

Colorado Judicial Branch



Annual Statistical Report
Fiscal Year 2009

SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO

STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
2 EAST 14TH AVENUE
DENVER, COLORADO 80203-2116

(303) 837-3771

MARY J. MULLARKEY
CHIEF JUSTICE

Each year the Colorado Judicial Branch presents its annual statistical report to the citizens of Colorado. As Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court, I am pleased to present our report for Fiscal Year 2009. This report reflects the efforts of the courts to implement the United States and Colorado Constitutions and laws by fully and fairly resolving disputes of all kinds.

This statistical report provides a detailed look at the cases filed and resolved in our courts during the fiscal year that ended on June 30, 2009. It presents detailed data of court activities at the appellate, district, and county court levels, as well as in probation services. Information is presented by category of court filings and probation caseloads, as well as location of filings.

The economic difficulties that struck Colorado and the rest of the nation in the past fiscal year have prompted the Judicial Branch to take numerous cost-saving steps, almost all of which – because of the nature of our branch of government – have affected personnel. For example, working with the General Assembly, we have delayed implementation of new judgeships authorized in 2007 and through a hiring freeze have kept open hundreds of positions representing a savings of more than \$12 million.

At the same time, the numbers of cases filed in our trial courts declined very slightly from Fiscal Year 2008, while case filings in our appellate courts were either flat or slightly increased.

Overall trial court filings brought to our courts in FY 2009 numbered 280,306 criminal and 449,039 civil cases. On the appellate level, 1,643 cases were filed with the Supreme Court, which issued 110 opinions, while the Court of Appeals issued 2,063 published and unpublished opinions with an additional 931 cases either dismissed or transferred to the Supreme Court.

Colorado's credit rating has remained strong. In the early part of Fiscal Year 2010, we secured financing to ensure the completion of the new Ralph L. Carr Justice Complex by 2014. The use of financial instruments and interest-rate subsidies available through the U.S. Recovery Act will cut \$77 million from the overall cost of the justice complex and an associated project, the new Colorado History Center.

As Chief Justice, I will continue to work to ensure a judicial branch that is responsive to the needs of the public and to promote the public's understanding of and confidence in the Colorado courts.

Sincerely,



Mary J. Mullarkey
Chief Justice

Table of Contents

Foreword	i
Judicial District Map	ii
Organization Chart	iii

I. Supreme Court

List of Supreme Court Justices	1
Narrative	3
Table 1 Supreme Court Caseload, FY 1978 to FY 2009.....	5
Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court, FY 1988 to FY 2009	6
Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court, FY 2003 to FY 2009	6
Table 4 Distribution of Case Terminations, FY 1988 to FY 2009	7
Table 5 Supreme Court Written Opinions, FY 2003 to FY 2009	7
Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue, End of FY 2009	8
Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue, End of FY 2009	8

II. Court of Appeals

List of Court of Appeals Judges	9
Narrative	11
Table 8 Court of Appeals Caseload, FY 1973 to FY 2009	13
Table 9 Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change for FY 2008 and FY 2009....	14
Table 10 Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change for FY 2008 and FY 2009.....	14

III. District Court

List of District Court Judges	15
Narrative	17
Chart 1&2 Filing Comparison, FY 2000 and FY 2009	19
Table 11 District Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year	21
Table 12 District Court Caseload, FY 2000 to FY 2009	22
Table 13 District Court Filings and Percent Change, FY 2008 to FY 2009	23
Table 14 District Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2009	24-27
Table 15a Court and Jury Trials for District Court for FY 2009	28-29
Table 15b Domestic Relations Hearings, FY2009	30-31
Table 15c Mental Health Hearings, FY2009	32-33
Table 15d Probate Hearings, FY2009.....	34-35
Table 16 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009	36-49
Table 17 Domestic Relations Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009	50-53
Table 18 Criminal Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009.....	54-65
Table 19 Juvenile Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009.....	66-73
Table 20 Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009	74-83
Table 21 Mental Health Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009.....	84-85
Table 22 Probate Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009	86-93

IV. County Court

List of County Court Judges	95
Narrative	97
Chart 3&4 Filing Comparison, FY 2000 and FY 2009	99
Table 23 County Court Filings and Terminations Compared to Previous Year	101
Table 24 County Court Caseload FY 2000 to FY 2009.....	102
Table 25 County Court Filings and Percent Change from FY 2008 to FY 2009	103
Table 26 County Court Filings and Terminations for FY 2009	104-107
Table 27 Court and Jury Trials for County Court for FY 2009.....	108-109
Table 28 DUI/DWAI Filings by District for FY 2000 to FY 2009.....	110
Table 29 Civil Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009.....	111-112
Table 30 Misdemeanor Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009	113-120

Table 31	Small Claims Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009.....	121-122
Table 32	Traffic Filings by Type of Case for FY 2009.....	123-124

V. Water Court

List of Water Court Judges.....	125
Narrative.....	127
Table 33 Filings, Claims and Percent Change for FY 2008 and 2009.....	129

VI. Office of Dispute Resolution

Narrative.....	131
Table 33 ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage, FY 2009.....	133
Table 34 ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type, FY 2009.....	134
Table 35 Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type, FY 2009.....	135

VII. Financial Information

Narrative.....	137
Table 37 Colorado Judicial Branch, Collections.....	138
Chart 5 Judicial Restitution, FY 2000 to FY 2009.....	139
Chart 6 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2009 Statewide Total Appropriations.....	141
Chart 7 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2009 Judicial Appropriation by Agency.....	141
Chart 8 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2009 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program.....	141
Chart 9 Colorado Judicial Branch, FY 2009 Judicial Appropriation by Major Cost Category.....	141

VIII. Colorado Probation

List of Chief Probation Officers.....	143
Narrative.....	145-147
Table 38 Grand Totals – Probation Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations, FY2008 & FY 2009.....	149
<i>Previous Table 38 (Summary of State Regular Probation Supervision and Investigation Caseloads (Adult and Juvenile) FY 2003 to FY 2009) replaced with Table 38</i>	
<i>Previous Table 39 (Summary of Private Probation Non-DUI/DWAI Caseloads, FY 2002 to FY 2009) replaced with Table 38</i>	
Table 39 State and Private Combined (Non-DUI/DWAI) Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations FY2009.....	150

Regular Adult State Probation:

Table 40 Adult Probation: FY 2009 Investigations (<i>the information formerly contained in Table 98 Summary of Colorado DUI/DWAI State Evaluations can now be found in Table 40</i>).....	151
Table 41 Adult Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Offense Type.....	152
Table 42 Adult Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Court of Origin.....	153
Table 43 Adult Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	154
Table 44 Adult Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Gender.....	155
Table 45 Adult Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Age.....	156
Table 46 Adult Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Ethnic Group.....	157
Table 47 Adult Probation: FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	158
Table 48 Adult Probation: FY 2009 All Probation Supervision Closure Types.....	159
Table 49 Adult Probation: FY 2009 Type of Revocation.....	160
Table 50 Adult Probation: FY 2009 Length of Stay.....	161
Table 51 Adult Probation: FY 2009 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009 (State Supervised).....	162
Table 52 Adult Probation: FY 2009 Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009 (State Supervised).....	163
Table 53 Adult Probation: FY 2009 State Active and Private Non-DUI/DWAI clients on June 30, 2009.....	164
Table 54 Adult Probation: FY 2009 State Active and All Private Clients on June 30, 2009.....	165

Private Probation:

Table 55 Private Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	167
Table 56 Private Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Gender.....	168
Table 57 Private Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Age: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	169

Table 58	Private Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Age: DUI/DWAI.....	170
Table 59	Private Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Ethnic Group: Non-DUI/DWAI.....	171
Table 60	Private Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Ethnic Group: DUI/DWAI.....	172
Table 61	Private Probation: FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations...	173
Table 62	Private Probation: FY 2009 All Closure Types: Non-DUI/DWAI	174
Table 63	Private Probation: FY 2009 All Closure Types: DUI/DWAI	175
Table 64	Private Probation: FY 2009 Types of Revocation	176
Table 65	Private Probation: FY 2009 Length of Stay.....	177
Table 66	Private Probation: FY 2009 Active Non-DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009.....	178
Table 67	Private Probation: FY 2009 Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009	179

Regular Juvenile Probation:

Table 68	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 Investigations.....	181
Table 69	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Offense Type.....	182
Table 70	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Supervision Type.....	183
Table 71	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Gender	184
Table 72	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Age	185
Table 73	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 New Clients by Ethnic Group	186
Table 74	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations	187
Table 75	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 All Probation Supervision Closure Types.....	188
Table 76	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 Type of Revocation	189
Table 77	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 Length of Stay	190
Table 78	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009	191
Table 79	Juvenile Probation: FY 2009 Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009	192

Intensive Programs:

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP):

Table 80	FY 2009 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) New Clients by Order Type.....	193
Table 81	FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (AISP).....	194
Table 82	FY 2009 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) Discharges.....	195
Table 83	FY 2009 Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP) Type of Revocation	196

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP):

Table 84	FY 2009 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) New Clients by Order Type.....	197
Table 85	FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (SOISP).....	198
Table 86	FY 2009 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) Discharges.....	199
Table 87	FY 2009 Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP) Type of Revocation	200

Female Offender Program (FOP):

Table 88	FY 2009 Female Offender Program (FOP) New Clients by Order Type.....	201
Table 89	FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (FOP).....	202
Table 90	FY 2009 Female Offender Program (FOP) Discharges.....	203
Table 91	FY 2009 Female Offender Program (FOP) Type of Revocation	204

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP):

Table 92	FY 2009 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) New Clients by Order Type	205
Table 93	FY 2009 Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations (JISP).....	206
Table 94	FY 2009 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) Discharges	207
Table 95	FY 2009 Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP) Type of Revocation	208

Intensive Programs Summary:

Table 96	FY 2009 Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2009.....	209
----------	--	-----

Victim Services:

Table 97	Summary of Victim Services FY 2004 to FY 2009	211
----------	---	-----

Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety Program (ADDS):

Previous Table 94 Summary of Colorado DUI/DWAI State Evaluations information moved to "Adult Probation: FY 2009 Investigations" Table 40

Table 98	ADDS: FY2009 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients.....	213
----------	--	-----

Table 99	ADDS: FY2009 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender.....	214
Table 100	ADDS: FY2009 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group.....	215
Table 101	ADDS: FY2009 New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age.....	216
Table 102	ADDS: FY2009 State Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations.....	217
Table 103	ADDS: FY2009 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Closure Types.....	218
Table 104	ADDS: FY2009 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Type of Revocation.....	219
Table 105	ADDS: FY2009 State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients Length of Stay.....	220
Table 106	ADDS: FY2009 Active State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients on June 30, 2009.....	221

IX. Glossary of Terms

Probation.....	223-224
Supreme Court Glossary of Terms	224-225
Trial Court Glossary of Terms	225-228

Foreword


The Colorado Judicial Branch joins the Legislative and Executive branches to form the government of the State of Colorado. The Judicial Branch is charged with two responsibilities: resolving disputes and supervising offenders on probation.

The Colorado Judicial Department Annual Statistical Report for Fiscal Year 2009 provides information about the Colorado state courts. Profiled in this report are case load statistics for the Colorado Supreme Court, Court of Appeals, District Courts, County Courts, Water Courts, as well as summarized financial information for the Judicial Department.

All references in this report reflect data gathered for Fiscal Year 2009 (July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009), unless otherwise specified. This report can also be viewed by accessing the Colorado Judicial Department Web site at www.courts.state.co.us. If you need additional information regarding this document, please contact the Office of the State Court Administrator, Division of Planning & Analysis or Division of Probation Services at (303) 861-1111.

Organization Chart of the Judicial Department

The Colorado court system consists of the Supreme Court, an intermediate Court of Appeals, district courts and county courts. Each county has both a district court and a county court. Special probate and juvenile courts created by the Colorado Constitution exist in the City and County of Denver. Colorado statutes also authorize locally funded municipal courts with jurisdiction limited to municipal ordinance violations.



1 - Exclusive to the City and County of Denver. In the rest of the state, the district court is responsible for juvenile and probate matters.

2 – The Denver County Court functions as a municipal as well as a county court and is separate from the state court system.

3 – Created and maintained by local government but subject to Supreme Court rules and procedures.

Section I:
Supreme Court



SUPREME COURT

SUPREME COURT JUSTICES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Justice Mary J. Mullarkey: Appointed June 29, 1987,
became Chief Justice: August 3, 1998

Gregory J. Hobbs, Jr.: April 18, 1996

Alex J. Martinez: September 12, 1996

Michael L. Bender: January 2, 1997

Nancy E. Rice: August 5, 1998

Nathan B. Coats: April 24, 2000

Alison Eid: February 15, 2006

Colorado Supreme Court

The Colorado Supreme Court is the state's court of last resort. Its decisions are binding on all County and District Courts, as well as the Court of Appeals.

The Supreme Court is composed of seven justices who serve renewable 10-year terms. The Chief Justice is selected from the membership of the body and serves at the pleasure of a majority of the justices. The Chief Justice also serves as the executive head of the Colorado Judicial Department and is the ex officio chair of the Supreme Court Nominating Commission. The Chief Justice appoints the Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of each of the state's 22 judicial districts, and is vested with the authority to assign judges (active or retired) to perform judicial duties.

Requests to review decisions of the Colorado Court of Appeals constitute a majority of the Supreme Court's filings. The Supreme Court also has direct appellate jurisdiction over cases in which a statute has been held to be unconstitutional, cases involving decisions of the Public Utilities Commission, writs of habeas corpus, cases involving adjudication of water rights, summary proceedings initiated under the Election Code, and prosecutorial appeals concerning search and seizure questions in pending criminal proceedings. All of these appeals are filed directly with the Supreme Court, and, in these cases bypass the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court also has exclusive jurisdiction to promulgate rules governing practice and procedure in civil and criminal actions.

Colorado's attorneys are licensed and disciplined by the Supreme Court. The court's attorney regulation system, funded by attorney registration fees, regulates the profession. In addition, the court oversees the State Court Administrator, Board of Continuing Legal Education, Board of Law Examiners, Commission on Judicial Discipline, and Unauthorized Practice of Law Committee.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are welcome to visit the court. The clerk's office can assist you in planning a court visit. For more information, please contact Susan J. Festag, Clerk of the Colorado Supreme Court, at 2 East 14th Ave., Denver, Colo. 80203; or call (303) 861-1111 or (800) 888-0001.

Case announcements, oral argument schedules, rules, Chief Justice Directives, protocols, links to committees and commissions, and general information about the court is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctindex.htm>.

Table 1

Supreme Court Caseload FY 1978 to FY 2009

Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Total Caseload	Cases Terminated(a)	Cases Pending June 30
1977-78	374	854	1,228	893	335
1978-79	350	941	1,291	877	414
1979-80	414	950	1,364	893	471
1980-81	471	966	1,437	979	458
1981-82	458	1,052	1,510	1,006	504
1982-83	504	971	1,475	1,038	437
1983-84	437	1,069	1,506	950	556
1984-85	556	967	1,523	1,011	512
1985-86	512	988	1,500	973	527
1986-87	527	970	1,497	1,036	461
1987-88	461	1,022	1,483	1,001	482
1988-89	482	1,198	1,680	1,215	465
1989-90	465	1,300	1,765	1,261	504
1990-91	504	1,265	1,769	1,326	443
1991-92	443	1,313	1,756	1,286	470
1992-93	470	1,251	1,721	1,261	460
1993-94	460	1,277	1,737	1,290	447
1994-95	447	1,358	1,805	1,316	489
1995-96	489	1,401	1,890	1,368	522
1996-97	522	1,511	2,033	1,432	601
1997-98	601	1,520	2,121	1,561	560
1998-99	560	1,525	2,085	1,609	476
1999-00	476	1,617	2,093	1,563	530
2000-01	530	1,367	1,897	1,425	472
2001-02	472	1,368	1,840	1,415	425
2002-03	425	1,401	1,826	1,441	385
2003-04	385	1,317	1,702	1,319	383
2004-05	383	1,466	1,849	1,451	398
2005-06	398	1,393	1,791	1,400	391
2006-07	391	1,534	1,925	1,450	475
2007-08	475	1,657	2,132	1,760	372
2008-09	372	1,643	2,015	1,554	461

(a) Terminations include cases transferred to the Court of Appeals.

Table 2 Distribution of Case Filings in the Supreme Court FY 1986 to FY 2009					
Fiscal Year	Direct Filings	Transfers from Court of Appeals	Reopened Cases	Total Filings	
1987-88	1,006	14	2	1,022	
1988-89	1,182	15	1	1,198	
1989-90	1,284	15	1	1,300	
1990-91	1,260	4	1	1,265	
1991-92	1,292	21	0	1,313	
1992-93	1,235	16	0	1,251	
1993-94	1,270	7	0	1,277	
1994-95	1,356	2	0	1,358	
1995-96	1,389	11	1	1,401	
1996-97	1,507	4	0	1,511	
1997-98	1,517	3	0	1,520	
1998-99	1,521	4	0	1,525	
1999-00	1,613	4	0	1,617	
2000-01	1,363	4	0	1,367	
2001-02	1,361	7	0	1,368	
2002-03	1,393	8	0	1,401	
2003-04	1,310	7	0	1,317	
2004-05	1,463	3	0	1,466	
2005-06	1,387	6	0	1,393	
2006-07	1,530	4	0	1,534	
2007-08	1,653	4	0	1,657	
2008-09	1,638	5	0	1,643	

Table 3 Distribution by Type of Case Filed in the Supreme Court FY 2003 to FY 2009							
	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	06-07	07-08	08-09
Criminal Appeals	2	2	2	1	0	2	1
Original Proceedings	250	295	297	305	281	305	269
Petitions in Certiorari	962	822	936	868	1001	1078	1112
Civil Appeals (a)	19	10	17	13	13	10	13
Interlocutories	10	11	11	13	15	25	17
Statutory Reviews (b)	18	29	3	18	8	24	4
Habeas Corpus	17	14	30	19	23	13	16
Bail Reductions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Adversary Sentence Reviews	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Interrogatories	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
Reapportionment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reopened Cases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rule 21.1	3	0	1	3	2	0	0
Judicial Discipline or Disability	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Request for Stay Pending Appeal	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unauthorized Practice	10	19	14	7	5	5	21
Original Proceedings							
In Discipline	23	25	20	17	28	26	16
Disability	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Continuing Legal Education	62	64	106	101	122	136	155
Multi-District Litigation	23	23	29	26	33	33	18
Total	1,401	1,317	1,466	1,393	1,534	1,657	1,643

(a) Includes P.U.C. decisions, water cases, and constitutional questions
(b) Includes Ballot Title Reviews

Fiscal Year	Disposed of by Written Opinion	Disposed of Without Opinion	Total Dispositions	Transferred to Court of Appeals	Total Terminations
1987-88	244	749	993	8	1,001
1988-89	226	976	1,202	13	1,215
1989-90	237	1,008	1,245	16	1,261
1990-91	227	1,086	1,313	13	1,326
1991-92	216	1,058	1,274	12	1,286
1992-93	181	1,059	1,240	21	1,261
1993-94	192	1,093	1,285	5	1,290
1994-95	233	1,077	1,310	6	1,316
1995-96	193	1,176	1,369	1	1,370
1996-97	214	1,212	1,426	6	1,432
1997-98	187	1,372	1,559	2	1,561
1998-99	196	1,413	1,609	6	1,615
1999-00	111	1,452	1,563	0	1,563
2000-01	128	1,291	1,419	6	1,425
2001-02	121	1,287	1,408	7	1,415
2002-03	85	1,354	1,439	2	1,441
2003-04	89	1,228	1,317	2	1,319
2004-05	93	1,357	1,450	1	1,451
2005-06	78	1,321	1,399	1	1,400
2006-07	86	1,361	1,447	3	1,450
2007-08	99	1,658	1,757	3	1,760
2008-09	67	1,484	1,551	3	1,554

	Number of Opinions Announcing Judgment of the Court	Number of Partial Concurrences and Dissents	Number of Dissents	Number of Concurrences & Special Concurrences	Total Number of Written Opinions
2002-03	84	1	29	6	120
2003-04	78	6	22	4	110
2004-05	89	5	34	6	134
2005-06	78	7	18	8	111
2006-07	83	2	19	12	116
2007-08	95	6	32	14	147
2008-09	66	3	33	8	110

*Since FY2001, attorney discipline opinions are issued by the presiding disciplinary judge.

Table 6 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases at Issue End of FY 2009					
	Original Proceedings	Certiorari	Appeals	Grievances	Total
Cases at issue awaiting oral argument	0	4	2	0	6
Cases at issue awaiting submission of briefs	1	0	2	0	3
Cases orally argued awaiting opinion	0	10	2	0	12
Cases submitted without argument	1	1	3	0	5
Opinions announced awaiting action on rehearing	2	5	1	0	8
Total	4	20	10	0	34

Table 7 Distribution of Supreme Court Cases Not at Issue End of FY 2009	
Pending Cases	Total
Certiorari granted, awaiting briefs on merits	27
Certiorari pending	335
Appeals pending	16
Original proceedings pending	5
Interlocutory appeals pending	5
Grievance matters pending	1
Unauthorized practice pending	13
Judicial discipline pending	0
Total	402

Section II: Court of Appeals



COURT OF APPEALS

COURT OF APPEALS JUDGES AND APPOINTMENT DATES

Chief Judge Janice B. Davidson: July 15, 1988;
became Chief Judge May 1, 2003

Daniel M. Taubman: November 11, 1992

James S. Casebolt: February 12, 1994

Arthur P. Roy: March 4, 1994

John D. Dailey: January 7, 2000

John R. Webb: February 1, 2002

Dennis A. Graham: July 1, 2002

Russell Carparelli: February 7, 2003

Alan M. Loeb: July 2, 2003

Robert M. Russel: January 30, 2004

Robert D. Hawthorne: August 12, 2004

Gilbert M. Roman: August 1, 2005

David Furman: January 1, 2006

Diana Terry: July 1, 2006

Jerry Jones: July 1, 2006

Steve Bernard: July 1, 2006

Nancy Lichtenstein: April 1, 2008

Sean Connelly *

Richard L. Gabriel *

David J. Richman *

Laurie A. Booras (1)

Gale T. Miller (2)

(1) Replaced Hon. Sandra Rothenberg

(2) Replaced Hon. JoAnn Vogt

* New position authorized by HB07-1054

Colorado Court of Appeals

The Colorado Court of Appeals is the state's intermediate appellate court. Nineteen judges, who sit in panels or divisions of three, serve renewable eight-year terms. The court's membership will increase by three judges in FY 2009. The mission of the Court of Appeals is to provide the citizens of Colorado with clear, impartial and timely resolution of appealed orders and judgments as provided by law.

The body was created by statute; accordingly, jurisdiction is limited to areas specified by statute, together with the inherent powers granted to all courts in the state. However, in cases of first impression, or where existing law is not dispositive, the Court of Appeals is a law-making court.

The Court of Appeals is generally the first court to hear appeals of judgments and orders in criminal, juvenile, civil, domestic relations and probate matters. In addition, the Court of Appeals has specific appellate jurisdiction over decisions originating from a number of state administrative boards and agencies, including the Industrial Claim Appeals Office. Its determination of an appeal is final unless the Colorado Supreme Court agrees to hear the matter.

Oral arguments are open to the public and you are always welcome to visit the court. For more information pertaining to the Colorado Court of Appeals, or to observe oral arguments, contact the Clerk of the Court at (303) 837- 3785 or (800) 888-0001, ext. 785. The Court of Appeals is located in the Colorado Judicial Building at Two East 14th Ave., Denver, Colorado 80203.

General information about the court and links to published opinions, oral argument schedules, rules, forms and policies are available on-line at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/coa/coaindex.htm>.

Table 8

Caseload of Court of Appeals for FY 1973 through FY 2009				
Fiscal Year	Cases Pending July 1	Cases Filed	Cases Terminated	Cases Pending June 30
1972-73	355	468	467	356
1973-74	356	444	441	359
1974-75	359	858	625	592
1975-76	592	915	833	674
1976-77	674	1,128	918	884
1977-78	884	1,119	1,000	1,003
1978-79	1,003	1,214	1,119	1,098
1979-80	1,098	1,207	1,164	1,141
1980-81	1,139	1,285	1,213	1,211
1981-82	1,211	1,512	1,320	1,403
1982-83	1,403	1,453	1,381	1,475
1983-84	1,475	1,580	1,411	1,644
1984-85	1,644	1,631	1,396	1,879
1985-86	1,879	1,917	1,630	2,166
1986-87	2,166	1,930	1,602	2,494
1987-88	2,494	1,946	2,034	2,406
1988-89	2,406	2,012	2,193	2,225
1989-90	2,225	2,270	2,144	2,351
1990-91	2,351	2,147	2,192	2,306
1991-92	2,306	2,201	2,335	2,172
1992-93	2,172	2,109	2,269	2,012
1993-94	2,012	2,287	2,192	2,107
1994-95	2,107	2,179	2,156	2,130
1995-96	2,130	2,289	2,318	2,101
1996-97	2,101	2,245	2,274	2,072
1997-98	2,072	2,410	2,231	2,251
1998-99	2,251	2,647	2,458	2,440
1999-00*	2,440	2,502	2,599	2,343
2000-01	2,343	2,335	2,414	2,264
2001-02	2,264	2,673	2,463	2,474
2002-03*	2,474	2,589	2,510	2,553
2003-04*	2,553	2,558	2,511	2,600
2004-05*	2,600	2,766	2,542	2,824
2005-06	2,824	2,748	2,622	2,950
2006-07	2,950	2,548	2,810	2,688
2007-08	2,688	2,753	2,761	2,680
2008-09	2,680	2,809	2,994	2,495

*Terminated and pending case totals for FY 2000, and 2003-2005 have been amended to reflect a manual count of open cases.

