 54,998	1.4%	15		0.0%	\$ 2,932,904	\$ 1,946	0.1%	10
	f. Over \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 1,281,885	\$ 1,112	0.1%	3
4 Total		2,062	734	35.6%	\$ 11,875,366	\$ 1,527,149	12.9%	2,020	505	25.0%	\$ 12,081,205	\$ 997,743	8.3%	1,571

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY18						FY19						
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders
5	a. \$1,000 or less	97	71	73.2%	\$ 40,782	\$ 30,386	74.5%	96	59	61.5%	\$ 38,182	\$ 24,824	65.0%	91
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	40	26	65.0%	\$ 84,347	\$ 65,422	77.6%	72	40	55.6%	\$ 169,630	\$ 96,418	56.8%	43
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	26	11	42.3%	\$ 333,353	\$ 166,028	49.8%	24	5	20.8%	\$ 247,481	\$ 51,638	20.9%	16
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6	2	33.3%	\$ 262,515	\$ 83,517	31.8%	6	3	50.0%	\$ 195,492	\$ 151,214	77.4%	10
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	1	50.0%	\$ 377,883	\$ 143,956	38.1%	2		0.0%	\$ 377,030	\$ 1,074	0.3%	
5 Total		171	111	64.9%	\$ 1,098,881	\$ 489,309	44.5%	200	107	53.5%	\$ 1,027,816	\$ 325,169	31.6%	160
6	a. \$1,000 or less	85	53	62.4%	\$ 38,412	\$ 26,121	68.0%	55	30	54.5%	\$ 24,048	\$ 14,892	61.9%	63
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	36	19	52.8%	\$ 80,412	\$ 43,439	54.0%	32	12	37.5%	\$ 75,324	\$ 27,121	36.0%	35
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	17	1	5.9%	\$ 205,312	\$ 28,337	13.8%	13	2	15.4%	\$ 119,254	\$ 15,474	13.0%	11
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2		0.0%	\$ 86,693	\$ 6,787	7.8%	1		0.0%	\$ 80,863	\$ -	0.0%	1
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 530,632	\$ 766	0.1%	
6 Total		140	73	52.1%	\$ 410,829	\$ 104,685	25.5%	102	44	43.1%	\$ 830,121	\$ 58,253	7.0%	110
7	a. \$1,000 or less	97	55	56.7%	\$ 40,067	\$ 19,947	49.8%	113	60	53.1%	\$ 47,753	\$ 24,529	51.4%	67
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	47	13	27.7%	\$ 104,627	\$ 34,013	32.5%	38	16	42.1%	\$ 81,964	\$ 39,339	48.0%	39
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	27	8	29.6%	\$ 302,078	\$ 119,125	39.4%	12		0.0%	\$ 139,929	\$ 17,175	12.3%	12
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2		0.0%	\$ 137,578	\$ 599	0.4%	2		0.0%	\$ 65,748	\$ 839	1.3%	2
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000													
	f. Over \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 2,959,200	\$ 41,524	1.4%	
7 Total		173	76	43.9%	\$ 584,349	\$ 173,684	29.7%	166	76	45.8%	\$ 3,294,593	\$ 123,406	3.7%	120
8	a. \$1,000 or less	441	291	66.0%	\$ 159,973	\$ 111,873	69.9%	428	230	53.7%	\$ 178,614	\$ 106,694	59.7%	312
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	184	79	42.9%	\$ 456,301	\$ 230,987	50.6%	194	60	30.9%	\$ 450,853	\$ 168,638	37.4%	147
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	80	18	22.5%	\$ 808,158	\$ 236,100	29.2%	71	9	12.7%	\$ 780,199	\$ 157,657	20.2%	54
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	13	5	38.5%	\$ 664,640	\$ 282,253	42.5%	5		0.0%	\$ 189,065	\$ 6,433	3.4%	8
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	4		0.0%	\$ 1,831,809	\$ 24,424	1.3%	7		0.0%	\$ 1,089,835	\$ 11,199	1.0%	
	f. Over \$1,000,000													1
8 Total		722	393	54.4%	\$ 3,920,881	\$ 885,636	22.6%	705	299	42.4%	\$ 2,688,566	\$ 450,620	16.8%	522
9	a. \$1,000 or less	83	50	60.2%	\$ 31,906	\$ 21,925	68.7%	64	32	50.0%	\$ 27,378	\$ 14,038	51.3%	56
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	65	32	49.2%	\$ 147,261	\$ 79,074	53.7%	47	18	38.3%	\$ 109,252	\$ 47,823	43.8%	43
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	26	6	23.1%	\$ 250,940	\$ 65,003	25.9%	13	2	15.4%	\$ 177,556	\$ 30,551	17.2%	8
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	2	50.0%	\$ 176,843	\$ 113,973	64.4%	2		0.0%	\$ 102,140	\$ 584	0.6%	4
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 735,162	\$ 65	0.0%							1
9 Total		180	90	50.0%	\$ 1,342,112	\$ 280,040	20.9%	126	52	41.3%	\$ 416,326	\$ 92,996	22.3%	112

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY18						FY19						
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders
10	a. \$1,000 or less	208	93	44.7%	\$ 92,217	\$ 46,418	50.3%	201	74	36.8%	\$ 84,376	\$ 36,450	43.2%	154
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	109	30	27.5%	\$ 260,348	\$ 72,521	27.9%	102	21	20.6%	\$ 221,250	\$ 49,686	22.5%	85
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	52		0.0%	\$ 520,091	\$ 50,804	9.8%	44	5	11.4%	\$ 445,310	\$ 73,978	16.6%	24
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	6		0.0%	\$ 279,320	\$ 18,692	6.7%	2		0.0%	\$ 72,897	\$ 10,234	14.0%	2
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							2		0.0%	\$ 422,550	\$ 543	0.1%	
10 Total		375	123	32.8%	\$ 1,151,976	\$ 188,434	16.4%	351	100	28.5%	\$ 1,246,383	\$ 170,891	13.7%	265
11	a. \$1,000 or less	111	55	49.5%	\$ 36,866	\$ 16,648	45.2%	124	61	49.2%	\$ 38,588	\$ 19,124	49.6%	85
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	64	29	45.3%	\$ 159,108	\$ 77,688	48.8%	61	17	27.9%	\$ 154,903	\$ 51,293	33.1%	43
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	12	1	8.3%	\$ 136,258	\$ 24,196	17.8%	18	2	11.1%	\$ 172,793	\$ 29,646	17.2%	11
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000													1
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 149,633	\$ -	0.0%	
11 Total		187	85	45.5%	\$ 332,232	\$ 118,532	35.7%	204	80	39.2%	\$ 515,917	\$ 100,063	19.4%	140
12	a. \$1,000 or less	75	41	54.7%	\$ 28,828	\$ 16,137	56.0%	89	44	49.4%	\$ 33,457	\$ 17,529	52.4%	76
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	44	20	45.5%	\$ 90,051	\$ 45,673	50.7%	38	10	26.3%	\$ 86,478	\$ 26,155	30.2%	30
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	14	3	21.4%	\$ 164,553	\$ 43,704	26.6%	14	2	14.3%	\$ 187,873	\$ 34,016	18.1%	13
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 194,633	\$ 34,346	17.6%	5		0.0%	\$ 282,948	\$ 1,581	0.6%	5
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000													
12 Total		137	65	47.4%	\$ 478,063	\$ 139,859	29.3%	146	56	38.4%	\$ 590,757	\$ 79,280	13.4%	124
13	a. \$1,000 or less	63	28	44.4%	\$ 24,361	\$ 11,796	48.4%	48	31	64.6%	\$ 17,771	\$ 9,906	55.7%	52
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	40	20	50.0%	\$ 99,780	\$ 52,657	52.8%	41	10	24.4%	\$ 101,281	\$ 30,484	30.1%	28
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	17	4	23.5%	\$ 177,061	\$ 64,746	36.6%	17	2	11.8%	\$ 165,547	\$ 39,391	23.8%	15
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5		0.0%	\$ 197,083	\$ 20,547	10.4%	7	1	14.3%	\$ 300,497	\$ 26,535	8.8%	
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 547,216	\$ -	0.0%							2
13 Total		126	52	41.3%	\$ 1,045,501	\$ 149,747	14.3%	113	44	38.9%	\$ 585,095	\$ 106,316	18.2%	97
14	a. \$1,000 or less	60	45	75.0%	\$ 21,152	\$ 15,421	72.9%	66	35	53.0%	\$ 21,927	\$ 12,395	56.5%	57
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	27	18	66.7%	\$ 56,297	\$ 37,802	67.1%	27	7	25.9%	\$ 67,944	\$ 23,144	34.1%	24
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	8	4	50.0%	\$ 69,252	\$ 36,586	52.8%	10	1	10.0%	\$ 101,785	\$ 35,803	35.2%	7
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	3	1	33.3%	\$ 147,250	\$ 32,375	22.0%	4		0.0%	\$ 220,480	\$ 633	0.3%	1
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000													1
14 Total		98	68	69.4%	\$ 293,951	\$ 122,184	41.6%	107	43	40.2%	\$ 412,136	\$ 71,975	17.5%	90
15	a. \$1,000 or less	48	23	47.9%	\$ 14,191	\$ 5,830	41.1%	55	24	43.6%	\$ 17,834	\$ 7,409	41.5%	61
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	10	4	40.0%	\$ 21,100	\$ 8,738	41.4%	12	1	8.3%	\$ 29,542	\$ 5,343	18.1%	20
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	5		0.0%	\$ 53,784	\$ 3,894	7.2%	8	2	25.0%	\$ 79,218	\$ 42,693	53.9%	3
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000													
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	1	100.0%	\$ 122,643	\$ 122,643	100.0%							
15 Total		64	28	43.8%	\$ 211,718	\$ 141,105	66.6%	75	27	36.0%	\$ 126,595	\$ 55,445	43.8%	84

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY18						FY19						
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders
16	a. \$1,000 or less	26	15	57.7%	\$ 7,838	\$ 4,053	51.7%	35	15	42.9%	\$ 12,508	\$ 4,737	37.9%	28
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	10	1	10.0%	\$ 28,879	\$ 5,061	17.5%	14	2	14.3%	\$ 34,151	\$ 6,975	20.4%	14
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3		0.0%	\$ 21,645	\$ 1,754	8.1%	8		0.0%	\$ 79,972	\$ 1,560	2.0%	5
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000													2
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 110,122	\$ 432	0.4%	2		0.0%	\$ 941,739	\$ -	0.0%	
16 Total		40	16	40.0%	\$ 168,484	\$ 11,300	6.7%	59	17	28.8%	\$ 1,068,370	\$ 13,272	1.2%	49
17	a. \$1,000 or less	650	340	52.3%	\$ 316,954	\$ 178,888	56.4%	672	273	40.6%	\$ 332,099	\$ 154,315	46.5%	399
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	409	131	32.0%	\$ 992,608	\$ 389,299	39.2%	426	86	20.2%	\$ 1,022,676	\$ 259,566	25.4%	278
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	196	36	18.4%	\$ 2,018,573	\$ 530,293	26.3%	174	19	10.9%	\$ 1,738,569	\$ 282,068	16.2%	116
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	26	5	19.2%	\$ 1,139,855	\$ 291,055	25.5%	25	1	4.0%	\$ 1,046,397	\$ 118,627	11.3%	12
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	11	1	9.1%	\$ 2,345,353	\$ 189,593	8.1%	8	1	12.5%	\$ 2,644,348	\$ 131,801	5.0%	5
17 Total		1,292	513	39.7%	\$ 6,813,344	\$ 1,579,128	23.2%	1,305	380	29.1%	\$ 6,784,090	\$ 946,376	13.9%	810
18	a. \$1,000 or less	595	352	59.2%	\$ 270,595	\$ 166,541	61.5%	574	287	50.0%	\$ 267,699	\$ 145,630	54.4%	369
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	365	137	37.5%	\$ 841,047	\$ 352,350	41.9%	299	88	29.4%	\$ 695,186	\$ 272,138	39.1%	240
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	166	39	23.5%	\$ 1,706,417	\$ 604,134	35.4%	157	17	10.8%	\$ 1,653,068	\$ 329,055	19.9%	108
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	30	5	16.7%	\$ 1,116,368	\$ 272,689	24.4%	31	4	12.9%	\$ 1,241,352	\$ 192,691	15.5%	20
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	8	1	12.5%	\$ 1,832,671	\$ 241,331	13.2%	7	1	14.3%	\$ 2,580,925	\$ 441,178	17.1%	12
	f. Over \$1,000,000							3		0.0%	\$ 16,909,747	\$ 10,838	0.1%	1
18 Total		1,164	534	45.9%	\$ 5,767,099	\$ 1,637,045	28.4%	1,071	397	37.1%	\$ 23,347,976	\$ 1,391,530	6.0%	750
19	a. \$1,000 or less	218	122	56.0%	\$ 83,663	\$ 46,358	55.4%	217	101	46.5%	\$ 91,180	\$ 45,872	50.3%	209
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	130	49	37.7%	\$ 331,520	\$ 151,587	45.7%	163	39	23.9%	\$ 395,875	\$ 128,736	32.5%	111
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	64	22	34.4%	\$ 698,193	\$ 288,615	41.3%	94	11	11.7%	\$ 1,091,159	\$ 210,896	19.3%	53
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	11	2	18.2%	\$ 510,359	\$ 95,414	18.7%	14		0.0%	\$ 702,414	\$ 9,162	1.3%	6
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1		0.0%	\$ 237,588	\$ 119	0.0%							2
19 Total		424	195	46.0%	\$ 1,861,323	\$ 582,092	31.3%	488	151	30.9%	\$ 2,280,629	\$ 394,665	17.3%	381
20	a. \$1,000 or less	333	178	53.5%	\$ 134,430	\$ 74,776	55.6%	349	149	42.7%	\$ 155,913	\$ 74,383	47.7%	182
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	215	80	37.2%	\$ 508,873	\$ 215,291	42.3%	201	51	25.4%	\$ 455,121	\$ 142,838	31.4%	110
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	77	13	16.9%	\$ 831,811	\$ 190,583	22.9%	67	6	9.0%	\$ 654,627	\$ 76,594	11.7%	49
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 289,264	\$ 103,562	35.8%	12		0.0%	\$ 635,853	\$ 8,838	1.4%	11
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 283,971	\$ 316	0.1%	4		0.0%	\$ 758,928	\$ 12,653	1.7%	4
	f. Over \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 1,883,034	\$ 411	0.0%	1
20 Total		631	272	43.1%	\$ 2,048,349	\$ 584,528	28.5%	634	206	32.5%	\$ 4,543,476	\$ 315,717	6.9%	357

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY18						FY19						
District	Category	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020	Cases with Restitution Orders
21	a. \$1,000 or less	313	191	61.0%	\$ 100,130	\$ 62,954	62.9%	326	176	54.0%	\$ 113,147	\$ 66,884	59.1%	273
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	132	51	38.6%	\$ 312,904	\$ 138,334	44.2%	144	41	28.5%	\$ 329,750	\$ 99,177	30.1%	127
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	50	10	20.0%	\$ 500,033	\$ 169,442	33.9%	55	5	9.1%	\$ 604,208	\$ 113,806	18.8%	43
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	5	1	20.0%	\$ 218,017	\$ 86,842	39.8%	5		0.0%	\$ 276,891	\$ 27,087	9.8%	6
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 249,286	\$ 2,080	0.8%	1
21 Total		500	253	50.6%	\$ 1,131,085	\$ 457,572	40.5%	531	222	41.8%	\$ 1,573,281	\$ 309,035	19.6%	450
22	a. \$1,000 or less	41	21	51.2%	\$ 18,188	\$ 11,822	65.0%	40	18	45.0%	\$ 14,922	\$ 5,647	37.8%	31
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	39	18	46.2%	\$ 73,600	\$ 36,946	50.2%	23	7	30.4%	\$ 53,526	\$ 19,944	37.3%	19
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	16	5	31.3%	\$ 126,811	\$ 46,786	36.9%	7	2	28.6%	\$ 57,253	\$ 22,929	40.0%	8
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	4	1	25.0%	\$ 117,657	\$ 35,172	29.9%	1		0.0%	\$ 54,560	\$ 1,274	2.3%	1
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000							1		0.0%	\$ 260,780	\$ 456	0.2%	1
22 Total		100	45	45.0%	\$ 336,257	\$ 130,725	38.9%	72	27	37.5%	\$ 441,042	\$ 50,251	11.4%	60
Statewide	a. \$1,000 or less	5,409	2944	54.4%	\$ 2,241,263	\$ 1,275,999	56.9%	5,345	2360	44.2%	\$ 2,310,154	\$ 1,111,401	48.1%	4,026
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	3,181	1137	35.7%	\$ 7,480,550	\$ 3,070,871	41.1%	3,241	782	24.1%	\$ 7,628,111	\$ 2,279,284	29.9%	2,478
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1,445	275	19.0%	\$ 15,195,487	\$ 4,156,019	27.4%	1,416	152	10.7%	\$ 14,698,681	\$ 2,471,745	16.8%	1,076
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	242	35	14.5%	\$ 10,858,852	\$ 2,185,462	20.1%	242	15	6.2%	\$ 11,270,929	\$ 1,150,517	10.2%	178
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	68	4	5.9%	\$ 18,391,048	\$ 1,202,333	6.5%	69	3	4.3%	\$ 16,299,771	\$ 988,641	6.1%	55
	f. Over \$1,000,000	2		0.0%	\$ 4,224,247	\$ 1,873	0.0%	9		0.0%	\$ 26,911,548	\$ 54,202	0.2%	7
Grand Total		10,347	4,395	42.5%	\$ 58,391,447	\$ 11,892,557	20.4%	10,322	3,312	32.1%	\$ 79,119,195	\$ 8,055,790	10.2%	7,820

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >> FY20						
District	Category	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
1	a. \$1,000 or less	137	33.2%	\$ 180,391	\$ 69,381	38.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	44	20.5%	\$ 494,347	\$ 111,994	22.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	17	16.3%	\$ 1,036,694	\$ 206,748	19.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	12.5%	\$ 623,804	\$ 113,956	18.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 1,429,167	\$ 27,969	2.0%
	f. Over \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 1,099,280	\$ -	0.0%
1 Total		200	26.5%	\$ 4,863,684	\$ 530,048	10.9%
2A	a. \$1,000 or less	37	12.9%	\$ 136,592	\$ 17,095	12.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	21	7.7%	\$ 662,387	\$ 62,415	9.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	10	6.4%	\$ 1,597,647	\$ 133,551	8.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	8.3%	\$ 999,625	\$ 109,358	10.9%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 2,704,289	\$ 217,983	8.1%
	f. Over \$1,000,000					
2A Total		70	9.3%	\$ 6,100,540	\$ 540,403	8.9%
2J	a. \$1,000 or less	2	16.7%	\$ 6,032	\$ 1,067	17.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000		0.0%	\$ 36,593	\$ -	0.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 28,909	\$ 1,174	4.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 25,746	\$ -	0.0%
2J Total		2	6.7%	\$ 97,279	\$ 2,241	2.3%
3	a. \$1,000 or less	3	17.6%	\$ 5,453	\$ 1,512	27.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	1	11.1%	\$ 17,688	\$ 7,037	39.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 80,404	\$ 7,672	9.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000					
3 Total		4	12.1%	\$ 103,546	\$ 16,220	15.7%
4	a. \$1,000 or less	130	17.7%	\$ 282,502	\$ 55,504	19.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	38	7.1%	\$ 1,189,494	\$ 119,475	10.0%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	6	2.4%	\$ 2,665,146	\$ 132,506	5.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 2,010,763	\$ 96,146	4.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 2,077,425	\$ 8,677	0.4%
	f. Over \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 18,495,757	\$ -	0.0%
4 Total		174	11.1%	\$ 26,721,087	\$ 412,308	1.5%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY20				
District	Category	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
5	a. \$1,000 or less	39	42.9%	\$ 41,735	\$ 17,943	43.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	10	23.3%	\$ 105,290	\$ 33,451	31.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	4	25.0%	\$ 166,543	\$ 54,926	33.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	20.0%	\$ 618,681	\$ 169,988	27.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
5 Total		55	34.4%	\$ 932,249	\$ 276,308	29.6%
6	a. \$1,000 or less	22	34.9%	\$ 29,105	\$ 12,104	41.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	13	37.1%	\$ 86,106	\$ 29,408	34.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1	9.1%	\$ 91,096	\$ 10,054	11.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 43,752	\$ -	0.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
6 Total		36	32.7%	\$ 250,059	\$ 51,566	20.6%
7	a. \$1,000 or less	24	35.8%	\$ 24,193	\$ 10,441	43.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	9	23.1%	\$ 80,479	\$ 22,216	27.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1	8.3%	\$ 139,059	\$ 8,618	6.2%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	50.0%	\$ 61,630	\$ 36,345	59.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
	f. Over \$1,000,000					
7 Total		35	29.2%	\$ 305,362	\$ 77,620	25.4%
8	a. \$1,000 or less	103	33.0%	\$ 121,850	\$ 45,546	37.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	18	12.2%	\$ 352,596	\$ 73,216	20.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	2	3.7%	\$ 556,111	\$ 45,533	8.2%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 346,303	\$ 1,916	0.6%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
	f. Over \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 1,611,879	\$ 30,000	1.9%
8 Total		123	23.6%	\$ 2,988,738	\$ 196,211	6.6%
9	a. \$1,000 or less	21	37.5%	\$ 25,338	\$ 9,446	37.3%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	5	11.6%	\$ 106,486	\$ 17,306	16.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 104,604	\$ 3,018	2.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 121,439	\$ 908	0.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 125,000	\$ 56,224	45.0%
9 Total		26	23.2%	\$ 482,867	\$ 86,901	18.0%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY20				
District	Category	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
10	a. \$1,000 or less	40	26.0%	\$ 72,173	\$ 22,099	30.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	11	12.9%	\$ 193,213	\$ 32,338	16.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1	4.2%	\$ 266,599	\$ 15,750	5.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 79,860	\$ -	0.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
10 Total		52	19.6%	\$ 611,844	\$ 70,188	11.5%
11	a. \$1,000 or less	22	25.9%	\$ 25,809	\$ 6,965	27.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	8	18.6%	\$ 116,624	\$ 23,745	20.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 106,770	\$ 1,419	1.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 27,967	\$ -	0.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
11 Total		30	21.4%	\$ 277,169	\$ 32,128	11.6%
12	a. \$1,000 or less	20	26.3%	\$ 25,039	\$ 6,448	25.8%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	5	16.7%	\$ 69,217	\$ 12,087	17.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1	7.7%	\$ 142,129	\$ 21,518	15.1%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 196,272	\$ 249	0.1%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
12 Total		26	21.0%	\$ 432,658	\$ 40,303	9.3%
13	a. \$1,000 or less	14	26.9%	\$ 22,105	\$ 7,738	35.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	5	17.9%	\$ 68,690	\$ 13,599	19.8%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	20.0%	\$ 143,029	\$ 25,558	17.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000					
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 285,610	\$ 174	0.1%
13 Total		22	22.7%	\$ 519,434	\$ 47,070	9.1%
14	a. \$1,000 or less	33	57.9%	\$ 16,147	\$ 9,564	59.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	5	20.8%	\$ 55,328	\$ 17,323	31.3%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 51,410	\$ 1,143	2.2%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 30,886	\$ 1,175	3.8%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 624,478	\$ 556	0.1%
14 Total		38	42.2%	\$ 778,248	\$ 29,761	3.8%
15	a. \$1,000 or less	15	24.6%	\$ 12,831	\$ 3,197	24.9%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	4	20.0%	\$ 43,615	\$ 9,671	22.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	1	33.3%	\$ 41,395	\$ 13,436	32.5%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000					
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
15 Total		20	23.8%	\$ 97,840	\$ 26,304	26.9%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >>		FY20				
District	Category	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
16	a. \$1,000 or less	4	14.3%	\$ 8,642	\$ 1,294	15.0%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	2	14.3%	\$ 33,593	\$ 5,443	16.2%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 48,174	\$ 3,174	6.6%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 62,852	\$ 1,447	2.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000					
16 Total		6	12.2%	\$ 153,262	\$ 11,358	7.4%
17	a. \$1,000 or less	102	25.6%	\$ 193,072	\$ 57,111	29.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	33	11.9%	\$ 690,135	\$ 99,946	14.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	2	1.7%	\$ 1,248,780	\$ 78,572	6.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 511,245	\$ 95,636	18.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	20.0%	\$ 1,198,535	\$ 130,172	10.9%
17 Total		138	17.0%	\$ 3,841,768	\$ 461,436	12.0%
18	a. \$1,000 or less	134	36.3%	\$ 176,328	\$ 69,544	39.4%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	47	19.6%	\$ 572,784	\$ 140,411	24.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	9	8.3%	\$ 1,179,928	\$ 141,757	12.0%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	2	10.0%	\$ 894,599	\$ 115,451	12.9%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 2,130,894	\$ 133,156	6.2%
	f. Over \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 1,000,894	\$ 18,167	1.8%
18 Total		192	25.6%	\$ 5,955,425	\$ 618,487	10.4%
19	a. \$1,000 or less	41	19.6%	\$ 90,173	\$ 23,136	25.7%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	10	9.0%	\$ 258,932	\$ 35,478	13.7%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	2	3.8%	\$ 527,510	\$ 26,064	4.9%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 234,944	\$ 3,914	1.7%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 339,945	\$ 962	0.3%
19 Total		53	13.9%	\$ 1,451,504	\$ 89,554	6.2%
20	a. \$1,000 or less	41	22.5%	\$ 75,268	\$ 21,466	28.5%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	15	13.6%	\$ 244,527	\$ 47,487	19.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	5	10.2%	\$ 465,864	\$ 67,075	14.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	1	9.1%	\$ 506,535	\$ 27,510	5.4%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	1	25.0%	\$ 675,876	\$ 112,252	16.6%
	f. Over \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 3,115,363	\$ 74	0.0%
20 Total		63	17.6%	\$ 5,083,433	\$ 275,864	5.4%