Table 9

***Distribution of Case Filings and Percent Change
for FY 2008 and FY 2009***

Case Filings	FY 2008	FY 2009	% Change
Criminal	1,176	1,231	4.7%
Civil	1,182	1,106	-6.4%
ICAO (1)	222	261	17.6%
Other (juvenile, agency)	173	211	22.0%
Total	2,753	2,809	2.0%

(1) Industrial Claims Appeals Office

Table 10

***Distribution of Case Terminations and Percent Change
for FY 2008 and FY 2009***

Case Terminations	FY 2008	FY 2009	% Change
Published Opinions	234	269	15.0%
Unpublished Opinions	1,747	1,794	2.7%
Dismissed	773	925	19.7%
Transferred to Supreme Court	7	6	-14.3%
Total	2,761	2,994	8.4%

Section III:
District Court



DISTRICT COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

R. Brooke Jackson, Chief Judge
Randall C. Arp
Jack W. Berryhill
Brian Boatright
Margie L. Enquist
Dennis Hall
M.J. Menendez
Christopher J. Munch
Stephen M. Munsinger
Philip J. McNulty *
Lily Oeffler
Tamara Russell
Jane A. Tidball

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Larry J. Naves, Chief Judge
Martin F. Egelhoff
Shelley I. Gilman
Christina M. Habas
Norman D. Haglund
Morris B. Hoffman
William W. Hood
Robert S. Hyatt
Catherine A. Lemon
John W. Madden, IV
Anne Mansfield
Michael A. Martinez
Robert L. McGahey, Jr.
R. Michael Mullins
J. Stephen Phillips
Sheila A. Rappaport
Gloria A. Rivera
William D. Robbins
Herbert L. Stern, III
Brian Whitney
Edward D. Bronfin *

DENVER JUVENILE COURT

Karen Ashby, Presiding Judge
Donna Jean Schmalberger
David Brett Woods

DENVER PROBATE COURT

C. Jean Stewart, Presiding Judge

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Claude W. Appel, Chief Judge
Leslie J. Gerbracht

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kirk S. Samelson, Chief Judge
Theresa M. Cisneros
Edward S. Colt
Ronald G. Crowder
Jan DuBois
David A. Gilbert
Deborah J. Grohs
Barney Iuppa *
Thomas K. Kane
James P. Kelly
Thomas L. Kennedy
Robert L. Lowrey
Gilbert A. Martinez
G. David Miller
David Prince
Larry E. Schwartz
Scott A. Sells (1)
David L. Shakes
Timothy Simmons
Gregory R. Werner

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

W. Terry Ruckriegle, Chief Judge
Frederick W. Gannett
Russell H. Granger
R. Thomas Moorhead
Karen A. Romeo (2)

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gregory G. Lyman, Chief Judge
David L. Dickinson
Jeffrey R. Wilson

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

J. Steven Patrick, Chief Judge
Charles R. Greenacre
Jeff B. Herron
James W. Schum

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James H. Hiatt, Chief Judge
Jolene C. Blair
Terence Gilmore
Cynthia M. Hartman
Daniel J. Kaup
Gregory M. Lammons *
John D. Williams

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James B. Boyd, Chief Judge
Denise K. Lynch
Gail H. Nichols *
Daniel B. Petre

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Dennis Maes, Chief Judge
David W. Crockenberg
Deborah R. Eyler (3)
Thomas B. Fleisher
Jill S. Mattoon
Victor I. Reyes
Larry C. Schwartz *

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Charles M. Barton, Chief Judge
Stephen A. Groome
Julie G. Marshall
David M. Thorson

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

O. John Kuenhold, Chief Judge
Martin A. Gonzales *
Pattie Swift

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

John Curtis Penny Jr., Chief Judge
Kevin Hoyer
Michael K. Singer
Douglas R. Vannoy

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Michael A. O'Hara III, Chief Judge
Shelley A. Hill
Mary C. Hoak

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Stanley A. Brinkley, Chief Judge
P. Douglas Tallman

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

M. Jon Kolomitz, Chief Judge
Michael A. Schiferl

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

C. Vincent Phelps, Jr., Chief Judge
John T. Bryan
C. Scott Crabtree
Katherine R. Delgado
Thomas R. Ensor
F. Michael Goodbee (4)
Chris Melonakis
Edward Moss
Patrick T. Murphy
John E. Popovich, Jr.
Jill-Ellyn Straus
Francis C. Wasserman
Mark D. Warner

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

William B. Sylvester, Chief Judge
Marilyn Leonard Antrim
Angela R. Arkin
Richard B. Caschette *
Christopher C. Cross (5)
Timothy L. Fasing
J. Mark Hannen
Jeffrey K. Holmes
Nancy A. Hopf
Kurt A. Horton (6)
Paul A. King
Charles M. Pratt
Gerald J. Rafferty
Robert H. Russell, II
Carlos Armando Samour Jr.
Michael Spear
Valeria Spencer
Elizabeth A. Weishaupl *
John Wheeler
Vincent R. White

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

James F. Hartmann, Chief Judge
Gilbert A. Gutierrez
Julie Hoskins
Marcelo A. Kopcow
Daniel S. Maus
Thomas J. Quammen *
Elizabeth B. Strobel (7)
Dinsmore Tuttle (8)

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Roxanne Bailin, Chief Judge
Maria Berkenkotter
Carol Glowinsky
James C. Klein
Dolores (D.D.) Mallard
Lael E. Montgomery
Morris W. Sandstead, Jr.
Mary Gwyneth Whalen

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

David A. Bottger, Chief Judge
Thomas M. Deister
Brian J. Flynn
Dick Gurley
Valerie Jo Robinson

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Sharon L. Hansen, Chief Judge
Douglas S. Walker

(1) Replaced Hon. Steven T. Pelican

(2) Replaced Hon. David R. Lass

(3) Replaced Hon. Rosalie Vigna

(4) Replaced Hon. Harlan Brockman

(5) Replaced Hon. Cheryl Post

(6) Replaced Hon. Juanita L. Rice

(7) Replaced Hon. Roger A. Klein

(8) Replaced Hon. J. Robert Lowenbach

* New position authorized by HB07-1054

District Court

Colorado is sectioned into 22 Judicial Districts encompassing all 64 counties. Across the state, district boundaries are aligned with county lines – some districts include just one county, while others include as many as seven. Each district has at least one district court location. The Supreme Court Chief Justice appoints a Chief Judge for each district to serve as the Chief Judicial Officer. In addition, each district has at least one other district court judge who may preside in more than one district court within that judicial district, particularly in rural areas of the state. There were 164 district judges during FY 2009, including an additional 11 judges which were allocated by the state Legislature through HB07-1054.

District judges preside over felony criminal matters, civil claims in any amount, juvenile matters (including adoption, dependency and neglect matters, juvenile delinquency, and paternity actions), probate, mental health, divorce proceedings, and water cases. Additionally, district judges preside over jury trials, handle appeals from Colorado's municipal and county courts, and review decisions of administrative boards and agencies. District decisions may be appealed to the Colorado Court of Appeals and/or to the Colorado Supreme Court.

In Fiscal Year 2009 there were 188,537 case filings at the district court level (excluding water cases). Colorado's district courts were able to terminate 185,319 cases during that same 12-month period. During the past 10 years, district court filings have increased 18.13 percent, rising from 159,596 cases to the current level of filings, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.

Information specific to each of the 22 Judicial Districts, including contact information, is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/districts.htm>.

**District Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Class
Ten Year Comparison**





Table 11: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2008, FY 2009

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
Civil	64,199	67,480	5.11%
Criminal	40,494	39,464	-2.54%
Domestic Relations	33,025	33,190	0.50%
Juvenile	33,370	32,165	-3.61%
Mental Health	4,713	4,795	1.74%
Probate	11,551	11,443	-0.93%
Total	187,352	188,537	0.63%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
Civil	64,021	65,909	2.95%
Criminal	43,396	40,169	-7.44%
Domestic Relations	32,518	32,426	-0.28%
Juvenile	32,391	30,170	-6.86%
Mental Health	4,487	4,865	8.42%
Probate	12,574	11,780	-6.31%
Total	189,387	185,319	-2.15%

Table 12: District Court Caseload FY 2000 to FY 2009

Case Class	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	39,161	37,235	41,349	43,976	51,846	55,465	60,546	64,603	61,499	67,480
Cases Terminated	38,783	36,817	41,277	43,000	50,777	54,912	59,146	65,029	64,021	65,909
CRIMINAL										
New Cases Filed	35,770	36,860	39,147	41,257	42,427	45,405	46,501	44,245	40,494	39,464
Cases Terminated	36,037	35,071	37,621	39,725	40,588	42,569	46,127	45,200	43,396	40,169
DOMESTIC RELATIONS										
New Cases Filed	32,318	31,068	32,166	31,771	30,826	31,064	32,481	32,230	33,025	33,190
Cases Terminated	33,146	31,468	33,719	32,282	31,510	31,197	32,316	31,933	32,518	32,426
JUVENILE										
New Cases Filed	36,601	34,481	35,691	36,362	36,078	34,851	33,709	32,500	33,370	32,165
Cases Terminated	40,434	35,910	35,409	35,902	35,561	33,546	32,960	30,993	32,391	30,170
MENTAL HEALTH										
New Cases Filed	4,141	4,216	4,229	4,330	4,528	5,021	4,653	4,459	4,713	4,795
Cases Terminated	4,544	4,290	4,194	4,405	4,308	4,782	4,679	4,626	4,487	4,865
PROBATE										
New Cases Filed	11,605	11,360	11,655	11,762	11,653	11,706	11,525	11,198	11,551	11,443
Cases Terminated	18,618	11,577	13,675	11,946	13,562	12,989	11,164	11,187	12,574	11,780
TOTAL										
New Cases Filed	159,596	155,220	164,237	169,458	177,358	183,512	189,415	189,235	187,352	188,537
Cases Terminated	171,562	155,133	165,895	167,260	176,306	179,995	186,392	188,968	189,387	185,319

Table 13: District Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2008, FY 2009

District	Filings			Terminations		
	FY08	FY09	Percent Change	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
1	18,306	18,685	2.07%	18,481	18,501	0.11%
2	20,598	20,757	0.77%	21,451	20,667	-3.65%
2nd Juvenile	4,799	4,924	2.60%	4,232	4,874	15.17%
2nd Probate	2,815	2,797	-0.64%	2,858	2,789	-2.41%
3	1,275	1,184	-7.14%	1,235	1,227	-0.65%
4	23,781	24,416	2.67%	23,943	22,505	-6.01%
5	3,276	3,471	5.95%	3,385	3,364	-0.62%
6	2,272	2,329	2.51%	2,192	2,389	8.99%
7	3,137	3,677	17.21%	3,131	3,362	7.38%
8	9,703	9,575	-1.32%	10,201	9,551	-6.37%
9	2,635	2,875	9.11%	2,541	2,841	11.81%
10	7,898	7,305	-7.51%	7,980	8,306	4.09%
11	3,345	3,303	-1.26%	3,250	3,335	2.62%
12	2,362	2,206	-6.60%	2,305	2,173	-5.73%
13	3,087	3,048	-1.26%	3,274	3,128	-4.46%
14	2,059	2,111	2.53%	1,895	2,172	14.62%
15	799	743	-7.01%	756	744	-1.59%
16	1,248	1,362	9.13%	1,266	1,342	6.00%
17	19,033	18,408	-3.28%	18,979	18,220	-4.00%
18	28,059	28,249	0.68%	28,995	27,292	-5.87%
19	10,792	11,114	2.98%	11,000	10,839	-1.46%
20	9,110	9,077	-0.36%	9,046	8,955	-1.01%
21	5,981	5,860	-2.02%	6,039	5,745	-4.87%
22	982	1,061	8.04%	953	1,006	5.56%
State Total(a)	187,352	188,537	0.63%	189,388	185,319	-2.15%

(a) Totals do not include Water Court.

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	89	86	124	120	25	26	16	14
	Jefferson	3,686	3,767	6,156	5,989	3,378	3,431	3,457	3,427
	Total	3,775	3,853	6,280	6,109	3,403	3,457	3,473	3,441
2	Denver	4,680	5,093	12,018	11,552	4,059	4,022	n/a	n/a
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,924	4,874
	Denver Probate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	Total	4,680	5,093	12,018	11,552	4,059	4,022	4,924	4,874
3	Huerfano	149	140	121	124	54	51	86	99
	Las Animas	207	262	177	182	168	141	123	137
	Total	356	402	298	306	222	192	209	236
4	El Paso	5,037	5,384	7,833	7,847	4,900	4,795	4,077	1,935
	Teller	143	138	361	355	125	112	123	108
	Total	5,180	5,522	8,194	8,202	5,025	4,907	4,200	2,043
5	Clear Creek	96	92	137	142	61	63	61	132
	Eagle	462	479	679	593	280	260	182	185
	Lake	107	104	78	76	70	63	33	41
	Summit	312	293	491	457	145	130	81	78
	Total	977	968	1,385	1,268	556	516	357	436
6	Archuleta	108	123	307	335	82	82	111	62
	La Plata	493	585	465	447	329	299	139	164
	San Juan	5	7	11	10	5	4	3	2
	Total	606	715	783	792	416	385	253	228
7	Delta	281	246	241	205	280	243	169	168
	Gunnison	123	127	202	162	94	84	49	66
	Hinsdale	2	1	9	9	4	1	3	1
	Montrose	401	358	353	293	345	374	363	347
	Ouray	29	28	76	48	30	24	11	9
	San Miguel	82	88	148	96	47	44	11	12
	Total	918	848	1,029	813	800	770	606	603
8	Jackson	1	9	10	8	5	2	7	8
	Larimer	1,901	1,811	2,746	2,794	1,704	1,694	2,309	2,314
	Total	1,902	1,820	2,756	2,802	1,709	1,696	2,316	2,322
9	Garfield	676	731	471	487	487	477	278	321
	Pitkin	111	72	267	177	91	79	36	20
	Rio Blanco	119	116	49	39	51	56	28	43
	Total	906	919	787	703	629	612	342	384
10	Pueblo	2,015	2,069	2,037	2,232	1,317	1,292	1,190	1,274
11	Chaffee	174	156	139	136	100	106	76	80
	Custer	32	32	49	43	13	11	15	15
	Fremont	401	456	551	528	364	380	482	483
	Park	109	119	360	367	75	70	63	86
	Total	716	763	1,099	1,074	552	567	636	664

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
1	Gilpin	6	6	12	12	272	264
	Jefferson	461	422	1,275	1,201	18,413	18,237
	Total	467	428	1,287	1,213	18,685	18,501
2	Denver	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20,757	20,667
	Denver Juvenile	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4,924	4,874
	Denver Probate	1,053	1,086	1,744	1,703	2,797	2,789
	Total	1,053	1,086	1,744	1,703	28,478	28,330
3	Huerfano	0	3	40	40	450	457
	Las Animas	9	6	50	42	734	770
	Total	9	9	90	82	1,184	1,227
4	El Paso	472	494	1,298	1,287	23,617	21,742
	Teller	5	4	42	46	799	763
	Total	477	498	1,340	1,333	24,416	22,505
5	Clear Creek	2	2	26	22	383	453
	Eagle	3	3	89	89	1,695	1,609
	Lake	4	5	29	24	321	313
	Summit	5	3	38	28	1,072	989
	Total	14	13	182	163	3,471	3,364
6	Archuleta	13	12	37	35	658	649
	La Plata	61	62	153	153	1,640	1,710
	San Juan	0	0	7	7	31	30
	Total	74	74	197	195	2,329	2,389
7	Delta	4	4	117	110	1,092	976
	Gunnison	5	7	28	40	501	486
	Hinsdale	0	0	4	4	22	16
	Montrose	6	5	128	135	1,596	1,512
	Ouray	0	0	15	9	161	118
	San Miguel	1	2	16	12	305	254
	Total	16	18	308	310	3,677	3,362
8	Jackson	0	0	13	9	36	36
	Larimer	316	322	563	572	9,539	9,515
	Total	316	322	576	581	9,575	9,551
9	Garfield	11	9	135	133	2,058	2,158
	Pitkin	0	1	38	53	543	402
	Rio Blanco	0	0	27	27	274	281
	Total	11	10	200	213	2,875	2,841
10	Pueblo	286	293	460	1,146	7,305	8,306
11	Chaffee	5	5	63	52	557	535
	Custer			22	19	131	120
	Fremont	20	18	127	112	1,945	1,977
	Park	7	5	56	56	670	703
	Total	32	28	268	239	3,303	3,335

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Domestic Relations		Juvenile	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	279	312	156	165	165	167	146	136
	Conejos	101	75	49	47	47	43	61	74
	Costilla	39	32	48	67	21	22	13	13
	Mineral	0	0	11	6	9	6	2	4
	Rio Grande	216	187	105	86	100	110	144	147
	Saguache	84	67	59	65	50	45	31	31
	Total	719	673	428	436	392	393	397	405
13	Kit Carson	109	107	78	86	51	59	36	52
	Logan	213	248	177	182	191	195	160	151
	Morgan	435	383	246	245	214	199	217	234
	Phillips	23	24	20	16	19	21	15	16
	Sedgwick	12	19	24	10	12	16	8	10
	Washington	54	47	44	43	29	24	33	33
	Yuma	59	77	67	63	41	44	49	51
	Total	905	905	656	645	557	558	518	547
14	Grand	159	183	412	389	79	79	50	50
	Moffat	235	232	108	104	134	132	110	108
	Routt	207	237	243	270	146	151	67	77
	Total	601	652	763	763	359	362	227	235
15	Baca	46	29	23	21	16	15	12	12
	Cheyenne	22	21	18	20	7	10	4	5
	Kiowa	6	7	12	6	9	5	4	4
	Prowers	147	171	73	77	96	102	102	104
	Total	221	228	126	124	128	132	122	125
16	Bent	56	46	51	48	53	58	52	46
	Crowley	84	64	61	61	34	38	31	40
	Otero	203	215	144	144	173	162	247	255
	Total	343	325	256	253	260	258	330	341
17	Adams	3,671	3,762	7,215	7,173	2,672	2,629	2,367	2,146
	Broomfield	305	329	536	510	303	276	231	206
	Total	3,976	4,091	7,751	7,683	2,975	2,905	2,598	2,352
18	Arapahoe	3,094	3,054	8,720	8,392	3,429	3,184	2,936	2,850
	Douglas	841	852	3,696	3,537	1,584	1,491	851	1,050
	Elbert	77	69	388	389	133	103	86	88
	Lincoln	65	61	70	80	31	35	56	59
	Total	4,077	4,036	12,874	12,398	5,177	4,813	3,929	4,047
19	Weld	2,489	2,273	3,742	3,699	1,601	1,573	2,628	2,632
20	Boulder	2,014	1,971	2,800	2,765	1,521	1,533	1,748	1,751
21	Mesa	1,821	1,814	1,200	1,075	1,284	1,257	987	1,043
22	Dolores	12	8	18	18	8	7	5	5
	Montezuma	255	221	200	197	240	219	170	182
	Total	267	229	218	215	248	226	175	187
State Total		39,464	40,169	67,480	65,909	33,190	32,426	32,165	30,170

Table 14: District Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Mental Health		Probate		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term
12	Alamosa	24	18	34	53	804	851
	Conejos	2	2	28	22	288	263
	Costilla	3	4	73	77	197	215
	Mineral	0	0	8	10	30	26
	Rio Grande	8	6	56	52	629	588
	Saguache	3	2	31	20	258	230
	Total	40	32	230	234	2,206	2,173
13	Kit Carson	19	21	31	34	324	359
	Logan	76	78	70	70	887	924
	Morgan	32	32	81	113	1,225	1,206
	Phillips	3	7	20	20	100	104
	Sedgwick	2	2	4	4	62	61
	Washington	1	1	28	29	189	177
	Yuma	8	9	37	53	261	297
	Total	141	150	271	323	3,048	3,128
14	Grand	1	1	36	36	737	738
	Moffat	2	2	55	49	644	627
	Routt	1	1	66	71	730	807
	Total	4	4	157	156	2,111	2,172
15	Baca	4	2	44	48	145	127
	Cheyenne	3	4	17	17	71	77
	Kiowa	1	1	26	6	58	29
	Prowers	7	6	44	51	469	511
	Total	15	13	131	122	743	744
16	Bent	9	9	33	32	254	239
	Crowley	2	2	18	26	230	231
	Otero	31	25	80	71	878	872
	Total	42	36	131	129	1,362	1,342
17	Adams	393	479	599	599	16,917	16,788
	Broomfield	28	21	88	90	1,491	1,432
	Total	421	500	687	689	18,408	18,220
18	Arapahoe	512	475	1,137	978	19,828	18,933
	Douglas	142	145	314	321	7,428	7,396
	Elbert	7	6	48	44	739	699
	Lincoln	6	5	26	24	254	264
	Total	667	631	1,525	1,367	28,249	27,292
19	Weld	159	166	495	496	11,114	10,839
20	Boulder	381	383	613	552	9,077	8,955
21	Mesa	159	160	409	396	5,860	5,745
22	Dolores	0	0	15	15	58	53
	Montezuma	11	11	127	123	1,003	953
	Total	11	11	142	138	1,061	1,006
State Total		4,795	4,865	11,443	11,780	188,537	185,319

Table 15a: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials						
1	Gilpin	0	4	3	0	0	0	3	4
	Jefferson	1	127	41	24	22	2	64	153
	<i>Total</i>	1	131	44	24	22	2	67	157
2	Denver	2	116	55	70	0	0	57	186
	Denver Juvenile	0	0	0	0	51	8	51	8
	<i>Total</i>	2	116	55	70	51	8	108	194
3	Huerfano	0	0	3	0	1	0	4	0
	Las Animas	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	6
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	5	2	1	2	6	6
4	El Paso	4	92	24	50	18	0	46	142
	Teller	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	92	24	50	19	0	47	142
5	Clear Creek	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Eagle	0	4	12	4	2	0	14	8
	Lake	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Summit	0	8	1	5	1	0	2	13
	<i>Total</i>	0	16	13	10	3	0	16	26
6	Archuleta	1	3	2	0	1	0	4	3
	La Plata	0	6	4	2	0	0	4	8
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	9	6	2	1	0	8	11
7	Delta	0	8	4	1	1	1	5	10
	Gunnison	0	2	1	1	2	0	3	3
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	1	5	1	2	0	7	2
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	12	11	4	5	1	16	17
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	1	33	26	14	6	0	33	47
	<i>Total</i>	1	34	26	14	6	0	33	48
9	Garfield	0	9	6	0	1	1	7	10
	Pitkin	0	0	4	2	0	0	4	2
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	9	11	2	1	1	12	12
10	Pueblo	1	35	17	8	0	0	18	43
11	Chaffee	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Custer	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	0	10	0	2	5	0	5	12
	Park	0	2	3	1	1	0	4	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	13	4	3	6	0	10	16

Table 15a: Court and Jury Trials for District Court, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal		Civil		Juvenile		Total	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials						
12	Alamosa	0	1	3	2	2	0	5	3
	Conejos	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Costilla	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	6	2	2	0	8	6
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	1
	Logan	0	7	3	2	0	0	3	9
	Morgan	0	10	4	0	6	0	10	10
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
	Washington	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	Yuma	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	0	20	11	2	8	1	19	23
14	Grand	0	6	4	2	1	0	5	8
	Moffat	0	7	3	0	2	0	5	7
	Routt	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	16	9	3	3	0	12	19
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
17	Adams	5	132	17	22	10	2	32	156
	Broomfield	0	7	3	0	1	0	4	7
	<i>Total</i>	5	139	20	22	11	2	36	163
18	Arapahoe	3	79	34	27	33	3	70	109
	Douglas	1	21	15	13	2	0	18	34
	Elbert	0	1	7	1	2	0	9	2
	Lincoln	1	5	0	0	0	1	1	6
	<i>Total</i>	5	106	56	41	37	4	98	151
19	Weld	2	65	25	9	39	3	66	77
20	Boulder	2	28	6	23	4	1	12	52
21	Mesa	2	78	15	4	2	2	19	84
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	3	1	2	3	0	4	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	1	2	3	0	4	5
<i>State Total</i>		26	930	366	297	225	27	617	1,254

Data for Table 15 in probate (PR) and mental health (MH) cases was not included in the FY 2007 Annual Statistical Report while the Judicial Department refines data reporting in these areas. Beginning with the FY 2008 Annual Report, hearing data will be reported for PR and MH cases.