Chart K:

Fiscal Year >> FY20						
District	Category	Cases Paid in Full	% Cases Paid in Full	Restitution Assessed	Paid as of 7/7/2020	% Dollars Paid as of 7/7/2020
21	a. \$1,000 or less	70	25.6%	\$ 108,527	\$ 28,408	26.2%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	9	7.1%	\$ 295,176	\$ 40,118	13.6%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	3	7.0%	\$ 426,979	\$ 52,666	12.3%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 286,275	\$ 21,507	7.5%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 145,011	\$ 4,993	3.4%
21 Total		82	18.2%	\$ 1,261,968	\$ 147,691	11.7%
22	a. \$1,000 or less	7	22.6%	\$ 12,086	\$ 4,116	34.1%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	2	10.5%	\$ 47,891	\$ 7,846	16.4%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000		0.0%	\$ 87,107	\$ 2,998	3.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000		0.0%	\$ 75,096	\$ -	0.0%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 269,206	\$ -	0.0%
22 Total		9	15.0%	\$ 491,386	\$ 14,960	3.0%
Statewide	a. \$1,000 or less	1061	26.4%	\$ 1,691,390	\$ 501,123	29.6%
	b. \$1,001 - \$5,000	315	12.7%	\$ 5,821,192	\$ 962,009	16.5%
	c. \$5,001 - \$25,000	68	6.3%	\$ 11,201,888	\$ 1,054,932	9.4%
	d. \$25,001 - \$100,000	10	5.6%	\$ 7,758,274	\$ 795,507	10.3%
	e. \$100,001 - \$1,000,000	2	3.6%	\$ 12,005,435	\$ 693,118	5.8%
	f. Over \$1,000,000		0.0%	\$ 25,323,172	\$ 48,241	0.2%
Grand Total		1,456	18.6%	\$ 63,801,351	\$ 4,054,930	6.4%

Chart L:

**Colorado Judicial Department
Restitution Interest Collections**

District	County / Loc	FY20		FY19		FY18		FY17	
1	Gilpin (Central City)	\$ 5,738	\$ 235,499	\$ 20,565	\$ 252,107	\$ 7,370	\$ 178,903	\$ 1,805	\$ 99,670
	Jefferson (Golden)	\$ 229,761		\$ 231,542		\$ 171,534		\$ 97,866	
2	Denver (District)	\$ 256,121	\$ 293,495	\$ 218,412	\$ 248,145	\$ 136,072	\$ 144,387	\$ 119,332	\$ 127,242
	Denver (Juvenile)	\$ 37,374		\$ 29,734		\$ 8,315		\$ 7,910	
3	Huerfano (Walsenburg)	\$ 1,429	\$ 4,725	\$ 1,195	\$ 9,813	\$ 1,786	\$ 3,801	\$ 458	\$ 2,041
	Las Animas (Trinidad)	\$ 3,296		\$ 8,619		\$ 2,016		\$ 1,583	
4	El Paso (Colo. Springs)	\$ 487,117	\$ 497,406	\$ 517,720	\$ 537,420	\$ 366,947	\$ 370,224	\$ 197,209	\$ 203,371
	Teller (Cripple Creek)	\$ 10,289		\$ 19,700		\$ 3,277		\$ 6,162	
5	Clear Creek (Georgetown)	\$ 5,269	\$ 36,957	\$ 4,261	\$ 59,780	\$ 1,417	\$ 26,483	\$ 900	\$ 50,730
	Eagle	\$ 24,705		\$ 24,453		\$ 17,678		\$ 10,471	
	Eagle (Basalt)	\$ 843		\$ 4,845		\$ 1,917		\$ 1,947	
	Lake (Leadville)	\$ 6,127		\$ 26,221		\$ 5,467		\$ 36,881	
	Summit (Breckenridge)	\$ 12		\$ -		\$ 5		\$ 531	
6	Archuleta (Pagosa Springs)	\$ 1,433	\$ 38,320	\$ 3,411	\$ 18,836	\$ 5,852	\$ 30,860	\$ 9,448	\$ 24,269
	La Plata (Durango)	\$ 36,787		\$ 15,425		\$ 24,341		\$ 14,802	
	San Juan (Silverton)	\$ 101		\$ -		\$ 667		\$ 19	
7	Delta	\$ 2,531	\$ 37,152	\$ 16,517	\$ 37,148	\$ 6,409	\$ 31,074	\$ 7,644	\$ 14,564
	Gunnison	\$ 4,939		\$ 1,251		\$ 4,969		\$ 1,956	
	Hinsdale (Lake City)	\$ 8		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -	
	Montrose	\$ 15,095		\$ 17,150		\$ 18,995		\$ 4,618	
	Montrose (Nucla)	\$ 24		\$ 2,026		\$ 133		\$ 29	
	Ouray	\$ 14,078		\$ 151		\$ 506		\$ 170	
8	San Miguel (Telluride)	\$ 478	\$ 155,202	\$ 53	\$ 146,418	\$ 61	\$ 150,684	\$ 147	\$ 101,166
	Jackson (Walden)	\$ 2,200		\$ 153		\$ 318		\$ 100	
	Larimer (Ft. Collins)	\$ 148,775		\$ 133,940		\$ 144,175		\$ 98,188	
	Larimer (Loveland)	\$ 4,228		\$ 12,324		\$ 6,191		\$ 2,878	
9	Garfield (Glenwood Springs)	\$ 19,209	\$ 26,047	\$ 8,586	\$ 14,871	\$ 15,652	\$ 22,134	\$ 3,552	\$ 7,843
	Garfield (Rifle)	\$ 4,336		\$ 2,061		\$ 2,888		\$ 2,800	
	Pitkin (Aspen)	\$ 1,055		\$ 3,747		\$ 2,373		\$ 825	
	Rio Blanco (Meeker)	\$ 1,444		\$ 466		\$ 276		\$ 666	
	Rio Blanco (Rangely)	\$ 2		\$ 12		\$ 944		\$ -	
10	Pueblo	\$ 47,883	\$ 47,883	\$ 47,178	\$ 47,178	\$ 28,211	\$ 28,211	\$ 21,836	\$ 21,836
11	Chaffee (Salida)	\$ 8,566	\$ 28,901	\$ 21,562	\$ 47,589	\$ 9,893	\$ 32,216	\$ 9,796	\$ 27,947
	Custer (Westcliffe)	\$ 747		\$ 608		\$ 76		\$ 342	
	Fremont (Canon City)	\$ 16,662		\$ 21,556		\$ 14,236		\$ 15,018	
	Park (Fairplay)	\$ 2,925		\$ 3,863		\$ 8,010		\$ 2,791	
12	Alamosa	\$ 22,052	\$ 33,598	\$ 19,606	\$ 28,558	\$ 10,002	\$ 21,042	\$ 8,179	\$ 24,898
	Conejos	\$ 2,022		\$ 2,811		\$ 2,396		\$ 1,874	
	Costilla (San Luis)	\$ 1,323		\$ 1,222		\$ 192		\$ 423	
	Mineral (Creede)	\$ -		\$ -		\$ 913		\$ 880	
	Rio Grande (Del Norte)	\$ 5,041		\$ 4,579		\$ 4,112		\$ 12,464	
	Saguache	\$ 3,161		\$ 340		\$ 3,427		\$ 1,078	
13	Kit Carson (Burlington)	\$ 4,038	\$ 29,062	\$ 1,118	\$ 13,391	\$ 2,084	\$ 17,242	\$ 605	\$ 19,448
	Logan (Sterling)	\$ 6,760		\$ 2,501		\$ 5,081		\$ 6,579	
	Morgan (Ft. Morgan)	\$ 15,438		\$ 4,073		\$ 7,998		\$ 9,538	
	Phillips (Holyoke)	\$ 522		\$ 3,496		\$ 473		\$ 1,274	
	Sedgwick (Julesburg)	\$ 166		\$ 770		\$ 33		\$ 257	
	Washington (Akron)	\$ 1,066		\$ 416		\$ 168		\$ 550	
	Yuma (Wray)	\$ 1,072		\$ 1,017		\$ 1,405		\$ 645	
14	Grand (Hot Sulphur Springs)	\$ 7,024	\$ 21,195	\$ 3,306	\$ 22,349	\$ 3,687	\$ 10,448	\$ 1,492	\$ 7,132
	Moffat (Craig)	\$ 7,258		\$ 8,050		\$ 3,081		\$ 2,006	
	Routt (Steamboat)	\$ 6,913		\$ 10,993		\$ 3,680		\$ 3,634	
15	Baca (Springfield)	\$ 661	\$ 9,819	\$ 2,430	\$ 19,871	\$ 3,013	\$ 10,099	\$ 191	\$ 4,878
	Cheyenne (Cheyenne Wells)	\$ 7		\$ 9		\$ -		\$ 897	
	Kiowa (Eads)	\$ 1,331		\$ 1,096		\$ 73		\$ 100	
16	Prowers (Lamar)	\$ 7,820	\$ 4,844	\$ 16,335	\$ 35,658	\$ 7,013	\$ 11,011	\$ 3,690	\$ 6,549
	Bent (Las Animas)	\$ 1,785		\$ 370		\$ 350		\$ 3,885	
	Crowley (Ordway)	\$ 608		\$ 1,210		\$ 156		\$ 159	
17	Otero (Lajunta)	\$ 2,451	\$ 298,093	\$ 34,078	\$ 240,188	\$ 10,506	\$ 147,428	\$ 2,505	\$ 146,209
	Adams (Brighton)	\$ 258,319		\$ 195,187		\$ 140,685		\$ 137,363	
	Broomfield County	\$ 41,774		\$ 45,001		\$ 6,743		\$ 8,846	
	Arapahoe	\$ 321,743		\$ 279,272		\$ 188,432		\$ 190,072	
18	Douglas (Castle Rock)	\$ 81,738	\$ 422,097	\$ 104,634	\$ 397,237	\$ 74,288	\$ 268,704	\$ 88,904	\$ 281,489
	Elbert (Kiowa)	\$ 11,827		\$ 12,198		\$ 5,140		\$ 1,345	
	Lincoln (Hugo)	\$ 6,789		\$ 1,132		\$ 845		\$ 1,168	
19	Weld (Greeley)	\$ 173,878	\$ 173,878	\$ 138,208	\$ 138,208	\$ 147,620	\$ 147,620	\$ 92,960	\$ 92,960
	Boulder	\$ 111,102		\$ 66,007		\$ 74,692		\$ 65,335	
20	Boulder (Longmont)	\$ 19,877	\$ 130,979	\$ 8,685	\$ 74,692	\$ 10,131	\$ 75,466	\$ 5,283	\$ 46,411
	Mesa (Grand Junction)	\$ 116,041		\$ 113,603		\$ 113,603		\$ 69,623	
21	Dolores (Dove Creek)	\$ 1,268	\$ 116,041	\$ 152	\$ 113,603	\$ 284	\$ 69,623	\$ 36	\$ 46,546
	Montezuma (Cortez)	\$ 16,859		\$ 9,679		\$ 9,831		\$ 11,958	
Statewide Totals		\$ 2,659,319	\$ 2,659,319	\$ 2,512,891	\$ 2,512,891	\$ 1,809,620	\$ 1,809,620	\$ 1,361,474	\$ 1,361,474



Section IX: Colorado Probation

CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Leslie Schaefer
Jeff Carpenter, Deputy

SECOND
JUDICIAL DISTRICT
ADULT

Cary Heck
Cheryl Frenette, Deputy

SECOND JUDICIAL
DISTRICT JUVENILE

Shawn Cohn

THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Martin Malouff

FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Ellen Walker
Ryan Mills, Deputy

FIFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Wendy Slavin

SIXTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Thomas Harms

SEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Rob Omer

EIGHTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chris Gastelle
Greg Otte, Deputy

NINTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Joe Gerber

TENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

David Simental

ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael O'Rourke

TWELFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bill Gurule

THIRTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

John Draxler

FOURTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Timothy Griffith

FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Byron Hall

SIXTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Tobin Wright

SEVENTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jenifer Morgen
Jeanette Hensel, Deputy

EIGHTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Doug Gray
Shelly Sack, Deputy

NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jerry Green
Cory Flummerfelt, Deputy

TWENTIETH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Anjali Nandi
Joseph Creel, Deputy

TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Linda Robinson

TWENTY-SECOND
JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Sonia Buffington

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.


COLORADO PROBATION

Colorado Probation is committed to Public Safety, Victim and Community Reparation through Offender Accountability, Skill and Competency Development and Services to the Communities of Colorado.

Serving under the Colorado Judicial Department, Colorado Probation comprises 23 probation departments and the Division of Probation Services (DPS). The probation departments, located throughout the state, interface directly with probationers to provide rehabilitation, skill development, and enhance public safety for all residents of Colorado. The Division of Probation Services provides support and administrative services to the local probation departments.

The report that follows presents detailed and summary information pertaining to the many programs Colorado Probation employs to effectively supervise its clients. These programs support evidence-based practices and tailored supervision strategies to best serve both individuals on supervision and our local communities. Programs used by Colorado Probation include the following: Adult Regular State Probation; Juvenile Regular Probation, Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP); Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP); Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP); Female Offender Program (FOP); Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP); Private Probation; and State Monitored DUI/DWAI (ADDS). Probation also provides victim services to those impacted by crime. The number of clients served under each of the programs is presented in Chart 5 below, which captures the current number of probationers in each program along with an historical perspective to illustrate how the probation population has shifted over time.

**Chart 5: Probation Active Population on June 30th
Proportion of Probationers by Program Type- Ten Year Comparison**



As illustrated in Chart 5, the probation population has evolved considerably over the last ten years. Not only has the number of clients increased, but the placement of clients in programs has evolved. The sections following contain additional information on each of these programs.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 41:
Grand Totals - Probation
Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile Regular, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and
Investigations
Fiscal Year 2019 and Fiscal Year 2020**

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020
State Adult Regular	15,946	13,787	41,608	34,520	32,671	31,449	60,543	55,715
LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP)¹			754	709	447	517	829	897
CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation(CCIP)²			418	976	64	324	360	982
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)			345	459	320	292	1,347	1,456
Female Offender Program (FOP)³			212	42	249	174	273	20
Private Probation⁴			5,895	4,100	6,486	6,327	11,252	9,484
State Monitored/DUI/DWAI	17,542	15,032	2,009	1,680	1,821	1,845	3,534	3,125
Grand Total Adult Population	33,488	28,819	51,241	42,486	42,058	40,928	78,138	71,679

	Investigations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020
State Juvenile Regular	984	1,064	2,458	2,126	2,046	1,957	3,152	2,880
Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)			162	105	137	137	232	178
Grand Total Juvenile Population	984	1,064	2,620	2,231	2,183	2,094	3,384	3,058

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2019	FY 2020
Grand Total Adult and Juvenile Population	34,472	29,883	53,861	44,717	44,241	43,022	81,522	74,737


¹**LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP):** The AISP program was modified and renamed in 2013, following the passage of HB13-250. LSIP targets the higher risk/lower needs (absence of substance dependence and mental health issues) probationers. The program is designed to address specific criminogenic needs with intensive interventions.

²**CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP):** The CCIP program is in full implementation in FY 2020. CCIP targets the higher risk/higher needs (significant disruptions due to substance abuse, mental health issues, and stability factors) probationers. The program is designed to address specific criminogenic needs with a variety of interventions.

³**Female Offender Program (FOP):** During FY2020, 42 women were placed in the FOP program as the program will be phased out as of June 30, 2020. As this program will no longer be available, this is the last year FOP data will be reported.

⁴**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports have displayed these numbers separately.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 42:
Colorado Adult Probation
State Regular and Private Combined
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ¹				UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³								Total Terminations ⁴			
	Successful				Revoked				Absconded				Total Terminations ⁴			
	State	Private ²	TOTAL		State	Private ²	TOTAL		State	Private ²	TOTAL		State	Private ²	TOTAL	
	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%
1	2,235	634	2,869	68%	798	64	862	20%	409	78	487	12%	3,442	776	4,218	100%
2	1,476	0	1,476	51%	740	0	740	26%	665	0	665	23%	2,881	0	2,881	100%
3	127	0	127	66%	25	0	25	13%	39	0	39	20%	191	0	191	100%
4	1,351	669	2,020	63%	534	52	586	18%	547	64	611	19%	2,432	785	3,217	100%
5	450	171	621	79%	60	1	61	8%	95	11	106	13%	605	183	788	100%
6	376	0	376	74%	69	0	69	14%	63	0	63	12%	508	0	508	100%
7	401	0	401	76%	74	0	74	14%	54	0	54	10%	529	0	529	100%
8	1,566	562	2,128	73%	320	63	383	13%	360	41	401	14%	2,246	666	2,912	100%
9	333	0	333	73%	48	0	48	10%	78	0	78	17%	459	0	459	100%
10	629	220	849	63%	285	7	292	22%	174	29	203	15%	1,088	256	1,344	100%
11	402	153	555	73%	109	1	110	14%	97	3	100	13%	608	157	765	100%
12	345	0	345	63%	114	0	114	21%	92	0	92	17%	551	0	551	100%
13	528	0	528	73%	102	0	102	14%	89	0	89	12%	719	0	719	100%
14	266	0	266	76%	45	0	45	13%	37	0	37	11%	348	0	348	100%
15	112	0	112	77%	22	0	22	15%	12	0	12	8%	146	0	146	100%
16	117	0	117	68%	44	0	44	26%	11	0	11	6%	172	0	172	100%
17	2,281	682	2,963	67%	794	34	828	19%	582	71	653	15%	3,657	787	4,444	100%
18	2,071	1,299	3,370	70%	679	77	756	16%	595	74	669	14%	3,345	1,450	4,795	100%
19	1,073	284	1,357	69%	356	28	384	20%	208	12	220	11%	1,637	324	1,961	100%
20	714	760	1,474	72%	212	47	259	13%	228	88	316	15%	1,154	895	2,049	100%
21	534	0	534	63%	145	0	145	17%	173	0	173	20%	852	0	852	100%
22	141	0	141	53%	63	0	63	24%	60	0	60	23%	264	0	264	100%
STATE	17,528	5,434	22,962	67%	5,638	374	6,012	18%	4,668	471	5,139	15%	27,834	6,279	34,113	100%

¹**Successful Terminations:** This number includes the state successful terminations and private successful terminations.

²**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 50 and Table 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




Adult State
Regular Probation

Adult Regular Probation

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult probation in 22 judicial districts. There are over 70 separate probation locations throughout the state providing services to the courts, victims and probation clients. The Division of Probation Services publishes standards for probation supervision. Within the limits of statute and state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, probationers are assessed for risk and need factors. Based on these assessments, probationers are supervised accordingly and referred for treatment and other relevant services during their period of supervision.


In FY 2020, there were 34,520 adults sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2020 there were 55,715 adult probationers on regular supervision. In FY 2020, 63% of adults completed regular state probation successfully.




Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Chart 7: New Adult Probationers by Gender
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2011 NEW




FISCAL YEAR 2020 NEW




■ Male ■ Female

**Chart 8: New Adult Probationers by Offense Type
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2011 NEW



FISCAL YEAR 2020 NEW




■ Felony ■ Misdemeanor ■ Petty Offense ■ Traffic ■ Other

Other: Offenses coded without a law class. Programming enhancements completed in FY 2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.


Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Chart 9: New Adult Probationers by Age
Ten Year Comparison**



12-17 years: DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for probationers under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses."

**Chart 10: New Adult Probationers by Ethnicity
Ten Year Comparison**



Ethnicity: These data are entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed by the district attorney.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 43:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Investigations
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations ¹		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT																
1	184	6%	889	29%	1,671	54%	60	2%	0	0%	98	3%	213	7%	3,115	100%
2	125	9%	662	49%	85	6%	4	0%	189	14%	108	8%	187	14%	1,360	100%
3	1	1%	78	44%	79	44%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	20	11%	179	100%
4	162	4%	903	22%	2,142	53%	8	0%	0	0%	133	3%	667	17%	4,015	100%
5	14	1%	123	13%	668	70%	17	2%	36	4%	4	0%	94	10%	956	100%
6	5	1%	336	42%	409	51%	4	0%	0	0%	10	1%	39	5%	803	100%
7	12	1%	172	21%	388	47%	24	3%	39	5%	9	1%	182	22%	826	100%
8	79	3%	609	20%	1,630	55%	100	3%	28	1%	63	2%	475	16%	2,984	100%
9	18	2%	164	21%	456	59%	11	1%	16	2%	10	1%	92	12%	767	100%
10	25	3%	179	19%	500	52%	15	2%	91	10%	15	2%	128	13%	953	100%
11	8	1%	77	14%	425	75%	1	0%	6	1%	6	1%	40	7%	563	100%
12	14	2%	155	25%	157	25%	0	0%	230	37%	6	1%	63	10%	625	100%
13	42	6%	218	32%	298	44%	30	4%	0	0%	10	1%	80	12%	678	100%
14	6	1%	81	18%	332	73%	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%	31	7%	456	100%
15	2	1%	84	61%	38	28%	0	0%	0	0%	3	2%	11	8%	138	100%
16	8	2%	190	49%	80	21%	5	1%	36	9%	4	1%	65	17%	388	100%
17	90	3%	729	27%	1,738	63%	3	0%	0	0%	37	1%	143	5%	2,740	100%
18	212	8%	465	18%	1,758	67%	3	0%	0	0%	123	5%	80	3%	2,641	100%
19	81	4%	694	33%	892	42%	1	0%	0	0%	29	1%	421	20%	2,118	100%
20	53	5%	171	17%	668	65%	4	0%	0	0%	23	2%	101	10%	1,020	100%
21	44	4%	357	30%	499	42%	17	1%	0	0%	30	3%	249	21%	1,196	100%
22	8	3%	152	51%	119	40%	1	0%	2	1%	2	1%	14	5%	298	100%
STATE	1,193	4%	7,488	26%	15,032	52%	311	1%	673	2%	727	3%	3,395	12%	28,819	100%

Denver County ²	0	0%	0	0%	1,109	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1,109	100%
STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)	1,193	4%	7,488	25%	16,141	54%	311	1%	673	2%	727	2%	3,395	11%	29,928	100%

¹**DUI/DWAI Evaluations:** In previous annual reports this data was reported in Table 94. As of FY 2008 this information is now included in this table.