Table 15b: Domestic Relations Hearings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Permanent Orders Hearings	Legal Separation Hearings	Invalidity of Marriage Hearings	Total
1	Gilpin	16	0	0	16
	Jefferson	1,937	36	22	1,995
	Total	1,953	36	22	2,011
2	Denver	1,130	17	19	1,166
3	Huerfano	13	0	1	14
	Las Animas	46	0	1	47
	Total	59	0	2	61
4	El Paso	1,737	21	48	1,806
	Teller	37	0	0	37
	Total	1,774	21	48	1,843
5	Clear Creek	23	0	0	23
	Eagle	73	0	2	75
	Lake	20	0	0	20
	Summit	29	0	0	29
	Total	145	0	2	147
6	Archuleta	5	0	0	5
	La Plata	64	0	0	64
	San Juan	2	0	0	2
	Total	71	0	0	71
7	Delta	73	0	1	74
	Gunnison	16	0	0	16
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	110	1	3	114
	Ouray	4	0	0	4
	San Miguel	13	0	0	13
	Total	216	1	4	221
8	Jackson	2	0	0	2
	Larimer	481	3	2	486
	Total	483	3	2	488
9	Garfield	219	2	2	223
	Pitkin	30	0	0	30
	Rio Blanco	15	0	0	15
	Total	264	2	2	268
10	Pueblo	552	5	8	565
11	Chaffee	39	1	1	41
	Custer	5	0	0	5
	Fremont	148	0	1	149
	Park	24	1	0	25
	Total	216	2	2	220

Table 15b: Domestic Relations Hearings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Permanent Orders Hearings	Legal Separation Hearings	Invalidity of Marriage Hearings	Total
12	Alamosa	90	1	0	91
	Conejos	20	0	0	20
	Costilla	9	0	0	9
	Mineral	4	0	0	4
	Rio Grande	58	0	0	58
	Saguache	23	0	1	24
	Total	204	1	1	206
13	Kit Carson	18	0	0	18
	Logan	52	1	4	57
	Morgan	51	0	2	53
	Phillips	12	0	1	13
	Sedgwick	4	0	0	4
	Washington	9	0	0	9
	Yuma	17	0	0	17
	Total	163	1	7	171
14	Grand	16	1	1	18
	Moffat	43	0	1	44
	Routt	52	0	2	54
	Total	111	1	4	116
15	Baca	5	1	1	7
	Cheyenne	5	0	0	5
	Kiowa	3	0	0	3
	Prowers	36	0	0	36
	Total	49	1	1	51
16	Bent	17	0	0	17
	Crowley	8	0	0	8
	Otero	48	0	3	51
	Total	73	0	3	76
17	Adams	864	17	16	897
	Broomfield	91	2	0	93
	Total	955	19	16	990
18	Arapahoe	1,107	3	12	1,122
	Douglas	552	1	8	561
	Elbert	40	0	1	41
	Lincoln	10	1	0	11
	Total	1,709	5	21	1,735
19	Weld	556	5	3	564
20	Boulder	467	1	1	469
21	Mesa	415	12	4	431
22	Dolores	4	0	0	4
	Montezuma	85	1	0	86
	Total	89	1	0	90
	State Total	11,654	134	172	11,960

Table15c: Mental Health Hearings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Involuntary			Total
		Commitment of Alcohol Treatment	Long Term Certification Hearing	Short Term Certification Hearing	
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver	6	55	3	64
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	14	1	1	16
	Teller	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>16</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	1	1	2
	San Juan	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>
7	Delta	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
	8	Jackson	0	0	0
Larimer		2	1	1	4
<i>Total</i>		<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	3	3	6
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

Table15c: Mental Health Hearings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Involuntary Commitment of Alcohol Treatment	Long Term Certification Hearing	Short Term Certification Hearing	Total
12	Alamosa	1	1	0	2
	Conejos	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	1	0	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
16	Bent	1	0	0	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0
	Otero	1	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>
17	Adams	1	4	4	9
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9</i>
18	Arapahoe	1	3	3	7
	Douglas	0	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>7</i>
19	Weld	3	0	0	3
20	Boulder	0	0	0	0
21	Mesa	2	2	2	6
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>33</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>122</i>

Table 15d: Probate Hearings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Conservatorship/ Guardian Hearing	Conservatorship Hearing	Contested Will Hearing	Guardianship Hearing	Formal Probate of Will Hearing	Trust Hearing	Total
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Jefferson	32	56	0	109	8	0	205
	Total	32	57	0	109	8	0	206
2	Denver Probate	81	49	3	226	12	5	376
3	Huerfano	1	1	0	2	0	0	4
	Las Animas	0	1	0	4	1	0	6
	Total	1	2	0	6	1	0	10
4	El Paso	41	165	1	256	42	6	511
	Teller	3	1	0	1	1	0	6
	Total	44	166	1	257	43	6	517
5	Clear Creek	1	0	0	2	0	0	3
	Eagle	4	2	0	4	0	0	10
	Lake	1	0	0	0	2	0	3
	Summit	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	Total	6	2	0	9	2	0	19
6	Archuleta	4	1	0	3	0	0	8
	La Plata	5	9	0	6	2	0	22
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	9	10	0	9	2	0	30
7	Delta	0	2	0	7	0	0	9
	Gunnison	2	2	0	2	1	0	7
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	4	8	0	5	10	4	31
	Ouray	0	5	0	0	1	0	6
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Total	6	17	0	14	14	4	55
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	13	16	0	39	0	0	68
	Total	14	16	0	39	0	0	69
9	Garfield	3	5	0	6	1	0	15
	Pitkin	0	0	0	1	0	2	3
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	5	0	7	1	2	18
10	Pueblo	15	21	8	62	10	2	118
11	Chaffee	4	1	0	4	1	0	10
	Custer	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	Fremont	0	2	0	6	0	0	8
	Park	1	1	0	1	0	0	3
	Total	5	4	0	12	2	0	23

Table 15d: Probate Hearings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Conservatorship/ Guardian Hearing	Conservatorship Hearing	Contested Will Hearing	Guardianship Hearing	Formal Probate of Will Hearing	Trust Hearing	Total
12	Alamosa	0	2	0	4	1	0	7
	Conejos	2	2	0	1	0	0	5
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	3	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	1	1	0	0	4
	Saguache	0	4	0	2	0	0	6
	Total	2	10	2	8	4	0	26
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Logan	0	3	0	3	0	0	6
	Morgan	2	2	0	1	0	0	5
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	3	7	0	5	0	0	15
14	Grand	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
	Moffat	4	0	0	1	0	0	5
	Routt	3	2	0	2	2	0	9
	Total	7	2	0	5	2	0	16
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	0	6	1	0	8
	Total	0	2	0	7	2	0	11
16	Bent	0	2	0	7	0	0	9
	Crowley	0	4	0	5	0	0	9
	Otero	2	1	0	16	2	0	21
	Total	2	7	0	28	2	0	39
17	Adams	31	45	0	74	6	0	156
	Broomfield	11	6	0	5	0	0	22
	Total	42	51	0	79	6	0	178
18	Arapahoe	22	34	0	141	1	0	198
	Douglas	10	30	0	31	0	0	71
	Elbert	2	1	0	7	0	0	10
	Lincoln	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	34	66	0	179	1	0	280
19	Weld	8	28	1	45	0	0	82
20	Boulder	16	29	0	39	0	0	84
21	Mesa	20	19	0	23	2	0	64
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Montezuma	10	11	1	15	2	0	39
	Total	10	11	1	16	2	0	40
State Total		360	581	16	1,184	115	19	2,276

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Confirm Arbitration Award	Condemnation	County Court or Municipal Appeal	Breach of Contract	Determination of Interests
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	4	5	0
	Jefferson	6	1	24	11	46	626	7
	<i>Total</i>	6	1	24	11	50	631	7
2	Denver	14	28	62	3	115	816	3
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	3	0	6	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	1	9	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	3	1	15	0
4	El Paso	5	16	28	6	64	452	4
	Teller	0	1	0	0	6	15	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	17	28	6	70	467	4
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	2	0	8	0
	Eagle	1	2	0	0	3	58	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	1	6	0
	Summit	1	0	1	1	2	46	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	2	1	3	6	118	0
6	Archuleta	11	3	0	1	4	0	0
	La Plata	0	2	3	1	5	37	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	5	3	2	9	38	1
7	Delta	0	0	0	1	4	16	1
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	4	12	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montrose	1	2	1	1	3	29	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	4	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	8	18	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	1	3	19	79	2
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Larimer	5	0	15	4	38	287	3
	<i>Total</i>	6	0	15	4	40	287	3
9	Garfield	2	2	4	2	11	58	1
	Pitkin	0	2	0	1	2	65	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	1	7	1
	<i>Total</i>	2	4	4	3	14	130	3
10	Pueblo	1	5	3	3	13	96	0
11	Chaffee	0	1	1	0	3	7	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Fremont	0	0	2	0	7	26	1
	Park	0	0	1	1	0	16	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	4	1	11	51	1

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Distraint Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief
1	Gilpin	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	37	120	70	110	38	31	31
	Total	40	121	71	110	38	31	31
2	Denver	106	689	83	144	135	114	118
3	Huerfano	2	0	6	1	1	1	1
	Las Animas	1	4	7	0	0	3	1
	Total	3	4	13	1	1	4	2
4	El Paso	35	234	104	81	68	34	37
	Teller	4	7	2	0	6	1	4
	Total	39	241	106	81	74	35	41
5	Clear Creek	0	1	3	3	3	0	1
	Eagle	14	9	94	14	11	5	9
	Lake	1	1	2	0	1	0	4
	Summit	7	6	57	8	6	4	2
	Total	22	17	156	25	21	9	16
6	Archuleta	3	5	87	1	2	0	2
	La Plata	7	8	8	1	14	0	3
	San Juan	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	12	13	95	2	16	0	5
7	Delta	5	3	4	1	3	0	3
	Gunnison	1	2	18	1	2	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	10	4	3	5	1	4
	Ouray	2	1	4	0	2	1	2
	San Miguel	2	0	10	2	3	0	2
	Total	12	16	40	7	15	3	11
8	Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	17	43	31	36	24	15	15
	Total	18	43	31	36	24	15	15
9	Garfield	6	7	7	8	8	0	12
	Pitkin	14	3	13	6	10	2	5
	Rio Blanco	1	0	0	0	5	0	1
	Total	21	10	20	14	23	2	18
10	Pueblo	9	78	23	11	7	8	6
11	Chaffee	2	0	1	2	4	0	0
	Custer	3	1	1	1	1	0	1
	Fremont	10	11	7	0	1	3	2
	Park	3	1	8	3	1	0	1
	Total	18	13	17	6	7	3	4

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Lien	Landlord-Tenant	Mechanics Lien	Malpractice	Money	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	0	12	0	0
	Jefferson	2	8	44	18	496	21	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	8	46	18	508	21	0
2	Denver	1	30	89	81	768	41	26
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	7	0	1
	Las Animas	0	0	1	0	18	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	2	0	25	0	4
4	El Paso	7	8	53	32	712	27	1
	Teller	0	0	2	0	17	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	7	8	55	32	729	27	1
5	Clear Creek	1	0	1	0	9	1	0
	Eagle	0	1	27	5	50	0	0
	Lake	0	0	4	0	4	0	0
	Summit	1	0	9	1	33	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	1	41	6	96	1	0
6	Archuleta	0	1	2	0	21	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	7	0	54	2	6
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	9	0	75	2	6
7	Delta	0	0	2	0	28	0	4
	Gunnison	0	1	9	1	23	2	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	6	3	42	1	4
	Ouray	0	0	8	0	8	0	0
	San Miguel	0	2	6	1	12	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	31	5	113	4	8
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Larimer	1	1	18	12	155	10	26
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	18	12	157	10	26
9	Garfield	1	1	16	2	55	1	6
	Pitkin	5	2	20	0	26	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	3	36	2	83	2	6
10	Pueblo	1	0	9	7	181	7	12
11	Chaffee	0	0	3	2	18	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	1	1	57	1	1
	Park	0	0	1	0	24	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	5	3	105	2	1

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Negligence	Note	Other	Petition to Seal		Property Damage	Public Nuisance
					Criminal Conviction	Prohibition		
1	Gilpin	2	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	51	107	135	0	0	5	54
	<i>Total</i>	53	107	142	0	0	5	54
2	Denver	121	285	317	0	0	13	95
3	Huerfano	2	0	8	0	0	2	1
	Las Animas	1	1	7	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	1	15	0	0	4	1
4	El Paso	54	52	168	0	0	3	85
	Teller	5	2	13	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	59	54	181	0	0	3	85
5	Clear Creek	1	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	15	6	14	0	0	2	0
	Lake	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Summit	15	4	8	0	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	32	10	29	0	0	7	0
6	Archuleta	3	1	1	0	0	1	3
	La Plata	21	13	35	0	0	1	1
	San Juan	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	25	14	36	0	0	2	4
7	Delta	4	0	6	0	0	0	1
	Gunnison	1	1	7	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	3	3	10	0	0	0	0
	Ouray	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	3	2	6	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	12	6	30	0	0	1	1
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	46	73	27	4	0	0	23
	<i>Total</i>	46	73	28	4	0	0	23
9	Garfield	21	2	13	0	0	2	0
	Pitkin	13	2	7	0	0	4	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	34	4	21	0	0	6	0
10	Pueblo	23	6	50	4	0	0	0
11	Chaffee	1	0	10	0	0	0	0
	Custer	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	4	1	32	0	0	1	0
	Park	2	2	8	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	8	3	50	0	0	1	0

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Public Utilities	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Possession	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Replevin
1	Gilpin	0	4	3	8	0	63	5	0
	Jefferson	0	79	223	416	1	3,139	41	86
	Total	0	83	226	424	1	3,202	46	86
2	Denver	2	261	665	742	1	5,215	53	96
3	Huerfano	0	4	1	3	0	50	5	2
	Las Animas	0	1	8	18	0	76	5	1
	Total	0	5	9	21	0	126	10	3
4	El Paso	0	114	495	430	1	4,166	36	84
	Teller	0	7	12	21	0	219	8	4
	Total	0	121	507	451	1	4,385	44	88
5	Clear Creek	0	1	8	9	0	79	1	0
	Eagle	0	15	21	42	0	223	3	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	1	38	8	2
	Summit	0	19	14	30	1	183	5	2
	Total	0	35	43	81	2	523	17	4
6	Archuleta	0	3	4	2	0	134	7	3
	La Plata	0	2	8	11	0	183	6	4
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0	5	1	0
	Total	0	5	13	13	0	322	14	7
7	Delta	0	3	4	9	0	114	4	6
	Gunnison	0	5	1	0	0	94	4	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0
	Montrose	0	0	8	14	0	163	4	8
	Ouray	0	1	4	1	0	30	2	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	4	0	50	2	0
	Total	0	11	17	28	0	458	17	15
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Larimer	0	37	122	113	0	1,441	12	55
	Total	0	37	122	113	0	1,444	12	55
9	Garfield	0	12	14	20	0	145	7	10
	Pitkin	0	12	5	12	0	25	5	1
	Rio Blanco	0	2	1	2	0	18	2	1
	Total	0	26	20	34	0	188	14	12
10	Pueblo	0	75	138	118	0	1,190	21	21
11	Chaffee	0	4	4	12	0	46	3	1
	Custer	0	0	0	2	0	19	8	2
	Fremont	0	7	13	21	0	275	9	4
	Park	0	3	10	12	0	244	12	3
	Total	0	14	27	47	0	584	32	10

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Restraining Order	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Special District	Sexual Harassment	Specific Performance	Services Rendered	Workman's Compensation
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	1	21	4	1	0	13	2
	<i>Total</i>	1	22	4	1	0	13	2
2	Denver	1	85	7	5	9	124	113
3	Huerfano	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
4	El Paso	0	14	5	0	3	15	1
	Teller	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	15	5	0	3	15	1
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Eagle	13	0	2	0	2	0	1
	Lake	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Summit	2	8	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	15	8	3	0	3	0	1
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	13	0	0	0	0	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	13	0	0	0	0	1
7	Delta	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Gunnison	1	4	0	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	6	0	0	1	0	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	14	1	0	2	1	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	1	10	4	1	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	10	4	1	0	5	0
9	Garfield	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
10	Pueblo	0	5	1	0	0	3	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	1

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Wrongful Death	Wages	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Fraud	Out of State Subpoena	Total
1	Gilpin	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	124
	Jefferson	2	5	8	6	9	0	0	6,156
	Total	3	5	8	6	10	0	1	6,280
2	Denver	20	43	82	8	33	51	105	12,018
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	1	7	1	0	121
	Las Animas	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	177
	Total	2	0	1	1	9	1	1	298
4	El Paso	12	2	6	6	30	15	28	7,833
	Teller	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	361
	Total	12	3	6	6	30	15	31	8,194
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	137
	Eagle	1	2	2	1	0	7	4	679
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
	Summit	1	0	0	0	3	3	3	491
	Total	2	2	2	1	3	10	7	1,385
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	307
	La Plata	0	2	0	0	2	3	0	465
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Total	0	2	0	0	2	4	1	783
7	Delta	1	0	1	0	8	1	0	241
	Gunnison	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	202
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Montrose	1	0	3	0	5	0	2	353
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	76
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	147
	Total	3	2	4	0	16	3	8	1,028
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Larimer	0	1	0	1	3	7	4	2,746
	Total	0	1	0	1	3	7	4	2,756
9	Garfield	1	2	0	1	2	5	2	471
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	267
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	49
	Total	1	2	1	2	5	6	2	787
10	Pueblo	4	0	2	1	8	6	3	2,159
11	Chaffee	2	0	4	0	6	2	0	139
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
	Fremont	0	0	17	0	33	0	3	552
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	360
	Total	2	0	21	0	39	3	4	1,100

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Breach of Warranty	County Court Counter Claim	Confirm Arbitration Award	Condemnation	County Court or Municipal Appeal	Breach of Contract	Determination of Interests
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	0	2	13	2
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Saguache	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>2</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	5	9	0
	Morgan	0	1	0	0	5	6	0
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	3	3	1
	Yuma	0	0	0	1	0	10	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>3</i>
14	Grand	0	0	0	3	9	26	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	1	5	8	1
	Routt	0	0	1	3	4	26	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>1</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	4	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
	Otero	0	0	1	0	2	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	1	0	10	16	44	363	2
	Broomfield	0	0	2	2	11	42	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>405</i>	<i>2</i>
18	Arapahoe	2	0	28	3	81	615	7
	Douglas	3	0	10	10	26	264	3
	Elbert	1	0	1	0	1	18	1
	Lincoln	0	0	0	1	1	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>109</i>	<i>899</i>	<i>11</i>
19	Weld	5	10	4	7	37	229	2
20	Boulder	2	8	9	1	56	298	1
21	Mesa	0	0	2	0	32	74	1
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	0	0	3	0	1	16	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>63</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>218</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>679</i>	<i>4,786</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>0%</i>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Declaratory Judgment	Distraint Warrant	Foreclosure Other Than RL 120	Forcible Entry and Detainer	Foreign Judgment	Goods Sold and Delivered	Injunctive Relief
12	Alamosa	0	17	2	0	3	2	2
	Conejos	3	1	1	0	0	1	1
	Costilla	3	0	14	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	2	0	0	1	1	1
	Saguache	0	1	2	0	1	0	1
	Total	7	21	19	0	5	4	5
13	Kit Carson	0	3	3	0	1	1	4
	Logan	2	2	1	0	1	0	1
	Morgan	2	1	1	1	1	1	5
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Yuma	1	2	0	1	0	1	0
Total	5	10	5	2	5	4	10	
14	Grand	4	4	80	1	0	1	3
	Moffat	0	1	2	0	1	1	2
	Routt	4	6	8	0	1	3	3
	Total	8	11	90	1	2	5	8
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	3	0	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	0	3	3	0	2	0	0
	Total	0	7	3	0	2	2	1
16	Bent	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	1	8	1	3	3	0	0
	Total	1	9	2	3	3	0	0
17	Adams	26	83	100	67	16	41	12
	Broomfield	3	0	3	20	3	7	0
	Total	29	83	103	87	19	48	12
18	Arapahoe	35	203	193	180	58	47	43
	Douglas	14	62	56	54	48	19	16
	Elbert	3	12	8	0	0	2	4
	Lincoln	1	2	0	0	0	1	1
	Total	53	279	257	234	106	69	64
19	Weld	12	86	23	8	23	15	58
20	Boulder	24	42	23	51	23	21	16
21	Mesa	6	27	8	6	11	6	8
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	6	5	1	0	4	1	2
	Total	7	5	1	0	4	1	2
State Total		452	1,825	1,189	829	564	399	451
Percent of State Total		1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Lien	Landlord-Tenant	Mechanics		Money	Motion to Approve Transfer of Structured Settlement	Name Change
				Lien	Malpractice			
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	2	26	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	1	1	4	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	23	1	1
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>1</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
	Logan	0	0	2	2	22	0	4
	Morgan	0	0	0	1	16	1	2
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Washington	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	
14	Grand	0	0	35	0	12	0	1
	Moffat	2	0	0	0	10	1	0
	Routt	1	1	2	2	30	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	1	0	9	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	1	0	0	1	6	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	12	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	3	6	34	15	545	22	21
	Broomfield	1	0	8	3	64	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>609</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>21</i>
18	Arapahoe	5	17	63	27	812	9	27
	Douglas	3	4	67	16	373	3	2
	Elbert	1	0	2	0	41	1	2
	Lincoln	1	0	1	0	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>133</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>1,229</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>31</i>
19	Weld	3	2	18	5	325	6	17
20	Boulder	1	4	24	11	224	1	0
21	Mesa	3	0	5	11	133	8	21
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Montezuma	1	0	1	0	23	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>47</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>606</i>	<i>264</i>	<i>5,589</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>194</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Negligence	Note	Other	Petition to Seal		Property Damage	Public Nuisance
					Criminal Conviction	Prohibition		
12	Alamosa	3	0	6	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	2	0	5	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Total	5	0	21	0	0	1	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	0	0	11	0
	Logan	1	0	8	0	0	1	0
	Morgan	1	2	8	0	0	2	1
	Phillips	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	1	5	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	2	4	0	0	0	0
Total	3	5	30	0	0	14	1	
14	Grand	6	5	4	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	1	4	1	0	0	0	1
	Routt	9	5	15	0	0	1	0
	Total	16	14	20	0	0	1	1
15	Baca	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	0	5	0	0	1	0
	Total	3	0	11	0	0	1	0
16	Bent	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	2	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	8	0	0	0	0
	Total	4	0	12	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	44	49	85	3	0	6	8
	Broomfield	6	5	15	1	0	0	0
	Total	50	54	100	4	0	6	8
18	Arapahoe	61	65	128	0	0	10	32
	Douglas	36	34	65	0	0	1	11
	Elbert	5	2	5	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
	Total	102	101	202	0	0	11	43
19	Weld	21	28	51	0	0	3	0
20	Boulder	55	36	25	0	0	0	1
21	Mesa	30	4	109	0	0	1	0
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	7	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	1	8	0	0	0	0
State Total		705	806	1,488	12	0	80	317
Percent of State Total		1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Public Utilities	Personal Injury	Personal Injury - Motor Vehicle	Petition to Seal Criminal Record	Possession	Rule 120 Deed of Trust	Rule 105 Quiet Title	Replevin
12	Alamosa	0	1	6	6	0	48	1	5
	Conejos	0	0	1	1	0	20	6	1
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	0	13	8	0
	Mineral	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	1	0	0	54	3	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	33	9	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>7</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	1	3	5	0	16	0	3
	Logan	0	5	4	5	0	60	2	4
	Morgan	0	4	3	23	0	138	3	8
	Phillips	0	0	2	1	0	6	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	1	0	0	0	17	0
	Washington	0	0	1	2	0	13	3	0
	Yuma	0	0	1	3	0	25	2	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>258</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>15</i>	
14	Grand	0	4	8	10	0	182	8	0
	Moffat	0	2	2	4	0	48	1	7
	Routt	0	9	9	15	0	64	5	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>10</i>
15	Baca	0	1	2	0	0	7	3	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	6	0	0	29	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>3</i>
16	Bent	0	1	1	2	0	11	4	2
	Crowley	0	0	2	0	0	17	2	1
	Otero	0	1	11	6	0	74	6	3
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>6</i>
17	Adams	1	77	273	136	1	4,870	34	115
	Broomfield	0	5	26	40	0	234	1	14
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5,104</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>129</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	119	297	346	0	4,978	20	91
	Douglas	0	38	95	177	1	2,030	16	72
	Elbert	0	0	3	3	0	257	2	6
	Lincoln	0	2	1	2	0	19	1	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>396</i>	<i>528</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7,284</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>171</i>
19	Weld	1	28	79	105	0	2,418	24	41
20	Boulder	0	70	223	464	1	941	16	39
21	Mesa	0	25	28	79	0	498	12	24
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	0
	Montezuma	0	3	9	2	0	78	6	7
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>4</i>	<i>1,072</i>	<i>2,888</i>	<i>3,514</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>34,832</i>	<i>505</i>	<i>849</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>52%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>1%</i>

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Restraining Order	Review - Other Local Government / Person	Special District	Sexual Harassment	Specific Performance	Services Rendered	Workman's Compensation
12	Alamosa	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
	Conejos	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	3	0	0	1	1	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	Total	0	2	0	2	0	4	0
14	Grand	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	1	7	0	0	0	1	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Adams	2	9	12	2	2	7	3
	Broomfield	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
	Total	2	10	14	2	2	8	3
18	Arapahoe	3	5	2	0	1	5	1
	Douglas	3	2	3	1	3	17	1
	Elbert	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
	Lincoln	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	6	10	6	1	4	24	2
19	Weld	0	11	8	0	0	3	2
20	Boulder	4	21	1	1	0	0	0
21	Mesa	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	8	2	1	0	0	0	0
	Total	8	2	1	0	0	0	0
State Total		50	240	58	14	25	202	126
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 16: District Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Wrongful Death	Wages	Writ of Habeas Corpus	Wrongful Death – Motor Vehicle	Rule 106 - Writs / Contempt	Fraud	Out of State Subpoena	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	156
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	48
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	105
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
	Total	0	0	0	1	2	4	0	428
13	Kit Carson	0	0	9	0	5	0	0	78
	Logan	1	0	4	1	27	0	1	177
	Morgan	1	0	0	0	4	2	0	246
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	Washington	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	44
	Yuma	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	67
Total	4	0	19	1	38	2	1	656	
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	412
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	108
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	243
	Total	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	763
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	18
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
	Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	126
16	Bent	0	0	5	0	10	0	0	51
	Crowley	0	0	15	0	11	0	0	61
	Otero	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	144
	Total	0	0	20	0	21	0	0	256
17	Adams	6	2	14	2	10	10	5	7,215
	Broomfield	1	2	0	0	1	5	7	536
	Total	7	4	14	2	11	15	12	7,751
18	Arapahoe	2	5	4	2	28	24	36	8,720
	Douglas	1	0	0	0	15	13	8	3,696
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	388
	Lincoln	0	0	3	0	19	0	0	70
	Total	3	5	7	2	65	38	44	12,874
19	Weld	3	0	0	1	6	8	6	3,742
20	Boulder	6	4	1	2	14	16	19	2,800
21	Mesa	2	1	0	3	1	4	13	1,200
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	Montezuma	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	200
	Total	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	218
State Total		74	74	188	40	320	197	269	67,602
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Dissolution of Marriage	Registration of Foreign Decree	Invalidity of Marriage
1	Gilpin	1	2	18	0	0
	Jefferson	0	383	2,538	16	38
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>385</i>	<i>2,556</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>38</i>
2	Denver	0	480	3,007	11	64
3	Huerfano	0	11	41	0	1
	Las Animas	0	37	118	2	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>159</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>5</i>
4	El Paso	1	618	3,699	73	60
	Teller	0	14	89	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>632</i>	<i>3,788</i>	<i>77</i>	<i>60</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	4	55	0	0
	Eagle	1	24	229	12	6
	Lake	21	5	36	5	0
	Summit	2	7	118	10	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>438</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>7</i>
6	Archuleta	0	12	67	0	0
	La Plata	15	35	248	1	0
	San Juan	0	1	4	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>48</i>	<i>319</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	56	207	0	6
	Gunnison	9	9	72	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	4	0	0
	Montrose	0	66	239	18	4
	Ouray	0	5	22	0	1
	San Miguel	1	3	38	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>582</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>11</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	5	0	0
	Larimer	0	300	1,302	24	15
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>300</i>	<i>1,307</i>	<i>24</i>	<i>15</i>
9	Garfield	3	51	371	10	3
	Pitkin	0	4	82	1	2
	Rio Blanco	0	12	38	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>491</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>5</i>
10	Pueblo	0	327	851	80	21
11	Chaffee	2	17	74	2	0
	Custer	0	3	9	1	0
	Fremont	1	47	283	2	6
	Park	3	12	56	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>422</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>7</i>