²**Denver County:** Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system, therefore this data is reported by Denver County for inclusion in this table.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 44:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Offense Type
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,046	28%	2,675	71%	38	1%	16	0%	0	0%	3,775	100%
2	1,529	55%	1,266	45%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2,795	100%
3	78	34%	148	65%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	228	100%
4	1,866	56%	1,469	44%	2	0%	8	0%	3	0%	3,348	100%
5	235	26%	618	70%	24	3%	11	1%	0	0%	888	100%
6	157	28%	402	71%	0	0%	6	1%	0	0%	565	100%
7	193	28%	497	71%	4	1%	2	0%	0	0%	696	100%
8	834	27%	2,154	70%	41	1%	35	1%	2	0%	3,066	100%
9	201	33%	401	66%	4	1%	2	0%	0	0%	608	100%
10	352	27%	938	73%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	1,293	100%
11	192	22%	660	76%	13	1%	2	0%	0	0%	867	100%
12	161	28%	400	70%	7	1%	5	1%	0	0%	573	100%
13	188	23%	599	73%	23	3%	7	1%	0	0%	817	100%
14	110	21%	396	77%	5	1%	3	1%	0	0%	514	100%
15	54	27%	140	71%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	197	100%
16	98	34%	180	63%	7	2%	3	1%	0	0%	288	100%
17	1,069	26%	2,967	72%	33	1%	24	1%	6	0%	4,099	100%
18	1,170	27%	3,152	72%	36	1%	13	0%	6	0%	4,377	100%
19	691	27%	1,808	71%	10	0%	36	1%	1	0%	2,546	100%
20	451	27%	1,152	70%	18	1%	20	1%	2	0%	1,643	100%
21	382	36%	664	63%	9	1%	7	1%	0	0%	1,062	100%
22	77	28%	194	71%	1	0%	3	1%	0	0%	275	100%
STATE	11,134	32%	22,880	66%	279	1%	207	1%	20	0%	34,520	100%

¹**Other (offense coded without a law class):** Programming enhancements completed in FY 2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 45:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Court of Origin
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,029	54%	1,707	45%	39	1%	3,775	100%
2	2,715	97%	19	1%	61	2%	2,795	100%
3	145	64%	78	34%	5	2%	228	100%
4	2,377	71%	894	27%	77	2%	3,348	100%
5	386	43%	494	56%	8	1%	888	100%
6	260	46%	293	52%	12	2%	565	100%
7	351	50%	332	48%	13	2%	696	100%
8	1,160	38%	1,860	61%	46	2%	3,066	100%
9	323	53%	278	46%	7	1%	608	100%
10	767	59%	503	39%	23	2%	1,293	100%
11	407	47%	451	52%	9	1%	867	100%
12	341	60%	224	39%	8	1%	573	100%
13	340	42%	466	57%	11	1%	817	100%
14	257	50%	251	49%	6	1%	514	100%
15	98	50%	95	48%	4	2%	197	100%
16	179	62%	104	36%	5	2%	288	100%
17	2,002	49%	2,040	50%	57	1%	4,099	100%
18	2,164	49%	2,178	50%	35	1%	4,377	100%
19	1,187	47%	1,327	52%	32	1%	2,546	100%
20	850	52%	772	47%	21	1%	1,643	100%
21	665	63%	373	35%	24	2%	1,062	100%
22	133	48%	137	50%	5	2%	275	100%
STATE	19,136	55%	14,876	43%	508	1%	34,520	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 46:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	Probation		Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		Diversion to be Supervised by Probation ¹		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,073	81%	61	2%	39	1%	304	8%	117	3%	179	5%	2	0%	3,775	100%
2	2,058	74%	101	4%	61	2%	173	6%	159	6%	243	9%	0	0%	2,795	100%
3	80	35%	3	1%	5	2%	33	14%	1	0%	106	46%	0	0%	228	100%
4	2,080	62%	81	2%	78	2%	280	8%	129	4%	700	21%	0	0%	3,348	100%
5	582	66%	14	2%	8	1%	104	12%	19	2%	161	18%	0	0%	888	100%
6	382	68%	10	2%	12	2%	66	12%	12	2%	83	15%	0	0%	565	100%
7	402	58%	3	0%	14	2%	111	16%	33	5%	133	19%	0	0%	696	100%
8	2,117	69%	62	2%	46	2%	212	7%	124	4%	505	16%	0	0%	3,066	100%
9	405	67%	4	1%	7	1%	99	16%	9	1%	84	14%	0	0%	608	100%
10	818	63%	32	2%	23	2%	168	13%	37	3%	215	17%	0	0%	1,293	100%
11	570	66%	17	2%	9	1%	90	10%	6	1%	175	20%	0	0%	867	100%
12	329	57%	12	2%	8	1%	62	11%	34	6%	128	22%	0	0%	573	100%
13	503	62%	17	2%	11	1%	92	11%	39	5%	155	19%	0	0%	817	100%
14	334	65%	4	1%	6	1%	72	14%	7	1%	91	18%	0	0%	514	100%
15	126	64%	1	1%	4	2%	24	12%	16	8%	26	13%	0	0%	197	100%
16	175	61%	0	0%	5	2%	57	20%	11	4%	40	14%	0	0%	288	100%
17	2,923	71%	85	2%	57	1%	483	12%	170	4%	381	9%	0	0%	4,099	100%
18	3,040	69%	71	2%	35	1%	368	8%	134	3%	729	17%	0	0%	4,377	100%
19	1,769	69%	21	1%	32	1%	410	16%	114	4%	200	8%	0	0%	2,546	100%
20	1,010	61%	44	3%	22	1%	218	13%	29	2%	320	19%	0	0%	1,643	100%
21	775	73%	29	3%	24	2%	148	14%	61	6%	25	2%	0	0%	1,062	100%
22	152	55%	8	3%	5	2%	30	11%	6	2%	74	27%	0	0%	275	100%
STATE	23,703	69%	680	2%	511	1%	3,604	10%	1,267	4%	4,753	14%	2	0%	34,520	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

¹Diversion to be Supervised by Probation: Cases sentenced to probation that are supervised as part of a deferred prosecution agreement.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 47:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,104	29%	2,671	71%	0	0%	3,775	100%
2	712	25%	2,082	74%	1	0%	2,795	100%
3	67	29%	161	71%	0	0%	228	100%
4	936	28%	2,412	72%	0	0%	3,348	100%
5	202	23%	686	77%	0	0%	888	100%
6	127	22%	435	77%	3	1%	565	100%
7	190	27%	506	73%	0	0%	696	100%
8	869	28%	2,195	72%	2	0%	3,066	100%
9	137	23%	469	77%	2	0%	608	100%
10	389	30%	904	70%	0	0%	1,293	100%
11	237	27%	630	73%	0	0%	867	100%
12	173	30%	400	70%	0	0%	573	100%
13	224	27%	593	73%	0	0%	817	100%
14	135	26%	378	74%	1	0%	514	100%
15	62	31%	135	69%	0	0%	197	100%
16	79	27%	209	73%	0	0%	288	100%
17	1,098	27%	2,999	73%	2	0%	4,099	100%
18	1,195	27%	3,180	73%	2	0%	4,377	100%
19	679	27%	1,864	73%	3	0%	2,546	100%
20	424	26%	1,219	74%	0	0%	1,643	100%
21	303	29%	759	71%	0	0%	1,062	100%
22	80	29%	195	71%	0	0%	275	100%
STATE	9,422	27%	25,082	73%	16	0%	34,520	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 48:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	12-17 Years*		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	10	0%	162	4%	403	11%	1,161	31%	857	23%	1,182	31%	0	0%	3,775	100%
2	2	0%	147	5%	313	11%	904	32%	633	23%	796	28%	0	0%	2,795	100%
3	0	0%	9	4%	20	9%	68	30%	47	21%	84	37%	0	0%	228	100%
4	1	0%	183	5%	396	12%	990	30%	757	23%	1,021	30%	0	0%	3,348	100%
5	10	1%	42	5%	110	12%	269	30%	178	20%	279	31%	0	0%	888	100%
6	1	0%	18	3%	58	10%	176	31%	120	21%	192	34%	0	0%	565	100%
7	0	0%	38	5%	72	10%	208	30%	132	19%	246	35%	0	0%	696	100%
8	8	0%	218	7%	518	17%	885	29%	616	20%	821	27%	0	0%	3,066	100%
9	0	0%	33	5%	66	11%	161	26%	138	23%	210	35%	0	0%	608	100%
10	2	0%	80	6%	158	12%	368	28%	279	22%	406	31%	0	0%	1,293	100%
11	2	0%	35	4%	78	9%	237	27%	184	21%	331	38%	0	0%	867	100%
12	1	0%	41	7%	72	13%	156	27%	114	20%	189	33%	0	0%	573	100%
13	4	0%	59	7%	94	12%	228	28%	175	21%	257	31%	0	0%	817	100%
14	1	0%	24	5%	49	10%	148	29%	113	22%	179	35%	0	0%	514	100%
15	1	1%	17	9%	22	11%	59	30%	37	19%	61	31%	0	0%	197	100%
16	0	0%	16	6%	29	10%	78	27%	55	19%	110	38%	0	0%	288	100%
17	13	0%	250	6%	533	13%	1,217	30%	877	21%	1,209	29%	0	0%	4,099	100%
18	30	1%	276	6%	548	13%	1,313	30%	910	21%	1,300	30%	0	0%	4,377	100%
19	5	0%	183	7%	359	14%	771	30%	523	21%	705	28%	0	0%	2,546	100%
20	4	0%	119	7%	229	14%	489	30%	331	20%	471	29%	0	0%	1,643	100%
21	0	0%	66	6%	124	12%	281	26%	250	24%	341	32%	0	0%	1,062	100%
22	0	0%	12	4%	23	8%	88	32%	67	24%	85	31%	0	0%	275	100%
STATE	95	0%	2,028	6%	4,274	12%	10,255	30%	7,393	21%	10,475	30%	0	0%	34,520	100%

¹**12-17 Years:** In some jurisdictions, DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for probationers under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 49:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group¹
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,204	85%	214	6%	42	1%	241	6%	32	1%	42	1%	3,775	100%
2	1,950	70%	615	22%	26	1%	167	6%	24	1%	13	0%	2,795	100%
3	178	78%	3	1%	1	0%	39	17%	3	1%	4	2%	228	100%
4	2,222	66%	552	16%	46	1%	437	13%	27	1%	64	2%	3,348	100%
5	767	86%	25	3%	5	1%	72	8%	3	0%	16	2%	888	100%
6	397	70%	13	2%	5	1%	45	8%	95	17%	10	2%	565	100%
7	591	85%	12	2%	0	0%	68	10%	4	1%	21	3%	696	100%
8	2,684	88%	128	4%	20	1%	172	6%	18	1%	44	1%	3,066	100%
9	536	88%	10	2%	1	0%	44	7%	4	1%	13	2%	608	100%
10	706	55%	51	4%	5	0%	482	37%	21	2%	28	2%	1,293	100%
11	786	91%	19	2%	3	0%	26	3%	3	0%	30	3%	867	100%
12	379	66%	12	2%	3	1%	160	28%	8	1%	11	2%	573	100%
13	694	85%	34	4%	2	0%	76	9%	3	0%	8	1%	817	100%
14	465	90%	17	3%	1	0%	25	5%	3	1%	3	1%	514	100%
15	150	76%	6	3%	0	0%	38	19%	1	1%	2	1%	197	100%
16	212	74%	6	2%	2	1%	60	21%	3	1%	5	2%	288	100%
17	3,413	83%	308	8%	64	2%	254	6%	15	0%	45	1%	4,099	100%
18	3,096	71%	739	17%	77	2%	379	9%	24	1%	62	1%	4,377	100%
19	2,044	80%	63	2%	23	1%	358	14%	14	1%	44	2%	2,546	100%
20	1,445	88%	84	5%	25	2%	72	4%	7	0%	10	1%	1,643	100%
21	938	88%	38	4%	4	0%	68	6%	8	1%	6	1%	1,062	100%
22	140	51%	4	1%	1	0%	16	6%	112	41%	2	1%	275	100%
STATE	26,997	78%	2,953	9%	356	1%	3,299	10%	432	1%	483	1%	34,520	100%

¹ **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed with the court.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 50:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ¹		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ³	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,235	65%	798	23%	409	12%	3,442	100%
2	1,476	51%	740	26%	665	23%	2,881	100%
3	127	66%	25	13%	39	20%	191	100%
4	1,351	56%	534	22%	547	22%	2,432	100%
5	450	74%	60	10%	95	16%	605	100%
6	376	74%	69	14%	63	12%	508	100%
7	401	76%	74	14%	54	10%	529	100%
8	1,566	70%	320	14%	360	16%	2,246	100%
9	333	73%	48	10%	78	17%	459	100%
10	629	58%	285	26%	174	16%	1,088	100%
11	402	66%	109	18%	97	16%	608	100%
12	345	63%	114	21%	92	17%	551	100%
13	528	73%	102	14%	89	12%	719	100%
14	266	76%	45	13%	37	11%	348	100%
15	112	77%	22	15%	12	8%	146	100%
16	117	68%	44	26%	11	6%	172	100%
17	2,281	62%	794	22%	582	16%	3,657	100%
18	2,071	62%	679	20%	595	18%	3,345	100%
19	1,073	66%	356	22%	208	13%	1,637	100%
20	714	62%	212	18%	228	20%	1,154	100%
21	534	63%	145	17%	173	20%	852	100%
22	141	53%	63	24%	60	23%	264	100%
STATE	17,528	63%	5,638	20%	4,668	17%	27,834	100%

¹**Successful Terminations:** This column is exclusively state successful terminations.

²**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

³**Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of state adult probation closures are included in Table 51.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 51:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT	
1	2,235	56%	798	20%	409	10%	366	9%	15	0%	42	1%	135	3%	4,000	100%	329	1
2	1,476	42%	740	21%	665	19%	442	13%	6	0%	28	1%	178	5%	3,535	100%	254	2
3	127	64%	25	13%	39	20%	3	2%	1	1%	4	2%	0	0%	199	100%	37	3
4	1,351	48%	534	19%	547	19%	311	11%	3	0%	41	1%	54	2%	2,841	100%	291	4
5	450	71%	60	9%	95	15%	23	4%	3	0%	6	1%	0	0%	637	100%	88	5
6	376	72%	69	13%	63	12%	6	1%	0	0%	4	1%	1	0%	519	100%	37	6
7	401	71%	74	13%	54	10%	30	5%	0	0%	7	1%	1	0%	567	100%	101	7
8	1,566	60%	320	12%	360	14%	312	12%	3	0%	26	1%	9	0%	2,596	100%	182	8
9	333	70%	48	10%	78	16%	7	1%	0	0%	11	2%	0	0%	477	100%	105	9
10	629	54%	285	24%	174	15%	59	5%	1	0%	19	2%	4	0%	1,171	100%	143	10
11	402	63%	109	17%	97	15%	11	2%	0	0%	18	3%	6	1%	643	100%	76	11
12	345	54%	114	18%	92	14%	79	12%	0	0%	4	1%	5	1%	639	100%	66	12
13	528	67%	102	13%	89	11%	53	7%	7	1%	7	1%	5	1%	791	100%	67	13
14	266	68%	45	12%	37	9%	23	6%	0	0%	15	4%	5	1%	391	100%	67	14
15	112	61%	22	12%	12	7%	33	18%	1	1%	3	2%	1	1%	184	100%	28	15
16	117	57%	44	22%	11	5%	29	14%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	204	100%	67	16
17	2,281	55%	794	19%	582	14%	414	10%	20	0%	30	1%	28	1%	4,149	100%	506	17
18	2,071	57%	679	19%	595	16%	191	5%	15	0%	44	1%	18	0%	3,613	100%	329	18
19	1,073	59%	356	20%	208	11%	132	7%	7	0%	19	1%	18	1%	1,813	100%	314	19
20	714	59%	212	17%	228	19%	38	3%	1	0%	11	1%	9	1%	1,213	100%	153	20
21	534	54%	145	15%	173	18%	93	9%	3	0%	19	2%	21	2%	988	100%	138	21
22	141	51%	63	23%	60	22%	11	4%	0	0%	3	1%	1	0%	279	100%	36	22
STATE	17,528	56%	5,638	18%	4,668	15%	2,666	8%	86	0%	364	1%	499	2%	31,449	100%	3,414	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" as they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 52:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ¹		New Misdemeanor ²		Technical ³		TOTAL REVOCATIONS ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	82	10%	92	12%	624	78%	798	100%
2	122	16%	94	13%	524	71%	740	100%
3	3	12%	3	12%	19	76%	25	100%
4	157	29%	46	9%	331	62%	534	100%
5	13	22%	12	20%	35	58%	60	100%
6	12	17%	7	10%	50	72%	69	100%
7	13	18%	3	4%	58	78%	74	100%
8	52	16%	51	16%	217	68%	320	100%
9	5	10%	10	21%	33	69%	48	100%
10	58	20%	59	21%	168	59%	285	100%
11	17	16%	25	23%	67	61%	109	100%
12	9	8%	13	11%	92	81%	114	100%
13	16	16%	18	18%	68	67%	102	100%
14	7	16%	11	24%	27	60%	45	100%
15	5	23%	6	27%	11	50%	22	100%
16	4	9%	1	2%	39	89%	44	100%
17	120	15%	133	17%	541	68%	794	100%
18	155	23%	157	23%	367	54%	679	100%
19	89	25%	80	22%	187	53%	356	100%
20	15	7%	40	19%	157	74%	212	100%
21	48	33%	22	15%	75	52%	145	100%
22	5	8%	3	5%	55	87%	63	100%
STATE	1,007	18%	886	16%	3,745	66%	5,638	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 53:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,507	38%	1,747	44%	513	13%	233	6%	4,000	100%
2	1,496	42%	1,424	40%	414	12%	201	6%	3,535	100%
3	88	44%	85	43%	21	11%	5	3%	199	100%
4	855	30%	1,161	41%	447	16%	378	13%	2,841	100%
5	252	40%	249	39%	78	12%	58	9%	637	100%
6	176	34%	212	41%	83	16%	48	9%	519	100%
7	211	37%	242	43%	68	12%	46	8%	567	100%
8	1,041	40%	961	37%	359	14%	235	9%	2,596	100%
9	161	34%	222	47%	61	13%	33	7%	477	100%
10	445	38%	470	40%	164	14%	92	8%	1,171	100%
11	250	39%	235	37%	104	16%	54	8%	643	100%
12	307	48%	231	36%	77	12%	24	4%	639	100%
13	311	39%	334	42%	100	13%	46	6%	791	100%
14	125	32%	182	47%	49	13%	35	9%	391	100%
15	91	49%	63	34%	20	11%	10	5%	184	100%
16	68	33%	94	46%	22	11%	20	10%	204	100%
17	1,669	40%	1,647	40%	513	12%	320	8%	4,149	100%
18	1,289	36%	1,386	38%	555	15%	383	11%	3,613	100%
19	619	34%	782	43%	228	13%	184	10%	1,813	100%
20	518	43%	464	38%	134	11%	97	8%	1,213	100%
21	371	38%	325	33%	161	16%	131	13%	988	100%
22	114	41%	110	39%	37	13%	18	6%	279	100%
STATE	11,964	38%	12,626	40%	4,208	13%	2,651	8%	31,449	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 54:
Colorado State Adult Regular and Private Probation
Active Client on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE	TOTAL STATE REGULAR CLIENTS		TOTAL PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL STATE REGULAR & PRIVATE PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	6,033	86%	968	14%	7,001	100%
2	4,322	100%	0	0%	4,322	100%
3	364	100%	0	0%	364	100%
4	6,390	76%	2,004	24%	8,394	100%
5	1,180	89%	145	11%	1,325	100%
6	1,038	100%	0	0%	1,038	100%
7	1,237	100%	0	0%	1,237	100%
8	4,150	81%	1,005	19%	5,155	100%
9	1,139	100%	0	0%	1,139	100%
10	2,101	78%	600	22%	2,701	100%
11	1,209	84%	225	16%	1,434	100%
12	787	100%	0	0%	787	100%
13	1,259	100%	0	0%	1,259	100%
14	748	100%	0	0%	748	100%
15	316	100%	0	0%	316	100%
16	481	100%	0	0%	481	100%
17	7,026	89%	865	11%	7,891	100%
18	7,407	78%	2,094	22%	9,501	100%
19	3,899	88%	517	12%	4,416	100%
20	1,990	65%	1,061	35%	3,051	100%
21	2,184	100%	0	0%	2,184	100%
22	455	100%	0	0%	455	100%
STATE	55,715	85%	9,484	15%	65,199	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 55:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Active Client Risk Levels¹ on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT 1	91	2%	525	9%	1,316	22%	2,656	44%	1,015	17%	297	5%	133	2%	6,033	100%
2	8	0%	740	17%	1,168	27%	1,024	24%	874	20%	347	8%	161	4%	4,322	100%
3	7	2%	130	36%	72	20%	64	18%	56	15%	4	1%	31	9%	364	100%
4	38	1%	820	13%	2,004	31%	1,617	25%	1,439	23%	87	1%	385	6%	6,390	100%
5	45	4%	114	10%	243	21%	478	41%	108	9%	58	5%	134	11%	1,180	100%
6	6	1%	139	13%	255	25%	412	40%	93	9%	38	4%	95	9%	1,038	100%
7	10	1%	224	18%	271	22%	533	43%	68	5%	93	8%	38	3%	1,237	100%
8	126	3%	504	12%	1,307	31%	1,017	25%	473	11%	478	12%	245	6%	4,150	100%
9	35	3%	113	10%	207	18%	527	46%	150	13%	43	4%	64	6%	1,139	100%
10	32	2%	462	22%	564	27%	478	23%	407	19%	110	5%	48	2%	2,101	100%
11	53	4%	196	16%	378	31%	267	22%	235	19%	32	3%	48	4%	1,209	100%
12	10	1%	148	19%	193	25%	216	27%	134	17%	61	8%	25	3%	787	100%
13	15	1%	191	15%	300	24%	511	41%	94	7%	71	6%	77	6%	1,259	100%
14	16	2%	94	13%	212	28%	280	37%	65	9%	33	4%	48	6%	748	100%
15	8	3%	64	20%	75	24%	94	30%	9	3%	57	18%	9	3%	316	100%
16	11	2%	103	21%	132	27%	139	29%	62	13%	26	5%	8	2%	481	100%
17	71	1%	918	13%	2,115	30%	1,856	26%	1,587	23%	350	5%	129	2%	7,026	100%
18	69	1%	721	10%	2,502	34%	1,977	27%	1,387	19%	366	5%	385	5%	7,407	100%
19	75	2%	391	10%	883	23%	1,419	36%	776	20%	237	6%	118	3%	3,899	100%
20	14	1%	328	16%	541	27%	533	27%	375	19%	81	4%	118	6%	1,990	100%
21	28	1%	270	12%	486	22%	672	31%	316	14%	265	12%	147	7%	2,184	100%
22	7	2%	90	20%	111	24%	148	33%	49	11%	25	5%	25	5%	455	100%
STATE	775	1%	7,285	13%	15,335	29%	16,918	31%	9,772	18%	3,159	6%	2,471	4%	55,715	100%