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Other	Legal Separation	Incoming Registration of Support	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Limited Access Case	Total
1	Gilpin	0	1	3	0	0	25
	Jefferson	138	122	143	0	0	3,378
	Total	138	123	146	0	0	3,403
2	Denver	212	86	199	0	0	4,059
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	54
	Las Animas	0	2	5	0	0	168
	Total	0	2	6	0	0	222
4	El Paso	50	183	216	0	0	4,900
	Teller	2	10	6	0	0	125
	Total	52	193	222	0	0	5,025
5	Clear Creek	0	1	1	0	0	61
	Eagle	1	5	2	0	0	280
	Lake	1	2	0	0	0	70
	Summit	3	4	0	0	0	145
	Total	5	12	3	0	0	556
6	Archuleta	1	0	2	0	0	82
	La Plata	0	15	15	0	0	329
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Total	1	15	17	0	0	416
7	Delta	0	10	1	0	0	280
	Gunnison	0	3	0	0	0	94
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Montrose	2	6	10	0	0	345
	Ouray	0	1	1	0	0	30
	San Miguel	0	0	3	0	0	47
	Total	2	20	15	0	0	800
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Larimer	1	35	27	0	0	1,704
	Total	1	35	27	0	0	1,709
9	Garfield	0	9	40	0	0	487
	Pitkin	1	1	0	0	0	91
	Rio Blanco	1	0	0	0	0	51
	Total	2	10	40	0	0	629
10	Pueblo	12	25	1	0	0	1,317
11	Chaffee	0	5	0	0	0	100
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Fremont	1	9	15	0	0	364
	Park	0	2	1	0	0	75
	Total	1	16	16	0	0	552

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Administrative Support Order	Child Custody	Dissolution of Marriage	Registration of Foreign Decree	Invalidity of Marriage
12	Alamosa	0	41	123	0	0
	Conejos	0	13	31	1	0
	Costilla	0	5	16	0	0
	Mineral	1	0	4	4	0
	Rio Grande	0	16	80	2	0
	Saguache	0	10	33	0	1
	<i>Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>287</i>	<i>7</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	13	29	8	0
	Logan	0	30	152	0	2
	Morgan	0	44	150	5	3
	Phillips	0	3	13	1	1
	Sedgwick	0	3	9	0	0
	Washington	1	4	20	0	0
	Yuma	0	6	35	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>408</i>	<i>14</i>
14	Grand	0	7	65	0	1
	Moffat	1	18	95	7	1
	Routt	0	4	131	3	1
	<i>Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>291</i>	<i>10</i>
15	Baca	0	4	11	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	5	0	0
	Kiowa	0	2	7	0	0
	Prowers	3	15	74	3	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>3</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>3</i>
16	Bent	0	7	42	0	1
	Crowley	0	5	26	0	0
	Otero	0	32	124	2	4
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>2</i>
17	Adams	11	550	1,942	15	20
	Broomfield	4	11	248	7	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>15</i>	<i>561</i>	<i>2,190</i>	<i>22</i>
18	Arapahoe	53	468	2,536	26	47
	Douglas	5	83	1,318	30	13
	Elbert	1	8	114	0	2
	Lincoln	0	4	26	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>59</i>	<i>563</i>	<i>3,994</i>	<i>56</i>
19	Weld	0	243	1,215	13	16
20	Boulder	0	165	1,207	15	34
21	Mesa	0	156	918	138	17
22	Dolores	1	1	6	0	0
	Montezuma	0	44	177	0	2
	<i>Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>183</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>141</i>	<i>4,561</i>	<i>24,902</i>	<i>555</i>	<i>399</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>14%</i>	<i>75%</i>	<i>2%</i>	<i>1%</i>

Table 17: District Court Domestic Relations Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Other	Legal Separation	Incoming Registration of Support	Outgoing Registration of UIFSA	Limited Access Case	Total
12	Alamosa	0	1	0	0	0	165
	Conejos	0	2	0	0	0	47
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	21
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Rio Grande	1	0	1	0	0	100
	Saguache	0	0	5	1	0	50
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	6	1	0	392
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	0	51
	Logan	0	2	5	0	0	191
	Morgan	0	1	11	0	0	214
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0	19
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Washington	2	1	1	0	0	29
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	41
<i>Total</i>	2	5	18	0	0	557	
14	Grand	0	6	0	0	0	79
	Moffat	0	7	5	0	0	134
	Routt	0	6	1	0	0	146
	<i>Total</i>	0	19	6	0	0	359
15	Baca	0	1	0	0	0	16
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	7
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Prowers	1	0	0	0	0	96
	<i>Total</i>	1	2	0	0	0	128
16	Bent	0	1	2	0	0	53
	Crowley	2	0	1	0	0	34
	Otero	0	4	7	0	0	173
	<i>Total</i>	2	5	10	0	0	260
17	Adams	13	46	75	0	0	2,672
	Broomfield	12	10	11	0	0	303
	<i>Total</i>	25	56	86	0	0	2,975
18	Arapahoe	1	80	218	0	0	3,429
	Douglas	8	78	49	0	0	1,584
	Elbert	0	6	2	0	0	133
	Lincoln	0	1	0	0	0	31
	<i>Total</i>	9	165	269	0	0	5,177
19	Weld	2	31	81	0	0	1,601
20	Boulder	0	52	48	0	0	1,521
21	Mesa	33	22	0	0	0	1,284
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Montezuma	0	4	13	0	0	240
	<i>Total</i>	0	4	13	0	0	248
<i>State Total</i>		501	901	1,229	1	0	33,190
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		2%	3%	4%	0%	0%	100%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Cruelty to		Assault	Bribery-		Bail	Child
		Animals	Arson		Tampering	Burglary		
1	Gilpin	0	0	6	0	4	0	0
	Jefferson	5	10	320	2	243	35	16
	<i>Total</i>	5	10	326	2	247	35	16
2	Denver	0	8	362	3	386	1	16
3	Huerfano	0	5	26	1	11	1	3
	Las Animas	0	0	19	2	34	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	45	3	45	2	3
4	El Paso	3	9	328	25	407	8	21
	Teller	2	0	11	0	13	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	5	9	339	25	420	9	22
5	Clear Creek	0	0	12	0	2	3	1
	Eagle	1	1	44	5	24	4	0
	Lake	1	0	10	1	8	13	1
	Summit	0	3	37	0	17	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	4	103	6	51	21	2
6	Archuleta	0	0	13	1	10	16	0
	La Plata	0	2	39	1	30	56	1
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	52	2	41	74	1
7	Delta	1	0	12	0	17	8	0
	Gunnison	0	0	12	0	11	5	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	31	0	26	7	6
	Ouray	1	0	2	0	0	0	1
	San Miguel	0	0	7	0	6	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	0	64	0	60	24	7
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	6	115	0	149	11	7
	<i>Total</i>	0	6	115	0	149	11	7
9	Garfield	2	5	49	0	37	3	1
	Pitkin	0	0	13	2	8	4	1
	Rio Blanco	1	1	7	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	6	69	2	51	7	2
10	Pueblo	3	4	211	1	175	17	2
11	Chaffee	0	0	6	0	37	1	0
	Custer	0	0	5	1	6	1	0
	Fremont	0	1	46	1	24	3	3
	Park	0	0	5	1	7	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	62	3	74	8	3

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal Mischief	Drugs	Escape	Fraud	Forgery	Fugitive from Justice	Habitual Criminal
1	Gilpin	7	14	0	0	7	4	0
	Jefferson	85	719	73	36	204	89	1
	<i>Total</i>	92	733	73	36	211	93	1
2	Denver	110	1,483	399	4	86	49	0
3	Huerfano	6	25	0	4	1	2	0
	Las Animas	3	23	1	1	11	7	6
	<i>Total</i>	9	48	1	5	12	9	6
4	El Paso	131	858	206	360	93	225	0
	Teller	3	26	0	6	5	10	5
	<i>Total</i>	134	884	206	366	98	235	5
5	Clear Creek	0	10	2	0	5	2	1
	Eagle	16	124	1	12	19	16	8
	Lake	2	25	0	0	0	0	10
	Summit	6	72	0	1	20	20	0
	<i>Total</i>	24	231	3	13	44	38	19
6	Archuleta	1	8	1	0	2	10	2
	La Plata	13	107	7	5	11	0	12
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	14	115	8	5	13	10	14
7	Delta	12	63	0	10	5	10	1
	Gunnison	5	31	0	4	6	2	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	12	87	2	23	9	11	1
	Ouray	0	10	0	1	1	2	0
	San Miguel	5	0	10	1	7	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	34	191	12	39	28	30	3
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	33	383	39	26	61	66	81
	<i>Total</i>	33	383	39	26	61	66	81
9	Garfield	26	166	1	5	13	21	0
	Pitkin	3	17	0	3	0	4	0
	Rio Blanco	6	30	0	3	14	9	0
	<i>Total</i>	35	213	1	11	27	34	0
10	Pueblo	64	294	72	22	34	23	2
11	Chaffee	4	40	7	8	0	9	0
	Custer	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Fremont	13	74	1	17	6	24	0
	Park	5	19	0	1	3	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	22	135	8	28	9	35	0

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Habitual					
		Homicide	Traffic	Incest	Impersonation	Kidnapping	Menacing
1	Gilpin	0	3	0	9	0	0
	Jefferson	29	68	1	56	24	167
	<i>Total</i>	29	71	1	65	24	167
2	Denver	80	95	1	19	36	124
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	9	1	9
	Las Animas	15	0	0	6	2	20
	<i>Total</i>	15	1	0	15	3	29
4	El Paso	91	124	2	144	75	269
	Teller	0	0	0	1	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	91	124	2	145	75	274
5	Clear Creek	0	6	0	6	1	5
	Eagle	0	0	0	31	0	22
	Lake	0	0	0	6	1	6
	Summit	0	9	1	10	0	14
	<i>Total</i>	0	15	1	53	2	47
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	1	5
	La Plata	10	0	0	0	0	15
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	0	0	1	1	20
7	Delta	1	6	0	6	5	18
	Gunnison	0	0	0	3	1	8
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	4	18	0	14	0	22
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	0	1
	San Miguel	0	3	0	3	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	5	27	0	27	6	53
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Larimer	17	0	0	69	13	59
	<i>Total</i>	17	0	0	69	13	60
9	Garfield	2	25	0	67	2	44
	Pitkin	0	4	0	3	3	2
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	5	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	2	30	0	75	5	50
10	Pueblo	56	57	0	61	18	126
11	Chaffee	2	2	0	1	0	8
	Custer	0	0	0	1	0	2
	Fremont	3	14	0	3	1	23
	Park	2	2	0	2	1	6
	<i>Total</i>	7	18	0	7	2	39

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal Non-Support	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Public Indecency	Perjury	Pornography
1	Gilpin	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	1	256	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>262</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver	0	22	115	1	0	0
3	Huerfano	0	5	3	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	18	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	49	291	2	0	0
	Teller	0	1	19	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>310</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	19	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	19	0	0	0
	Lake	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	24	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	6	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	36	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	0	21	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	27	0	1	0
	Ouray	0	0	5	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	10	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	6	97	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>97</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	42	0	5	0
	Pitkin	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	13	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	13	99	0	0	0
11	Chaffee	0	3	5	0	1	0
	Custer	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	55	0	0	0
	Park	0	1	10	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>75</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Public Peace			Sex	Suppressed	Theft
		and Order	Prostitution	Robbery	Offenses		
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	2	0	19
	Jefferson	0	1	60	303	0	699
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>305</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>718</i>
2	Denver	15	8	178	245	0	583
3	Huerfano	11	0	0	7	0	9
	Las Animas	0	0	1	5	0	15
	<i>Total</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>24</i>
4	El Paso	25	2	166	260	0	608
	Teller	0	0	0	6	0	19
	<i>Total</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>266</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>627</i>
5	Clear Creek	1	0	1	0	0	13
	Eagle	3	0	3	17	0	66
	Lake	0	0	0	5	0	7
	Summit	0	0	7	12	0	37
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>123</i>
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	11	0	12
	La Plata	0	0	6	23	0	80
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>92</i>
7	Delta	13	0	7	15	0	27
	Gunnison	3	0	0	2	0	12
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Montrose	0	0	1	23	0	45
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	3
	San Miguel	0	0	0	2	0	10
	<i>Total</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>98</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	25	1	23	98	0	382
	<i>Total</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>98</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>382</i>
9	Garfield	5	0	4	33	0	80
	Pitkin	0	0	1	8	0	25
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	1	0	13
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>118</i>
10	Pueblo	14	0	73	96	0	345
11	Chaffee	4	0	2	2	0	14
	Custer	0	0	2	1	0	1
	Fremont	0	0	3	40	0	29
	Park	1	0	0	12	0	18
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>62</i>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Tampering	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Carrying Concealed Weapon	Sealed Case	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	2	0	4	0	89
	Jefferson	3	137	24	4	14	0	3,686
	Total	3	137	26	4	18	0	3,775
2	Denver	1	128	25	7	90	0	4,680
3	Huerfano	1	4	2	0	2	0	149
	Las Animas	0	10	1	0	6	0	207
	Total	1	14	3	0	8	0	356
4	El Paso	6	151	26	7	65	0	5,037
	Teller	0	3	0	0	6	0	143
	Total	6	154	26	7	71	0	5,180
5	Clear Creek	2	2	0	0	2	0	96
	Eagle	1	21	3	0	1	0	462
	Lake	0	5	0	0	0	0	107
	Summit	2	8	5	1	5	0	312
	Total	5	36	8	1	8	0	977
6	Archuleta	0	3	0	0	4	0	108
	La Plata	3	29	3	0	4	0	493
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	Total	3	32	3	1	8	0	606
7	Delta	0	15	3	0	5	0	281
	Gunnison	0	10	1	0	1	0	123
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	0	17	0	4	10	0	401
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	1	0	29
	San Miguel	0	3	0	1	1	0	82
	Total	0	45	4	5	18	0	918
	8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Larimer		8	105	1	0	20	0	1,901
Total		8	105	1	0	20	0	1,902
9	Garfield	2	32	0	1	3	0	676
	Pitkin	1	3	0	0	1	0	111
	Rio Blanco	0	1	2	0	2	0	119
	Total	3	36	2	1	6	0	906
10	Pueblo	6	77	8	2	40	0	2,015
11	Chaffee	1	11	0	2	4	0	174
	Custer	0	0	0	0	3	0	32
	Fremont	0	12	1	0	4	0	401
	Park	1	1	1	0	5	0	109
	Total	2	24	2	2	16	0	716

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Cruelty to		Assault	Bribery-		Bail	Child
		Animals	Arson		Tampering	Burglary		
12	Alamosa	0	0	31	0	27	0	2
	Conejos	0	0	7	0	10	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	29	0	23	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	6	0	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	1	73	0	68	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	9	2	11	7	1
	Logan	0	0	27	0	21	3	2
	Morgan	0	1	31	2	45	9	2
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	4	2	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	6	0	3	0	1
	Yuma	0	0	4	0	6	2	0
	<i>Total</i>		0	1	80	4	90	23
14	Grand	0	0	25	0	18	4	0
	Moffat	0	0	20	0	19	36	2
	Routt	1	1	25	0	22	13	1
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	70	0	59	53	3
15	Baca	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	2	1	1	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	8	0	11	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	14	1	12	0	0
16	Bent	1	0	6	0	8	1	0
	Crowley	0	0	4	0	7	0	0
	Otero	0	1	25	0	26	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	35	0	41	2	0
17	Adams	2	3	264	7	228	7	21
	Broomfield	1	4	11	0	31	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	7	275	7	259	8	21
18	Arapahoe	0	8	222	3	184	14	10
	Douglas	2	1	53	1	66	15	5
	Elbert	0	1	5	4	11	1	1
	Lincoln	0	0	4	0	1	2	1
	<i>Total</i>	2	10	284	8	262	32	17
19	Weld	0	10	108	1	174	4	8
20	Boulder	1	3	191	1	160	17	5
21	Mesa	0	3	117	0	129	23	5
22	Dolores	0	0	3	0	2	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	22	1	21	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	25	1	23	3	1
<i>State Total</i>		28	93	3,020	70	2,976	374	149
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	8%	0%	8%	1%	0%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal					Fugitive from	Habitual
		Mischief	Drugs	Escape	Fraud	Forgery	Justice	Criminal
12	Alamosa	8	34	16	29	12	7	3
	Conejos	2	26	0	6	2	1	0
	Costilla	0	7	0	3	2	3	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	3	41	1	23	5	2	0
	Saguache	1	42	0	1	0	8	0
	Total	14	150	17	62	21	21	3
13	Kit Carson	2	17	0	0	0	12	0
	Logan	4	52	13	4	2	5	0
	Morgan	5	78	2	3	30	23	0
	Phillips	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	3	0	0	1	2	0
	Washington	3	7	0	0	2	3	0
	Yuma	0	8	0	0	2	4	0
	Total	14	168	15	7	37	50	0
14	Grand	5	42	0	3	5	3	0
	Moffat	5	51	5	4	13	3	0
	Routt	6	45	0	7	6	6	1
	Total	16	138	5	14	24	12	1
15	Baca	1	14	0	0	0	7	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Prowers	3	30	4	4	5	11	0
	Total	4	45	4	5	5	22	0
16	Bent	0	2	2	1	0	2	0
	Crowley	1	44	1	1	1	0	0
	Otero	2	13	1	8	12	5	0
	Total	3	59	4	10	13	7	0
17	Adams	37	1,033	144	93	96	91	0
	Broomfield	8	63	1	7	9	7	0
	Total	45	1,096	145	100	105	98	0
18	Arapahoe	41	748	123	19	112	117	0
	Douglas	26	138	6	14	20	18	0
	Elbert	2	9	0	0	0	3	0
	Lincoln	0	10	0	3	2	6	0
	Total	69	905	129	36	134	144	0
19	Weld	52	437	60	15	53	63	1
20	Boulder	44	436	49	33	50	53	2
21	Mesa	44	491	32	9	42	70	0
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	7	24	0	4	11	31	0
	Total	7	25	0	4	11	33	0
State Total		883	8,660	1,282	850	1,118	1,195	138
Percent of State Total		2%	22%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Habitual					Menacing
		Homicide	Traffic	Incest	Impersonation	Kidnapping	
12	Alamosa	4	11	0	20	4	13
	Conejos	0	8	0	2	1	8
	Costilla	2	2	0	0	0	4
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	8	0	8	0	8
	Saguache	0	0	0	2	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	7	29	0	32	5	38
13	Kit Carson	0	1	0	4	0	4
	Logan	1	0	0	6	1	12
	Morgan	2	15	0	34	3	14
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Washington	0	4	0	0	0	3
	Yuma	0	1	0	5	0	3
	<i>Total</i>	3	21	0	49	4	40
14	Grand	0	2	0	0	2	5
	Moffat	3	4	0	4	1	10
	Routt	0	2	0	0	2	5
	<i>Total</i>	3	8	0	4	5	20
15	Baca	0	5	0	0	0	3
	Cheyenne	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Prowers	0	9	0	9	1	6
	<i>Total</i>	0	17	0	11	1	9
16	Bent	1	0	0	1	0	6
	Crowley	0	1	0	2	0	2
	Otero	0	2	0	11	0	13
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	0	14	0	21
17	Adams	54	107	2	85	51	172
	Broomfield	2	6	0	6	0	12
	<i>Total</i>	56	113	2	91	51	184
18	Arapahoe	48	52	0	49	33	125
	Douglas	8	14	3	14	5	43
	Elbert	0	4	1	3	0	8
	Lincoln	0	3	0	3	1	3
	<i>Total</i>	56	73	4	69	39	179
19	Weld	20	114	2	197	14	62
20	Boulder	10	49	0	102	7	85
21	Mesa	9	58	9	109	21	90
22	Dolores	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	3	11	0	4	0	20
	<i>Total</i>	4	11	0	4	0	21
<i>State Total</i>		482	934	22	1,219	332	1,738
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	4%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Criminal Non-Support	Obstruction of Public Justice	Other	Public Indecency	Perjury	Pornography
12	Alamosa	0	0	26	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	19	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	7	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	19	0	0	0
	Logan	0	1	17	0	0	0
	Morgan	0	0	50	0	0	0
	Phillips	0	0	3	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	13	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	3	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>106</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	2	12	0	1	0
	Routt	0	1	18	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	4	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	2	12	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	0	16	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	17	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	0	30	165	0	0	0
	Broomfield	0	2	19	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	3	242	0	0	0
	Douglas	0	1	95	0	0	0
	Elbert	0	0	6	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>345</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
19	Weld	0	0	471	0	0	0
20	Boulder	0	1	33	0	1	0
21	Mesa	0	0	130	0	0	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	2	9	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>2,651</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Public Peace			Sex	Suppressed	Theft
		and Order	Prostitution	Robbery	Offenses		
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	6	0	19
	Conejos	4	0	0	2	0	6
	Costilla	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	8	0	1	5	0	23
	Saguache	0	0	0	3	0	5
	<i>Total</i>		12	0	2	18	0
13	Kit Carson	5	0	0	3	0	7
	Logan	1	0	0	14	0	21
	Morgan	0	0	3	16	0	44
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	1	0	7
	Yuma	0	0	0	5	0	14
	<i>Total</i>		6	0	3	39	0
14	Grand	5	0	0	6	0	15
	Moffat	1	0	2	9	0	16
	Routt	0	0	0	6	0	28
	<i>Total</i>		6	0	2	21	0
15	Baca	1	0	0	0	0	4
	Cheyenne	0	1	1	0	0	4
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	3	0	2	6	0	17
	<i>Total</i>		4	1	3	6	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	4	0	3
	Crowley	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Otero	8	0	0	14	0	28
	<i>Total</i>		8	0	0	21	0
17	Adams	29	0	63	196	0	505
	Broomfield	2	0	6	4	0	89
	<i>Total</i>		31	0	69	200	0
18	Arapahoe	0	0	111	167	0	484
	Douglas	1	0	9	49	0	191
	Elbert	0	0	0	5	0	9
	Lincoln	1	0	2	6	0	7
	<i>Total</i>		2	0	122	227	0
19	Weld	0	0	36	59	0	409
20	Boulder	103	0	28	76	0	369
21	Mesa	0	0	19	58	0	226
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	15	0	4	13	0	30
	<i>Total</i>		15	0	4	13	0
<i>State Total</i>		308	13	828	1,968	0	5,762
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		1%	0%	2%	5%	0%	15%

Table 18: District Court Criminal Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Tampering	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Carrying Concealed Weapon	Sealed Case	Total
12	Alamosa	0	5	0	0	1	0	279
	Conejos	1	5	3	0	1	0	101
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	1	0	39
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	5	0	0	2	0	216
	Saguache	0	2	0	0	1	0	84
	Total	1	17	3	0	6	0	719
13	Kit Carson	3	1	0	0	1	0	109
	Logan	0	3	1	0	2	0	213
	Morgan	2	20	1	0	0	0	435
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	2	0	23
	Sedgwick	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0	54
	Yuma	0	0	2	0	0	0	59
	Total	5	25	5	0	5	0	905
14	Grand	1	11	2	0	1	0	159
	Moffat	0	7	1	1	3	0	235
	Routt	0	11	0	0	0	0	207
	Total	1	29	3	1	4	0	601
15	Baca	0	3	0	0	0	0	46
	Cheyenne	0	2	0	0	0	0	22
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Prowers	0	2	0	0	2	0	147
	Total	0	7	0	0	2	0	221
16	Bent	0	2	0	0	0	0	56
	Crowley	7	0	0	0	1	0	84
	Otero	1	11	2	0	2	0	203
	Total	8	13	2	0	3	0	343
17	Adams	3	125	30	2	26	0	3,671
	Broomfield	0	11	2	0	1	0	305
	Total	3	136	32	2	27	0	3,976
18	Arapahoe	4	96	13	4	62	0	3,094
	Douglas	0	30	6	1	6	0	841
	Elbert	1	1	1	1	0	0	77
	Lincoln	1	3	0	0	4	0	65
	Total	6	130	20	6	72	0	4,077
19	Weld	9	87	8	3	12	0	2,489
20	Boulder	4	96	4	1	0	0	2,014
21	Mesa	16	64	7	4	36	0	1,821
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	1	0	12
	Montezuma	1	6	1	2	8	0	255
	Total	1	6	1	3	9	0	267
State Total		92	1,398	193	50	479	0	39,464
Percent of State Total		0%	4%	0%	0%	1%	0%	100%

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Abandonment	Abuse or Mistreatment	Administrative Paternity Order	Administrative Support Order	Consent for Marriage
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	4	0
	Jefferson	0	0	220	707	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>220</i>	<i>711</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	627	1,019	2
3	Huerfano	0	0	6	20	0
	Las Animas	0	0	11	44	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>17</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	0	213	326	1
	Teller	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>328</i>	<i>1</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	3	8	0
	Eagle	1	0	3	30	0
	Lake	0	0	0	1	0
	Summit	0	0	2	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>43</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	2	14	0
	La Plata	0	0	14	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	0	2	32	2
	Gunnison	0	0	1	7	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	34	27	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	2	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>37</i>	<i>69</i>	<i>2</i>
	8	Jackson	0	0	0	2
Larimer		0	0	208	271	0
<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>273</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	26	64	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	2	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	0	57	189	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	4	21	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	42	104	0
	Park	0	3	1	4	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>129</i>	<i>0</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Child in Need of Supervision	Dependency & Neglect	Expedited Placement	Juvenile Expungement	Grandparent Visitation
1	Gilpin	0	6	2	0	0
	Jefferson	0	171	226	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>177</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	254	481	7	0
3	Huerfano	0	3	8	0	0
	Las Animas	0	7	7	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	0	272	337	79	0
	Teller	0	29	10	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>301</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	3	4	1	0
	Eagle	0	5	0	0	0
	Lake	0	4	2	0	0
	Summit	0	3	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	4	3	0	0
	La Plata	0	11	11	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	15	10	0	0
	Gunnison	0	6	7	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	2	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	26	14	0	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
	8	Jackson	0	0	0	0
Larimer		0	64	114	0	0
<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>64</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	0	14	9	0	0
	Pitkin	0	1	2	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	12	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	0	66	117	3	2
11	Chaffee	0	2	3	0	0
	Custer	0	2	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	28	14	10	0
	Park	0	3	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>18</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Lack of Proper Care	Child in Need of Oversight	Juvenile Other	Petition for Review of Need for Placement	Paternity	Support
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Jefferson	0	0	0	0	22	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>2</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	43	4	36	1
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	2	7
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>7</i>
4	El Paso	0	0	9	2	220	100
	Teller	0	0	2	2	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>221</i>	<i>104</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Eagle	0	0	0	2	1	3
	Lake	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Summit	0	0	2	0	7	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>3</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	1	0
	La Plata	0	0	1	5	2	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	0	0	0	4	12	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Ouray	0	0	1	1	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>1</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	0	3	2	16	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>16</i>	<i>2</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	4	0	1	1
	Pitkin	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1</i>
10	Pueblo	0	0	2	8	50	28
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	3	1
	Custer	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Fremont	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	2	0	0	9
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>12</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Truancy	Adoption	Confidential Intermediary	Juvenile Relinquishment	Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	13	3	16
	Jefferson	532	220	9	0	2,108	1,349	3,457
	Total	532	220	9	0	2,121	1,352	3,473
2	Denver Juvenile	461	378	59	0	3,372	1,552	4,924
3	Huerfano	9	0	0	0	48	38	86
	Las Animas	16	0	0	0	94	29	123
	Total	25	0	0	0	142	67	209
4	El Paso	472	375	0	0	2,406	1,671	4,077
	Teller	10	0	0	0	60	63	123
	Total	482	375	0	0	2,466	1,734	4,200
5	Clear Creek	0	30	0	0	50	11	61
	Eagle	5	0	0	0	50	132	182
	Lake	4	0	0	0	12	21	33
	Summit	0	0	0	0	21	60	81
	Total	9	30	0	0	133	224	357
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	24	87	111
	La Plata	3	0	0	0	47	92	139
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Total	3	0	0	0	71	182	253
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	77	92	169
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	22	27	49
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	3	0	3
	Montrose	65	14	1	7	190	173	363
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	5	6	11
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	4	7	11
	Total	65	15	1	7	301	305	606
8	Jackson	0	1	0	0	3	4	7
	Larimer	50	186	3	62	981	1,328	2,309
	Total	50	187	3	62	984	1,332	2,316
9	Garfield	23	0	0	0	142	153	295
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	4	16	20
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	18	18	36
	Total	23	0	0	0	164	187	351
10	Pueblo	222	76	1	5	826	364	1,190
11	Chaffee	2	0	0	0	36	40	76
	Custer	0	0	0	0	5	10	15
	Fremont	26	0	0	0	224	258	482
	Park	0	0	0	0	23	40	63
	Total	28	0	0	0	288	348	636

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Abandonment	Abuse or Mistreatment	Administrative Paternity Order	Administrative Support Order	Consent for Marriage
12	Alamosa	0	0	19	11	0
	Conejos	0	0	1	21	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	6	0
	Saguache	0	0	1	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>0</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	1	2	15	0
	Logan	0	0	18	37	0
	Morgan	0	0	11	21	1
	Phillips	0	0	2	2	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	3	0
	Washington	0	0	2	3	0
	Yuma	0	0	1	20	0
<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>1</i>	
14	Grand	0	0	0	9	0
	Moffat	0	0	13	17	0
	Routt	0	0	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	0	0	0	4	0
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	4	0
	Prowers	0	0	11	44	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>1</i>
16	Bent	0	0	9	9	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	10	61	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>70</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	0	0	158	410	0
	Broomfield	0	0	20	46	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>178</i>	<i>456</i>	<i>0</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	0	179	556	2
	Douglas	0	0	4	13	0
	Elbert	0	0	1	10	0
	Lincoln	0	0	1	8	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>587</i>	<i>2</i>
19	Weld	0	0	112	392	1
20	Boulder	0	0	57	354	2
21	Mesa	0	0	105	228	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	2
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>2,215</i>	<i>5,218</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>0%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>16%</i>	<i>0%</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Child in Need of Supervision	Dependency & Neglect	Expedited Placement	Juvenile Expungement	Grandparent Visitation
12	Alamosa	0	12	21	0	0
	Conejos	0	6	6	1	0
	Costilla	0	1	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	10	5	0	0
	Saguache	0	3	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>1</i>
13	Kit Carson	0	0	5	0	0
	Logan	0	11	20	0	0
	Morgan	0	12	25	0	0
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	0
	Washington	0	5	2	0	0
	Yuma	0	1	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>0</i>
14	Grand	0	3	2	0	0
	Moffat	0	6	2	0	0
	Routt	0	3	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
15	Baca	0	2	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	6	4	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
16	Bent	0	6	2	0	0
	Crowley	0	2	2	0	0
	Otero	0	34	15	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>42</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
17	Adams	0	129	229	11	1
	Broomfield	0	10	11	18	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>240</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>2</i>
18	Arapahoe	0	130	166	15	1
	Douglas	0	22	21	38	0
	Elbert	0	8	4	0	0
	Lincoln	0	4	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>164</i>	<i>197</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>1</i>
19	Weld	0	69	109	0	0
20	Boulder	0	76	85	0	0
21	Mesa	0	57	52	0	0
22	Dolores	0	1	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	9	4	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
<i>State Total</i>		<i>0</i>	<i>1,657</i>	<i>2,194</i>	<i>183</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		<i>0%</i>	<i>5%</i>	<i>7%</i>	<i>1%</i>	<i>0%</i>

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Lack of Proper Care	Child in Need of Oversight	Juvenile Other	Petition for Review of Need for Placement	Paternity	Support
12	Alamosa	0	0	2	1	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Costilla	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	1	39
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total		0	2	2	1	2
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	2	0	4	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	5	2	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Total		0	0	3	6	8
14	Grand	0	0	0	1	3	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	0	2	0	3	6
	Total	0	0	2	1	6	6
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	8	8
	Total	0	0	0	0	8	8
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	2	1
	Crowley	0	0	1	0	5	17
	Otero	0	0	2	0	6	7
	Total	0	0	3	0	13	25
17	Adams	0	0	35	0	55	10
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	7	0
	Total	0	0	35	0	62	10
18	Arapahoe	0	0	2	0	63	11
	Douglas	0	0	2	0	25	1
	Elbert	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Lincoln	0	0	0	1	0	20
	Total	1	0	5	1	88	32
19	Weld	0	0	12	4	44	91
20	Boulder	0	0	42	0	12	0
21	Mesa	1	0	1	0	8	24
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Montezuma	1	0	1	1	3	34
	Total	1	0	1	1	4	35
State Total		3	2	177	44	636	437
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 19: District Court Juvenile Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Truancy	Adoption	Confidential Intermediary	Juvenile Relinquishment	Total	Juvenile Delinquency Total*	Total
12	Alamosa	18	11	0	8	104	42	146
	Conejos	5	0	0	0	42	19	61
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	3	10	13
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	61	83	144
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	11	20	31
	Total		23	11	0	8	222	175
13	Kit Carson	3	0	0	0	26	10	36
	Logan	6	0	0	0	98	62	160
	Morgan	41	15	0	0	133	84	217
	Phillips	0	1	0	0	7	8	15
	Sedgwick	0	2	0	0	7	1	8
	Washington	0	2	0	0	16	17	33
	Yuma	0	1	0	1	30	19	49
Total		50	21	0	1	317	201	518
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	18	32	50
	Moffat	1	12	0	4	55	55	110
	Routt	0	0	0	0	22	45	67
	Total	1	12	0	4	95	132	227
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	6	6	12
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
	Prowers	1	0	0	0	83	19	102
	Total	1	0	0	0	94	28	122
16	Bent	0	7	0	0	36	16	52
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	28	3	31
	Otero	18	13	1	1	168	79	247
	Total	19	20	1	1	232	98	330
17	Adams	256	267	0	24	1,585	782	2,367
	Broomfield	10	10	0	1	134	97	231
	Total	266	277	0	25	1,719	879	2,598
18	Arapahoe	257	325	0	48	1,755	1,181	2,936
	Douglas	12	0	0	0	138	713	851
	Elbert	1	0	0	0	26	60	86
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	40	16	56
	Total	270	325	0	48	1,959	1,970	3,929
19	Weld	363	145	1	0	1,343	1,285	2,628
20	Boulder	225	145	0	29	1,027	721	1,748
21	Mesa	86	0	0	0	562	425	987
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	4	1	5
	Montezuma	9	0	0	0	64	106	170
	Total	9	0	0	0	68	107	175
State Total		3,213	2,237	75	190	18,506	13,668	32,174
Percent of State Total		10%	7%	0%	1%	58%	42%	100%

*A detailed listing of Juvenile Delinquency filings by case type are found in Table 20 of this report.

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Child Abuse	Change of Venue After Adjudication	Change of Venue Converted Case	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals
1	Gilpin	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Jefferson	29	199	99	3	91	4	83	0
	Total	29	200	99	3	91	4	85	0
2	Denver Juvenile	5	141	207	1	291	0	173	0
3	Huerfano	2	6	3	0	3	0	2	0
	Las Animas	0	1	8	0	1	0	1	0
	Total	2	7	11	0	4	0	3	0
4	El Paso	11	245	164	1	29	0	132	1
	Teller	1	9	13	0	1	0	1	0
	Total	12	254	177	1	30	0	133	1
5	Clear Creek	0	2	1	0	2	0	1	0
	Eagle	0	19	18	0	4	0	13	0
	Lake	0	2	3	0	1	0	0	0
	Summit	0	5	3	0	2	0	8	0
	Total	0	28	25	0	9	0	22	0
6	Archuleta	1	77	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	14	8	0	7	0	4	0
	San Juan	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	92	10	0	7	0	4	0
7	Delta	1	18	10	0	3	0	13	2
	Gunnison	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	3	25	20	1	0	0	8	0
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	4	47	30	1	4	0	22	2
8	Jackson	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	10	101	40	2	54	0	109	0
	Total	10	101	43	2	54	0	109	0
9	Garfield	0	22	16	1	8	0	21	1
	Pitkin	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0
	Rio Blanco	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	25	19	1	9	0	23	1
10	Pueblo	1	64	28	1	22	0	26	0
11	Chaffee	0	3	19	0	3	0	0	0
	Custer	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	36	17	0	11	0	18	0
	Park	1	5	6	0	1	0	3	0
	Total	1	46	44	0	15	0	21	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Curfew Violation	Delinquent Case Remanded from						
			Criminal Court	Drugs	Escape	Fraud	Forgery	Homicide	Harassment
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	60	16	0	14	1	68
	Total	0	0	60	16	0	14	1	68
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	103	8	2	1	11	18
3	Huerfano	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
	Las Animas	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Total	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	2
4	El Paso	0	0	65	9	6	5	9	100
	Teller	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4
	Total	0	0	68	9	6	6	9	104
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Eagle	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	5
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	Summit	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	4
	Total	0	0	9	0	0	2	0	15
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	La Plata	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	4
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	3	0	0	2	0	6
7	Delta	0	0	4	2	0	1	0	9
	Gunnison	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	4	2	0	3	2	4
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
	Total	0	0	13	4	0	5	2	16
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	21	219	0	0	0	1	96
	Total	0	21	219	0	0	0	1	96
9	Garfield	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	5
	Pitkin	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	0	0	8	1	0	1	0	6
10	Pueblo	0	1	24	2	1	0	6	12
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	18
	Park	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	4
	Total	0	0	33	0	0	1	0	23

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Incest	Impersonation	Kidnapping	Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew		Other	Perjury
					Violation	Menacing		
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	5	1	0	0	34	196	0
	Total	5	1	0	0	34	196	0
2	Denver Juvenile	1	0	3	1	29	89	0
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	4	6	0
	Total	0	1	0	0	5	7	0
4	El Paso	4	20	6	0	61	153	0
	Teller	0	1	0	0	5	8	0
	Total	4	21	6	0	66	161	0
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	1	2	0	0	1	10	0
	Lake	0	0	0	0	2	6	0
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Total	1	2	0	0	3	21	0
6	Archuleta	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	4	7	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	1	0	0	4	7	0
7	Delta	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
	Gunnison	0	0	0	0	2	4	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	0	0	4	22	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	8	29	0
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Larimer	0	3	0	0	13	214	0
	Total	0	3	0	0	13	215	0
9	Garfield	0	3	0	0	5	3	0
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
	Total	0	3	0	0	9	5	0
10	Pueblo	1	5	0	0	17	19	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	1	0	0	0	12	23	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	13	25	0

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Possession of	Pornography	Public	Prostitution	Robbery	Runaway
		Alcohol by Minor		Peace and Order			
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	0	0	0	2	19	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>19</i>	<i>0</i>
2	Denver Juvenile	0	0	20	6	85	26
3	Huerfano	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
4	El Paso	7	0	31	0	46	0
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>31</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>46</i>	<i>0</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle	0	0	7	0	0	0
	Lake	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Summit	2	0	0	0	3	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	0	0	0	0
	La Plata	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
7	Delta	2	0	4	0	0	0
	Gunnison	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	4	0	7	0	4	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	101	0	10	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>
9	Garfield	2	0	2	0	12	0
	Pitkin	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>0</i>
10	Pueblo	4	0	8	0	11	0
11	Chaffee	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Custer	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	48	0	0	0	0	0
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Sex Offenses	Theft	Tampering	Trespass	Vehicular Assault	Vehicular Homicide	Weapon	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Jefferson	63	222	4	106	1	0	29	1,349
	Total	63	222	4	106	1	0	29	1,352
2	Denver Juvenile	67	133	0	71	0	0	60	1,552
3	Huerfano	1	11	0	1	0	0	1	38
	Las Animas	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	29
	Total	1	13	0	2	0	0	3	67
4	El Paso	81	335	6	89	1	0	54	1,671
	Teller	2	6	0	3	0	0	5	63
	Total	83	341	6	92	1	0	59	1,734
5	Clear Creek	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	11
	Eagle	3	31	0	13	0	0	0	132
	Lake	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	21
	Summit	2	17	0	2	0	0	1	60
	Total	5	50	0	18	0	0	1	224
6	Archuleta	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	87
	La Plata	8	12	0	18	0	0	1	92
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	9	15	0	20	0	0	1	182
7	Delta	0	11	0	7	0	0	1	92
	Gunnison	0	8	0	3	0	0	1	27
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	3	29	0	22	0	0	6	173
	Ouray	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
	San Miguel	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7
	Total	4	51	0	32	0	0	8	305
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Larimer	28	178	2	96	1	0	29	1,328
	Total	28	178	2	96	1	0	29	1,332
9	Garfield	10	23	1	8	0	0	3	153
	Pitkin	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	16
	Rio Blanco	0	1	0	7	0	0	1	18
	Total	10	29	1	15	0	0	4	187
10	Pueblo	17	58	0	22	1	0	13	364
11	Chaffee	1	4	1	3	0	0	1	40
	Custer	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	10
	Fremont	9	28	0	8	0	0	3	258
	Park	2	6	0	2	0	0	2	40
	Total	13	41	1	13	0	0	7	348

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Arson	Assault	Burglary	Child Abuse	Change of Venue After Adjudication	Change of Venue Converted Case	Criminal Mischief	Cruelty to Animals
12	Alamosa	2	8	3	0	8	0	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	2	0	6	0	3	0
	Costilla	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	12	1	1	2	0	3	0
	Saguache	0	3	0	0	1	0	6	0
	Total		2	28	6	1	18	0	14
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
	Logan	5	15	5	1	1	0	9	0
	Morgan	2	15	13	0	3	0	8	0
	Phillips	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0
	Yuma	0	2	9	0	1	0	0	0
Total		7	32	34	1	7	0	24	0
14	Grand	0	4	9	0	1	0	6	0
	Moffat	0	16	4	0	5	0	0	0
	Routt	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0
	Total	0	24	13	0	6	0	10	0
15	Baca	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0
16	Bent	0	2	2	0	2	0	5	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	13	13	0	4	0	7	0
	Total	0	15	15	0	6	0	12	0
17	Adams	4	90	90	1	199	0	48	1
	Broomfield	0	9	2	0	20	0	7	0
	Total	4	99	92	1	219	0	55	1
18	Arapahoe	2	128	142	1	141	0	63	1
	Douglas	10	76	30	0	19	0	55	0
	Elbert	0	3	16	1	4	0	1	2
	Lincoln	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	0
	Total	12	208	190	2	164	0	122	3
19	Weld	18	150	65	1	83	0	89	0
20	Boulder	15	79	53	1	37	0	54	0
21	Mesa	7	69	37	1	10	0	42	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	19	6	0	1	0	15	0
	Total	2	19	6	0	2	0	15	0
State Total		132	1,729	1,207	18	1,088	4	1,059	8
Percent of State Total		1%	13%	9%	0%	8%	0%	8%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Curfew Violation	Delinquent Case Remanded from	Drugs	Escape	Fraud	Forgery	Homicide	Harassment
			Criminal Court						
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Costilla	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	6
	Saguache	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	0	17	1	0	1	1	14
13	Kit Carson	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	Logan	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	2
	Morgan	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	9
14	Grand	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Routt	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	0	9	1	0	2	0	4
15	Baca	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	3
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	5
	Total	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	5
17	Adams	0	0	49	0	2	4	4	21
	Broomfield	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
	Total	0	0	55	0	2	4	4	27
18	Arapahoe	0	0	81	10	0	9	5	17
	Douglas	0	0	87	1	1	6	1	45
	Elbert	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	0	0	173	11	1	15	6	65
19	Weld	0	0	113	0	0	1	1	29
20	Boulder	0	0	78	2	1	3	0	51
21	Mesa	0	0	20	13	0	1	0	15
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
	Total	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
State Total		0	22	1,029	72	15	60	44	590
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	0%	0%	4%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Incest	Impersonation	Kidnapping	Municipal Charge Other Than Curfew			Perjury
					Violation	Menacing	Other	
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	2	4	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	1	0	0	0	1	4	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Total	1	0	0	0	4	10	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	3	5	0
	Morgan	0	1	0	0	3	13	0
	Phillips	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Total	0	1	1	0	8	20	0	
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Moffat	4	0	0	0	1	1	0
	Routt	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
	Total	4	0	0	0	3	3	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Otero	0	0	0	0	4	6	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	4	9	0
17	Adams	0	1	0	0	16	38	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	9	5	0
	Total	0	1	0	0	25	43	0
18	Arapahoe	0	5	2	0	30	119	0
	Douglas	0	3	3	0	14	73	0
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	1	4	1
	Lincoln	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
	Total	0	8	5	0	46	199	1
19	Weld	0	9	0	0	32	246	0
20	Boulder	1	8	2	0	23	47	0
21	Mesa	3	10	2	0	17	40	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	0	0	0	10	14	0
	Total	0	0	0	0	10	14	0
State Total		22	74	19	1	375	1,406	1
Percent of State Total		0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	10%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Possession of	Pornography	Public	Prostitution	Robbery	Runaway
		Alcohol by Minor		Peace and Order			
12	Alamosa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	32	0	3	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	32	0	4	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Logan	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	2	0	1	0
14	Grand	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	0	1	0	0	0
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Powers	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Total	1	0	3	0	0	0
16	Bent	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	2	0	1	0	1	0
	Total	2	0	1	0	1	0
17	Adams	0	0	9	0	18	0
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Total	0	0	9	0	19	0
18	Arapahoe	2	0	11	0	71	0
	Douglas	13	0	39	0	7	0
	Elbert	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Lincoln	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	18	0	50	0	78	0
19	Weld	6	0	0	0	8	0
20	Boulder	8	0	20	0	2	0
21	Mesa	0	0	0	0	1	0
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Total	2	0	0	0	0	0
State Total		246	0	182	8	290	26
Percent of State Total		2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%

Table 20: District Court Juvenile Delinquency Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Sex	Theft	Tampering	Trespass	Vehicular	Vehicular	Weapon	Total
		Offenses				Assault	Homicide		
12	Alamosa	3	3	0	1	0	0	2	42
	Conejos	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	19
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Mineral	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Rio Grande	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	83
	Saguache	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	20
	Total		5	12	0	2	0	0	2
13	Kit Carson	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Logan	2	5	0	1	0	0	2	62
	Morgan	5	7	1	1	0	0	1	84
	Phillips	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	8
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Washington	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	17
	Yuma	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	19
Total		14	18	1	5	0	0	3	201
14	Grand	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	32
	Moffat	1	9	1	7	0	0	2	55
	Routt	1	18	0	5	0	0	0	45
	Total		2	32	1	12	0	0	3
15	Baca	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	Cheyenne	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	19
	Total		4	3	0	1	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	16
	Crowley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Otero	2	11	0	3	0	0	1	79
	Total		4	11	0	6	0	0	1
17	Adams	36	72	0	60	0	0	19	782
	Broomfield	9	13	0	10	0	0	0	97
	Total		45	85	0	70	0	0	19
18	Arapahoe	52	189	3	55	2	1	39	1,181
	Douglas	30	144	1	37	0	0	18	713
	Elbert	5	14	0	0	0	0	0	60
	Lincoln	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	16
	Total		87	348	4	94	2	1	57
19	Weld	34	256	3	116	0	0	25	1,285
20	Boulder	33	143	0	50	0	0	10	721
21	Mesa	23	69	5	34	0	0	6	425
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Montezuma	7	18	0	1	0	0	4	106
	Total		7	18	0	1	0	0	4
State Total		558	2,126	28	878	6	1	344	13,668
Percent of State Total		4%	16%	0%	6%	0%	0%	3%	100%

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Evaluation	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6
	Jefferson	17	4	10	2	1	0	427	0	461
	<i>Total</i>	17	4	10	2	1	0	429	4	467
2	Denver Probate	45	2	10	0	0	2	994	0	1,053
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Las Animas	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	9
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	9
4	El Paso	14	1	3	1	0	0	453	0	472
	Teller	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
	<i>Total</i>	14	1	3	1	0	0	458	0	477
5	Clear Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Eagle	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	14
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	13
	La Plata	1	1	1	0	1	0	20	37	61
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	1	1	0	1	0	20	49	74
7	Delta	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	4
	Gunnison	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	5
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	6
	Ouray	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	<i>Total</i>	3	0	0	1	0	1	5	6	16
8	Jackson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	8	1	2	1	0	0	2	302	316
	<i>Total</i>	8	1	2	1	0	0	2	302	316
9	Garfield	0	1	0	0	1	0	8	1	11
	Pitkin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	0	0	1	0	8	1	11
10	Pueblo	14	4	0	15	1	106	144	2	286
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
	Custer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Fremont	0	2	0	0	0	3	15	0	20
	Park	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	7
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	0	0	0	3	17	10	32

Table 21: District Court Mental Health Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Alcohol /Drugs	Developmentally Disabled	Long Term Evaluation	Long Term Certification	Long Term Change of Venue	Other	Short Term	72 Hour Hold	Total
12	Alamosa	8	0	3	0	2	0	6	5	24
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	Costilla	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	8
	Saguache	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3
	Total	8	0	3	0	2	2	14	11	40
13	Kit Carson	0	0	7	0	0	0	3	9	19
	Logan	5	0	16	0	1	0	6	48	76
	Morgan	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	24	32
	Phillips	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	Washington	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Yuma	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	8
	Total	10	0	28	0	1	0	15	87	141
14	Grand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Moffat	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Routt	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
15	Baca	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	4
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Kiowa	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Prowers	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	7
	Total	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	6	15
16	Bent	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	9
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Otero	0	6	1	0	0	0	24	0	31
	Total	1	6	1	0	0	0	34	0	42
17	Adams	12	3	1	8	1	0	368	0	393
	Broomfield	0	0	0	0	0	1	27	0	28
	Total	12	3	1	8	1	1	395	0	421
18	Arapahoe	22	0	2	2	1	3	482	0	512
	Douglas	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	135	142
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	7
	Lincoln	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	6
	Total	24	0	3	2	1	3	491	143	667
19	Weld	11	0	0	2	0	0	145	1	159
20	Boulder	2	0	1	15	0	0	355	8	381
21	Mesa	5	5	1	1	1	0	143	3	159
22	Dolores	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	9	11
	Total	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	9	11
State Total		176	32	66	49	11	118	3,691	652	4,795
Percent State Total		4%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	77%	14%	100%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Special Administrator Formal	Special Administrator Informal	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian
1	Gilpin	0	0	1	0	0
	Jefferson	1	7	13	27	32
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>27</i>	<i>32</i>
2	Denver Probate	3	4	11	30	74
3	Huerfano	0	0	8	2	1
	Las Animas	0	0	7	3	1
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>15</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>2</i>
4	El Paso	5	5	19	33	44
	Teller	0	0	11	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>44</i>
5	Clear Creek	0	0	6	1	0
	Eagle	1	1	16	1	0
	Lake	1	0	3	1	1
	Summit	2	1	10	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>1</i>
6	Archuleta	0	0	10	1	2
	La Plata	0	0	32	2	4
	San Juan	0	0	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>6</i>
7	Delta	0	0	1	5	1
	Gunnison	0	0	4	1	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	1	0	0
	Montrose	0	0	1	3	3
	Ouray	1	0	0	0	2
	San Miguel	0	0	7	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>14</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7</i>
8	Jackson	0	0	2	0	0
	Larimer	2	0	7	7	17
	<i>Total</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>17</i>
9	Garfield	0	0	9	2	3
	Pitkin	0	0	7	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>3</i>
10	Pueblo	3	1	19	6	13
11	Chaffee	0	2	5	3	5
	Custer	0	0	1	0	0
	Fremont	0	1	9	1	4
	Park	0	0	19	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9</i>