¹**Active Client Risk Levels:** This data includes regular adult supervision, domestic violence, sex offender (Non-SOISP), problem solving court, mental health and economic crime. Detailed supervision levels for each regular adult supervision, domestic violence, sex offender (Non-SOISP), problem solving court, mental health and economic crime can be found on Tables 56 and 57.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 56:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Regular Adult, Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020

Risk Level:	Regular Adult									
	Regular Maximum		Regular Medium		Regular Minimum		Regular Administrative		Regular Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	342	8%	901	20%	2,296	51%	989	22%	4,528	100%
2	466	17%	809	30%	789	29%	636	24%	2,700	100%
3	98	36%	59	22%	58	21%	56	21%	271	100%
4	494	12%	1,305	31%	1,130	27%	1,330	31%	4,259	100%
5	61	9%	164	24%	355	52%	105	15%	685	100%
6	88	12%	168	23%	374	52%	91	13%	721	100%
7	168	20%	200	24%	413	49%	67	8%	848	100%
8	354	13%	1,044	37%	941	34%	465	17%	2,804	100%
9	78	10%	155	19%	422	53%	146	18%	801	100%
10	278	20%	371	26%	404	28%	367	26%	1,420	100%
11	113	14%	269	32%	224	27%	229	27%	835	100%
12	106	19%	151	26%	179	31%	134	24%	570	100%
13	125	15%	179	22%	425	52%	83	10%	812	100%
14	52	11%	122	26%	244	52%	55	12%	473	100%
15	54	27%	59	30%	77	39%	9	5%	199	100%
16	80	23%	94	27%	116	33%	62	18%	352	100%
17	448	9%	1,559	30%	1,628	31%	1,538	30%	5,173	100%
18	380	7%	1,810	35%	1,757	34%	1,237	24%	5,184	100%
19	250	9%	633	22%	1,302	44%	741	25%	2,926	100%
20	225	18%	357	28%	342	27%	345	27%	1,269	100%
21	164	11%	365	25%	604	42%	304	21%	1,437	100%
22	64	19%	99	29%	125	37%	49	15%	337	100%
STATE	4,488	12%	10,873	28%	14,205	37%	9,038	23%	38,604	100%

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence									
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative		DV Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	71	10%	331	48%	267	39%	23	3%	692	100%
2	73	16%	185	41%	153	34%	35	8%	446	100%
3	2	13%	10	67%	3	20%	0	0%	15	100%
4	129	15%	454	52%	252	29%	45	5%	880	100%
5	27	13%	64	31%	112	55%	2	1%	205	100%
6	22	17%	76	60%	26	21%	2	2%	126	100%
7	31	18%	52	31%	84	50%	1	1%	168	100%
8	57	18%	201	64%	57	18%	1	0%	316	100%
9	7	6%	38	32%	72	60%	3	3%	120	100%
10	124	35%	162	45%	49	14%	22	6%	357	100%
11	57	33%	90	52%	21	12%	4	2%	172	100%
12	26	37%	29	41%	15	21%	0	0%	70	100%
13	33	17%	99	50%	60	30%	6	3%	198	100%
14	27	23%	68	57%	24	20%	0	0%	119	100%
15	7	21%	13	39%	13	39%	0	0%	33	100%
16	16	25%	33	52%	14	22%	0	0%	63	100%
17	315	34%	434	47%	134	15%	36	4%	919	100%
18	80	10%	563	69%	113	14%	65	8%	821	100%
19	76	19%	207	51%	98	24%	22	5%	403	100%
20	44	14%	139	44%	129	41%	1	0%	313	100%
21	78	39%	99	50%	17	9%	5	3%	199	100%
22	15	39%	6	16%	17	45%	0	0%	38	100%
STATE	1,317	20%	3,353	50%	1,730	26%	273	4%	6,673	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP)*									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		Sex Offender Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	17	15%	30	27%	64	57%	2	2%	113	100%
2	10	6%	4	2%	17	10%	138	82%	169	100%
3	5	63%	1	13%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
4	20	10%	32	16%	145	72%	5	2%	202	100%
5	4	31%	3	23%	6	46%	0	0%	13	100%
6	6	23%	8	31%	12	46%	0	0%	26	100%
7	3	12%	1	4%	22	85%	0	0%	26	100%
8	31	41%	21	28%	16	21%	7	9%	75	100%
9	7	23%	3	10%	20	65%	1	3%	31	100%
10	11	31%	6	17%	18	50%	1	3%	36	100%
11	11	33%	5	15%	17	52%	0	0%	33	100%
12	4	17%	6	26%	13	57%	0	0%	23	100%
13	5	15%	7	21%	16	48%	5	15%	33	100%
14	2	11%	2	11%	5	26%	10	53%	19	100%
15	3	43%	2	29%	2	29%	0	0%	7	100%
16	3	23%	1	8%	9	69%	0	0%	13	100%
17	33	28%	30	25%	56	47%	1	1%	120	100%
18	24	10%	38	16%	93	40%	76	33%	231	100%
19	28	54%	10	19%	9	17%	5	10%	52	100%
20	12	11%	21	20%	48	45%	26	24%	107	100%
21	9	15%	7	12%	37	62%	7	12%	60	100%
22	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
STATE	249	18%	239	17%	629	45%	284	20%	1,401	100%

*SOISPs (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 100.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 57:
Colorado State Adult Regular Probation
Problem Solving Court, Mental Health and Economic Crime Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020

Fiscal Year 2020

Risk Level:	Problem Solving Court (PSC)									
	PSC Maximum		PSC Medium		PSC Minimum		PSC Administrative		PSC Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	74	81%	15	16%	1	1%	1	1%	91	100%
2	74	33%	71	32%	26	12%	54	24%	225	100%
3	25	96%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
4	104	38%	102	38%	52	19%	13	5%	271	100%
5	16	70%	6	26%	0	0%	1	4%	23	100%
6	23	88%	3	12%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
7	18	43%	18	43%	6	14%	0	0%	42	100%
8	53	56%	39	41%	3	3%	0	0%	95	100%
9	21	78%	3	11%	3	11%	0	0%	27	100%
10	26	47%	11	20%	6	11%	12	22%	55	100%
11	15	42%	14	39%	5	14%	2	6%	36	100%
12	4	31%	2	15%	7	54%	0	0%	13	100%
13	15	94%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	16	100%
14	2	25%	4	50%	2	25%	0	0%	8	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
17	70	74%	12	13%	0	0%	12	13%	94	100%
18	93	76%	27	22%	0	0%	3	2%	123	100%
19	15	38%	19	49%	5	13%	0	0%	39	100%
20	24	89%	3	11%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	10	59%	4	24%	3	18%	0	0%	17	100%
STATE	686	54%	357	28%	120	10%	98	8%	1,261	100%

Risk Level:	Mental Health (MH)									
	MH Maximum		MH Medium		MH Minimum		MH Administrative		MH Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	21	44%	22	46%	5	10%	0	0%	48	100%
2	113	53%	79	37%	11	5%	11	5%	214	100%
3	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
4	62	34%	81	45%	22	12%	15	8%	180	100%
5	6	50%	5	42%	1	8%	0	0%	12	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	4	33%	0	0%	8	67%	0	0%	12	100%
8	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
9	0	0%	8	44%	10	56%	0	0%	18	100%
10	23	53%	14	33%	1	2%	5	12%	43	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	8	53%	5	33%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
13	13	39%	15	45%	5	15%	0	0%	33	100%
14	11	34%	16	50%	5	16%	0	0%	32	100%
15	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	51	39%	61	47%	18	14%	0	0%	130	100%
18	60	54%	44	39%	5	4%	3	3%	112	100%
19	22	47%	14	30%	3	6%	8	17%	47	100%
20	22	48%	19	41%	3	7%	2	4%	46	100%
21	19	40%	15	31%	14	29%	0	0%	48	100%
22	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	442	44%	402	40%	115	11%	44	4%	1,003	100%

Risk Level:	Economic Crime (EC)									
	EC Maximum		EC Medium		EC Minimum		EC Administrative		EC Total	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
1	0	0%	17	43%	23	58%	0	0%	40	100%
2	4	8%	20	38%	28	54%	0	0%	52	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	11	13%	30	34%	16	18%	31	35%	88	100%
5	0	0%	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	5	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	1	3%	19	48%	20	50%	0	0%	40	100%
18	84	72%	20	17%	9	8%	3	3%	116	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
20	1	7%	2	13%	11	73%	1	7%	15	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	103	28%	111	30%	119	32%	35	10%	368	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




Private Probation

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Private Probation

Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by standards. Chief Justice Directive 16-01 (formerly 04-03 and 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. In FY 2020, there were 4,100 new offenders transferred to private probation for supervision. On June 30, 2020, there were 9,484 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. In FY 2020, private probation successfully terminated 5,434 probationers (87%). By utilizing private probation for lower risk probationers, state probation departments can concentrate their resources on higher risk probationers.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 58:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Supervision Type
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE:	Transfer from State				TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Direct Sentence ²		Probation ³			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	820	100%	820	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	902	100%	902	100%
5	0	0%	28	100%	28	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	200	100%	200	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	225	100%	225	100%
11	2	2%	101	98%	103	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	518	100%	518	100%
18	0	0%	646	100%	646	100%
19	0	0%	194	100%	194	100%
20	8	2%	452	98%	460	100%
21	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
22	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	14	0%	4,086	100%	4,100	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Direct Sentence:** A probationer ordered by the court to be supervised by a private provider. This is not a uniform practice among courts.

³**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate to transfer to a private probation provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 59:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE:	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT								
1	234	29%	586	71%	0	0%	820	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	217	24%	684	76%	1	0%	902	100%
5	10	36%	18	64%	0	0%	28	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	56	28%	144	72%	0	0%	200	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	65	29%	160	71%	0	0%	225	100%
11	28	27%	75	73%	0	0%	103	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	153	30%	365	70%	0	0%	518	100%
18	217	34%	427	66%	2	0%	646	100%
19	58	30%	136	70%	0	0%	194	100%
20	145	32%	315	68%	0	0%	460	100%
21	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
22	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	1,183	29%	2,914	71%	3	0%	4,100	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 60:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	*12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	0%	47	6%	131	16%	229	28%	143	17%	269	33%	0	0%	820	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	2	0%	42	5%	145	16%	259	29%	179	20%	275	30%	0	0%	902	100%
5	0	0%	1	4%	4	14%	14	50%	5	18%	4	14%	0	0%	28	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	1%	16	8%	42	21%	59	30%	33	17%	49	25%	0	0%	200	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	17	8%	31	14%	70	31%	36	16%	71	32%	0	0%	225	100%
11	0	0%	2	2%	10	10%	30	29%	22	21%	39	38%	0	0%	103	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	38	7%	88	17%	174	34%	88	17%	130	25%	0	0%	518	100%
18	0	0%	39	6%	122	19%	184	28%	97	15%	204	32%	0	0%	646	100%
19	0	0%	20	10%	38	20%	50	26%	38	20%	48	25%	0	0%	194	100%
20	1	0%	34	7%	77	17%	121	26%	71	15%	156	34%	0	0%	460	100%
21	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	5	0%	257	6%	689	17%	1,191	29%	712	17%	1,246	30%	0	0%	4,100	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports will display these numbers separately.

***12-17 Years:** In some jurisdictions, DUI/DWAI and underage consumption convictions for probationers under the age of 18 are captured as "adult offenses".

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 61:
Colorado Private Probation¹
New Clients by Ethnic Group²
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	699	85%	36	4%	12	1%	59	7%	6	1%	8	1%	820	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	649	72%	97	11%	9	1%	123	14%	5	1%	19	2%	902	100%
5	25	89%	0	0%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%	1	4%	28	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	181	91%	10	5%	1	1%	7	4%	0	0%	1	1%	200	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	130	58%	9	4%	0	0%	78	35%	1	0%	7	3%	225	100%
11	95	92%	2	2%	0	0%	4	4%	1	1%	1	1%	103	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	453	87%	21	4%	8	2%	24	5%	0	0%	12	2%	518	100%
18	439	68%	95	15%	18	3%	83	13%	2	0%	9	1%	646	100%
19	157	81%	0	0%	3	2%	30	15%	1	1%	3	2%	194	100%
20	428	93%	7	2%	5	1%	18	4%	1	0%	1	0%	460	100%
21	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	3,259	79%	277	7%	56	1%	428	10%	18	0%	62	2%	4,100	100%

¹ **Colorado Private Probation:** In FY2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

² **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed by the district attorney.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 62:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁵	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded ⁴			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	634	82%	64	8%	78	10%	776	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	669	85%	52	7%	64	8%	785	100%
5	171	93%	1	1%	11	6%	183	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	562	84%	63	9%	41	6%	666	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	220	86%	7	3%	29	11%	256	100%
11	153	97%	1	1%	3	2%	157	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	682	87%	34	4%	71	9%	787	100%
18	1,299	90%	77	5%	74	5%	1,450	100%
19	284	88%	28	9%	12	4%	324	100%
20	760	85%	47	5%	88	10%	895	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	5,434	87%	374	6%	471	8%	6,279	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column is exclusively private successful terminations.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

⁴**Absconded:** This column refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁵**Total Terminations:** This column includes: successful terminations, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Tables 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 63:
Colorado Private Probation¹
All Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	634	81%	64	8%	78	10%	1	0%	3	0%	4	1%	784	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	669	85%	52	7%	64	8%	0	0%	5	1%	1	0%	791	100%
5	171	93%	1	1%	11	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	183	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	562	84%	63	9%	41	6%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	671	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	220	86%	7	3%	29	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	256	100%
11	153	97%	1	1%	3	2%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	158	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	682	86%	34	4%	71	9%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	789	100%
18	1,299	88%	77	5%	74	5%	16	1%	8	1%	1	0%	1,475	100%
19	284	88%	28	9%	12	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	324	100%
20	760	85%	47	5%	88	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	895	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	5,434	86%	374	6%	471	7%	17	0%	24	0%	7	0%	6,327	100%

Courtesy Transfers ²	
Number	DISTRICT
53	1
0	2
0	3
194	4
13	5
0	6
0	7
144	8
0	9
77	10
16	11
0	12
0	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
45	17
177	18
85	19
131	20
0	21
0	22
935	STATE

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008, courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 64:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ²		New Misdemeanor ³		Technical ⁴		TOTAL REVOCATIONS for Private Probation ⁵	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	5%	3	5%	58	91%	64	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	5	10%	7	13%	40	77%	52	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	4	6%	59	94%	63	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	1	14%	0	0%	6	86%	7	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	6%	6	18%	26	76%	34	100%
18	5	6%	15	19%	57	74%	77	100%
19	0	0%	11	39%	17	61%	28	100%
20	2	4%	5	11%	40	85%	47	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	18	5%	51	14%	305	82%	374	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018, the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

²**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

⁴**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, non-compliance).

⁵**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 65:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL PRIVATE PROBATION CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	471	60%	295	38%	17	2%	1	0%	784	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	268	34%	432	55%	71	9%	20	3%	791	100%
5	95	52%	68	37%	15	8%	5	3%	183	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	425	63%	226	34%	18	3%	2	0%	671	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	91	36%	126	49%	33	13%	6	2%	256	100%
11	97	61%	54	34%	6	4%	1	1%	158	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	489	62%	264	33%	30	4%	6	1%	789	100%
18	552	37%	677	46%	184	12%	62	4%	1,475	100%
19	107	33%	192	59%	21	6%	4	1%	324	100%
20	509	57%	359	40%	25	3%	2	0%	895	100%
21	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	3,105	49%	2,693	43%	420	7%	109	2%	6,327	100%

¹**Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 66:
Colorado Private Probation¹
Active Clients on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

Risk Levels ² :	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative			TOTAL PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS										
			Reg Max	DV Max	Reg Med	DV Med	Reg Min	DV Min	Admin	DV Admin	Interstate											
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%								
1	30	3%	4	0%	0	0%	80	8%	6	1%	680	70%	59	6%	108	11%	0	0%	1	0%	968	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	8	0%	1	0%	58	3%	6	0%	1,257	63%	476	24%	198	10%	0	0%	0	0%	2,004	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	129	89%	11	8%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	145	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	44	4%	4	0%	841	84%	105	10%	7	1%	0	0%	4	0%	1,005	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	4	1%	7	1%	0	0%	117	20%	4	1%	353	59%	80	13%	35	6%	0	0%	0	0%	600	100%
11	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	8%	0	0%	200	89%	2	1%	4	2%	0	0%	0	0%	225	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	71	8%	94	11%	520	60%	23	3%	154	18%	0	0%	0	0%	865	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	121	6%	39	2%	1,732	83%	94	4%	108	5%	0	0%	0	0%	2,094	100%
19	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%	58	11%	1	0%	262	51%	162	31%	31	6%	0	0%	0	0%	517	100%
20	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	82	8%	17	2%	781	74%	147	14%	31	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1,061	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	41	0%	21	0%	3	0%	650	7%	171	2%	6,755	71%	1,159	12%	679	7%	0	0%	5	0%	9,484	100%

¹ **Colorado Private Probation:** In FY 2018 the private probation section of the annual report was adjusted to combine non-DUI/DWAI private probation numbers with DUI/DWAI private probation numbers. Previous annual reports displayed these numbers separately.

² **Risk Levels:** Private Probation does not supervise sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Juvenile Regular Probation


Photo Credit: Kelli Hufford

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.


Juvenile Regular Probation

The Colorado Judicial Department administers juvenile probation in 22 judicial districts. The Division of Probation Services publishes standards for probation supervision. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, juveniles are assessed for risk and need factors. Based on these assessments, juveniles are supervised accordingly and referred for treatment and other relevant services.


In Fiscal Year 2020, there were 2,126 juveniles were sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2020 there were 2,880 juveniles on supervision. In FY 2020, 76% of juveniles completed regular probation successfully. An additional 105 juveniles were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) in FY 2020.



**Chart 11: New Juvenile Probationers by Gender
Ten Year Comparison**



**Chart 12: New Juvenile Probationers by Offense Type
Ten Year Comparison**




Other: Offenses coded without a law class. Programming enhancements completed in FY2016 have increased the ability to capture offense class types which significantly reduced the number of cases in the "other" category.


Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Chart 13: New Juvenile Probationers by Age
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2011 NEW



FISCAL YEAR 2020 NEW




■ 10-14 years ■ 15 years ■ 16 years
■ 17 years ■ 18+ years


18+ years: Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

**Chart 14: New Juvenile Probationers by Ethnicity
Ten Year Comparison**

FISCAL YEAR 2011 NEW



FISCAL YEAR 2020 NEW



■ Caucasian ■ African American
■ Asian ■ Hispanic
■ Native American ■ Other

Ethnicity: This information is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report or complaint filed by the district attorney.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 67:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Investigations
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre- Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Expungement Reports		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5	7%	44	65%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	17	25%	68	100%
2J	19	10%	148	79%	0	0%	0	0%	9	5%	0	0%	11	6%	187	100%
3	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
4	47	24%	79	41%	1	1%	0	0%	19	10%	0	0%	49	25%	195	100%
5	6	67%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	9	100%
6	0	0%	13	93%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	14	100%
7	2	6%	17	52%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	6	18%	5	15%	33	100%
8	3	2%	24	15%	1	1%	0	0%	3	2%	97	59%	37	22%	165	100%
9	3	10%	16	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	26%	4	13%	31	100%
10	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	9	82%	0	0%	11	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	2	22%	3	33%	0	0%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	2	22%	9	100%
13	3	7%	11	25%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	26	59%	3	7%	44	100%
14	2	29%	5	71%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
16	1	10%	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	1	10%	10	100%
17	22	67%	7	21%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	2	6%	33	100%
18	27	50%	15	28%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	10	19%	54	100%
19	16	10%	11	7%	0	0%	0	0%	45	29%	70	45%	13	8%	155	100%
20	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
21	13	81%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	1	6%	16	100%
22	0	0%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	9	75%	0	0%	12	100%
STATE	173	16%	409	38%	7	1%	1	0%	84	8%	234	22%	156	15%	1,064	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 68:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Offense Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	51	30%	113	66%	3	2%	0	0%	4	2%	171	100%
2J	70	35%	128	64%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	200	100%
3	3	30%	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
4	117	43%	148	55%	4	1%	0	0%	1	0%	270	100%
5	2	5%	31	84%	4	11%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%
6	1	6%	15	83%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
7	8	25%	22	69%	1	3%	0	0%	1	3%	32	100%
8	58	33%	90	52%	26	15%	0	0%	0	0%	174	100%
9	12	44%	14	52%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%
10	15	21%	54	76%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	71	100%
11	5	17%	24	83%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%
12	3	23%	10	77%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%
13	20	36%	34	62%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	55	100%
14	3	16%	16	84%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%
15	5	31%	11	69%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
16	2	17%	10	83%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
17	80	39%	123	59%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	207	100%
18	141	44%	159	50%	15	5%	0	0%	2	1%	317	100%
19	37	16%	176	75%	22	9%	0	0%	0	0%	235	100%
20	26	32%	54	66%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	82	100%
21	29	24%	87	71%	4	3%	1	1%	1	1%	122	100%
22	1	11%	8	89%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
STATE	689	32%	1,334	63%	90	4%	1	0%	12	1%	2,126	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 69:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Probation		Probation Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Diversion to be Supervised by Probation ¹		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	119	70%	1	1%	25	15%	0	0%	2	1%	24	14%	171	100%
2J	85	43%	3	2%	37	19%	0	0%	8	4%	67	34%	200	100%
3	4	40%	0	0%	5	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	10	100%
4	124	46%	2	1%	112	41%	0	0%	18	7%	14	5%	270	100%
5	21	57%	0	0%	12	32%	0	0%	1	3%	3	8%	37	100%
6	14	78%	0	0%	2	11%	0	0%	2	11%	0	0%	18	100%
7	13	41%	1	3%	12	38%	0	0%	1	3%	5	16%	32	100%
8	79	45%	3	2%	76	44%	0	0%	3	2%	13	7%	174	100%
9	14	52%	0	0%	13	48%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%
10	39	55%	0	0%	24	34%	0	0%	0	0%	8	11%	71	100%
11	6	21%	2	7%	13	45%	0	0%	0	0%	8	28%	29	100%
12	6	46%	0	0%	4	31%	0	0%	1	8%	2	15%	13	100%
13	17	31%	0	0%	33	60%	0	0%	0	0%	5	9%	55	100%
14	7	37%	0	0%	11	58%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	19	100%
15	5	31%	0	0%	3	19%	7	44%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
16	4	33%	0	0%	5	42%	0	0%	0	0%	3	25%	12	100%
17	111	54%	2	1%	42	20%	0	0%	4	2%	48	23%	207	100%
18	159	50%	4	1%	110	35%	0	0%	4	1%	40	13%	317	100%
19	97	41%	1	0%	126	54%	0	0%	5	2%	6	3%	235	100%
20	31	38%	1	1%	38	46%	0	0%	6	7%	6	7%	82	100%
21	100	82%	2	2%	13	11%	0	0%	0	0%	7	6%	122	100%
22	6	67%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
STATE	1,061	50%	22	1%	718	34%	7	0%	57	3%	261	12%	2,126	100%