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Conservatorship/ Minor	Determination of Heirship	Estate	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit
1	Gilpin	1	0	2	0	0	0
	Jefferson	22	19	1	66	55	0
	<i>Total</i>	23	19	3	66	55	0
2	Denver Probate	22	11	11	91	92	0
3	Huerfano	0	1	0	1	1	0
	Las Animas	0	4	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	0	7	1	0
4	El Paso	25	2	0	98	131	0
	Teller	4	0	4	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	29	2	4	101	131	0
5	Clear Creek	1	1	3	2	1	0
	Eagle	4	4	0	2	8	0
	Lake	0	0	5	1	1	0
	Summit	0	0	1	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	5	9	5	12	0
6	Archuleta	1	0	0	3	0	0
	La Plata	5	5	0	5	2	0
	San Juan	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	5	1	8	2	0
7	Delta	0	4	1	4	3	0
	Gunnison	3	0	0	1	0	0
	Hinsdale	0	0	2	0	0	0
	Montrose	5	1	0	6	0	0
	Ouray	3	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	11	5	3	11	3	0
	8	Jackson	0	0	2	0	1
Larimer		9	0	0	23	18	0
<i>Total</i>		9	0	2	23	19	0
9	Garfield	6	2	0	5	7	0
	Pitkin	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	1	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	2	2	7	7	0
10	Pueblo	13	7	0	26	0	0
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	4	2	0
	Custer	0	2	5	1		
	Fremont	9	7	5	6	1	0
	Park	1	1	16	2	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	10	10	26	13	5	0

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Personal Injury Settlement -		Public Administrator Statement	Personal Injury Settlement	Small Estates
				Insurance	Other			
1	Gilpin	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
	Jefferson	7	154	36	10	6	5	10
	<i>Total</i>	7	158	36	10	6	5	10
2	Denver Probate	36	231	45	22	77	20	1
3	Huerfano	2	9	0	0	0	1	0
	Las Animas	0	7	2	0	0	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	16	2	0	0	2	0
4	El Paso	21	173	22	5	0	62	4
	Teller	1	8	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	22	181	22	5	0	62	5
5	Clear Creek	0	4	0	1	0	1	0
	Eagle	1	19	1	1	0	4	1
	Lake	1	2	0	1	0	1	0
	Summit	0	5	2	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	30	3	4	0	6	1
6	Archuleta	0	3	0	0	0	1	0
	La Plata	4	20	1	0	0	0	0
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	23	1	1	0	1	0
7	Delta	3	28	0	0	0	2	0
	Gunnison	0	5	0	0	0	0	1
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose	1	22	0	3	0	1	2
	Ouray	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	San Miguel	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	59	0	3	0	3	3
8	Jackson	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
	Larimer	14	60	15	1	14	11	0
	<i>Total</i>	14	62	15	2	14	11	0
9	Garfield	3	22	1	1	0	2	1
	Pitkin	0	7	0	0	0	2	0
	Rio Blanco	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	3	35	1	1	0	4	1
10	Pueblo	14	110	5	1	9	3	0
11	Chaffee	3	9	1	1	0	0	0
	Custer	1	3	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	1	17	3	2	0	1	1
	Park	1	2	0	1	0	0	1
	<i>Total</i>	6	31	4	4	0	1	3

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Single Transaction	Testate Formal	Testate Informal	Trust	Uniform	Will Transfer	Total
						Guardianship Act		
1	Gilpin	0	3	1	0	0	0	12
	Jefferson	4	30	741	24	1	4	1,275
	<i>Total</i>	4	33	742	24	1	4	1,287
2	Denver Probate	5	62	822	65	6	3	1,744
3	Huerfano	0	1	13	0	0	0	40
	Las Animas	0	1	18	0	0	0	50
	<i>Total</i>	0	2	31	0	0	0	90
4	El Paso	0	36	584	20	5	4	1,298
	Teller	0	0	10	0	0	0	42
	<i>Total</i>	0	36	594	20	5	4	1,340
5	Clear Creek	0	0	5	0	0	0	26
	Eagle	0	1	23	0	0	1	89
	Lake	1	1	9	0	0	0	29
	Summit	0	0	14	0	0	0	38
	<i>Total</i>	1	2	51	0	0	1	182
6	Archuleta	0	0	15	1	0	0	37
	La Plata	0	3	70	0	0	0	153
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	85	1	0	0	197
7	Delta	0	3	59	3	0	0	117
	Gunnison	0	12	0	0	0	0	28
	Hinsdale	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Montrose	0	6	69	5	0	0	128
	Ouray	0	1	6	0	0	1	15
	San Miguel	0	0	5	0	0	0	16
	<i>Total</i>	1	22	139	8	0	1	308
8	Jackson	0	0	3	2	0	0	13
	Larimer	1	12	334	16	0	2	563
	<i>Total</i>	1	12	337	18	0	2	576
9	Garfield	1	4	65	1	0	0	135
	Pitkin	0	0	17	3	0	0	38
	Rio Blanco	0	0	12	1	0	0	27
	<i>Total</i>	1	4	94	5	0	0	200
10	Pueblo	0	21	195	8	6	0	460
11	Chaffee	1	1	24	1	0	1	63
	Custer	0	1	6	1	0	0	22
	Fremont	0	0	58	1	0	0	127
	Park	0	1	9	0	0	0	56
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	97	3	0	1	268

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Special Administrator Formal	Special Administrator Informal	Ancillary Proceedings	Conservatorship/ Adult	Conservator/ Guardian
12	Alamosa	0	0	3	1	0
	Conejos	0	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	0	1	31	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	4	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	0	4	3	0
	Saguache	0	0	5	1	4
	<i>Total</i>	0	1	47	5	4
13	Kit Carson	0	0	2	0	0
	Logan	0	0	5	1	0
	Morgan	0	0	0	0	1
	Phillips	0	0	1	1	0
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	0	4	0	1
	Yuma	0	0	6	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	18	2	2
14	Grand	1	3	7	1	0
	Moffat	0	0	9	0	0
	Routt	0	0	11	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	3	27	2	0
15	Baca	0	0	10	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	2	1	0
	Kiowa	0	0	7	1	0
	Prowers	1	0	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	24	2	0
16	Bent	0	0	3	1	0
	Crowley	0	0	0	4	0
	Otero	0	0	5	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	8	5	0
17	Adams	5	0	5	10	27
	Broomfield	0	0	0	6	3
	<i>Total</i>	5	0	5	16	30
18	Arapahoe	1	0	5	13	22
	Douglas	0	0	2	9	21
	Elbert	0	0	0	0	2
	Lincoln	0	0	2	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	9	22	45
19	Weld	2	1	23	5	11
20	Boulder	4	0	12	19	23
21	Mesa	3	1	6	9	16
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	0
	Montezuma	1	1	4	3	6
	<i>Total</i>	1	1	5	3	6
<i>State Total</i>		37	29	434	219	345
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	4%	2%	3%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Conservatorship/ Minor	Determination of Heirship	Estate	Guardianship/ Adult	Guardianship/ Minor	Insurance Benefit
12	Alamosa	0	1	2	11	1	0
	Conejos	3	0	1	2	2	0
	Costilla	1	2	1	0	3	0
	Mineral	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	1	12	5	0	0
	Saguache	0	1	1	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		4	5	18	21	6
13	Kit Carson	0	2	0	0	0	0
	Logan	1	1	0	4	0	0
	Morgan	1	9	2	1	2	0
	Phillips	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Sedgwick	0	1	2	0	0	0
	Washington	0	1	5	1	0	0
	Yuma	0	0	1	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>		2	15	11	6	2	0
14	Grand	0	1	2	1	2	0
	Moffat	4	0	4	2	7	0
	Routt	2	2	5	3	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	3	11	6	9	0
15	Baca	0	3	0	1	0	0
	Cheyenne	0	2	1	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	2	7	1	2	1	0
	<i>Total</i>	2	13	2	3	1	0
16	Bent	1	6	0	5	1	0
	Crowley	2	1	2	1	4	0
	Otero	1	5	3	4	10	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	12	5	10	15	0
17	Adams	18	1	0	29	30	0
	Broomfield	3	1	12	5	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	21	2	12	34	35	0
18	Arapahoe	24	6	19	74	89	0
	Douglas	9	0	6	11	25	0
	Elbert	1	0	6	3	5	0
	Lincoln	2	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	36	7	31	88	119	0
19	Weld	22	1	1	22	28	0
20	Boulder	6	1	3	28	11	0
21	Mesa	15	11	0	22	12	0
22	Dolores	1	2	0	0	2	0
	Montezuma	5	10	1	6	9	0
	<i>Total</i>	6	12	1	6	11	0
<i>State Total</i>		258	153	156	604	576	0
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		2%	1%	1%	5%	5%	0%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Personal Injury Settlement -				Public Administrator Statement	Personal Injury Settlement	Small Estates
		Intestate Formal	Intestate Informal	Insurance	Other			
12	Alamosa	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Conejos	1	4	2	0	0	0	0
	Costilla	3	10	0	0	0	0	0
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	2	12	0	0	0	0	0
	Saguache	0	4	3	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>		6	37	5	0	0	0
13	Kit Carson	1	4	0	0	0	0	2
	Logan	3	10	0	0	0	0	0
	Morgan	7	6	1	1	0	3	0
	Phillips	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Yuma	2	5	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Total</i>		17	29	2	1	4	2	
14	Grand	0	4	0	1	0	0	0
	Moffat	1	7	0	0	0	0	0
	Routt	0	9	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	20	1	1	0	0	0
15	Baca	1	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	4	6	0	0	0	0	0
	Kiowa	0	8	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	<i>Total</i>	5	23	1	0	0	0	0
16	Bent	1	5	0	0	0	0	0
	Crowley		1	0	0	0	0	0
	Otero	3	15	0	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	4	21	0	0	0	2	0
17	Adams	18	99	32	1	6	20	0
	Broomfield		16	3	0	0	2	0
	<i>Total</i>	18	115	35	1	6	22	0
18	Arapahoe	11	143	32	4	0	22	0
	Douglas	6	47	9	3	0	17	0
	Elbert	0	7	0	0	0	1	0
	Lincoln	0	6	0	0	0	0	4
	<i>Total</i>	17	203	41	7	0	40	4
19	Weld	9	71	28	2	2	12	0
20	Boulder	12	70	4	1	0	13	0
21	Mesa	1	61	6	2	7	6	0
22	Dolores	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	Montezuma	1	13	0	0	0	5	0
	<i>Total</i>	1	15	0	0	0	5	0
<i>State Total</i>		206	1,601	257	68	121	222	30
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		2%	14%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%

Table 22: District Court Probate Filings, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Single Transaction	Testate Formal	Testate Informal	Trust	Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act	Will Transfer	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	8	0	0	0	34
	Conejos	0	1	12	0	0	0	28
	Costilla	0	9	11	0	1	0	73
	Mineral	0	1	2	0	0	0	8
	Rio Grande	0	3	12	1	1	0	56
	Saguache	0	0	9	0	0	0	31
	<i>Total</i>		0	14	54	1	2	0
13	Kit Carson	0	0	19	0	0	1	31
	Logan	0	1	44	0	0	0	70
	Morgan	0	11	35	1	0	0	81
	Phillips	0	1	11	0	0	0	20
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Washington	0	1	8	2	0	0	28
	Yuma	0	1	21	1	0	0	37
<i>Total</i>		0	15	138	4	0	1	271
14	Grand	0	0	12	1	0	0	36
	Moffat	0	3	17	1	0	0	55
	Routt	0	2	29	1	0	0	66
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	58	3	0	0	157
15	Baca	0	2	19	0	0	0	44
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	1	0	0	17
	Kiowa	0	0	9	0	0	0	26
	Prowers	0	1	21	0	0	1	44
	<i>Total</i>	0	3	49	1	0	1	131
16	Bent	0	0	9	0	1	0	33
	Crowley	0	0	2	1	0	0	18
	Otero	0	5	27	0	0	0	80
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	38	1	1	0	131
17	Adams	1	34	254	5	0	4	599
	Broomfield	0	1	30	1	0	0	88
	<i>Total</i>	1	35	284	6	0	4	687
18	Arapahoe	2	30	601	34	0	5	1,137
	Douglas	0	8	134	6	0	1	314
	Elbert	0	1	22	0	0	0	48
	Lincoln	0	1	10	0	0	0	26
	<i>Total</i>	2	40	767	40	0	6	1,525
19	Weld	0	16	229	10	0	0	495
20	Boulder	4	26	343	31	0	2	613
21	Mesa	2	18	206	5	0	0	409
22	Dolores	0	0	7	0	0	0	15
	Montezuma	0	5	57	0	0	0	127
	<i>Total</i>	0	5	64	0	0	0	142
<i>State Total</i>		23	382	5,417	254	21	30	11,443
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	3%	47%	2%	0%	0%	100%

Section IV:
County Court



COUNTY COURT JUDGES

FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Gilpin Frederic B. Rodgers
 Jefferson Bradley A. Burbach (1)
 Verna L. Carpenter (2)
 John DeVita
 Susan L. Fisch *
 Tammy K. Green (3)
 Charles T. Hoppin
 K.J. Moore (4)
 Thomas E. Vance

DENVER COUNTY COURT

Denver Mary A. Celeste,
 Presiding Judge
 Andrew S. Armatas
 Johnny C. Barajas
 Larry L. Bohning
 James B. Breese
 Doris E. Burd
 Brian T. Campbell
 Robert B. Crew, Jr.
 Herbert H. Galchinsky
 Clarisse M. Gonzales
 Kerry S. Hada (5)
 Alfred C. Harrell
 Claudia J. Jordan
 John M. Marcucci
 Aleene Ortiz-White
 Andre L. Rudolph
 Raymond N. Satter

THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Huerfano Gary R. Stork (6)
 Las Animas Bruce Billings

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

El Paso Christopher E. Acker
 Karla J. Hansen
 Larry D. Martin
 Marla R. Prudek (7)
 Ann M. Rotolo *
 Stephen J. Sletta
 Jonathan L. Walker
 Regina Walter (8)
 Daniel S. Wilson
 Teller Jackson L. Peters, Jr.

FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Clear Creek Rachel Olguin-Fresquez
 Eagle Katharine T. Sullivan
 Lake Wayne Patton
 Summit Edward J. Casias

SIXTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Archuleta James E. Denvir
 La Plata Martha T. Minot
 San Juan Lyndon K. Skinner

SEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Delta Sandra K. Miller
 Gunnison Ben F. Eden
 Hinsdale Alvin K. Lutz
 Montrose Diana Barber
 John J. Mitchel
 Ouray David S. Westfall
 San Miguel Sharon E. Shuteran

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Jackson Rex A. Shaw
 Larimer Christine A. Carney
 Cynthia M. Hartman *
 Peter Schoon
 Ronald L. Schultz
 C. Edward Stirman

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Garfield Jason D. Jovanovich
 Paul Metzger
 Pitkin Erin Fernandez-Ely
 Rio Blanco Laurie Anne Noble

TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Pueblo Steven Bruce Fieldman
 Kathleen K. Hearn
 Ernest J. Ruybalid

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Chaffee William P. Alderton
 Custer Peter F. Michaelson
 Fremont Norman C. Cooling
 Park Brian L. Green

TWELFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Alamosa Michael A. Gonzales
 Conejos Mary E. Garcia
 Costilla Kimberly Wood
 Mineral Frank S. Lentz
 Rio Grande Michael H. Trujillo
 Saguache Amanda K. Pearson

THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Kit Carson Michael Grinnan
 Logan Robert B. Smith
 Morgan Michael J. Schingle
 Phillips David O. Colver
 Sedgwick Max E. Carlson
 Washington Carl S. McGuire
 Yuma Sara Marie Wagers-
 Johnson

FOURTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Grand Ben W. McClelland
 Moffat Sandra Gardner
 Routt James H. Garrecht

FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Baca W. Michael Porter
 Cheyenne Clifford E. Mays
 Kiowa Gary W. Davis
 Prowers Larry E. Stutler

SIXTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Bent Mark A. MacDonnell
 Crowley Suzanne A. Grant
 Otero Douglas R. Manley

SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Adams Cindy H. Bruner
 Michael A. Cox
 Robert S. Doyle
 Bryon L. Howell *
 Dianna Roybal
 Sabino E. Romano
 Jeffrey L. Romeo
 Broomfield Amy Bockman

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Arapahoe Addison L. Adams (9)
 Alex R. Bencze
 Christine M. Chauche
 Ethan D. Feldman
 Dana E. Murray
 Anne M. Ollada
 Robert C. Tobias
 Darren L. Vahle *
 Douglas Monica Jo Gomez
 Michelle A. Marker
 Susanna Meissner-Cutler
 Elbert Palmer L. Boyette
 Lincoln Truston Lee Fisher

NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Weld Timothy G. Kerns
 Michele L. Meyer
 Dana J. Nichols
 Charles S. Unfug

TWENTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Boulder David A. Archuleta
 Noel E. Blum
 Carolyn Hoye Enichen
 Thomas J. B. Reed
 John F. Stavely

TWENTY-FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Mesa Craig P. Henderson
 Gretchen Buck Larson
 Bruce R. Raam

TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Dolores Ernest Dale Boyd
 Montezuma Todd J. Plewe

- (1) Replaced Hon. Roy G. Olson
- (2) Replaced Hon. James C. Demlow
- (3) Replaced Hon. Judy L. Archuleta
- (4) Replaced Hon. Tina Olson
- (5) Replaced Hon. Melvin Okamoto
- (6) Replaced Hon. Ellen Haskins-Trujillo
- (7) Replaced Hon. James S. Patterson
- (8) Replaced Hon. Barney Iuppa
- (9) Replaced Hon. Christopher C. Cross

*New position authorized by HB07-1054

County Court

Colorado's county courts serve the citizens of each of the state's 64 counties. Every county has a county court served by one or more judges. County judges handle cases involving serious public safety issues such as misdemeanor cases, felony advisements, setting bonds, and preliminary hearings. County judges also issue search warrants and protection orders in cases involving domestic violence, preside over traffic cases, civil actions involving no more than \$15,000, and conduct jury trials. Appeals from the county court may be made to the district court.

Small claims courts are divisions of county court. Individuals are allowed to argue their own cases and to have speedy decisions on civil matters involving no more than \$7,500. Court sessions are held during the day or evening to accommodate the public. There are no jury trials in small claims courts, and magistrates sometimes hear these cases rather than a judge. No plaintiff may file more than two claims per month or eighteen claims per year in small claims court.

In Fiscal Year 2009, Colorado county courts had 561,374 cases filed and were able to terminate 546,668 cases during that same period. During the past 10 years, county court filings have increased 25.66 percent, rising from 451,897 to the current level of cases filed, with the greatest area of increase occurring in civil filings.

Specific information regarding each of the county courts is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/district/counties.htm>.

**County Court Case Filings -- Proportion of Cases by Type
Ten Year Comparison**

Chart 3: Filings, FY 2000
Total Number of Filings: 446,725




Chart 4: Filings, FY 2009
Total Number of Filings: 561,374




Table 23: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2008, FY 2009
 (Does not include Denver County Court)

Filings Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
Civil	198,229	202,958	2.39%
Infractions	96,483	100,804	4.48%
Misdemeanors	74,136	72,876	-1.70%
Small Claims	12,600	12,266	-2.65%
Traffic	162,729	155,235	-4.61%
Felony Complaints	18,393	17,235	-6.30%
Total	562,570	561,374	-0.21%

Terminations Compared to Previous Year			
Case Class	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
Civil	193,836	200,895	3.64%
Infractions	96,681	99,055	2.46%
Misdemeanors	78,886	74,147	-6.01%
Small Claims	12,778	12,337	-3.45%
Traffic	174,678	160,307	-8.23%
Felony Complaints	18,393	17,235	-6.30%
Total with Felony Complaints	575,252	563,976	-1.96%
Total without Felony Complaints	556,859	546,741	-1.82%

Table 24: County Court Caseload FY 2000 to FY 2009
(Does not include Denver County Court)

	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09
CIVIL										
New Cases Filed	127,017	139,919	151,905	165,210	165,324	175,847	176,244	184,994	198,229	202,958
Cases Terminated	137,436	138,581	151,773	162,492	165,761	174,773	176,714	181,463	193,836	200,895
INFRACTIONS										
New Cases Filed	70,094	70,090	69,800	74,947	82,732	107,780	101,386	95,421	96,483	100,804
Cases Terminated	70,776	73,560	72,824	73,597	82,382	103,978	105,440	95,218	96,681	99,055
MISDEMEANORS										
New Cases Filed	73,853	72,354	72,973	74,367	74,779	72,607	75,703	74,094	74,136	72,876
Cases Terminated	76,011	71,727	75,212	72,932	74,168	71,386	74,938	73,451	78,886	74,147
SMALL CLAIMS										
New Cases Filed	15,568	14,961	15,591	15,438	14,292	13,588	13,380	12,880	12,600	12,266
Cases Terminated	17,174	14,587	15,624	15,036	15,113	14,005	13,329	12,933	12,778	12,337
TRAFFIC										
New Cases Filed	140,183	133,860	138,439	149,720	159,413	167,488	168,155	165,298	162,729	155,235
Cases Terminated	168,898	139,866	139,995	144,555	156,139	161,433	165,823	162,482	174,678	160,307
FELONY COMPLAINTS (a)	20,010	13,445	21,285	18,833	17,554	18,137	21,268	18,510	18,393	17,235
TOTAL										
New Cases Filed	446,725	444,629	469,993	498,515	514,094	555,447	556,136	551,197	580,963	561,374
Cases Terminated (b)	470,295	438,321	455,428	468,612	493,563	525,575	536,244	525,547	556,859	546,741

(a) Felony complaints represent the number of criminal cases, docketed as (CR), that begin in county court. The processing of felony cases varies between locations. The counties processing CR cases hear advisements. Some counties do preliminary hearings in county court before moving the case to district court for completion of the felony process. The case can also be reduced to a misdemeanor and remain in county court. The cases retain the same docket number in either county or district court.