¹**Diversion to be Supervised by Probation:** cases sentenced to probation that are supervised as part of a deferred prosecution agreement.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 70:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	37	22%	134	78%	0	0%	171	100%
2J	32	16%	168	84%	0	0%	200	100%
3	0	0%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
4	56	21%	214	79%	0	0%	270	100%
5	6	16%	31	84%	0	0%	37	100%
6	7	39%	11	61%	0	0%	18	100%
7	3	9%	27	84%	2	6%	32	100%
8	39	22%	135	78%	0	0%	174	100%
9	9	33%	18	67%	0	0%	27	100%
10	11	15%	60	85%	0	0%	71	100%
11	7	24%	21	72%	1	3%	29	100%
12	0	0%	13	100%	0	0%	13	100%
13	17	31%	38	69%	0	0%	55	100%
14	1	5%	17	89%	1	5%	19	100%
15	7	44%	9	56%	0	0%	16	100%
16	3	25%	9	75%	0	0%	12	100%
17	38	18%	169	82%	0	0%	207	100%
18	53	17%	263	83%	1	0%	317	100%
19	68	29%	167	71%	0	0%	235	100%
20	19	23%	63	77%	0	0%	82	100%
21	34	28%	88	72%	0	0%	122	100%
22	3	33%	6	67%	0	0%	9	100%
STATE	450	21%	1,671	79%	5	0%	2,126	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 71:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:		10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		¹ 18+ Years		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	41	24%	30	18%	30	18%	36	21%	34	20%	0	0%	171	100%	
2J	19	10%	35	18%	38	19%	50	25%	58	29%	0	0%	200	100%	
3	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	
4	47	17%	46	17%	64	24%	55	20%	58	21%	0	0%	270	100%	
5	6	16%	5	14%	12	32%	9	24%	5	14%	0	0%	37	100%	
6	4	22%	4	22%	3	17%	3	17%	4	22%	0	0%	18	100%	
7	5	16%	4	13%	7	22%	6	19%	10	31%	0	0%	32	100%	
8	26	15%	32	18%	45	26%	49	28%	22	13%	0	0%	174	100%	
9	7	26%	4	15%	7	26%	3	11%	6	22%	0	0%	27	100%	
10	14	20%	12	17%	19	27%	14	20%	12	17%	0	0%	71	100%	
11	5	17%	6	21%	7	24%	5	17%	6	21%	0	0%	29	100%	
12	4	31%	2	15%	1	8%	2	15%	4	31%	0	0%	13	100%	
13	12	22%	6	11%	13	24%	11	20%	13	24%	0	0%	55	100%	
14	4	21%	3	16%	7	37%	2	11%	3	16%	0	0%	19	100%	
15	4	25%	3	19%	3	19%	4	25%	2	13%	0	0%	16	100%	
16	1	8%	5	42%	3	25%	3	25%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	
17	23	11%	30	14%	48	23%	53	26%	53	26%	0	0%	207	100%	
18	53	17%	61	19%	64	20%	77	24%	62	20%	0	0%	317	100%	
19	70	30%	47	20%	38	16%	41	17%	39	17%	0	0%	235	100%	
20	22	27%	15	18%	14	17%	17	21%	14	17%	0	0%	82	100%	
21	39	32%	29	24%	17	14%	18	15%	19	16%	0	0%	122	100%	
22	4	44%	1	11%	2	22%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	
STATE	414	19%	381	18%	444	21%	463	22%	424	20%	0	0%	2,126	100%	

¹18+ Years: Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 72:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group¹
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Asian	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	140	82%	20	12%	0	0%	9	5%	2	1%	0	0%	171	100%
2J	80	40%	67	34%	3	2%	48	24%	1	1%	1	1%	200	100%
3	8	80%	0	0%	0	0%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
4	162	60%	54	20%	6	2%	38	14%	1	0%	9	3%	270	100%
5	24	65%	0	0%	0	0%	7	19%	0	0%	6	16%	37	100%
6	12	67%	0	0%	0	0%	2	11%	0	0%	4	22%	18	100%
7	26	81%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	16%	32	100%
8	132	76%	10	6%	1	1%	21	12%	2	1%	8	5%	174	100%
9	15	56%	0	0%	0	0%	10	37%	0	0%	2	7%	27	100%
10	43	61%	2	3%	0	0%	22	31%	0	0%	4	6%	71	100%
11	23	79%	1	3%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%	3	10%	29	100%
12	12	92%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%
13	46	84%	2	4%	0	0%	6	11%	0	0%	1	2%	55	100%
14	12	63%	0	0%	0	0%	5	26%	1	5%	1	5%	19	100%
15	15	94%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
16	9	75%	2	17%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
17	160	77%	18	9%	4	2%	23	11%	1	0%	1	0%	207	100%
18	165	52%	77	24%	13	4%	49	15%	5	2%	8	3%	317	100%
19	182	77%	7	3%	4	2%	37	16%	0	0%	5	2%	235	100%
20	69	84%	9	11%	0	0%	2	2%	1	1%	1	1%	82	100%
21	96	79%	7	6%	0	0%	13	11%	0	0%	6	5%	122	100%
22	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	2	22%	1	11%	9	100%
STATE	1,436	68%	277	13%	31	1%	299	14%	16	1%	67	3%	2,126	100%

¹ **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report or complaint filed by the district attorney.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 73:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ¹		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ³	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	112	76%	26	18%	10	7%	148	100%
2J	117	67%	44	25%	13	7%	174	100%
3	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
4	242	80%	45	15%	14	5%	301	100%
5	32	94%	1	3%	1	3%	34	100%
6	13	87%	1	7%	1	7%	15	100%
7	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	15	100%
8	116	76%	26	17%	10	7%	152	100%
9	17	77%	4	18%	1	5%	22	100%
10	39	74%	14	26%	0	0%	53	100%
11	31	94%	2	6%	0	0%	33	100%
12	15	71%	4	19%	2	10%	21	100%
13	36	82%	8	18%	0	0%	44	100%
14	11	92%	1	8%	0	0%	12	100%
15	9	82%	2	18%	0	0%	11	100%
16	9	75%	3	25%	0	0%	12	100%
17	158	72%	45	21%	15	7%	218	100%
18	217	73%	59	20%	22	7%	298	100%
19	147	74%	50	25%	3	2%	200	100%
20	47	85%	7	13%	1	2%	55	100%
21	77	76%	20	20%	4	4%	101	100%
22	4	31%	8	62%	1	8%	13	100%
STATE	1,467	76%	372	19%	98	5%	1,937	100%

¹**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.

²**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

³**Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful, revocations and absconders from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 74.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 74:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Change of Venue ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	112	75%	26	17%	10	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	150	100%	34	1
2J	117	65%	44	24%	13	7%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	4	2%	180	100%	26	2J
3	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	1	3
4	242	80%	45	15%	14	5%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	304	100%	18	4
5	32	94%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%	3	5
6	13	76%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	6%	1	6%	17	100%	1	6
7	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%	5	7
8	116	76%	26	17%	10	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	152	100%	19	8
9	17	77%	4	18%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%	2	9
10	39	72%	14	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	54	100%	0	10
11	31	94%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%	3	11
12	15	71%	4	19%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	2	12
13	36	82%	8	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	44	100%	6	13
14	11	92%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	2	14
15	9	82%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	15
16	9	75%	3	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	0	16
17	158	72%	45	21%	15	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	219	100%	30	17
18	217	72%	59	20%	22	7%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	1	0%	302	100%	80	18
19	147	74%	50	25%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	200	100%	40	19
20	47	84%	7	13%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	56	100%	10	20
21	77	76%	20	20%	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	101	100%	7	21
22	4	31%	8	62%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	1	22
STATE	1,467	75%	372	19%	98	5%	0	0%	2	0%	9	0%	9	0%	1,957	100%	290	STATE

¹**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY 2008 change of venue cases were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are reported separately to track change of venue cases transferred between courts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 75:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ¹		New Misdemeanor ²		Technical ³		TOTAL REVOCATIONS ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	12%	3	12%	20	77%	26	100%
2J	9	20%	7	16%	28	64%	44	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
4	10	22%	12	27%	23	51%	45	100%
5	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
8	7	27%	3	12%	16	62%	26	100%
9	1	25%	1	25%	2	50%	4	100%
10	2	14%	5	36%	7	50%	14	100%
11	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
12	0	0%	2	50%	2	50%	4	100%
13	0	0%	2	25%	6	75%	8	100%
14	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
15	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
16	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
17	8	18%	1	2%	36	80%	45	100%
18	11	19%	16	27%	32	54%	59	100%
19	7	14%	8	16%	35	70%	50	100%
20	0	0%	1	14%	6	86%	7	100%
21	12	60%	4	20%	4	20%	20	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	8	100%
STATE	74	20%	66	18%	232	62%	372	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 76:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	19	13%	23	15%	43	29%	25	17%	22	15%	18	12%	150	100%
2J	17	9%	12	7%	46	26%	38	21%	37	21%	30	17%	180	100%
3	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	1	20%	2	40%	5	100%
4	21	7%	23	8%	105	35%	54	18%	56	18%	45	15%	304	100%
5	4	12%	4	12%	14	41%	4	12%	4	12%	4	12%	34	100%
6	2	12%	3	18%	5	29%	5	29%	2	12%	0	0%	17	100%
7	3	20%	1	7%	5	33%	1	7%	3	20%	2	13%	15	100%
8	15	10%	40	26%	59	39%	21	14%	12	8%	5	3%	152	100%
9	0	0%	3	14%	10	45%	4	18%	4	18%	1	5%	22	100%
10	5	9%	18	33%	19	35%	6	11%	3	6%	3	6%	54	100%
11	5	15%	5	15%	13	39%	4	12%	4	12%	2	6%	33	100%
12	3	14%	3	14%	7	33%	4	19%	4	19%	0	0%	21	100%
13	5	11%	8	18%	21	48%	3	7%	2	5%	5	11%	44	100%
14	1	8%	0	0%	4	33%	2	17%	2	17%	3	25%	12	100%
15	0	0%	5	45%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	3	27%	11	100%
16	0	0%	1	8%	3	25%	6	50%	2	17%	0	0%	12	100%
17	11	5%	25	11%	86	39%	47	21%	33	15%	17	8%	219	100%
18	47	16%	55	18%	68	32%	44	15%	38	13%	50	17%	302	109%
19	32	16%	50	25%	56	28%	32	16%	13	7%	17	9%	200	100%
20	6	11%	23	41%	17	30%	3	5%	4	7%	3	5%	56	100%
21	7	7%	19	19%	35	35%	16	16%	9	9%	15	15%	101	100%
22	1	8%	3	23%	6	46%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%
STATE	205	10%	324	17%	626	32%	322	16%	255	13%	225	11%	1,957	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 77:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Active Client Risk¹ Levels on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	7	3%	58	28%	82	39%	38	18%	22	10%	1	0%	2	1%	210	100%
2J	4	1%	89	31%	84	29%	70	24%	40	14%	0	0%	0	0%	287	100%
3	0	0%	3	18%	9	53%	3	18%	1	6%	0	0%	1	6%	17	100%
4	7	1%	85	17%	160	33%	142	29%	67	14%	1	0%	27	6%	489	100%
5	1	2%	18	38%	10	21%	14	29%	3	6%	2	4%	0	0%	48	100%
6	0	0%	4	15%	9	33%	12	44%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	27	100%
7	2	4%	11	22%	13	26%	19	38%	3	6%	0	0%	2	4%	50	100%
8	12	5%	78	35%	63	28%	29	13%	35	16%	1	0%	5	2%	223	100%
9	2	4%	10	19%	5	9%	27	51%	7	13%	2	4%	0	0%	53	100%
10	7	8%	14	16%	26	29%	16	18%	26	29%	0	0%	0	0%	89	100%
11	2	6%	6	19%	5	16%	9	29%	7	23%	0	0%	2	6%	31	100%
12	0	0%	6	21%	8	28%	10	34%	3	10%	0	0%	2	7%	29	100%
13	1	2%	16	27%	13	22%	22	37%	5	8%	2	3%	1	2%	60	100%
14	0	0%	8	26%	9	29%	12	39%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	31	100%
15	1	5%	1	5%	6	30%	10	50%	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	20	100%
16	1	6%	4	22%	4	22%	7	39%	2	11%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
17	8	3%	53	22%	85	35%	39	16%	51	21%	0	0%	7	3%	243	100%
18	12	3%	76	18%	182	43%	99	23%	41	10%	1	0%	13	3%	424	100%
19	14	5%	42	16%	99	37%	81	30%	28	11%	0	0%	2	1%	266	100%
20	9	9%	25	26%	13	13%	34	35%	8	8%	3	3%	5	5%	97	100%
21	0	0%	28	18%	36	23%	72	46%	16	10%	3	2%	2	1%	157	100%
22	0	0%	2	18%	3	27%	1	9%	2	18%	0	0%	3	27%	11	100%
STATE	90	3%	637	22%	924	32%	766	27%	368	13%	19	1%	76	3%	2,880	100%

¹**Active Client Risk Levels:** This data includes regular juvenile supervision, juvenile sex offender, problem solving court and mental disorder clients. Detailed supervision levels for each regular juvenile supervision, juveniles who have committed sexual offenses, problem solving court and mental disorder clients can be found on Tables 78 and 79.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 78:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Active Regular Juvenile and Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses
Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

Risk Level:	Regular Juvenile Supervision										
	Regular Maximum		Regular Medium		Regular Minimum		Regular Administrative		Regular Total		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
DISTRICT											
1	34	23%	57	39%	35	24%	22	15%	148	100%	
2J	62	29%	74	35%	40	19%	38	18%	214	100%	
3	3	19%	9	56%	3	19%	1	6%	16	100%	
4	72	20%	112	31%	111	31%	66	18%	361	100%	
5	9	25%	10	28%	14	39%	3	8%	36	100%	
6	3	15%	7	35%	9	45%	1	5%	20	100%	
7	8	20%	13	32%	17	41%	3	7%	41	100%	
8	69	36%	58	30%	29	15%	35	18%	191	100%	
9	9	21%	5	12%	21	50%	7	17%	42	100%	
10	13	17%	22	29%	15	20%	26	34%	76	100%	
11	3	13%	4	17%	9	39%	7	30%	23	100%	
12	6	29%	5	24%	7	33%	3	14%	21	100%	
13	9	23%	11	28%	17	43%	3	8%	40	100%	
14	7	32%	6	27%	9	41%	0	0%	22	100%	
15	1	8%	4	31%	8	62%	0	0%	13	100%	
16	4	27%	4	27%	5	33%	2	13%	15	100%	
17	45	24%	66	36%	28	15%	46	25%	185	100%	
18	66	20%	150	44%	81	24%	41	12%	338	100%	
19	35	17%	68	33%	79	38%	27	13%	209	100%	
20	25	37%	12	18%	23	34%	8	12%	68	100%	
21	25	19%	29	22%	59	46%	16	12%	129	100%	
22	2	25%	3	38%	1	13%	2	25%	8	100%	
STATE	510	23%	729	33%	620	28%	357	16%	2,216	100%	

Risk Level:	Juveniles Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses (JSO) ¹										
	JSO Maximum		JSO Medium		JSO Minimum		JSO Administrative		JSO Total		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
DISTRICT											
1	23	52%	18	41%	3	7%	0	0%	44	100%	
2J	8	17%	10	21%	30	63%	0	0%	48	100%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	13	14%	47	51%	31	34%	1	1%	92	100%	
5	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	
6	1	20%	1	20%	3	60%	0	0%	5	100%	
7	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%	
8	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	
9	1	14%	0	0%	6	86%	0	0%	7	100%	
10	1	17%	4	67%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%	
11	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	
12	0	0%	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	6	100%	
13	3	25%	2	17%	5	42%	2	17%	12	100%	
14	1	14%	3	43%	3	43%	0	0%	7	100%	
15	0	0%	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	
17	4	10%	19	49%	11	28%	5	13%	39	100%	
18	7	15%	22	48%	17	37%	0	0%	46	100%	
19	4	13%	23	77%	2	7%	1	3%	30	100%	
20	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%	
21	3	13%	7	30%	13	57%	0	0%	23	100%	
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
STATE	84	21%	167	41%	143	35%	9	2%	403	100%	

¹This chart does not include juveniles who have committed sexual offenses who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have a Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) for juveniles who have committed sexual offenses.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 79:
Colorado State Juvenile Regular Probation
Active Problem Solving Court and Mental Disorder Client Risk Levels
on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

Risk Level:	Problem Solving Court (PSC)									
	PSC Maximum		PSC Medium		PSC Minimum		PSC Administrative		PSC TOTAL	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	1	13%	7	88%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
2J	18	90%	0	0%	0	0%	2	10%	20	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	29	73%	9	23%	0	0%	2	5%	40	100%

Risk Level:	Mental Disorder (MD)									
	MD Maximum		MD Medium		MD Minimum		MD Administrative		MD Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT										
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2J	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	3	21%	10	71%	1	7%	0	0%	14	100%
19	3	27%	8	73%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
20	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	12	35%	19	56%	3	9%	0	0%	34	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




Intensive Probation Programs

Photo Credit: Kelli Hufford

Intensive Probation Programs

Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established to provide the courts and probation with community supervision options for higher-risk offenders. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs: to assess criminal risk and target offenders’ service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; provide the highest level of supervision available; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Probationers must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being placed in intensive probation programs. These programs offer risk/need assessments, offense-specific treatment, electronic monitoring, and cognitive skills training. Due to the significant number of supervision requirements, and the level of risk, the number of probationers assigned to an intensive program caseload is capped at 18-30 individuals, depending on the program. The sections that follow present detailed and summary information pertaining to each of the intensive programs Colorado Probation employs to effectively supervise its clients.

**Chart 15: Probation Intensive Program Active Population as of June 30
Proportion of Probationers by Intensive Program- Ten Year Comparison**



Note: CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP) is a new intensive program that began in FY 2019. FOP- Female Offender Program has been phased out and will not be operation beyond FY2020.




**LS-Adult Intensive
Supervision Probation
(LSIP)**

LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP)

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation was implemented statewide in FY 1982 as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high-risk adult offenders with extensive criminal histories. AISP remains a sentencing option in cases where the offense date occurred before October 1, 2013. Pursuant to HB13-250, offenses committed after October 1, 2013 are subject to eligibility screening and placement in intensive programs, based on the standardized offender assessment, pursuant to (§16-11.5-102, C.R.S.). The AISP program was modified and renamed in 2013, following the passage of HB13-250. LSIP targets the higher risk/lower needs (absence of substance dependence and mental health issues) probationers. The program is designed to address specific criminogenic needs with intensive interventions. The program also requires accountability, with the goals of enhanced public safety through behavior change. A probationer may be enrolled in this program after the initial assessments are completed or when a reassessment indicates the probationer's risk of re-offense has increased, and the probationer meets the acceptance criteria of the intensive program.

In FY 2020 there were 709 new probationers placed in LSIP and on June 30, 2020 there were 897 on LSIP. In FY 2020 the program successfully terminated 87 probationers from the LSIP program who might otherwise have served sentences in jail, Community Corrections or the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 138 probationers successfully completed the intensive program and were transferred to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.



Note: In 2013 statute changes altered the way in which probationers were placed in intensive programs. In FY2019, computer programming was completed allowing probation departments better access to information which likely increased intensive program placements.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 80:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP)¹
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	48	89%	6	11%	0	0%	54	100%
2	174	95%	8	4%	1	1%	183	100%
3	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
4	95	97%	3	3%	0	0%	98	100%
5	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	39	89%	5	11%	0	0%	44	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	18	82%	3	14%	1	5%	22	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
13	4	67%	0	0%	2	33%	6	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	105	86%	14	11%	3	2%	122	100%
18	59	87%	9	13%	0	0%	68	100%
19	54	84%	10	16%	0	0%	64	100%
20	20	83%	4	17%	0	0%	24	100%
21	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	6	100%
22	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
TOTAL	636	90%	66	9%	7	1%	709	100%

¹**LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP):** The AISP program was modified and renamed in 2013, following the passage of HB13-250. LSIP targets the higher risk/lower needs (absence of substance dependence and mental health issues) probationers.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 81:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	TYPE:	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Number	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
13	1	10	20%	36	71%	5	10%	51	100%
14	2	27	32%	29	34%	29	34%	85	100%
0	3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	4	3	14%	15	68%	4	18%	22	100%
1	5	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
0	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	8	4	29%	9	64%	1	7%	14	100%
0	9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	10	6	50%	3	25%	3	25%	12	100%
0	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	12	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	4	100%
4	13	2	22%	4	44%	3	33%	9	100%
0	14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
33	17	19	26%	41	57%	12	17%	72	100%
8	18	8	33%	14	58%	2	8%	24	100%
21	19	3	12%	19	73%	4	15%	26	100%
7	20	3	27%	6	55%	2	18%	11	100%
1	21	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
0	22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
138	STATE	87	26%	182	54%	68	20%	337	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** In FY2018 those transferring to regular probation have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately. In previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 100.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on LSIP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes LSIP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from LSIP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of of LSIP discharges are included in Table 82.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 82:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	District
1	13	19%	10	15%	5	7%	1	1%	36	54%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	67	100%	8	1
2	14	13%	27	25%	29	26%	9	8%	29	26%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	110	100%	5	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	11	28%	3	8%	4	10%	4	10%	15	38%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	2	5%	40	100%	2	4
5	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%	1	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	13	43%	4	13%	1	3%	2	7%	9	30%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	30	100%	2	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	6	33%	6	33%	3	17%	0	0%	3	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	5	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	6	55%	1	9%	3	27%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	12
13	4	31%	2	15%	3	23%	0	0%	4	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	33	31%	19	18%	12	11%	3	3%	41	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	108	100%	16	17
18	8	21%	8	21%	2	5%	4	11%	14	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	38	100%	12	18
19	21	40%	3	6%	4	8%	4	8%	19	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	52	100%	4	19
20	7	39%	3	17%	2	11%	0	0%	6	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%	0	20
21	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	5	71%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	138	27%	87	17%	68	13%	30	6%	182	35%	0	0%	4	1%	1	0%	7	1%	517	100%	55	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 83:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2	6%	3	8%	31	86%	36	100%
2	9	31%	4	14%	16	55%	29	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	9	60%	0	0%	6	40%	15	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	22%	3	33%	4	44%	9	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	4	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	10	24%	9	22%	22	54%	41	100%
18	4	29%	1	7%	9	64%	14	100%
19	5	26%	2	11%	12	63%	19	100%
20	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	6	100%
21	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	48	26%	24	13%	110	60%	182	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




**CC-Adult Intensive
Supervision Probation
(CCIP)**

CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP)

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation was implemented statewide in FY 1982 as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high-risk adult offenders with extensive criminal histories. AISP remains a sentencing option in cases where the offense date occurred before October 1, 2013. Pursuant to HB13-250, offenses committed after October 1, 2013 are subject to eligibility screening and placement in intensive programs, based on the standardized offender assessment (§16-11.5-102, C.R.S.). The CCIP program was developed in FY 2019, with full implementation anticipated in FY 2020. Districts are beginning to enroll probationers, as they receive training in the new program. CCIP targets the higher risk/higher needs (significant disruptions due to substance abuse, mental health issues, and stability factors) probationers. The program is designed to address specific criminogenic needs with a variety of interventions. The program also requires accountability, with the goals of enhanced public safety through behavior change. A probationer may be enrolled in this program after the initial assessments are completed or when a reassessment indicates the probationer's risk of re-offense has increased, and the probationer meets the acceptance criteria of the intensive program.