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 25: County Court Filings, Terminations, and Percent Change: FY 2008, FY 2009

District	Filings			Terminations(b)		
	FY08	FY09	Percent Change	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
1	67,091	67,207	0.17%	65,062	63,658	-2.16%
2(a)	195,480	206,932	5.86%	n/a	n/a	n/a
3	5,223	5,681	8.77%	4,940	5,524	11.82%
4	81,579	81,681	0.13%	78,891	85,931	8.92%
5	16,540	17,979	8.70%	15,624	16,584	6.14%
6	7,427	7,480	0.71%	7,003	7,049	0.66%
7	12,394	13,140	6.02%	11,774	11,858	0.71%
8	31,776	31,541	-0.74%	45,027	31,570	-29.89%
9	11,247	12,367	9.96%	10,859	11,497	5.88%
10	22,171	21,519	-2.94%	21,830	21,088	-3.40%
11	13,978	13,120	-6.14%	14,038	13,729	-2.20%
12	9,289	9,176	-1.22%	8,162	8,499	4.13%
13	12,490	12,015	-3.80%	12,450	11,933	-4.15%
14	7,747	8,058	4.01%	7,060	7,308	3.51%
15	5,272	5,398	2.39%	4,977	5,289	6.27%
16	4,472	4,921	10.04%	4,305	4,814	11.82%
17	74,271	69,220	-6.80%	72,364	67,606	-6.58%
18	100,764	101,670	0.90%	93,189	96,241	3.28%
19	29,201	30,137	3.21%	30,671	29,737	-3.05%
20	27,550	25,967	-5.75%	25,772	24,381	-5.40%
21	18,268	19,347	5.91%	19,320	18,958	-1.87%
22	3,820	3,750	-1.83%	3,541	3,487	-1.52%
State Total Without Denver County Court	562,570	561,374	-0.21%	556,859	546,741	-1.82%
State Total	758,050	768,306	1.35%	n/a	n/a	n/a

(a) Denver County Court statistics are based on calendar year 2009

(b) Does not include felony complaints.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
1	Gilpin	179	170	98	98	487	568	195	208
	Jefferson	25,915	25,799	3,437	3,437	7,634	7,507	9,728	9,455
	Total	26,094	25,969	3,535	3,535	8,121	8,075	9,923	9,663
2	Denver(a)	37,178	n/a ^(b)	6,501	n/a ^(b)	21,466	n/a ^(b)	n/a ^(c)	n/a ^(b)
3	Huerfano	320	318	6	6	292	269	487	498
	Las Animas	751	772	12	12	576	582	1,086	1,034
	Total	1,071	1,090	18	18	868	851	1,573	1,532
4	El Paso	32,703	33,401	229	229	9,801	10,595	14,876	14,934
	Teller	721	689	19	19	403	497	683	658
	Total	33,424	34,090	248	248	10,204	11,092	15,559	15,592
5	Clear Creek	233	228	93	93	371	368	1,270	1,268
	Eagle-Basalt	61	58	0	0	108	96	264	267
	Eagle	871	820	423	423	893	887	1,832	1,813
	Lake	305	311	106	106	337	306	319	311
	Summit	597	572	271	271	892	914	1,533	1,502
	Total	2,067	1,989	893	893	2,601	2,571	5,218	5,161
6	Archuleta	313	308	102	102	240	226	504	486
	La Plata	1,435	1,380	56	56	909	862	1,083	1,081
	San Juan	15	12	7	7	44	33	11	10
	Total	1,763	1,700	165	165	1,193	1,121	1,598	1,577
7	Delta	1,096	1,027	249	249	627	617	723	663
	Gunnison	330	312	129	129	408	419	661	651
	Hinsdale	10	11	3	3	10	8	23	23
	Montrose-Nucla	61	72	15	15	66	73	55	56
	Montrose	1,738	1,618	339	339	1,040	972	790	762
	Ouray	69	67	26	26	103	121	337	356
	San Miguel	135	136	88	88	199	220	89	93
	Total	3,439	3,243	849	849	2,453	2,430	2,678	2,604
8	Jackson	26	24	2	2	23	27	44	42
	Larimer	7,972	8,192	62	62	3,770	3,832	5,428	5,273
	Larimer-Loveland	2,765	2,591	0	0	2,103	2,088	0	0
	Total	10,763	10,807	64	64	5,896	5,947	5,472	5,315
9	Garfield	1,044	1,221	232	232	615	585	899	878
	Garfield-Rifle	906	733	0	0	842	826	743	737
	Pitkin	271	252	13	13	308	281	137	145
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	147	132	11	11	262	212	618	564
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	22	22	0	0	150	121	237	230
	Total	2,390	2,360	256	256	2,177	2,025	2,634	2,554
10	Pueblo	10,072	10,002	4	4	3,311	3,061	2,713	2,690
11	Chaffee	428	441	11	11	651	654	3,098	3,161
	Custer	79	78	2	2	77	81	53	62
	Fremont	1,630	1,686	14	14	875	866	1,586	1,686
	Park	556	570	3	3	436	491	844	843
	Total	2,693	2,775	30	30	2,039	2,092	5,581	5,752

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2009.
 (b) Termination numbers are not available.
 (c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.
 (d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(d)
1	Gilpin	10	11	565	590	1,534	1,547
	Jefferson	1,210	1,220	17,749	18,130	65,673	62,111
	Total	1,220	1,231	18,314	18,720	67,207	63,658
2	Denver(a)	1,688	n/a ^(b)	140,099	n/a ^(b)	206,932	0
3	Huerfano	39	46	540	567	1,684	1,698
	Las Animas	87	93	1,485	1,345	3,997	3,826
	Total	126	139	2,025	1,912	5,681	5,524
4	El Paso	1,564	1,640	19,795	22,680	78,968	83,250
	Teller	62	69	825	768	2,713	2,681
	Total	1,626	1,709	20,620	23,448	81,681	85,931
5	Clear Creek	37	43	1,620	1,490	3,624	3,397
	Eagle-Basalt	26	26	206	193	665	640
	Eagle	270	255	2,182	2,141	6,471	5,916
	Lake	24	23	529	556	1,620	1,507
	Total	560	528	6,640	6,335	17,979	16,584
6	Archuleta	50	50	439	458	1,648	1,528
	La Plata	187	167	2,052	1,922	5,722	5,412
	San Juan	12	13	21	41	110	109
	Total	249	230	2,512	2,421	7,480	7,049
7	Delta	194	170	842	761	3,731	3,238
	Gunnison	62	62	508	511	2,098	1,955
	Hinsdale	2	3	9	9	57	54
	Montrose-Nucla	5	5	75	73	277	279
	Montrose	195	204	1,267	1,221	5,369	4,777
	Ouray	18	17	173	192	726	753
	San Miguel	51	41	320	312	882	802
	Total	527	502	3,194	3,079	13,140	11,858
8	Jackson	5	5	63	56	163	154
	Larimer	523	543	5,244	5,400	22,999	23,240
	Larimer-Loveland	166	165	3,345	3,332	8,379	8,176
	Total	694	713	8,652	8,788	31,541	31,570
9	Garfield	178	149	1,639	1,481	4,607	4,314
	Garfield-Rifle	135	135	1,272	1,263	3,898	3,694
	Pitkin	95	87	493	470	1,317	1,235
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	30	26	757	682	1,825	1,616
	Total	470	420	4,440	4,138	12,367	11,497
10	Pueblo	589	562	4,830	4,773	21,519	21,088
11	Chaffee	58	58	422	488	4,668	4,802
	Custer	12	13	30	35	253	269
	Fremont	141	148	1,464	1,526	5,710	5,912
	Park	44	42	606	800	2,489	2,746
	Total	255	261	2,522	2,849	13,120	13,729

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2009.
 (b) Termination numbers are not available.
 (c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.
 (d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Civil		Felony		Misdemeanor		Infractions	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.
12	Alamosa	654	671	299	299	545	500	623	632
	Conejos	214	202	89	89	167	190	306	310
	Costilla	132	119	34	34	140	183	692	692
	Mineral	14	13	0	0	30	26	30	26
	Rio Grande	450	414	177	177	333	340	489	519
	Saguache	123	128	73	73	175	179	443	455
	Total	1,587	1,547	672	672	1,390	1,418	2,583	2,634
13	Kit Carson	245	224	67	67	223	207	771	811
	Logan	1,035	1,089	19	19	448	424	1,122	1,145
	Morgan	1,410	1,343	19	19	787	733	1,140	1,141
	Phillips	108	120	2	2	46	39	29	32
	Sedgwick	112	112	0	0	22	20	74	72
	Washington	154	160	4	4	70	74	196	212
	Yuma	303	328	6	6	128	128	154	152
	Total	3,367	3,376	117	117	1,724	1,625	3,486	3,565
14	Grand	449	452	174	174	493	516	442	419
	Moffat	530	496	261	261	382	374	477	489
	Routt	413	411	216	216	828	839	800	800
	Total	1,392	1,359	651	651	1,703	1,729	1,719	1,708
15	Baca	190	167	3	3	97	108	335	346
	Cheyenne	47	43	0	0	28	45	265	261
	Kiowa	49	57	0	0	31	21	458	439
	Prowers	570	617	2	2	362	364	1,020	1,022
	Total	856	884	5	5	518	538	2,078	2,068
16	Bent	205	212	1	1	162	151	310	289
	Crowley	148	151	0	0	94	84	322	317
	Otero	968	1,015	15	15	685	624	643	619
	Total	1,321	1,378	16	16	941	859	1,275	1,225
17	Adams	29,184	29,270	3,456	3,456	5,644	5,585	6,940	7,058
	Broomfield	1,974	1,968	329	329	584	589	603	615
	Total	31,158	31,238	3,785	3,785	6,228	6,174	7,543	7,673
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	14,800	14,651	2,750	2,750	2,456	2,729	6,318	6,164
	Arapahoe-Littleton	19,695	19,638	0	0	2,397	3,249	1,652	1,623
	Douglas	8,289	6,436	748	748	2,951	2,974	7,835	7,095
	Elbert	507	463	66	66	228	241	1,623	1,336
	Lincoln	160	176	57	57	148	151	448	442
	Total	43,451	41,364	3,621	3,621	8,180	9,344	17,876	16,660
19	Weld	11,179	10,917	152	152	3,967	3,948	4,531	4,473
20	Boulder	6,100	6,046	1,821	1,821	3,657	3,684	2,472	2,439
	Boulder-Longmont	1,991	1,968	0	0	1,106	1,091	606	600
	Total	8,091	8,014	1,821	1,821	4,763	4,775	3,078	3,039
21	Mesa	5,819	5,894	109	109	3,922	3,787	3,167	3,063
22	Dolores	43	43	10	10	45	42	92	91
	Montezuma	918	856	214	214	632	643	427	416
	Total	961	899	224	224	677	685	519	507
State Total Without Denver County Court		202,958	200,895	17,235	17,235	72,876	74,147	100,804	99,055
State Total With Denver County Court		240,136	n/a^(b)	23,736	n/a^(b)	94,342	n/a^(b)	n/a^(c)	n/a^(b)

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2009.
 (b) Termination numbers are not available.
 (c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.
 (d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 26: County Court Filings and Terminations, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Small Claims		Traffic		Total	
		Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.	Filings	Term.(d)
12	Alamosa	60	67	810	782	2,991	2,652
	Conejos	23	26	253	311	1,052	1,039
	Costilla	11	11	282	228	1,291	1,233
	Mineral	1	1	149	145	224	211
	Rio Grande	42	45	857	820	2,348	2,138
	Saguache	20	22	436	442	1,270	1,226
	Total	157	172	2,787	2,728	9,176	8,499
13	Kit Carson	20	22	434	432	1,760	1,696
	Logan	48	49	684	759	3,356	3,466
	Morgan	86	77	1,381	1,358	4,823	4,652
	Phillips	20	19	55	65	260	275
	Sedgwick	9	9	50	59	267	272
	Washington	22	23	175	164	621	633
	Yuma	40	43	297	288	928	939
Total	245	242	3,076	3,125	12,015	11,933	
14	Grand	58	64	720	710	2,336	2,161
	Moffat	87	83	596	557	2,333	1,999
	Routt	116	111	1,016	987	3,389	3,148
	Total	261	258	2,332	2,254	8,058	7,308
15	Baca	34	32	222	220	881	873
	Cheyenne	5	5	113	103	458	457
	Kiowa	3	2	236	192	777	711
	Prowers	69	70	1,259	1,175	3,282	3,248
	Total	111	109	1,830	1,690	5,398	5,289
16	Bent	34	31	195	205	907	888
	Crowley	18	15	156	150	738	717
	Otero	90	91	875	860	3,276	3,209
	Total	142	137	1,226	1,215	4,921	4,814
17	Adams	1,003	939	17,361	19,304	63,588	62,156
	Broomfield	97	102	2,045	2,176	5,632	5,450
	Total	1,100	1,041	19,406	21,480	69,220	67,606
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	1	142	11,837	11,828	38,162	35,514
	Arapahoe-Littleton	1,472	1,391	6,107	6,346	31,323	32,247
	Douglas	486	537	7,481	7,614	27,790	24,656
	Elbert	48	54	596	601	3,068	2,695
	Lincoln	21	17	493	343	1,327	1,129
	Total	2,028	2,141	26,514	26,732	101,670	96,241
19	Weld	687	727	9,621	9,672	30,137	29,737
20	Boulder	440	451	5,564	5,831	20,054	18,451
	Boulder-Longmont	199	201	2,011	2,070	5,913	5,930
	Total	639	652	7,575	7,901	25,967	24,381
21	Mesa	513	493	5,817	5,721	19,347	18,958
22	Dolores	6	8	100	110	296	294
	Montezuma	61	62	1,202	1,216	3,454	3,193
	Total	67	70	1,302	1,326	3,750	3,487
State Total Without Denver County Court		12,266	12,337	155,235	160,307	561,374	546,741
State Total With Denver County Court		13,954	n/a^(b)	295,334	n/a^(b)	768,306	546,741

(a) Denver County Court filings based on calendar year, 2009.
 (b) Termination numbers are not available.
 (c) Denver County Traffic and Infraction cases are combined.
 (d) Termination totals do not include Felony Complaint.

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
1	Gilpin	7	0	0	2	3	1	3	6	11	5
	Jefferson	117	0	34	79	315	37	58	257	503	137
	Total	124	0	34	81	318	38	61	263	514	142
3	Huerfano	11	0	0	0	20	2	0	50	33	0
	Las Animas	7	0	0	3	24	2	1	25	33	4
	Total	18	0	0	3	44	4	1	75	66	4
4	El Paso	123	6	39	81	45	6	20	2,688	213	107
	Teller	2	0	1	5	5	1	2	69	9	7
	Total	125	6	40	86	50	7	22	2,757	222	114
5	Clear Creek	3	0	3	5	2	2	2	54	10	7
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Eagle Lake	39	0	1	1	55	1	0	50	96	1
	Summit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	0
	Total	43	0	12	16	83	13	9	167	151	25
6	Archuleta	3	0	1	4	15	0	1	44	19	5
	La Plata	16	0	3	6	46	4	6	124	69	12
	San Juan	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Total	19	0	4	11	61	4	7	168	88	18
7	Delta	4	0	1	0	24	1	3	255	30	3
	Gunnison	1	0	0	9	5	6	2	74	12	11
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Montrose	5	0	4	3	64	8	1	64	81	4
	Montrose-Nucla	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	4	4	0
	Ouray	0	0	0	1	9	0	1	15	9	2
	San Miguel	1	0	1	1	9	3	2	18	14	3
	Total	12	0	6	14	114	19	9	430	151	23
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	3
	Larimer	51	2	1	33	105	3	7	321	160	42
	Larimer-Loveland	15	0	4	9	32	3	7	0	54	16
	Total	66	2	5	43	137	6	16	321	214	61
9	Garfield	5	0	0	2	15	0	5	32	20	7
	Garfield-Rifle	6	0	6	6	37	0	1	62	49	7
	Pitkin	3	0	0	2	31	0	0	16	34	2
	Rio Blanco	0	0	1	1	8	0	1	20	9	2
	Total	14	0	7	11	91	0	7	167	112	18
10	Pueblo	116	0	1	17	174	6	7	463	297	24
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	43	0	1
	Custer	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	7	5	0
	Fremont	40	0	10	5	16	6	6	114	72	11
	Park	14	0	0	8	16	2	2	30	32	10
	Total	54	0	11	14	36	8	8	194	109	22

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

Table 27: Court and Jury Trials for County Court, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Civil		Misdemeanor		Small Claims	Traffic		Infractions	Total*	
		Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Court Trials	Court Trials	Jury Trials	Final Hearings	Court Trials	Jury Trials
12	Alamosa	4	0	2	2	8	1	2	40	15	4
	Conejos	4	0	7	0	18	8	1	23	37	1
	Costilla	2	0	5	1	8	2	4	29	17	5
	Mineral	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	11	1	0
	Rio Grande	3	0	0	2	4	3	1	37	10	3
	Saguache	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	39	0	2
	Total	13	0	14	6	39	14	9	179	80	15
13	Kit Carson	2	0	1	0	11	0	0	805	14	0
	Logan	5	0	0	12	17	1	5	35	23	17
	Morgan	11	0	1	6	16	0	1	22	28	7
	Phillips	2	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	8	0
	Sedgwick	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	4	0
	Washington	2	0	0	1	2	0	1	10	4	2
	Yuma	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	1	1
		Total	24	0	3	19	54	1	8	877	82
14	Grand	4	1	2	7	16	3	14	15	25	22
	Moffat	1	0	3	6	19	1	8	23	24	14
	Routt	6	0	2	1	46	0	2	31	54	3
		Total	11	1	7	14	81	4	24	69	103
15	Baca	3	0	0	0	5	1	0	34	9	0
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0
	Kiowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
	Prowers	10	0	3	0	15	0	0	18	28	0
		Total	13	0	3	0	20	1	0	73	37
16	Bent	2	0	2	0	16	0	1	11	20	1
	Crowley	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	58	2	0
	Otero	5	0	5	0	26	1	1	24	37	1
		Total	7	0	7	0	44	1	2	93	59
17	Adams	182	0	21	128	156	19	55	355	378	183
	Broomfield	8	0	1	23	26	2	12	3	37	35
		Total	190	0	22	151	182	21	67	358	415
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	89	0	3	27	33	8	34	336	133	61
	Arapahoe-Littleton	66	1	4	33	180	2	26	72	252	60
	Douglas	42	0	17	51	88	15	25	444	162	76
	Elbert	6	0	1	2	16	1	0	61	24	2
	Lincoln	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	10	7	3
		Total	204	1	25	116	323	26	85	923	578
19	Weld	76	2	6	52	324	4	36	252	410	90
20	Boulder	25	1	8	40	225	5	29	99	263	70
	Boulder-Longmont	5	0	0	13	68	1	5	35	74	18
		Total	30	1	8	53	293	6	34	134	337
21	Mesa	67	1	23	49	68	12	25	240	170	75
22	Dolores	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	7	0
	Montezuma	4	0	4	9	13	0	5	47	21	14
		Total	4	0	5	9	19	0	5	47	28
	State Total	1,230	14	243	765	2,555	195	442	8,250	4,223	1,221

*Does not include Infractions Final Hearings.

Table 28: DUI/DWAI Filings^(b) by District
FY 2000 to FY 2009

District	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04	FY05	FY06	FY07	FY08	FY09
1	2,967	2,845	3,124	3,023	3,109	3,012	2,914	2,835	2,539	2,659
Denver County ^(a)	4,428	3,523	2,822	3,050	2,424	2,209	2,146	3,130	3,491	4,283
3	441	348	316	287	255	240	217	201	178	207
4	3,393	3,532	3,420	3,480	3,548	3,552	3,852	3,748	4,177	4,129
5	1,846	1,434	1,504	1,400	1,394	1,269	1,222	1,238	1,082	1,016
6	870	803	737	815	660	799	634	562	476	536
7	734	768	759	658	659	673	712	734	724	749
8	2,358	2,123	2,391	2,574	2,390	2,398	2,409	2,086	2,387	2,173
9	1,078	1,044	930	875	798	726	930	941	832	907
10	1,704	1,420	1,249	1,374	1,316	1,079	960	942	945	965
11	461	558	530	530	538	565	577	445	471	393
12	1,015	837	712	507	560	729	609	414	446	463
13	850	745	732	773	575	526	566	466	448	453
14	554	552	582	527	444	434	507	606	597	540
15	318	281	306	292	201	160	150	178	132	157
16	330	309	301	251	234	214	220	173	188	199
17	3,734	3,513	3,496	3,717	3,920	3,670	3,957	3,538	3,123	3,347
18	4,396	4,133	3,912	4,053	3,848	3,916	3,703	3,874	3,960	3,792
19	1,890	1,895	1,668	1,665	1,471	1,624	1,712	1,914	1,791	1,663
20	2,987	3,353	3,291	2,777	2,304	2,396	2,220	1,960	1,838	1,614
21	987	902	1,093	902	977	1,065	934	864	851	994
22	329	317	229	178	227	186	244	203	282	233
State Totals Without Denver County Court	33,242	31,712	31,282	30,658	29,428	29,233	29,249	27,925	27,467	27,189
State Totals	37,670	35,235	34,104	33,708	31,852	31,442	31,395	31,055	30,958	31,472

(a) Denver County Court figures based on a calendar year, 2009

(b) Filings do not include DUI charges filed with Misdemeanor or Criminal Case classes

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Change of Venue*	Restraining	Forcible	Foreign Judgment	Money	Name			Restraining	Total
			Order Abuse	Entry and Detainer			Change	Other	Replevin	Order	
1	Gilpin	0	0	20	0	141	2	0	0	16	179
	Jefferson	0	629	5,721	4	18,504	229	194	38	596	25,915
	Total	0	629	5,741	4	18,645	231	194	38	612	26,094
3	Huerfano	0	9	18	0	245	0	2	2	44	320
	Las Animas	0	34	51	0	577	6	1	3	79	751
	Total	0	43	69	0	822	6	3	5	123	1,071
4	El Paso	0	2,631	8,088	4	21,022	291	17	56	594	32,703
	Teller	0	81	88	0	522	4	0	0	26	721
	Total	0	2,712	8,176	4	21,544	295	17	56	620	33,424
5	Clear Creek	0	4	50	0	142	8	4	0	25	233
	Eagle-Basalt	0	3	13	0	25	7	0	0	13	61
	Eagle	0	9	118	1	627	25	0	0	91	871
	Lake	0	7	83	0	195	4	1	0	15	305
	Summit	0	13	74	0	471	12	5	0	22	597
Total	0	36	338	1	1,460	56	10	0	166	2,067	
6	Archuleta	0	22	36	0	202	2	23	3	25	313
	La Plata	0	50	126	0	1,023	25	0	1	210	1,435
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	9	0	1	0	4	15
	Total	0	73	162	0	1,234	27	24	4	239	1,763
7	Delta	0	101	61	0	818	16	3	9	88	1,096
	Gunnison	0	14	35	0	242	9	0	0	30	330
	Hinsdale	0	2	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	10
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	5	0	46	4	1	0	4	61
	Montrose	0	121	206	0	1,300	24	1	7	79	1,738
	Ouray	0	9	6	0	49	1	1	0	3	69
	San Miguel	0	9	24	0	91	2	0	0	9	135
	Total	0	257	338	0	2,553	56	6	16	213	3,439
8	Jackson	0	0	1	0	19	1	0	0	5	26
	Larimer	0	219	1,283	1	6,076	129	13	5	246	7,972
	Larimer-Loveland	0	117	435	2	2,138	37	1	1	34	2,765
	Total	0	336	1,719	3	8,233	167	14	6	285	10,763
9	Garfield	0	50	85	0	824	24	3	2	56	1,044
	Garfield-Rifle	0	24	124	0	720	8	2	0	28	906
	Pitkin	0	5	16	0	204	9	2	0	35	271
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	4	3	0	0	130	5	2	0	3	147
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	4	8	0	3	2	2	0	3	22
	Total	4	86	233	0	1,881	48	11	2	125	2,390
10	Pueblo	0	510	1,112	0	8,169	55	9	0	217	10,072
11	Chaffee	0	4	37	0	351	5	1	0	30	428
	Custer	0	0	6	0	58	1	0	1	13	79
	Fremont	0	133	145	0	1,277	22	0	8	45	1,630
	Park	0	20	71	1	361	6	56	1	40	556
	Total	0	157	259	1	2,047	34	57	10	128	2,693

*Cases opened in another county- "Change of Venue" is not a cause of action

Table 29: County Court Civil Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Change of Venue*	Restraining Order		Foreign Judgment	Money	Name		Replevin	Restraining Order	Total
			Domestic Abuse	Forcible Entry and Detainer			Change	Other			
12	Alamosa	0	20	38	0	523	7	8	0	58	654
	Conejos	0	5	8	0	169	2	2	0	28	214
	Costilla	0	15	7	0	70	1	10	0	29	132
	Mineral	0	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	6	14
	Rio Grande	0	6	18	1	378	1	0	0	46	450
	Saguache	0	1	5	0	89	5	0	0	23	123
	Total		0	48	76	1	1,236	16	20	0	190
13	Kit Carson	0	7	6	0	223	2	1	0	6	245
	Logan	0	17	85	1	905	14	0	0	13	1,035
	Morgan	0	51	109	0	1,193	7	2	3	45	1,410
	Phillips	0	1	5	1	94	4	0	0	3	108
	Sedgwick	0	4	1	0	99	0	0	0	8	112
	Washington	0	5	5	0	130	2	3	0	9	154
	Yuma	0	0	9	1	271	2	2	0	18	303
Total		0	85	220	3	2,915	31	8	3	102	3,367
14	Grand	0	11	61	0	329	5	3	1	39	449
	Moffat	0	19	65	2	412	5	2	0	25	530
	Routt	0	9	0	41	309	6	6	0	42	413
	Total		0	39	126	43	1,050	16	11	1	106
15	Baca	0	8	3	0	175	0	0	0	4	190
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	0	44	0	0	0	3	47
	Kiowa	0	4	1	0	38	0	0	0	6	49
	Prowers	0	39	6	0	481	5	3	1	35	570
	Total		0	51	10	738	5	3	1	48	856
16	Bent	0	11	6	0	165	1	1	0	21	205
	Crowley	0	5	4	0	125	0	0	0	14	148
	Otero	0	65	51	0	760	8	2	0	82	968
	Total		0	81	61	1,050	9	3	0	117	1,321
17	Adams	0	594	9,052	3	18,684	172	45	69	565	29,184
	Broomfield	0	47	558	0	1,255	35	2	2	75	1,974
	Total		0	641	9,610	3	19,939	207	47	71	640
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	0	717	5,170	3	8,136	208	37	28	501	14,800
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	58	5,367	4	13,667	91	1	78	429	19,695
	Douglas	0	244	1,700	0	5,984	135	26	32	168	8,289
	Elbert	0	18	67	0	385	3	4	1	29	507
	Lincoln	0	8	20	0	113	1	2	0	16	160
	Total		0	1,045	12,324	7	28,285	438	70	139	1,143
19	Weld	0	412	1,763	0	8,460	80	55	11	398	11,179
20	Boulder	0	79	1,218	0	4,408	140	17	2	236	6,100
	Boulder-Longmont	0	126	208	0	1,493	38	13	6	107	1,991
	Total		0	205	1,426	0	5,901	178	30	8	343
21	Mesa	0	266	844	3	4,469	57	2	17	161	5,819
22	Dolores	0	4	1	0	26	1	0	0	11	43
	Montezuma	0	87	53	0	673	8	1	3	93	918
	Total		0	91	54	699	9	1	3	104	961
State Total		4	7,803	44,661	73	141,330	2,021	595	391	6,080	202,958
Percent of State Total		0%	4%	22%	0%	70%	1%	0%	0%	3%	100%

*Cases opened in another county- "Change of Venue" is not a cause of action

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Violation							
		Alcohol	Cruelty to Animals	Arson	Assault	Animal Violation	Bail Conditions	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
1	Gilpin	11	1	0	9	48	0	2	4
	Jefferson	49	13	10	359	334	2	440	167
	Total	60	14	10	368	382	2	442	171
3	Huerfano	7	0	1	28	1	0	4	6
	Las Animas	48	0	0	22	6	1	6	16
	Total	55	0	1	50	7	1	10	22
4	El Paso	127	277	5	530	163	1	293	286
	Teller	1	3	0	14	36	2	20	5
	Total	128	280	5	544	199	3	313	291
5	Clear Creek	37	0	0	10	53	4	4	9
	Eagle-Basalt	2	0	0	12	12	0	1	2
	Eagle Lake	22	0	0	50	32	3	8	13
	Summit	13	0	0	10	28	3	9	5
		32	0	1	50	37	3	15	17
	Total	106	0	1	132	162	13	37	46
6	Archuleta	21	10	0	5	10	5	3	2
	La Plata	37	0	0	54	164	34	18	25
	San Juan	6	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	Total	64	10	0	60	174	39	22	29
7	Delta	22	0	0	43	22	6	19	19
	Gunnison	7	0	0	23	16	14	5	18
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	0	4	4	0	5	3
	Montrose	2	4	1	46	39	7	21	15
	Ouray	0	2	0	4	9	0	1	1
	San Miguel	1	0	0	9	8	1	1	1
		32	7	1	130	98	28	52	57
	Total	32	7	1	130	98	28	52	57
8	Jackson	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Larimer	257	0	2	244	92	13	59	91
	Larimer-Loveland	175	0	1	93	86	1	55	52
	Total	432	0	3	338	178	14	114	145
9	Garfield	62	0	1	22	18	0	8	14
	Garfield-Rifle	71	5	4	37	76	1	24	21
	Pitkin	6	0	0	36	13	1	2	8
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	56	0	0	15	2	6	4	4
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	8	0	0	8	1	1	0	1
	Total	203	5	5	118	110	9	38	48
10	Pueblo	86	17	0	83	385	0	59	46
11	Chaffee	60	1	0	20	27	12	4	6
	Custer	6	0	0	3	2	0	2	1
	Fremont	10	0	0	37	17	1	12	23
	Park	16	5	0	12	71	30	9	3
	Total	92	6	0	72	117	43	27	33