In FY 2020 there were 976 new probationers placed in CCIP and on June 30, 2020 there were 982 on CCIP. In FY 2020 the program successfully terminated 41 probationers from the CCIP program who might otherwise have served sentences in jail, Community Corrections or the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 84 probationers successfully completed the intensive program and were transferred to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 84:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP)¹
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	112	90%	13	10%	0	0%	125	100%
2	228	99%	3	1%	0	0%	231	100%
3	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
4	182	96%	8	4%	0	0%	190	100%
5	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	99	92%	7	6%	2	2%	108	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	29	91%	3	9%	0	0%	32	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	12	71%	5	29%	0	0%	17	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	3	60%	1	20%	1	20%	5	100%
17	75	91%	6	7%	1	1%	82	100%
18	58	81%	14	19%	0	0%	72	100%
19	56	85%	10	15%	0	0%	66	100%
20	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%	7	100%
21	22	100%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
22	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
TOTAL	898	92%	74	8%	4	0%	976	100%

¹**CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP):** The CCIP program was developed in FY 2019, with full implementation anticipated in FY 2020. Districts are beginning to enroll probationers, as they receive training in the new program. CCIP targets the higher risk/higher needs (significant disruptions due to substance abuse, mental health issues, and stability factors) probationers.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 85:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
		Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
5	DISTRICT 1	2	17%	10	83%	0	0%	12	100%
26	DISTRICT 2	24	24%	33	33%	43	43%	100	100%
6	DISTRICT 3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	DISTRICT 4	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
1	DISTRICT 5	0	0%	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%
0	DISTRICT 6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	DISTRICT 8	4	20%	8	40%	8	40%	20	100%
0	DISTRICT 9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	DISTRICT 10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
0	DISTRICT 11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	DISTRICT 13	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	4	100%
0	DISTRICT 14	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
0	DISTRICT 15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2	DISTRICT 16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
3	DISTRICT 17	3	33%	5	56%	1	11%	9	100%
4	DISTRICT 18	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
14	DISTRICT 19	4	17%	18	75%	2	8%	24	100%
0	DISTRICT 20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	DISTRICT 21	1	14%	5	71%	1	14%	7	100%
1	DISTRICT 22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
84	STATE	41	22%	90	48%	57	30%	188	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** This column includes those probationers that have completed CCIP and transferred to regular supervision to finish their probation term. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 100.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on CCIP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes CCIP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from CCIP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of of CCIP discharges are included in Table 86.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 86:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP) Discharges
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Successful Program Completion		Successful: Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	District
1	5	25%	2	10%	0	0%	3	15%	10	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	5	1
2	26	18%	24	17%	43	30%	12	9%	33	23%	0	0%	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	141	100%	4	2
3	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	3
4	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	2	20%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	30%	10	100%	1	4
5	1	14%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	5	71%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	7	16%	4	9%	8	19%	12	28%	8	19%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	3	7%	43	100%	2	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	4	40%	2	20%	0	0%	1	10%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	10%	10	100%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	16
17	3	25%	3	25%	1	8%	0	0%	5	42%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%	4	17
18	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	29%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	2	18
19	14	30%	4	9%	2	4%	4	9%	18	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	11%	47	100%	1	19
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	20
21	6	43%	1	7%	1	7%	1	7%	5	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%	0	21
22	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	22
TOTAL	84	26%	41	13%	57	18%	35	11%	90	28%	0	0%	3	1%	2	1%	12	4%	324	100%	19	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 87:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	10%	0	0%	9	90%	10	100%
2	7	21%	1	3%	25	76%	33	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
5	1	20%	2	40%	2	40%	5	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	25%	1	13%	5	63%	8	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	1	20%	4	80%	5	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
19	3	17%	1	6%	14	78%	18	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	19	21%	9	10%	62	69%	90	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



**Sex Offender Intensive
Supervision Probation
(SOISP)**


Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in 1998 to address the risk posed by lifetime supervision cases, the Colorado Legislature made a significant change to the statute in 2001. Pursuant to HB01-1229, all felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001 are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP).

The goal of intensive supervision probation for any probationer is to minimize the risk to the public to the greatest extent possible by holding probationers accountable for their present and past anti-social and criminal behavior, decreasing risk factors and increasing protective factors along with encouraging pro-social skill building, and assisting the probationer's ability to repair the harm caused by their actions, when possible. SOISP includes a combination of high-level surveillance and monitoring; evidenced-based and best practice supervision strategies; physiological monitoring; and collaboration with Community Supervision Teams. Some sex offenders cannot or will not respond to treatment and there is no expectation that all sex offenders can be successful in treatment. Depending on the probationer, elements of community supervision may include restricted activities, daily contact with the probationer, curfew checks, home visitation, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing. SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. Movement within all phases is behaviorally-based and guided by specific criteria. Caseload sizes are capped at 25 probationers, for a program capacity of 1,150.

In FY 2020 there were 459 probationers sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2020 there were 1,456 probationers on SOISP. In FY 2020 the program successfully terminated 94 probationers (51%) from the SOISP program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 71 probationers successfully completed SOISP and were transitioned to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.

**New Probationers: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Fiscal Years 2011 to 2020**



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 88:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	45	94%	3	6%	0	0%	48	100%
2	64	89%	8	11%	0	0%	72	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	87	88%	12	12%	0	0%	99	100%
5	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
6	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	27	77%	8	23%	0	0%	35	100%
9	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
10	5	71%	1	14%	1	14%	7	100%
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
13	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	24	80%	5	17%	1	3%	30	100%
18	94	94%	6	6%	0	0%	100	100%
19	24	83%	4	14%	1	3%	29	100%
20	12	80%	3	20%	0	0%	15	100%
21	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
22	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
TOTAL	402	88%	54	12%	3	1%	459	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 89:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Note: Approximately one-half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully supervised (1,446) on SOISP than are terminating unsuccessfully (90) as reflected below in the 6/30/2020 active caseload and termination table.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/2020 ¹		Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ²	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ⁴		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁵	
DISTRICT	Number	Number	Successful (no longer on Probation)	Revoked	Absconded	Number	Number	
1	150	7	22	8	2	32	32	
2	170	6	10	23	4	37	37	
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	261	18	11	22	2	35	35	
5	12	0	1	0	0	1	1	
6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	
8	131	6	6	6	0	12	12	
9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10	45	0	5	1	0	6	6	
11	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	
13	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	
14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
15	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
17	93	4	8	2	0	10	10	
18	265	17	23	6	3	32	32	
19	106	0	2	3	0	5	5	
20	82	2	4	4	1	9	9	
21	59	3	1	1	1	3	3	
22	17	0	1	1	0	2	2	
STATE	1,456	71	94	77	13	184	184	

¹Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Section.

²Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation: In FY2018 the transition program completions have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 100.

³Successful Termination: This column includes those probationers that terminated from probation while on SOISP and are no longer on probation.

⁴Unsuccessful Terminations: These columns include SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These probationers are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁵Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of SOISP discharges are included in Table 90.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 90:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	7	14%	22	45%	2	4%	7	14%	8	16%	2	4%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	49	100%	4	1
2	6	11%	10	19%	4	7%	0	0%	23	43%	5	9%	1	2%	5	9%	0	0%	54	100%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	18	33%	11	20%	2	4%	0	0%	22	41%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	54	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	7
8	6	32%	6	32%	0	0%	1	5%	6	32%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	0	0%	5	71%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	12
13	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	1	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	4	24%	8	47%	0	0%	1	6%	2	12%	0	0%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	17	100%	1	17
18	17	32%	23	43%	3	6%	0	0%	6	11%	2	4%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	53	100%	0	18
19	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	2	22%	3	33%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	19
20	2	18%	4	36%	1	9%	0	0%	4	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	20
21	3	50%	1	17%	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	22
TOTAL	71	24%	94	32%	13	4%	12	4%	77	26%	12	4%	5	2%	8	3%	0	0%	292	100%	6	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. These numbers are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 91:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	1	13%	7	88%	8	100%
2	2	9%	0	0%	21	91%	23	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	14%	1	5%	18	82%	22	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	1	17%	2	33%	3	50%	6	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
22	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
TOTAL	6	8%	5	6%	66	86%	77	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance) with probation terms and conditions.

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.




Female Offender Program (FOP)

Female Offender Program (FOP)

The Female Offender Program was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991 to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995 the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding to 10 judicial districts to continue FOP. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender responsive case management to include frequent contact, skill building, employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring, and participation in treatment, as needed. The program was terminated in FY 2004 as a result of budget reductions, despite significantly positive outcomes. In FY 2005 the program was again funded to previous levels and eventually expanded statewide. The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. It should be noted, with the advent of the new CCIP program, the FOP program will be phased out, as CCIP is intended to target higher risk probationers, with a variety of gender-responsive interventions. As districts begin to fully implement CCIP, we anticipate the FOP program to be phased out by the end of FY 2021.

In FY 2020 the program successfully terminated 24 probationers (23%) from the FOP program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Department of Corrections. Additionally, 45 probationers successfully completed FOP and were transitioned to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.

*During FY2020 only 42 women were placed in FOP as the program was being phased out at the close of the year. This is the last report in which FOP information will be published.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 92:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	23	77%	6	20%	1	3%	30	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	34	81%	7	17%	1	2%	42	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 93:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
		Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
3	DISTRICT 1	9	24%	16	42%	13	34%	38	100%
0	DISTRICT 2	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
0	DISTRICT 3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	DISTRICT 4	1	8%	7	58%	4	33%	12	100%
1	DISTRICT 5	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
0	DISTRICT 6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	DISTRICT 8	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
0	DISTRICT 9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	DISTRICT 10	3	16%	10	53%	6	32%	19	100%
0	DISTRICT 11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2	DISTRICT 13	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
0	DISTRICT 14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	DISTRICT 17	7	30%	13	57%	3	13%	23	100%
7	DISTRICT 18	1	17%	4	67%	1	17%	6	100%
0	DISTRICT 19	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
5	DISTRICT 20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	DISTRICT 22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
45	STATE	24	23%	51	49%	29	28%	104	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** In FY2018 those transferring to regular probation have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately. In previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 100.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on FOP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from FOP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these probationers are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of of FOP discharges are included in Table 94.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 94:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	DISTRICT
1	3	6%	9	19%	13	28%	3	6%	16	34%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	2	4%	47	100%	5	1
2	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	8	32%	1	4%	4	16%	2	8%	7	28%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	2	8%	25	100%	1	4
5	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	9	31%	3	10%	6	21%	1	3%	10	34%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%	5	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	1	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	9	25%	7	19%	3	8%	4	11%	13	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	36	100%	3	17
18	7	50%	1	7%	1	7%	1	7%	4	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%	0	18
19	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	19
20	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	20
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	45	26%	24	14%	29	17%	18	10%	51	29%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	5	3%	174	100%	15	TOTAL

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY 2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are reported separately to track the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 95:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	2	13%	14	88%	16	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	14%	2	29%	4	57%	7	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	3	30%	2	20%	5	50%	10	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	1	8%	2	15%	10	77%	13	100%
18	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	7	14%	8	16%	36	71%	51	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.


Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Juvenile Intensive
Supervision Probation
(JISP)

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program enough to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building, and treatment services, as required. The number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY 2020 there were 105 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2020 there were 178 juveniles on JISP. In FY 2020, 39 juveniles successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Services. Additionally, 33 juveniles successfully completed JISP and were transitioned to regular probation for the remainder of their sentence.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 96:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
New Clients by Order Type
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue		Interstate Transfer to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
2J	32	84%	6	16%	0	0%	0	0%	38	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	12	75%	3	19%	1	6%	0	0%	16	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	9	64%	5	36%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
18	13	65%	7	35%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%
19	4	44%	5	56%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	74	70%	30	29%	1	1%	0	0%	105	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 97:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020

Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation ¹	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	Successful (no longer on Probation)		Revoked		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴		
	TYPE:	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
3	1	1	14%	5	71%	1	14%	7	100%
4	2J	15	45%	16	48%	2	6%	33	100%
0	3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	4	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	5	100%
0	5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
1	8	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
0	9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	17	4	17%	16	67%	4	17%	24	100%
1	18	11	55%	7	35%	2	10%	20	100%
9	19	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
0	20	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
0	21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
0	22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
33	STATE	39	39%	52	52%	9	9%	100	100%

¹**Successful Program Completion Transfer to Regular Probation:** In FY2018 those transferring to regular probation have been removed from the Successful Termination and reported separately. In previous annual reports they were combined. To see a complete list of all Intensive program transitions to regular probation see the Intensive Summary Table 100.

²**Successful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers that have terminated from probation while on JISP and are no longer on probation.

³**Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴**Total Terminations:** This table includes: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision.

Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 98.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 98:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Discharges
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Change of Venue ¹	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	DISTRICT
1	3	30%	1	10%	1	10%	0	0%	5	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	5	1
2J	4	11%	15	39%	2	5%	0	0%	16	42%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	38	100%	14	2J
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	1	13%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	4	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	1	13%	8	100%	0	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	14	37%	4	11%	4	11%	0	0%	16	42%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	38	100%	12	17
18	1	5%	11	52%	2	10%	0	0%	7	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21	100%	8	18
19	9	82%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	19
20	0	0%	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	20
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	33	24%	39	28%	9	7%	0	0%	52	38%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	2	1%	137	100%	39	TOTAL

¹**Change of Venue:** Beginning in FY 2008 change of venue cases were removed from "Total Discharges" as they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. These numbers are reported separately to track the number of change of venue cases between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 99:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony ¹		Revoked: New Misdemeanor ²		Revoked: Technical ³		Total Revocations ⁴	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	5	100%
2J	7	44%	1	6%	8	50%	16	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	13%	0	0%	14	88%	16	100%
18	4	57%	0	0%	3	43%	7	100%
19	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
20	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	20	38%	5	10%	27	52%	52	100%

¹**New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.

²**New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.

³**Technical:** Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, non-compliance).

⁴**Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Intensive Programs Summary

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 100:
Colorado State Probation
Intensive Program Completion Transferred to Regular Probation
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP) ¹		CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP) ²		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)		TOTAL TRANSFERS TO REGULAR PROBATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	13	42%	5	16%	7	23%	3	10%	3	10%	31	100%
2A ³	14	30%	26	57%	6	13%	0	0%			46	100%
2J ⁴									4	100%	4	100%
3	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
4	11	26%	4	10%	18	43%	8	19%	1	2%	42	100%
5	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	13	46%	7	25%	6	21%	1	4%	1	4%	28	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	6	38%	1	6%	0	0%	9	56%	0	0%	16	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	6	50%	0	0%	6	50%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
13	4	36%	4	36%	1	9%	2	18%	0	0%	11	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	33	52%	3	5%	4	6%	9	14%	14	22%	63	100%
18	8	22%	4	11%	17	46%	7	19%	1	3%	37	100%
19	21	48%	14	32%	0	0%	0	0%	9	20%	44	100%
20	7	50%	0	0%	2	14%	5	36%	0	0%	14	100%
21	1	10%	6	60%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
22	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
TOTAL	138	37%	84	23%	71	19%	45	12%	33	9%	371	100%

¹**LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP):** The AISP program was modified and renamed in 2013, following the passage of HB13-250. LSIP targets the higher risk/lower needs (absence of substance dependence and mental health issues) probationers.

²**CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP):** The CCIP program was developed in FY 2019, with full implementation anticipated in FY 2020. Districts are beginning to enroll probationers, as they receive training in the new program. CCIP targets the higher risk/higher needs (significant disruptions due to substance abuse, mental health issues, and stability factors) probationers.

³**2A:** Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises adult probationers.

⁴**2J:** Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises juvenile probationers.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 101:
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Active Client Summary on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	LS- Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP) ¹		CC- Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP) ²		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) ³		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) ⁴		TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	75	19%	144	37%	150	39%	8	2%	8	2%	385	100%
2A ⁵	207	37%	187	33%	170	30%	0	0%			564	100%
2J ⁶									68	100%	68	100%
3	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
4	123	21%	171	29%	261	45%	2	0%	27	5%	584	100%
5	9	28%	11	34%	12	38%	0	0%	0	0%	32	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
8	48	15%	134	42%	131	41%	1	0%	5	2%	319	100%
9	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
10	21	21%	34	33%	45	44%	1	1%	1	1%	102	100%
11	1	6%	0	0%	17	94%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
12	6	75%	0	0%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
13	10	22%	13	28%	23	50%	0	0%	0	0%	46	100%
14	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
16	4	31%	7	54%	1	8%	0	0%	1	8%	13	100%
17	154	47%	60	18%	93	28%	3	1%	19	6%	329	100%
18	101	21%	65	14%	265	56%	1	0%	42	9%	474	100%
19	88	30%	92	32%	106	37%	1	0%	2	1%	289	100%
20	32	24%	13	10%	82	61%	3	2%	4	3%	134	100%
21	11	10%	38	35%	59	55%	0	0%	0	0%	108	100%
22	3	9%	12	36%	17	52%	0	0%	1	3%	33	100%
TOTAL	897	25%	982	28%	1,456	41%	20	1%	178	5%	3,533	100%

¹**LS-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (LSIP):** The AISP program was modified and renamed in 2013, following the passage of HB13-250. LSIP targets the higher risk/lower needs (absence of substance dependence and mental health issues) probationers.

²**CC-Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (CCIP):** The CCIP program was developed in FY 2019, with full implementation anticipated in FY 2020. Districts are beginning to enroll probationers, as they receive training in the new program. CCIP targets the higher risk/higher needs (significant disruptions due to substance abuse, mental health issues, and stability factors) probationers.

³**Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation:** The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Tables.

⁴**Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation:** This number may include juveniles who have committed sexual offenses that have been sentenced to JISP.

⁵**2A:** Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises adult probationers.

⁶**2J:** Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district that exclusively supervises juvenile probationers.


Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.



Victim Services

Victim Services

In FY 1993 Colorado's General Assembly enacted victims' rights legislation for victims of personal crimes. In 1996, the Victims' Rights Act was amended to require Colorado Probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state's 23 probation departments provides services to eligible victims, who request to be notified, of critical events. In addition to providing referrals and general assistance, in FY 2020 Probation Victim Services Officers sent letters to 18,290 victims of crime informing them of their right to receive notification. Of these victims, 19% (3,534) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. In FY 2020, there were a total of 19,717 critical probation notification events that occurred.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 102:
Colorado Probation
Summary of Victim Services¹
Fiscal Year 2016 to Fiscal Year 2020**

	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
VICTIM SERVICES²					
Initial Notifications Sent	16,920	17,832	17,536	18,263	18,290
Notification Requests Returned	2,992	2,869	3,055	3,955	3,534
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	18%	16%	17%	22%	19%
VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS³					
Date of Probation Termination	2,351	2,456	2,364	2,605	2,833
Early Termination Requests	470	539	525	497	520
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	5,997	6,905	7,180	7,987	7,635
Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)	903	437	439	663	808
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	723	585	529	766	954
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	542	1,039	971	1,247	1,493
Abscond/Warrant	2,029	2,606	2,303	2,099	5,392
Death of a Probationer	59	45	42	32	82
TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS	13,074	14,612	14,353	15,896	19,717

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Beginning in FY 2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY 2007 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session, this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the probationer that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". In FY 2016 the probation departments began coding this critical stage in "Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)".

²**VICTIM SERVICES:** These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

³**VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (§24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 103:
Colorado Probation
Victims Services
Notifications for Fiscal Year 2020**

District	Initial Notification Sent ¹	Notification Requests Returned	Percent of Victims Requesting Notification
1	1,604	494	31%
2A	1,204	326	27%
2J	72	1	1%
3	114	5	4%
4	2,404	700	29%
5	509	28	6%
6	328	40	12%
7	584	22	4%
8	1,102	389	35%
9	649	31	5%
10	794	97	12%
11	299	55	18%
12	339	30	9%
13	390	51	13%
14	220	32	15%
15	111	8	7%
16	178	6	3%
17	1,673	535	32%
18	2,433	234	10%
19	1,658	139	8%
20	1,287	186	14%
21 ²	73	66	90%
22	265	57	22%
STATE	18,290	3,532	19%

¹ **Initial Notification Sent:** Initial notification may be done by state probation or private probation. In some circumstances initial notification may be done in conjunction with the District Attorney's Office and may not be captured in this table.

² **21st Judicial District:** This district confirmed the initial notifications were sent by the District Attorney's Office.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 104:
Colorado Probation
Victim Services¹
Notification of Critical Probation Events² Fiscal Year 2020**

District	Date of Probation Termination	Early Termination Requests	Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)	Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	Abscond/Warrant	Death of a Probationer	TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS
1	530	87	1,512	25	165	55	558	13	2,945
2A	172	27	528	1	31	42	228	1	1,030
2J	1	1	2	2	1	5	2	1	15
3	28	1	15	4	1	17	6	5	77
4	459	6	183	384	266	360	750	5	2,413
5	12	11	81	30	4	57	67	1	263
6	46	23	134	1	5	45	51	1	306
7	27	6	31	13	7	58	41	1	184
8	284	55	1,261	16	43	139	950	10	2,758
9	13	5	15	2	3	21	19	1	79
10	53	15	189	79	37	85	400	14	872
11	58	39	269	18	1	82	122	7	596
12	12	7	55	12	1	11	22	1	121
13	72	17	179	8	3	55	91	1	426
14	18	4	80	1	2	39	27	1	172
15	4	1	7	3	1	14	2	1	33
16	9	3	15	1	1	8	13	2	52
17	462	24	995	8	114	78	559	5	2,245
18	216	41	922	32	65	116	550	3	1,945
19	98	27	721	20	18	65	464	2	1,415
20	183	91	177	142	107	78	313	4	1,095
21	46	24	159	1	25	17	125	1	398
22	30	5	105	5	53	46	32	1	277
STATE	2,833	520	7,635	808	954	1,493	5,392	82	19,717

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Beginning in FY 2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY 2007 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session, this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification was enacted: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the probationer that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department". In FY 2016 the probation departments began coding this critical stage in "Probation Violation Increase in Supervision (DV only)".


²**Victim Notification of Critical Probation Events:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (§24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.



Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)

In FY 2007 the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. The ADDS program conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2020 the program completed 15,032 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado’s district and county courts, excluding Denver County. In FY 2020 there were 1,680 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2020 there were 3,125 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY 2020 state probation successfully terminated 1,545 (84%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders who were sentenced to regular probation supervision are included in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.



Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 105:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Fiscal Year 2020**

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%	10	83%	12	100%
4	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
5	5	1%	361	98%	2	1%	0	0%	368	100%
6	4	2%	138	67%	0	0%	65	31%	207	100%
7	35	12%	223	79%	12	4%	11	4%	281	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
9	12	4%	315	95%	6	2%	0	0%	333	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
14	76	44%	82	47%	4	2%	12	7%	174	100%
15	0	0%	47	98%	1	2%	0	0%	48	100%
16	14	88%	1	6%	0	0%	1	6%	16	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	12	6%	196	92%	4	2%	0	0%	212	100%
22	3	16%	0	0%	1	5%	15	79%	19	100%
STATE	164	10%	1,367	81%	34	2%	115	7%	1,680	100%

¹2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 106:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	3	25%	9	75%	0	0%	12	100%
4	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
5	96	26%	272	74%	0	0%	368	100%
6	69	33%	138	67%	0	0%	207	100%
7	91	32%	190	68%	0	0%	281	100%
8	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
9	87	26%	246	74%	0	0%	333	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
14	35	20%	139	80%	0	0%	174	100%
15	12	25%	36	75%	0	0%	48	100%
16	5	31%	11	69%	0	0%	16	100%
17	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	81	38%	131	62%	0	0%	212	100%
22	1	5%	18	95%	0	0%	19	100%
STATE	482	29%	1,198	71%	0	0%	1,680	100%

¹2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 107:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group¹
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ²	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	11	92%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	12	100%
4	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
5	329	89%	6	2%	2	1%	25	7%	0	0%	6	2%	368	100%
6	162	78%	4	2%	1	0%	13	6%	23	11%	4	2%	207	100%
7	240	85%	1	0%	2	1%	31	11%	2	1%	5	2%	281	100%
8	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
9	300	90%	4	1%	1	0%	28	8%	0	0%	0	0%	333	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	160	92%	1	1%	0	0%	9	5%	1	1%	3	2%	174	100%
15	42	88%	3	6%	0	0%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	48	100%
16	14	88%	0	0%	0	0%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	182	86%	9	4%	0	0%	19	9%	0	0%	2	1%	212	100%
22	10	53%	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	7	37%	0	0%	19	100%
STATE	1,457	87%	29	2%	7	0%	133	8%	33	2%	21	1%	1,680	100%

¹ **Ethnic Group:** This data is entered by court staff from information provided in the summons, affidavit, arrest report, or complaint filed with the court.

²**2:** In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 108:
Colorado State Probation
Alochol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDs)
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age
Fiscal Year 2020

TYPE:	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number								
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	4	33%	1	8%	5	42%	0	0%	12	100%
4	0	0%	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
5	0	0%	14	4%	67	18%	137	37%	60	16%	90	24%	0	0%	368	100%
6	1	0%	16	8%	44	21%	60	29%	22	11%	64	31%	0	0%	207	100%
7	4	1%	24	9%	52	19%	71	25%	31	11%	99	35%	0	0%	281	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
9	4	1%	24	7%	46	14%	114	34%	51	15%	94	28%	0	0%	333	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	0	0%	9	5%	28	16%	57	33%	31	18%	49	28%	0	0%	174	100%
15	0	0%	8	17%	13	27%	9	19%	5	10%	13	27%	0	0%	48	100%
16	0	0%	2	13%	4	25%	3	19%	2	13%	5	31%	0	0%	16	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
19	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	2	1%	17	8%	49	23%	59	28%	36	17%	49	23%	0	0%	212	100%
22	0	0%	1	5%	2	11%	6	32%	5	26%	5	26%	0	0%	19	100%
STATE	11	1%	116	7%	309	18%	522	31%	247	15%	475	28%	0	0%	1,680	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 109:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ²		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS ³				TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		TOTAL TERMINATIONS ⁴	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	9	82%	0	0%	2	18%	11	100%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	385	90%	3	1%	40	9%	428	100%
6	88	71%	7	6%	29	23%	124	100%
7	247	92%	4	1%	17	6%	268	100%
8	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
9	320	79%	16	4%	70	17%	406	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	223	88%	2	1%	27	11%	252	100%
15	20	91%	0	0%	2	9%	22	100%
16	33	89%	0	0%	4	11%	37	100%
17	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
19	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	4	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	209	79%	0	0%	55	21%	264	100%
22	2	33%	0	0%	4	67%	6	100%
STATE	1,545	84%	34	2%	251	14%	1,830	100%

¹ **2:** In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

² **Successful Terminations:** This column is exclusively state successful terminations.

³ **Unsuccessful Terminations:** This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony offense. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section because these offenders are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

⁴ **Total Terminations:** This table includes: successful, revocations and absconder terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of closures are included in Table 110.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 110:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Closure Types
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	9	82%	0	0%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
5	385	90%	3	1%	40	9%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	430	100%
6	88	71%	7	6%	29	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	124	100%
7	247	91%	4	1%	17	6%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	271	100%
8	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
9	320	78%	16	4%	70	17%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%	408	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	223	88%	2	1%	27	11%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	254	100%
15	20	91%	0	0%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
16	33	89%	0	0%	4	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%
17	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
19	2	50%	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	209	79%	0	0%	55	21%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	265	100%
22	2	33%	0	0%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
STATE	1,545	84%	34	2%	251	14%	1	0%	9	0%	5	0%	1,845	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 111:
Colorado State Adult Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Type of Revocation
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony ²		New Misdemeanor ³		Technical ⁴		TOTAL REVOCATIONS ⁵	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
9	2	13%	4	25%	10	63%	16	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
18	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	3	9%	7	21%	24	71%	34	100%

¹ **2:** In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

² **New Felony:** Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.

³ **New Misdemeanor:** Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.

⁴ **Technical:** Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. failure to complete treatment, failure to complete community service).

⁵ **Total Revocations:** Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

**Table 112:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Length of Stay
Fiscal Year 2020**

TYPE:	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
2 ¹	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	1	9%	7	64%	3	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
4	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
5	110	26%	185	43%	93	22%	27	6%	13	3%	2	0%	430	100%
6	0	0%	21	17%	59	48%	23	19%	20	16%	1	1%	124	100%
7	44	16%	107	39%	63	23%	27	10%	27	10%	3	1%	271	100%
8	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
9	60	15%	136	33%	92	23%	56	14%	60	15%	4	1%	408	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
14	21	8%	66	26%	75	30%	38	15%	51	20%	3	1%	254	100%
15	11	50%	9	41%	2	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
16	9	24%	23	62%	5	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	100%
17	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
19	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	4	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
21	21	8%	54	20%	74	28%	49	18%	51	19%	16	6%	265	100%
22	0	0%	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
STATE	283	15%	614	33%	474	26%	221	12%	222	12%	31	2%	1,845	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Fiscal Year 2020 Quarter 3 and Quarter 4 data were significantly impacted due to the COVID-19 pandemic as courts and probation operations were limited.

Table 113:
Colorado State Probation
Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS)
Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2020
Fiscal Year 2020

DISTRICT	NUMBER	Percent of the state total
1	7	0%
2 ¹	0	0%
3	24	1%
4	439	14%
5	385	12%
6	395	13%
7	386	12%
8	78	2%
9	464	15%
10	24	1%
11	14	0%
12	0	0%
13	6	0%
14	298	10%
15	77	2%
16	27	1%
17	1	0%
18	2	0%
19	6	0%
20	5	0%
21	468	15%
22	19	1%
STATE	3,125	100%

¹ 2: In the 2nd Judicial District, DUI/DWAI cases may be filed in Denver County Court or District Court. Denver County Court filings are not included in the State Court system. Denver District Court probation sentences will be included in this annual report.



X: Glossary of Terms

Probation Glossary

Absconder:	Refers to a probationer who become a fugitive and is no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Adjudication:	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is “conviction.”
Administrative Investigations:	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Investigation Report addressing additional cases.
Administrative Closure:	Indicates a case that has been terminated from supervision in the jurisdiction indicated for miscellaneous reasons such as, “in custody in another jurisdiction.”
Administrative Client Risk Level:	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive “supervision” being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
Change of Venue:	Occurs when a juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another where the juvenile will receive probation supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
Client Risk Level:	Probationers are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The primary instrument used for adults is the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory). The primary juvenile instrument is the CJRA (Colorado Juvenile Risk Assessment). These instruments determine the level of supervision for a probationer (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
Courtesy Closure:	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district (adult only).
Courtesy Supervision:	Occurs when an adult probationer has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction. The originating district retains jurisdiction over the case.

Community Corrections: A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community correction sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer (adult only).

Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication: Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.

Direct File: A case filed by a District Attorney in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.

Intake: The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.

Interstate: Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.

Investigations: An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.

Length of Stay: The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.

Monitoring: DUI/DWAI offenders who, as a part of their sentence, are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.

Revoked: The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.

- Success:** Successful terminations represent those offenders who meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
- Technical Violation:** Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of probation for reasons other than a new crime (e.g. drug use, missed appointments etc).
- Transfer Hearing:** A judicial proceeding in which the court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

*Supreme Court Glossary of Terms**

Civil Appeal-A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.

Criminal Appeal-A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.

Habeas Corpus-(Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum) “An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person's custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent.”

Interlocutories-An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.

Interrogatories-Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer “important questions upon solemn occasions” propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called “interrogatories.”

Judicial Discipline or Disability-Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.

Original Proceedings-Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a “rule to show cause” why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).

Petitions in Certiorari-A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme

*This is not an exhaustive list.

*Supreme Court Glossary of Terms**

Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.

Reapportionment-Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the senate and the house of representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the general assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court's reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court's requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.

Request for Stay Pending Appeal-A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.

Rule 21.1-This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.

Special Concurrences-An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.

Statutory Reviews-Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.

Unauthorized Practice-The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized practice of law within the state (see C.R.C.P. 229 through 240.1).

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Abatement of action-A suit, which has been quashed and ended.

Accord-A method of discharging a claim upon agreement by the parties to give and accept something in settlement of the claim.

Acquittal-The verdict of not guilty for a defendant in a criminal case.

Actual malice-To win a defamation suit, public officials or prominent people, such as political candidates or movie stars, must prove that the offender made a false statement with actual malice. This means the statement was made with knowledge that it was false or with serious doubts about whether it was true.

Addendum-An add-on to something. For example, on a court document, if changes need to be made or information added, a new document can be written up, signed, and filed as an addendum to the original document.

Administrator-An individual appointed by the court to manage the estate of a person who died without leaving a valid will.

Administrative Support Order-Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social.

Adversary system-The system of trial practice in which each of the opposing parties has full opportunity to present and establish his/her contention before the court.

Advisement-The consultation of the court.

Affidavit-A written or printed statement of facts, made voluntarily, and confirmed by the oath or affirmation of the party making it, taken before a person having authority to administer such oath or affirmation by law.

Agent-A person who has received the power to act on behalf of another, bind that other person as if he or she were himself or herself making the decisions.

In Probate: An attorney in fact under a durable or non-durable power of attorney, an individual authorized to make decisions for another under the "Colorado Patient Autonomy Act".

Aggravated damages-Special and highly exceptional damages awarded by a court where the circumstances of the conduct have been particularly humiliating or malicious towards the Plaintiff/victim.

Alimony-Also called maintenance or spousal support in a divorce or separation. Money paid by one spouse to the other in order to provide financial support after a divorce or legal separation.

Allegation-The assertion, claim, declaration, or statement of a party to an action, made in a pleading, setting out what he/she expects to prove.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Allocation of parental responsibilities-Commonly known as "custody". In divorce, legal separation, or custody actions regarding children, the Court will allocate parental rights and responsibilities for the care of the children.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR)-Methods for resolving problems without going to court.

Ancillary Proceedings-A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state, but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.

Annulment-To make void or null; abolish; cancel; invalidate a marriage. The legal term is "Declaration of Invalidity of Marriage".

Answer-The pleading in a civil suit by which the defendant admits, denies or otherwise controverts the sufficiency of the allegations of facts set forth in the plaintiff's petition. It also contains defenses the defendant may have to the plaintiff's allegations.

Appeal-A request by the losing party in a lawsuit for a higher court to review a lower court decision.

Appearance-When you physically appear or show up in Court.

Appellant-The party who appeals a trial court decision that he/she/it has lost.

Appellee-In some jurisdictions the name used for the party who has won at the trial court level, but the loser (appellant) has appealed the decision to a higher court. Thus, the **appellee** has to file a response to the legal brief filed by the appellant. In many jurisdictions the appellee is called the "respondent."

Applicant-A person who files an Application for Informal Appointment of a Personal Representative.

Application-A written request to the registrar for an order of informal probate or appointment under informal probate and appointment proceedings.

Appurtenance-Something that, although detached, stands as part of another thing. An attachment or appendage to something else. Used often in real estate context where an "appurtenance" may be, for example, a right of way over water – although physically detached, is part of the legal rights of the owner of another property.

Arbitration-The referral of a dispute to an impartial third person chosen by the parties to the dispute. The parties agree in advance to abide by the arbitrator's decision following a hearing at which both parties have an opportunity to be heard. Sometimes three persons sit as an arbitration panel.

Arrears-A debt that is not paid on the due date adds up and accumulates as "arrears."

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Assignment-The transfer of legal rights, such as the time left on a lease, from one person to another.

Assumption of risk-A defense raised in personal injury lawsuits. Asserts that the plaintiff knew that a particular activity was dangerous and thus bears all responsibility for any injury that resulted.

Attachment-An additional or supplementary remedy that allows the plaintiff to place a lien on property belonging to the defendant to make sure there will be means of satisfying (paying) a judgment that may be entered in a civil case.

Bad faith-Intent to deceive. Dishonesty or fraud in a transaction, such as entering into an agreement with no intention of ever living up to its terms or knowingly misrepresenting the quality of something that is being bought or sold.

Bailiff-Court employee whose duty is to keep order in the courtroom.

Bankruptcy-A process governed by federal law to help when people cannot or will not pay their debts.

Bench warrant-An order issued by a judge for the arrest of a person.

Bigamy-A person who enters into a marriage with one person while still legally married to another.

Bill of particulars-A written statement specifying the details of the demand set forth in the petition in a civil action.

Binder-An outline of the basic terms of a proposed sales contract between a buyer and a seller.

Bond-Money or property given to the court to hold a hearing date or to get someone out of jail.

Breach of contract-The failure to do what one promised to do under a contract. Proving a breach of contract is a prerequisite of any suit for damages based on the contract.

Breach of trust-Any act or omission on the part of the trustee, which is inconsistent with the terms of the trust agreement; or the law of trusts. A prime example is the redirecting of trust property from the trust to the trustee, personally.

Breach of warranty- A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.

Brief-A written statement by counsel summarizing the facts of a case, the pertinent laws, and arguments of how the law applies to the facts supporting the lawyer's position.

Burden of proof-In civil cases, the plaintiff must prove her/his case with a "preponderance of evidence."

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Buy-Sell agreement-An agreement among business partners that specifies how shares in the business are to be transferred in the case of a co-owner's death.

C.A.R.-Abbreviation for "Colorado Appellate Rules".

C.R.S.- C.R.C.C.P.: Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure.

C.R.S.- C.R.C.P. Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure.

C.R.S.-Abbreviation for "Colorado Revised Statutes".

Capacity-A legal qualification, such as legal age, that determines one's ability to sue or be sued, to enter into a binding contract, etc.; or the mental ability to understand the nature and effect of one's acts.

Case law-Law based on previous verdicts and written judicial decisions.

Case management order-An order by the court that outlines the steps the parties must follow for their case to continue.

Cease and desist order-An order of an administrative agency or court prohibiting a person or business from continuing a particular course of conduct.

Certificate of Service-An area on a court form, usually at the end of the form, where you tell the court how and when you provided a copy of the court form to the other person in the case, before or directly after you gave the form to the court.

Certified copies-A copy obtained from the court that is certified by the clerk to be an authentic copy of the court record.

Change of venue-When a court case is moved from one location to another, usually because of a question of fairness.

Chapter 13 bankruptcy-A type of bankruptcy in which a person keeps his assets and pays creditors according to an approved plan.

Chapter 7 bankruptcy-A type of bankruptcy in which a person's assets are liquidated (collected and sold) and the proceeds are distributed to the creditors.

Character evidence-Proof or testimony given about another person's moral standing, general character, and reputation.

Charging lien-Entitles a lawyer who has sued someone on a client's behalf the right to be paid from the proceeds of the lawsuit, if there are any, before the client receives those proceeds.

Charter-A city's organic law, which means the law concerning a city issue will trump a state law governing the same issue.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Chattel-Moveable or transferable property; especially personal property.

Child and Family Investigator (CFI)-A court-appointed expert that investigates and writes a report to the Judge as to the children's best interests in a controversial divorce or custody case.

Child support-Money paid by a parent to the person with custody of the child(ren) to help meet the financial needs of their child(ren).

Child Support Enforcement (CSE)-A government agency that assists in collecting current and past-due child support. CSE also helps in establishing new support orders and paternity.
Circumstantial evidence-Evidence that implies something occurred but does not directly prove it.

Citation-An order to appear in court at a certain place and time. Issuance of a citation is not an arrest.

Civil action-A non-criminal proceeding designed to resolve disputes between individuals and/or businesses.

Civil Protection Orders: (Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil Protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365).

Civil Union-Civil union" means a relationship established by two eligible persons that entitles them to receive the benefits and protections and be subject to the responsibilities of spouses. C.R.S. 14-15-103

Claim-To demand or assert as a right. Facts that combine to give rise to a legally enforceable right or judicial action. Demand for relief. A claim is something that one party owes another. Someone may make a legal claim for money, or property.

Claimant-A person or entity to whom the decedent of the estate has a financial or other obligation also known as a *creditor*.

Class action suit-A lawsuit in which one or more parties file a complaint on behalf of themselves and all other people who are "similarly situated" (suffering from the same problem).
Often used when a large number of people have comparable claims.

Clear and convincing evidence-Evidence indicating that the thing to be proved is highly probably or reasonably certain. This requires more than a "preponderance of the evidence" but less than "beyond a reasonable doubt."

Clerk and Recorder-The Clerk and Recorder issues and records marriage licenses, records all real estate transactions, issues liquor licenses, registers voters, manages all primary,

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

general and county elections, and sometimes city and school district elections. The Clerk and Recorder also operates the Motor Vehicle Divisions in the State of Colorado for titling vehicles and issuing license plates. There is a Clerk and Recorder in each county in Colorado.

Closing arguments-The final statements in a trial arguing for the evidence you presented or against the evidence the other side presented. This is your final chance to persuade the Judge or jury.

Co-petitioner-A person who jointly completes, signs, and files a written Petition, or a written application to the Court with the Petitioner, asking for specific action to be taken.

Coercion-Compulsion by physical force or threat of physical force; an act, such as signing a will under coercion, is not legally valid.

Cohabitation-A living arrangement in which an unwed couple live together in an intimate relationship that resembles a marriage.

Collateral-An asset that a borrower agrees to give up if he or she fails to repay a loan.

Collateral estoppel-In civil litigation, an affirmative defense to a claim that bars a party from relitigating an issue determined in an earlier action, even if the two actions significantly differ from one another.

Collusion-A secret agreement between two or more persons, who seem to have conflicting interests, to abuse the law or the legal system, deceive a court or to defraud a third party.

Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct-The rules of conduct that govern the legal profession. The rules contain general ethical guidelines and specific rules written by the American Bar Association and adopted by the Colorado Supreme Court.

Comity-A code of etiquette that governs the interactions of courts in different states, localities and foreign countries. Courts generally agree to defer scheduling a trial if the same issues are being tried in a court in another jurisdiction. In addition, courts in this country agree to recognize and enforce the valid legal contracts and court orders of other countries.

Common law marriage-A marriage that takes legal effect, without license or ceremony, when a couple live together as husband and wife, intend to be married and hold themselves out to others as a married couple.

Community property-Property purchased or received by a couple during their marriage.

Comparative negligence-Also called comparative fault. The doctrine by which acts of opposing parties are compared in fault on a percentage basis. A party who is 50 percent or more at fault cannot recover. A party who is less than 50 percent at fault may recover, but at the reduced percentage.

Compensatory damages-Money awarded to reimburse actual costs, such as medical bills and lost wages. Also awarded for things that are harder to measure, such as pain and suffering.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Complainant-In civil cases, the person who initiates a lawsuit. In criminal cases, the chief or only witness for the prosecution may sometimes be referred to as the complainant.

Complaint-In a civil action, the document that initiates a lawsuit. The complaint outlines the alleged facts and reason for the case. In a criminal action, a complaint is the preliminary charges filed against a defendant, usually filed by the police or court.

Concurrent jurisdiction-A situation where more than one court has jurisdiction over the same subject matter. Usually the first court that takes the case obtains jurisdiction.

Condemnation-A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).

Confidentiality-The state of having the release of certain information restricted. It is the relationship between a lawyer and a client, guardian and ward, or between spouses, with regard to the trust that is placed in the one by the other.

Conflict of interest-A conflict of interest occurs when a person or organization has a responsibility to two or more people or organizations at the same time, but doing the best thing for one may harm the other.

Contempt of court-A process brought before the Court to enforce non-compliance the existing order by one or more of the parties.

Continuance-The postponement of a court case for another time or day.

Contract-An agreement between two or more parties creating obligations enforceable or otherwise recognizable at law.

Contributory negligence-In any action based upon the negligence of the defendant, the plaintiff's own contributory negligence may either defeat or reduce the amount of recovery.

Conviction-A finding that the defendant is guilty in a criminal case.

Copyright-A person's property right to prevent others from copying works that they have written, authored or otherwise created.

Corroborating evidence-Additional evidence which strengthens or confirms already existing evidence.

Counterclaim-After a lawsuit is filed, a claim for relief brought by a defendant against the plaintiff, particularly a claim in opposition to or as a setoff against the plaintiff's claim.

County Court Counter Claim: A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Court of record-Any court that makes a record of the proceedings.

County Felony-Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the county court level before being bound over (sent) to district court.

Covenant- Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowner's associations.

Creditor-A person or entity to whom the decedent of the estate has a financial or other obligation also known as a *claimant*.

Cross-claim-A claim by one defendant against another defendant.

Cross-examination-Questioning of the other party's witness.

Custodian-The person appointed to manage and dispense funds for a child without constricting court supervision and accounting requirements.

Custody-In family law cases, it is also known as allocation of parental responsibilities, and refers to decision-making and parenting time. In criminal cases, this means the restraint of a person's freedom in any significant way. Someone in jail is considered to be "in custody".

Cyberstalking-The act of threatening, harassing or annoying someone throughout cyberspace, with the intent of placing the recipient in fear that the person threatening will injure that person or the person's family or household.

Damages-The monetary compensation which may be recovered by a party for personal injury, or loss or damage to one's property or rights as a result of another party's unlawful act or negligence.

Debtor-A person who owes money, goods or services to another.

Decedent-A person who has passed away.

Declaratory Judgment- A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.

Decree-The final order of the Court that disposes of or ends the marriage or legal separation proceedings.

Deed-A written legal document that describes a piece of property and outlines its boundaries. The seller of a property transfers ownership by delivering the deed to the buyer in exchange for an agreed upon sum of money.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Default-If the defendant does NOT appear at the time of the hearing or file an answer, the Court may enter “default” or “failure to appear/answer” which entitles the plaintiff to all relief asked for in the complaint (i.e. money, possession of property, etc.).

Default judgment-When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, she/he is in default and a judgment by default may be entered by the clerk of court.

Defendant-The person that is being sued or the person charged with a crime in a criminal case.

Dependency and Neglect- A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of their parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).

Depose-To make a deposition, to give evidence in the shape of a deposition, to make statements which are written down and sworn to; to give testimony which is reduced to writing by a duly qualified officer and sworn to by the deponent.

Deposition-The testimony of a witness, taken in writing, under oath or affirmation, in answer to questions. This is held out of court with no Judge present, but the answers often can be used as evidence in the trial.

Determination of Interests- A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something. (*e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.*).

Devisee-A person or entity designated in a Will to receive real or personal property.

Diligent efforts-Steady, persistent efforts to locate an individual to complete personal service, including contacting friends, family, business associates; completing an internet search; and attempting personal service by a Process Server, Police Department or Sheriff's Office.

Dismissal with prejudice-A dismissal "with prejudice" bars refiling of the lawsuit or charge.

Dismissal without prejudice-The dismissal of a case while allowing the party to sue again on the same cause of action at some future time.

Disposition- The final settlement of a matter, and with reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.

Dissolution-Often used in divorce cases. Ending a marriage or civil union.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Distraint Warrant- A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding State

Taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.

District Court-District Courts hear civil cases in any amount, as well as domestic relations, criminal, juvenile, probate, and mental health cases. District court decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals (in some cases directly to the Colorado Supreme Court).

Docket-The schedule of court proceedings.

Domicile-The permanent residence of a person, a place to which, even if he/she were temporary absence, they intend to return. In law, it is said that a person may have many residences but only one domicile.

Duces tecum-Latin meaning "bring with you". Used most frequently for a subpoena (as in "subpoena duces tecum") which seeks not the appearance of a person before a court but the surrender of a thing (document or some other evidence) by its holder, to the court, to serve as evidence in a trial.

Due process-A fundamental, constitutional guarantee that all legal proceedings will be fair and that one will be given notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to be heard before the government acts to take away one's life, liberty, or property.

Duress-Where a person is prevented from acting (or not acting) according to their free will, by threats or force or force of another, it is said to be "Under Duress".

Early neutral evaluation-An early intervention in a lawsuit by a court appointed evaluator, to narrow, eliminate, and simplify issues and to assist in case planning and management. Settlement of the case may occur.

Easement-Gives one party the right to go onto another party's property. Utilities often get easements.

Emancipation-The freeing of a minor child from the control or custody of his or her parent(s) and allowing the minor to live on his or her own or under the control of others. It usually applies to adolescents who leave their parents' household by agreement or demand. Emancipation may also end the responsibility of a parent for the acts of a child, including debts, negligence, or criminal acts.

En banc-A session in which the entire membership of the court participates in a decision.

Encumbrance-Any claim or restriction on a property's title.

Enjoin-To order a person to perform, or to abstain and desist from performing a specified act or course of conduct.

Escrow-Money or documents, such as a deed or title, held by a third party until the conditions of an agreement are met. For instance, pending the completion of a real estate transaction, the deed to the property will be held "in escrow."

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Estate-All property owned by a person on the date of death that is subject to probate.

Eviction-Legally removing someone from a property, like an apartment or house, because they aren't paying rent or aren't following the rules in a lease.

Evidence-The testimony, writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses that are offered to prove the existence or nonexistence of a fact.

Ex parte-Latin that means "by or for one party." A proceeding in which only one party takes part.

Exemplified-Copy of a court document with court seals from the out-of-state Court verifying the authenticity of the document.

Exhibit-A paper document or other physical object or photograph introduced into evidence to prove or deny a claim during a trial, hearing, or deposition.

Exigent circumstances-Emergency conditions.

Expedited Placement- A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).

Expert witness-A witness with a specialized knowledge of a subject who is allowed to discuss an event in court even though he or she was not present.

Expunge-To physically erase, to white or strike out. To "expunge" something from a court record means to remove every reference to it. In juvenile delinquency records, "such records are deemed never to have existed".

Extortion-Forcing a person to give up property through the use of violence, fear or under pretense of authority.

Fact-finder-The person or persons who make(s) determinations regarding the facts that are disputed by the parties in a case. When a trial involves a jury, the jurors are the fact-finders. If there is no jury, then the judge or magistrate is the fact-finder.

Fact-finding-An investigation of a dispute by a neutral third party who examines the issues and facts in a case, and who may or may not recommend settlement procedures.

Family Court Facilitator-An individual who assists with domestic relations cases and conducts Initial Status Conferences. The Family Court Facilitator can help you understand what you need to do during your case, answer questions, and give you instructions about the next steps in your case. The Family Court Facilitator cannot give legal advice.

Family Support Registry-The central payment processing center for the State of Colorado for child support and maintenance (spousal/partner support)

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Felony-A crime punishable by imprisonment or by death in a state penal institution.

Fiduciary-A person having a legal relationship of trust and confidence to another and having a duty to act primarily for the other's benefit, e.g., a guardian or trustee.

File-To deposit with the clerk of the court a written complaint or petition which is the opening step in a lawsuit and subsequent documents, including an answer, motions, petitions, orders etc.

A record of the court. A paper is said to be **filed** when it is delivered to the court to be kept on file as a matter of record and reference.

Forcible Entry and Detainer-Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased.

Foreclosure-When a borrower cannot repay a loan on a piece of property, like a house or condominium, and the lender asks the court for an order to force the sale of the property.

Foreclosure other than Rule 120- A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).

Foreign judgment-Any judgment, decree, or order of a court of the United States or of any other courts outside of Colorado that should be honored and given effect by Colorado courts. (Civil protection orders issued by other states are not considered foreign judgments and have their own process and rules for enforcement, found in C.R.S. § 13-14-104.)

Forgery-A false document, signature, or other imitation of an object of value used with the intention to deceive another into believing it is the real thing.

Formal-Opening an estate after prior notice to Interested Persons.

Fraud-Intentionally deceiving someone and causing that person to suffer a loss.

Fugitive-A person who runs away to avoid arrest, prosecution or imprisonment.

Gag order-A judge's order prohibiting the attorneys and parties in a pending lawsuit or criminal prosecution from talking about the case to the media or the public.

Garnishee-The person or entity (often a bank or employer) that receives a court order garnishing wages or funds it owes to a debtor.

Garnishment-A court-ordered procedure for taking money or property from someone to satisfy a debt. For example, a debtor's wages might be garnished to pay child support, back taxes, or a lawsuit judgment.

Good faith-Honestly and without deception.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Goods Sold and Delivered- A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services, but has failed to pay for them.

Grand jury-A panel of members of the public chosen from regular jury pool lists. This panel determines whether there is enough evidence to charge someone for a serious crime. Any charges issued by a grand jury are called indictments.

Green card-Also known as a permanent resident card. A Green Card holder is an immigrant who has become a lawful permanent resident of the U.S., and who can work legally, travel abroad and return, apply for permission for his/her spouse and children to immigrate to the U.S., and become eligible for citizenship.

Gross negligence-Refers to actions or inactions where there was a failure to use even the slightest amount of care in a way that shows recklessness or willful disregard for the safety of others.

Guardian-A person who is trusted by law with the care of another person or property of another, or both, as a minor or someone legally incapable of managing his or her own affairs.

Guardian ad litem-A court-appointed representative who is to defend or protect the interest of a person under legal disability, such as a child or incompetent individual involved in a legal court proceeding.

Guardianship-The office, duty, or authority of a guardian.

Habeas corpus-Latin phrase meaning "you have the body". A Writ of Habeas Corpus is an order to bring a person before the court.

Habitual offender-Also known as a "recidivist." A person who is convicted and sentenced for multiple crimes over a period of time and even after serving sentences of incarceration, demonstrating a tendency toward criminal conduct.

Harassment-Used in variety of legal contexts to describe words, gestures, and actions which tend to annoy, alarm and abuse (verbally) another person.

Hearing-Any proceeding before a judge or other magistrate without a jury in which evidence and/or argument is presented to determine some issue of fact or both issues of fact and law. While technically a trial with a judge sitting without a jury fits the definition, a hearing usually refers to brief sessions involving a specific question at some time prior to the trial itself.

Hearsay-A written or spoken statement that was made outside of the court by someone other than the person testifying about that statement.

Heir-Person entitled to the property of the Decedent under statutes of Intestate Succession.

Heirs-Persons who are entitled by law to inherit the property of the deceased if there is no will specifying how the property should be divided.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Holographic will-An un-witnessed handwritten will. A few states, including Colorado, allow such documents to be admitted to probate, but most courts are very reluctant to accept them.

Homicide-The killing of one human being by the act, procurement, or omission of another. A person is guilty of criminal homicide if he purposely, knowingly, recklessly or negligently causes the death of another human being. Criminal homicide is murder, manslaughter or negligent homicide.

Hostile witness-A witness who testifies against the party who has called the person to testify. The hostile witness may be asked leading questions, as in cross-examination.

Hung jury-A divided jury that cannot agree on a unanimous verdict.

Illicit-Not permitted or allowed; unlawful.

Immaterial-Not essential or necessary, not important or pertinent; without weight; of no significance.

Immunity-Freedom from duty or penalty; exemption, as from serving in an office or performing duties that the law generally requires other citizens to perform.

Impanel-All the steps of determining and forming the jury in the trial of a particular case.

Impaired mental condition-A condition of the mind, caused by mental disease or defect, which does not rise to the level of insanity but prevents the person from forming the mental state required to find him or her guilty of the crime. If he or she is found not guilty because of impaired mental condition, they may be committed to the Department of Institutions.

Impeach-To accuse or deny or contradict. To call into question the truthfulness of a witness through other evidence that shows that the witness should not be believed.

Implied consent laws-Laws adopted by all states that apply to testing for alcohol in the blood, breath or urine. The principle underlying these laws is that any licensed driver who operates a vehicle has consented to submit to approved tests to show intoxication.

In camera-In chambers; in private. A cause is said to be heard *in camera* either when the hearing is had before the judge in his/her private room or when all spectators are excluded from the courtroom.

Inadmissible-Information which, under the established rules of evidence, cannot be admitted or received by the court.

Incapacitated person-A person who is unable to effectively receive and/or evaluate information or make or communicate decisions to such a degree that the individual lacks the ability to take care of his/her basic needs of physical health, safety or self-care.

Incarceration-Imprisonment or confinement in a jail or prison.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Incest-Any person who knowingly marries, inflicts sexual penetration, or sexual intrusion on an ancestor or descendant, including a natural child, child by adoption, or stepchild twenty-one years of age or older, a brother or sister of the whole or half blood, or an uncle, aunt, nephew or niece of whole blood.

Incoming Registration of Support-A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he/she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments.

Incompetent-When someone is unable or unqualified to do something. The term also refers to someone who lacks the legal ability to stand trial or to testify, i.e., unqualified to testify.

Indictment-The formal written accusation of a crime made by a grand jury and presented to a court for prosecution against the accused person; the act or process of preparing or bringing the formal written accusation.

Indigent-A person meeting certain standards of poverty and, thereby, qualifying for waiver of fees or court-appointed counsel.

Informal-Opening an estate without prior notice of Interested Persons.

Informed consent-A person's agreement to allow something to happen or made with full knowledge of the risks involved and the alternatives.

Infraction-A violation, usually of a rule or local ordinance and usually not punishable by jail time.

Infringement-An act that interferes with one of the exclusive rights of a patent, copyright or trademark owner.

Initial Status Conference-A date for you to come to court to meet with a Family Court Facilitator who will help you understand what you need to do during your case, answer your questions, and give you instructions about the next steps in your case. However, you will not be given any legal advice.

Injunctive Relief- Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65).

Injunction-A court order directing a person to keep oneself from doing something or ordering the person to do something.

Intent-The state of mind accompanying an act, especially a forbidden act. While motive is the reason for doing some act, intent is the mental resolution or determination to do it.

Interested persons-Person identified by Colorado Law who must be given notice of a court proceeding. The term may include heirs, children, spouse, devisees, beneficiaries, creditors,

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

claimants and persons having priority to serve as personal representative, depending on the circumstances.

Interlocutory-Refers to an order, judgment or appeal, that is temporary and issued or taken while the case is still pending and is not final.

Interrogatories-A written set of questions, submitted to an opposing party in a lawsuit as part of discovery, or served on a judgment debtor by a judgment creditor trying to collect a debt. Interrogatories must be answered in writing and under oath.

Intervenor-A person who voluntarily enters into an action or other proceedings with the approval of the court.

Intestate-An estate in which the person (decedent) did not live a will.

Intestate formal-Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.

Intestate Informal- Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.

Intestate succession-By Colorado law, a list of who will inherit the property when someone dies without a will.

Irrevocable trust-A trust that cannot be terminated by the settlor (the person who created the trust) once it's created.

Jeopardy-The risk of conviction and punishment that a criminal defendant faces at trial.

Joinder-The combining of parties or claims in a single lawsuit. Joinder can be either necessary or permissive.

Joint tenancy-Ownership by two or more people of the same property. This property may be real estate, cash or other items.

Judgment-A court's final determination of the rights and obligations of the parties in a case. The term includes a decree and any order that can be appealed.

Judgment creditor-The person(s), company or group who should receive a specific amount of money according to the Court's order.

Judgment debtor-The person(s), company or group who owes a specific amount of money according to the Court's order.

Jurisdiction-A court's power to decide a case or issue a decree.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Jury-A group of persons selected according to law and given the power to decide questions of fact and return a verdict in a court case.

Justice-The fair and proper administration of laws. It can also refer to a judge, especially of an appellate court.

Juvenile-A person who has not reached the age of majority, usually 18.

Juvenile delinquent-An underage person that has committed a crime in states where by law a minor lacks responsibility and may not be sentenced as an adult.

Legal Separation-A court order granting the right to live apart, with the rights and obligations of divorced persons, but without divorce. The order can include issues relating to child custody, alimony, child support, division of property and debts.

Letters-A document issued by the Court, identifying the authority of the Personal Representative.

Levy-A legal process to obtain property or cash from the judgment debtor to satisfy a judgment.

Liability-Any legal responsibility, duty or obligation.

Lien-A claim that a person has upon the property of another as security for a debt owed.

Litigant-A party to a lawsuit, one who is engaged in litigation, usually referred to active parties in a case.

Litigation-A lawsuit, legal action, including all proceedings therein.

Magistrate-A person other than a judge authorized by Colorado law or by Colorado court rules to make orders or judgments in court proceedings, like trials or hearings.

Maintenance-Maintenance is the new term for "alimony" or "spousal/partner support". Maintenance is financial support paid to a former spouse or partner.

Mandate-A command, order or direction, written or spoken which the court is authorized to give and a person is must obey.

May-In legal terms, "may" is defined as "optional" or "can".

Mechanics' Lien-Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.).

Mediation-A trained neutral person helps people involved in a court case reach their own solution.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Minor-A person who does not have the legal rights of an adult. Someone who has not yet reached the age of majority which in most states is the age of 18.

Misdemeanor- A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.

Mistrial-A trial ended before a verdict is reached because of a basic error that is harmful to the defendant.

Modification-Any change to a current court order or decree.

Money- A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.

Money judgment-Part of a judgment that requires the payment of money by one party (the judgment debtor) to another (the judgment creditor).

Moot-An issue which no longer is important.

Motion-A written or oral request a party makes to the Court for a specified ruling or order.

Motion in limine-A written motion which is usually made before or after the beginning of a jury trial for a protective order against extremely harmful evidence.

Motion to suppress-A motion asking that allegedly secured illegal evidence be left out of the trial.

Nominee-The person seeking appointment as Personal Representative.

Notary Public-A person authorized by the state in which the person resides to certify documents. The signature and seal or stamp of a notary public is necessary to attest to the oath of truth of a person making an affidavit and to attest that a person has acknowledged that he/she executed a deed, power of attorney or other document, and is required for recording in public records

Note- A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.

Oath-A serious affirmation or promise to tell the truth or to take a certain action.

Order-A formal written direction given by a judge or magistrate.

Outgoing Registration of UIFSA- An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that they want to have enforced in another state.

Parental responsibility-This term includes both parenting time and decision-making responsibilities regarding the children. (The term "Custody" is no longer used.)

Parental Rights-In the State of Colorado the freedom to decide who cares for children and how. Also includes the freedom to decide how to spend time with a son or daughter, including

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

activities and time, in order to raise a child. Decisions about school, religion, and medical treatment are included.

Parenting plan-A written document that identifies decision-making responsibilities, parenting time, relocation, child support, and child tax exemption relating to the children in a divorce or custody case.

Parenting time-Also known as "**Visitation**". The right of a parent to spend time with their children by order of the Court.

Party-One of the participants in a lawsuit or other legal proceeding who has an interest in the outcome.

Paternity-A specific man being the natural or biological father of a child.

Payable on Death (POD)-A bank account that names a specific person as beneficiary of all funds once the account holder dies. Probate is not necessary.

Permanent Protection Order (PPO)-An order granting continuous protection to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm, domestic abuse, emotional abuse and stalking.

Person in Interest-Property owner or other individual or group named as the landlord on a lease or person who has some sort of involvement or investment in the rental or home.

Personal representative-A person at least 21, resident or non-resident of Colorado, who has been appointed to administer the estate of the Decedent and may also be referred to as an Executor/Executrix.

Personal Service-Delivering a summons, complaint, or other legal document which must be served by handing it directly to the person named in the document.

Petition-A written application to the Court asking for specific action to be taken.

Petitioner-A person who is completing and filing a written Petition (application) with the Court

In Probate: A person who files a Petition for Formal Appointment of Personal Representative and/or Determination of Heirs.

Plaintiff-The person who starts a lawsuit. This person may also be known as "claimant", "petitioner", or "applicant".

Pleading-The formal presentation of claims and defenses by parties to a lawsuit. The specific papers by which the allegations of parties to a lawsuit are presented in proper form; specifically, the complaint of a plaintiff and the answer of a defendant plus any additional responses to those papers that are authorized by law.

Power of Attorney-A written document in which one person appoints another person to act as an agent on his or her behalf, giving authority to perform certain acts or functions.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Prenuptial agreement-A written agreement setting out terms of the relationship for the division of property and financial issues for a couple who are about to live together or marry should the relationship or marriage later be dissolved.

Pro bono-For the good; used to describe work or services done or performed free of charge.

Pro se- (pronounced pro say) Latin phrase that means "for himself." A person who represents himself in court alone without the help of a lawyer is said to appear pro se.

Probate-A type of court case or area of law that focuses on guardianships, conservatorships, or division of a person's property after death.

Process Server-A person who serves or delivers legal paperwork.

Promissory note-A written document in which a borrower agrees (promises) to pay back money to a lender according to specified terms

Public Administrator Statement-A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.

Public Notice-Document which is submitted to the local newspaper (that must meet the qualifications of a legal publication) to publish notice of a requested name change.

Public Trustee-In Colorado, an individual appointed by the Governor that keeps deeds of trusts related to real estate, handles the sale of foreclosed real estate, and collects taxes related to real estate. A public trustee is appointed in each county or the treasurer in the county acts as public trustee.

Public Utilities Commission- A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.).

Publication-A method of providing legal notice, conveying or making information generally known to the public, usually by means of an approved newspaper in the appropriate county or district.

Real property-Land and all the things that are attached to it, like a garage or barn. Anything that is not real property is personal property. Personal property is anything that isn't nailed down, dug into, or built onto the land. A house is real property, but a dining room set is not.

Registered Agent-A person authorized to accept Service of Process for a corporation.

Registration of Foreign Decree- When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.).

Replevin-Legal way to get property back from a person who has wrongfully taken or kept property. You must have had or have the right to keep to the property.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Respondent-A person who has been named in a court case, and was served legal documents that were started and given to the Court by another person, known as the “Petitioner”. If the Respondent wishes to have a say in the case they must file or give a response to the Court.

Return of Service-Written proof under oath by a process server saying that they delivered legal documents (such as a summons and complaint). "Return of Service" is also referred to as "Proof of Service".

Right of Survivorship-When property is owned by two or more people and one of the owners dies, his or her share goes to the remaining owners without needing to open a probate case.

Rule 105 Quiet Title- Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).

Rule 106 Writs/Contempt-A civil case brought in district court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.

Rule 120 Deed of Trust- A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).

Satisfaction of Judgment-The document stating the Judgment Debtor has satisfied (paid) the judgment. If the judgment has been paid, this document must be filed by the Judgment Creditor with the Clerk of Court in order to remove the judgment from credit reports as being unpaid. The satisfaction can be “Full” or “Partial”.

Security Agreement-A contract between a lender and borrower that states that the lender can repossess the property a person has offered as collateral if the loan is not paid as agreed.

Self-represented Litigant-Also known as a Pro Se Party. A person who represents himself or herself in court without the help of a lawyer.

Separation Agreement-Written arrangements concerning custody or parental obligation, child support, spousal maintenance (alimony), and property division made by a married couple who are usually about to obtain a divorce or legal separation

Serve-To give court paperwork that starts a court case or is part of a court case to the person that is being sued or the other person in the case. This is done by a person who isn't involved in the case or a sheriff or private process server. The person starting the case **cannot** deliver the paperwork.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Service of Process

The official act by which a party is notified that a court action has been filed against them. They are personally served with a copy of the document(s) filed and information as to the steps they should take in order to respond to the court action.

Services Rendered- A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.

Settle-To reach an agreement.

Settlement-The resolution or compromise by the parties in a civil lawsuit.

Settlement Conference-An informal assessment and negotiation session conducted by a legal professional who hears both sides of the case, may advise the parties on the law and precedent relating to the dispute, and suggest a settlement. An informal assessment and negotiation session conducted by a legal professional who hears both sides of the case, may advise the parties on the law and precedent relating to the dispute, and suggest a settlement.

Shall-In legal terms, “shall” is defined as “required”.

Single Transaction-A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.

Special Administrator Formal- A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on Petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.).

Special Administrator Informal-A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.

Special District- Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.).

Specific Performance-A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.

Statute of Limitations-Laws setting deadlines for filing lawsuits within a certain time after events occur that are the source of a claim.

Stay-An order stopping a judicial proceeding or execution of a judgment.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Stipulation-An agreement by opposing lawyers on any matter. Most stipulations must be in writing.

Strict Liability-A concept applied by courts in product liability cases in which a seller is liable for any and all defective or hazardous products that unduly threaten a consumer's personal safety.

Subpoena-An order to a witness to appear and testify at a specified time and place.

Subpoena Duces Tecum-Latin meaning, "bring with you". Subpoena duces tecum seeks not the appearance of a person before a court but the surrender of a thing (document or some other evidence) by its holder, to the court, to serve as evidence in a trial.

Summation-The closing argument in a trial.

Summons-(1)An order to a sheriff or other officer to notify a named person that a civil action has been commenced against him or her and that he or she is required to appear within a specified period and answer the complaint.(2) A written order or notice directing that a person appear before a designated court at a stated time and place and answer to a charge against him or her. (3) The document initiates all civil law suits and is referred to as process.

Supersedeas Bond-A bond required of a party who asks to set aside a judgment or execution and from which the other party may be paid if the action is unsuccessful.

Sworn Financial Statement-A written document that contains financial information to include monthly income, expenses, debts, and value of assets.

Temporary Injunction-An automatic Court order in place until the Decree is entered or until further order of the Court. The order prevents the transfer of property, ending of insurance coverage, etc. without the permission of the other person in the case.

Temporary Order-Written paperwork from the Court, short-term, to deal with issues such as spousal maintenance (alimony), child support, or financial responsibilities until the final decree of divorce is made.

Temporary Protection Order (TPO)-Short-term written paperwork from the court granted without notice or hearing. A Temporary Protection Order (TPO) keeps one party from the other until the court can hear more evidence and decide whether to issue a permanent protection order.

Tenants in Common-Property that is owned by two or more people. When one person dies his or her part of the property goes to his or her estate rather than to the remaining co-owners. A probate case may be necessary.

Termination (terminated case)-A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.

Testate-Estate in which the person who died left a will.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Testate Formal- A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).

Testate Informal- A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.

Testator-The person who makes a will.

Testimony-The statements made by a witness, under oath, usually related to a legal proceeding; evidence given by a witness under oath or affirmation, as distinguished from evidence from writing and other sources.

Tort-An injury or wrong committed, with or without force, against someone or their property.

Transcript of Judgment-A one-page document from the Court that gives the name of the Judgment Debtor (person or company who owes money), Judgment Creditor (the person or company who is owed money) and the date and amount of the judgment.

Transferable on Death (TOD)-Naming beneficiaries to receive your money and property when you die without filing a probate case.

Traverse-A denial; where a Defendant denies any important claim of fact in the Plaintiff's statement.

Trust-Property given to a trustee to manage for the benefit of another person. Generally, the beneficiary gets interest and dividends on the trust assets for a set number of years.

Trustee-Person or group that supervises and manages a trust.

Uniform Veterans Guardianship-Act: A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veteran's Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.

Valid Claim-A grievance that can be resolved by legal action.

Verdict-The opinion rendered by a jury, or a judge where there is no jury, on a question of fact. A verdict differs from a judgment in that a verdict is not a judicial determination, but is a finding of fact.

Vicarious Liability-When one person is liable for the negligent actions of another person, even though the first person was not directly responsible for the injury. For instance, a parent sometimes can be vicariously liable for the harmful acts of a child and an employer sometimes can be vicariously liable for the acts of a worker.

*This is not an exhaustive list.

Trial Courts Glossary of Terms*

Victim-Any person aggrieved by the conduct of an offender. For a complete listing of who may be considered a victim, please see Section 18-1.3-602(4) of the Colorado Revised Statutes.

Wage execution-The act of taking a person's wages to satisfy a judgment. Also known as garnishment.

Waiver-The intentional and voluntary relinquishment of a legal right.

Waiver of Service-A voluntary acceptance of service by the Respondent giving up his or her right to proof of service or service of future court documents or notices of hearings from the Petitioner.

Warrant-An order issued by the court ordering any peace officer to arrest the person named or described in the order.

Warrantless Arrest-An arrest of a person without a warrant. It is generally permissible if the arresting officer has reasonable grounds to believe that the person has committed a felony or if the person has committed a misdemeanor amounting to a breach of the peace.

Witness-One who testifies under oath as to what she/he has seen, heard or otherwise observed.

Writ-An order issued from a court requiring the performance of a specified act, or giving authority to have it done.

Writ of Attachment-A writ used to enforce obedience to an order or judgment of the court by taking property.

Writ of Certiorari-An order by the appellate court that is used when the court has discretion on whether or not to hear an appeal. If the writ is denied, the court refused to hear the appeal and, in effect, the judgment below stands unchanged. If the writ is granted, then it has the effect of ordering the lower court to certify the record and send it up to the higher court that will use its discretion to hear the case.

Writ of Execution-An order from the court to put in force the judgment or decree of a court by taking property of the person who owes money to pay a debt.

Writ of Habeas Corpus- Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined.

*This is not an exhaustive list.