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Drugs	Domestic Violence	Escape	Fare Evasion	Fraud	Forgery	Gambling	Harassment	Habitual Traffic
1	Gilpin	44	22	0	0	28	73	98	17	19
	Jefferson	1,210	991	31	0	29	7	0	233	442
	Total	1,254	1,013	31	0	57	80	98	250	461
3	Huerfano	35	47	0	0	2	1	0	10	3
	Las Animas	38	87	0	0	0	0	0	20	15
	Total	73	134	0	0	2	1	0	30	18
4	El Paso	1,498	2,572	27	0	62	3	0	392	345
	Teller	0	101	0	0	2	0	35	18	5
	Total	1,498	2,673	27	0	64	3	35	410	350
5	Clear Creek	89	43	0	0	1	0	0	4	13
	Eagle-Basalt	3	18	0	0	1	2	0	10	0
	Eagle Lake	157	144	0	0	6	1	0	52	25
	Lake	39	64	0	0	1	0	0	28	0
	Summit	181	136	0	0	0	0	0	24	1
	Total	469	405	0	0	9	3	0	118	39
6	Archuleta	36	45	0	0	0	1	0	7	8
	La Plata	88	199	0	0	2	0	0	30	4
	San Juan	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
	Total	124	245	0	0	2	1	0	42	12
7	Delta	71	132	0	0	4	0	0	13	22
	Gunnison	39	73	1	0	11	2	0	20	5
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	1	8	0	0	1	0	0	8	3
	Montrose	98	202	1	0	18	0	0	16	35
	Ouray	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
	San Miguel	40	24	0	0	2	2	0	7	6
	Total	263	454	2	0	37	4	0	66	74
8	Jackson	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	446	621	1	0	4	4	0	139	107
	Larimer-Loveland	295	400	0	0	1	1	0	65	83
	Total	742	1,023	1	0	5	5	0	204	190
9	Garfield	85	107	0	0	1	2	0	31	14
	Garfield-Rifle	59	208	0	0	0	1	0	44	29
	Pitkin	14	14	0	0	0	0	0	47	3
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	61	21	0	0	3	1	0	5	0
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	32	20	0	0	2	0	0	3	0
	Total	251	370	0	0	6	4	0	130	46
10	Pueblo	142	905	4	0	6	1	7	39	133
11	Chaffee	56	57	0	0	15	0	0	9	0
	Custer	8	7	0	0	6	0	0	4	0
	Fremont	106	146	0	0	72	0	0	58	0
	Park	86	37	0	0	0	0	0	16	9
	Total	256	247	0	0	93	0	0	87	9

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Menacing	Other	Public Indecency	Perjury	Public Peace and Order	Prostitution	Sex Offenses	Theft
1	Gilpin	0	1	39	0	0	0	0	3	15
	Jefferson	0	5	618	11	0	194	1	80	427
	Total	0	6	657	11	0	194	1	83	442
3	Huerfano	0	6	42	0	0	4	0	0	11
	Las Animas	0	14	40	1	0	73	0	6	37
	Total	0	20	82	1	0	77	0	6	48
4	El Paso	0	47	462	41	0	266	53	86	482
	Teller	0	0	52	0	0	1	0	1	14
	Total	0	47	514	41	0	267	53	87	496
5	Clear Creek	0	3	18	1	0	4	0	0	4
	Eagle-Basalt	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	4
	Eagle Lake	0	2	58	1	0	53	0	4	42
	Summit	0	1	53	0	0	1	0	1	8
	Total	0	6	34	0	0	71	0	3	66
6	Archuleta	0	1	16	0	0	3	0	1	2
	La Plata	0	2	69	3	0	15	0	4	51
	San Juan	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	0	3
Total	0	3	104	4	0	18	0	5	56	
7	Delta	0	0	37	2	2	5	0	9	12
	Gunnison	0	2	14	4	0	25	0	3	15
	Hinsdale	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Montrose-Nucla	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montrose	1	0	58	0	0	15	0	0	23
	Ouray	0	0	8	0	0	4	0	0	3
	San Miguel	0	1	22	0	0	6	0	0	12
	Total	1	4	152	6	2	55	0	12	67
8	Jackson	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Larimer	0	8	296	9	0	175	2	27	259
	Larimer-Loveland	0	6	190	2	0	56	0	11	121
	Total	0	14	489	11	0	231	2	38	380
9	Garfield	0	3	23	1	0	39	2	9	16
	Garfield-Rifle	0	2	71	0	1	25	0	7	17
	Pitkin	0	1	28	2	0	4	0	3	15
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	9
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	0	0	11	1	0	1	0	1	2
Total	0	6	164	4	1	69	2	20	59	
10	Pueblo	7	10	57	3	5	117	1	24	98
11	Chaffee	0	0	309	1	0	8	0	0	11
	Custer	0	1	30	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Fremont	0	0	90	10	0	0	0	4	60
	Park	0	1	24	0	0	1	0	0	8
	Total	0	2	453	11	0	9	0	4	80

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Trespass	Under Age			Criminal Attempt	Curfew Violation	Protection Order Violation	Total
			Alcohol Offense	Wildlife	Weapon				
1	Gilpin	11	3	0	1	0	0	38	487
	Jefferson	82	1,018	166	72	0	329	314	7,634
	Total	93	1,021	166	73	0	329	352	8,121
3	Huerfano	10	26	2	2	0	0	44	292
	Las Animas	5	47	21	4	0	0	69	576
	Total	15	73	23	6	0	0	113	868
4	El Paso	136	845	32	170	0	87	513	9,801
	Teller	9	40	15	10	0	0	19	403
	Total	145	885	47	180	0	87	532	10,204
5	Clear Creek	0	18	30	2	0	0	24	371
	Eagle-Basalt	6	13	2	1	0	0	11	108
	Eagle Lake	24	128	8	2	0	0	58	893
	Lake	10	42	16	1	0	0	4	337
	Summit	25	123	15	5	0	0	47	892
	Total	65	324	71	11	0	0	144	2,601
6	Archuleta	1	11	16	2	0	12	22	240
	La Plata	15	25	11	8	0	0	51	909
	San Juan	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	44
	Total	19	36	27	10	0	12	75	1,193
7	Delta	5	49	7	12	0	0	114	627
	Gunnison	13	51	20	7	0	0	20	408
	Hinsdale	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	10
	Montrose-Nucla	3	3	3	3	0	0	5	66
	Montrose	6	158	5	22	0	0	247	1,040
	Ouray	1	7	19	2	0	0	9	103
	San Miguel	8	14	3	0	0	0	31	199
	Total	36	282	59	46	0	0	426	2,453
8	Jackson	2	2	8	0	0	0	2	23
	Larimer	159	573	51	40	0	0	91	3,770
	Larimer-Loveland	45	243	4	27	0	0	90	2,103
	Total	206	818	63	67	0	0	183	5,896
9	Garfield	19	64	5	6	0	0	63	615
	Garfield-Rifle	7	52	22	7	0	0	51	842
	Pitkin	16	77	3	2	0	0	13	308
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	3		18	5	0	0	18	262
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	8	19	11	0	0	0	20	150
	Total	53	212	59	20	0	0	165	2,177
10	Pueblo	12	309	126	35	0	2	592	3,311
11	Chaffee	4	11	14	6	0	0	20	651
	Custer	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	77
	Fremont	21	139	22	8	0	0	39	875
	Park	3	31	48	7	0	0	19	436
	Total	28	181	86	23	0	0	80	2,039

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Violation							
		Alcohol	Cruelty to Animals	Arson	Assault	Animal Violation	Bail Conditions	Child Abuse	Criminal Mischief
12	Alamosa	34	1	2	20	9	0	18	5
	Conejos	2	2	0	10	2	0	2	2
	Costilla	5	1	0	5	1	0	2	1
	Mineral	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	10	0	0	15	0	0	6	11
	Saguache	17	2	0	13	0	0	4	6
	Total	72	7	2	63	12	0	32	25
13	Kit Carson	27	0	0	18	4	0	8	0
	Logan	39	5	0	20	2	0	24	12
	Morgan	45	9	3	5	26	3	11	8
	Phillips	2	0	0	4	2	0	2	1
	Sedgwick	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
	Washington	3	0	0	5	0	0	3	1
	Yuma	6	2	0	11	9	0	1	0
		Total	123	16	3	70	43	3	49
14	Grand	55	0	0	23	31	6	1	9
	Moffat	4	0	0	31	18	8	13	8
	Routt	12	0	0	35	45	12	4	11
		Total	71	0	0	89	94	26	18
15	Baca	11	1	1	10	0	0	0	0
	Cheyenne	4	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	2
	Prowers	23	0	0	17	2	0	7	10
		Total	38	1	1	34	3	0	9
16	Bent	2	3	0	7	9	0	7	4
	Crowley	1	0	0	11	0	0	2	2
	Otero	34	0	0	37	22	0	11	23
		Total	37	3	0	55	31	0	20
17	Adams	560	4	2	108	221	0	293	56
	Broomfield	68	0	0	18	0	1	25	14
		Total	628	4	2	126	221	1	318
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	170	7	3	88	2	1	257	24
	Arapahoe-Littleton	31	1	1	44	31	1	62	23
	Douglas	145	3	1	73	99	4	99	39
	Elbert	10	2	0	13	24	0	11	4
	Lincoln	1	0	0	3	0	5	4	4
		Total	357	13	5	221	156	11	433
19	Weld	306	1	5	203	112	0	95	67
20	Boulder	158	2	4	109	17	7	56	60
	Boulder-Longmont	13	0	9	35	17	9	65	30
		Total	171	2	13	144	34	16	121
21	Mesa	168	8	1	154	447	3	74	105
22	Dolores	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	1
	Montezuma	59	3	0	53	11	0	12	14
		Total	59	3	0	61	11	0	14
	State Total	3,288	397	58	3,115	2,976	212	2,297	1,446
	Percent of State Total	5%	1%	0%	4%	4%	0%	3%	2%

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Drugs	Domestic Violence	Escape	Fare Evasion	Fraud	Forgery	Gambling	Harassment	Habitual Traffic
12	Alamosa	71	104	1	0	55	0	0	32	12
	Conejos	15	31	1	0	13	0	0	6	2
	Costilla	43	9	0	0	3	0	0	7	9
	Mineral	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	33	59	3	0	71	0	0	10	0
	Saguache	23	29	0	0	2	0	0	11	0
	Total	191	232	5	0	145	0	0	66	23
13	Kit Carson	32	28	0	0	3	0	0	9	0
	Logan	34	73	3	0	4	0	0	10	13
	Morgan	144	92	0	0	11	3	0	23	31
	Phillips	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1
	Sedgwick	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Washington	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	0
	Yuma	11	18	0	0	10	0	0	12	3
		Total	232	220	3	0	28	3	0	67
14	Grand	79	62	0	0	6	0	0	12	5
	Moffat	46	44	1	1	0	0	0	9	1
	Routt	127	70	0	0	2	0	0	20	0
		Total	252	176	1	1	8	0	0	41
15	Baca	24	18	0	0	1	0	0	4	1
	Cheyenne	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	Kiowa	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
	Prowers	56	42	0	0	18	0	0	6	20
		Total	93	64	0	0	20	0	0	11
16	Bent	14	25	1	0	0	0	0	11	1
	Crowley	20	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	2
	Otero	24	153	0	0	5	2	0	34	28
		Total	58	182	1	0	5	2	0	52
17	Adams	727	1,372	2	0	4	5	0	49	432
	Broomfield	51	132	0	0	0	0	0	10	61
		Total	778	1,504	2	0	4	5	0	59
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	263	41	6	0	4	0	0	53	174
	Arapahoe-Littleton	218	605	0	0	3	1	0	25	106
	Douglas	261	482	0	0	0	1	0	65	58
	Elbert	15	33	1	0	2	1	0	17	6
	Lincoln	35	17	0	0	4	0	0	7	2
		Total	792	1,178	7	0	13	3	0	167
19	Weld	332	1,047	48	0	5	4	5	75	434
20	Boulder	789	447	11	0	6	6	0	85	49
	Boulder-Longmont	138	286	1	0	2	0	0	28	42
		Total	927	733	12	0	8	6	0	113
21	Mesa	696	636	0	0	1	0	0	101	1
22	Dolores	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Montezuma	50	131	0	0	4	0	0	16	13
		Total	55	137	0	0	4	0	0	17
	State Total	9,478	13,578	144	1	522	125	145	2,145	2,846
	Percent of State Total	13%	19%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Menacing	Other	Public Indecency	Perjury	Public Peace and Order	Prostitution	Sex Offenses	Theft
12	Alamosa	0	3	37	1	0	0	0	9	31
	Conejos	0	1	9	0	0	15	0	2	5
	Costilla	0	1	22	0	0	4	0	1	7
	Mineral	0	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0
	Rio Grande	0	2	8	0	0	8	0	1	12
	Saguache	1	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	8
	Total	1	7	101	1	0	32	0	13	63
13	Kit Carson	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	1	6
	Logan	0	1	10	10	0	4	0	5	8
	Morgan	0	0	58	0	0	6	0	2	10
	Phillips	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Sedgwick	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Washington	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	1	1
	Yuma	0	1	15	0	0	0	0	0	3
	Total	0	4	112	10	0	11	0	9	30
14	Grand	0	2	22	1	0	22	0	1	26
	Moffat	0	4	16	0	0	6	0	2	22
	Routt	0	1	71	1	0	7	0	2	75
	Total	0	7	109	2	0	35	0	5	123
15	Baca	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Cheyenne	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Kiowa	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Prowers	0	3	22	1	0	30	0	2	32
	Total	0	3	41	1	0	31	0	2	34
16	Bent	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	1	16
	Crowley	0	1	25	0	0	0	0	1	3
	Otero	0	5	23	1	0	37	1	1	42
	Total	0	6	75	1	0	37	1	3	61
17	Adams	0	8	700	57	0	87	23	40	144
	Broomfield	0	0	74	1	0	4	2	1	72
	Total	0	8	774	58	0	91	25	41	216
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	0	4	444	23	0	4	0	38	66
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	6	180	7	0	1	4	20	276
	Douglas	0	7	364	8	0	74	0	17	167
	Elbert	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	1	5
	Lincoln	0	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	12
	Total	0	18	1,013	38	0	79	4	76	526
19	Weld	0	10	315	2	0	16	1	30	185
20	Boulder	0	6	0	2	0	300	3	47	539
	Boulder-Longmont	0	12	50	1	1	63	0	9	101
	Total	0	18	50	3	1	363	3	56	640
21	Mesa	2	7	291	5	0	46	1	9	178
22	Dolores	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Montezuma	0	5	12	1	0	15	0	5	12
	Total	0	7	14	1	0	15	0	5	14
State Total		11	220	5,737	216	9	1,922	94	536	3,920
Percent of State Total		0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	5%

Table 30: County Court Misdemeanor Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Trespass	Under Age			Criminal Attempt	Curfew Violation	Protection Order Violation	Total
			Alcohol Offense	Wildlife	Weapon				
12	Alamosa	3	38	0	8	0	0	51	545
	Conejos	2	28	7	2	0	0	8	167
	Costilla	3	2	5	4	0	0	5	140
	Mineral	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	30
	Rio Grande	3	41	9	2	0	0	29	333
	Saguache	1	14	8	1	0	0	12	175
	Total		12	124	39	17	0	0	105
13	Kit Carson	2	21	1	1	0	0	51	223
	Logan	5	44	16	7	0	0	99	448
	Morgan	8	87	76	9	0	0	117	787
	Phillips	3	6	4	0	0	0	6	46
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	22
	Washington	0	10	6	0	0	0	12	70
	Yuma	2	7	7	0	0	0	10	128
	Total		20	175	110	17	0	0	296
14	Grand	7	68	36	4	0	0	15	493
	Moffat	12	77	22	7	0	0	30	382
	Routt	15	244	31	8	0	0	35	828
	Total		34	389	89	19	0	0	80
15	Baca	0	8	2	0	0	0	1	97
	Cheyenne	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	29
	Kiowa	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	31
	Prowers	7	29	3	0	0	0	31	361
	Total		8	41	7	0	0	0	35
16	Bent	0	10	8	5	0	0	11	162
	Crowley	2	1	0	0	0	0	12	94
	Otero	14	114	3	6	0	0	65	685
	Total		16	125	11	11	0	0	88
17	Adams	98	254	19	42	0	0	337	5,644
	Broomfield	2	9	1	4	0	0	34	584
	Total		100	263	20	46	0	0	371
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	15	376	37	24	0	105	227	2,456
	Arapahoe-Littleton	13	498	0	23	0	11	206	2,397
	Douglas	45	465	96	29	0	3	346	2,951
	Elbert	4	33	1	4	0	0	27	228
	Lincoln	5	20	1	2	0	0	14	148
	Total		82	1,392	135	82	0	119	820
19	Weld	33	340	86	36	0	0	174	3,967
20	Boulder	54	751	22	23	0	0	104	3,657
	Boulder-Longmont	31	22	17	22	0	0	102	1,106
	Total		85	773	39	45	0	0	206
21	Mesa	71	633	67	37	0	0	180	3,922
22	Dolores	0	6	5	1	0	0	4	45
	Montezuma	7	93	7	17	0	0	92	632
	Total		7	99	12	18	0	0	96
State Total		1,140	8,495	1,342	799	0	549	5,113	72,876
Percent of State Total		2%	12%	2%	1%	0%	1%	7%	100%

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type: FY2009

District	Court Location	Covenant	Change of Venue	Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	Total
1	Gilpin	0	0	0	10	0	10
	Jefferson	15	0	207	988	0	1,210
	Total	15	0	207	998	0	1,220
3	Huerfano	0	0	0	39	0	39
	Las Animas	0	0	0	87	0	87
	Total	0	0	0	126	0	126
4	El Paso	0	0	226	1,338	0	1,564
	Teller	2	0	0	60	0	62
	Total	2	0	226	1,398	0	1,626
5	Clear Creek	0	0	2	35	0	37
	Eagle-Basalt	0	0	1	25	0	26
	Eagle	0	0	1	269	0	270
	Lake	0	0	2	21	1	24
	Summit	0	0	20	182	1	203
	Total	0	0	26	532	2	560
6	Archuleta	0	0	3	47	0	50
	La Plata	0	0	13	174	0	187
	San Juan	0	0	0	12	0	12
	Total	0	0	16	233	0	249
7	Delta	0	0	0	194	0	194
	Gunnison	0	0	0	62	0	62
	Hinsdale	0	0	0	2	0	2
	Montrose-Nucla	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Montrose	2	0	6	187	0	195
	Ouray	0	0	3	15	0	18
	San Miguel	0	0	7	44	0	51
	Total	2	0	16	509	0	527
8	Jackson	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Larimer	0	0	0	523	0	523
	Larimer-Loveland	0	0	0	166	0	166
	Total	0	0	0	694	0	694
9	Garfield	0	0	8	170	0	178
	Pitkin	0	0	1	93	1	95
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	0	0	0	62	0	62
	Garfield-Rifle	0	0	0	135	0	135
	Total	0	0	9	460	1	470
10	Pueblo	1	0	67	518	3	589
11	Chaffee	0	0	0	58	0	58
	Custer	0	0	0	12	0	12
	Fremont	0	0	19	122	0	141
	Park	0	0	4	39	1	44
	Total	0	0	23	231	1	255

Table 31: County Court Small Claims Filings by Type: FY2009

District	Court Location	Covenant	Change of Venue	Landlord Tenant	Money	Other	Total
12	Alamosa	0	0	1	57	2	60
	Conejos	0	0	0	23	0	23
	Costilla	0	0	0	11	0	11
	Mineral	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Rio Grande	0	0	1	41	0	42
	Saguache	0	0	0	20	0	20
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	2	153	2
13	Kit Carson	0	0	0	20	0	20
	Logan	0	0	12	36	0	48
	Morgan	0	0	12	74	0	86
	Phillips	0	0	3	17	0	20
	Sedgwick	0	0	0	8	1	9
	Washington	0	0	0	22	0	22
	Yuma	0	0	0	40	0	40
	<i>Total</i>		0	0	27	217	1
14	Grand	0	0	3	55	0	58
	Moffat	0	0	7	80	0	87
	Routt	0	0	0	116	0	116
	<i>Total</i>		0	10	251	0	261
15	Baca	0	0	0	34	0	34
	Cheyenne	0	0	0	5	0	5
	Kiowa	0	0	0	3	0	3
	Prowers	0	0	4	65	0	69
	<i>Total</i>		0	4	107	0	111
16	Bent	0	0	1	33	0	34
	Crowley	0	0	0	18	0	18
	Otero	0	0	6	84	0	90
	<i>Total</i>		0	7	135	0	142
17	Adams	24	0	161	817	1	1,003
	Broomfield	0	0	0	97	0	97
	<i>Total</i>	24	0	161	914	1	1,100
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	0	0	0	1	0	1
	Arapahoe-Littleton	0	0	68	1,402	2	1,472
	Douglas	1	0	0	485	0	486
	Elbert	0	0	0	48	0	48
	Lincoln	0	0	0	21	0	21
	<i>Total</i>	1	0	68	1,957	2	2,028
19	Weld	1	0	131	551	4	687
20	Boulder	0	0	6	433	1	440
	Boulder-Longmont	0	0	0	199	0	199
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	6	632	1	639
21	Mesa	0	0	38	475	0	513
22	Dolores	0	0	1	5	0	6
	Montezuma	0	0	2	59	0	61
	<i>Total</i>	0	0	3	64	0	67
<i>State Total</i>		46	0	1,047	11,155	18	12,266
<i>Percent of State Total</i>		0%	0%	9%	91%	0%	100%

Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Motor Vehicle	Total
1	Gilpin	221	102	13	0	229	565
	Jefferson	6,497	2,434	110	1	8,707	17,749
	Total	6,718	2,536	123	1	8,936	18,314
3	Huerfano	161	49	2	2	326	540
	Las Animas	264	139	17	0	1,065	1,485
	Total	425	188	19	2	1,391	2,025
4	El Paso	6,437	3,752	102	0	9,504	19,795
	Teller	247	236	39	0	303	825
	Total	6,684	3,988	141	0	9,807	20,620
5	Clear Creek	364	112	4	0	1,140	1,620
	Eagle-Basalt	30	67	0	0	109	206
	Eagle	553	421	10	0	1,198	2,182
	Lake	97	113	8	0	311	529
	Summit	451	264	17	0	1,371	2,103
	Total	1,495	977	39	0	4,129	6,640
6	Archuleta	101	59	1	0	278	439
	La Plata	423	452	24	0	1,153	2,052
	San Juan	0	0	0	0	21	21
	Total	524	511	25	0	1,452	2,512
7	Delta	258	165	4	0	415	842
	Gunnison	101	134	4	0	269	508
	Hinsdale	0	1	0	0	8	9
	Montrose-Nucla	24	13	0	0	38	75
	Montrose	396	286	33	2	550	1,267
	Ouray	45	18	5	0	105	173
	San Miguel	95	78	8	0	139	320
	Total	919	695	54	2	1,524	3,194
8	Jackson	3	10	0	0	50	63
	Larimer	1,514	1,377	47	0	2,306	5,244
	Larimer-Loveland	1,147	676	63	0	1,459	3,345
	Total	2,664	2,063	110	0	3,815	8,652
9	Garfield	450	370	12	0	807	1,639
	Garfield-Rifle	372	192	12	0	696	1,272
	Pitkin	104	193	9	0	187	493
	Rio Blanco-Meeker	127	64	9	1	556	757
	Rio Blanco-Rangely	58	44	2	0	175	279
	Total	1,111	863	44	1	2,421	4,440
10	Pueblo	1,861	925	40	3	2,001	4,830
11	Chaffee	0	86	9	0	327	422
	Custer	1	1	0	0	28	30
	Fremont	525	196	6	0	737	1,464
	Park	227	85	10	0	284	606
	Total	753	368	25	0	1,376	2,522

Table 32: County Court Traffic Filings by Type, FY 2009

District	Court Location	Driving Under Suspension, Revocation or Denial	Driving Under the Influence	Driving While Ability Impaired	Municipal Appeal (Court Not of Record)	Motor Vehicle	Total
12	Alamosa	266	204	15	1	324	810
	Conejos	71	43	4	2	133	253
	Costilla	90	34	3	0	155	282
	Mineral	15	8	0	0	126	149
	Rio Grande	245	116	0	0	496	857
	Saguache	101	34	2	0	299	436
	Total		788	439	24	3	1,533
13	Kit Carson	152	45	3	0	234	434
	Logan	237	105	14	0	328	684
	Morgan	421	187	11	0	762	1,381
	Phillips	13	12	0	0	30	55
	Sedgwick	15	3	0	0	32	50
	Washington	57	25	1	0	92	175
	Yuma	75	44	3	0	175	297
Total		970	421	32	0	1,653	3,076
14	Grand	172	167	5	1	375	720
	Moffat	175	116	3	0	302	596
	Routt	256	234	15	0	511	1,016
	Total	603	517	23	1	1,188	2,332
15	Baca	56	31	7	1	127	222
	Cheyenne	12	11	0	0	90	113
	Kiowa	49	7	1	1	178	236
	Prowers	241	99	1	0	918	1,259
	Total	358	148	9	2	1,313	1,830
16	Bent	87	11	0	1	96	195
	Crowley	70	10	0	0	76	156
	Otero	387	164	14	0	310	875
	Total	544	185	14	1	482	1,226
17	Adams	6,279	2,916	134	0	8,032	17,361
	Broomfield	870	293	4	0	878	2,045
	Total	7,149	3,209	138	0	8,910	19,406
18	Arapahoe-Aurora	3,529	1,396	26	0	6,886	11,837
	Arapahoe-Littleton	2,096	1,159	32	0	2,820	6,107
	Douglas	2,062	1,037	28	0	4,354	7,481
	Elbert	125	78	2	0	391	596
	Lincoln	112	30	4	0	347	493
	Total	7,924	3,700	92	0	14,798	26,514
19	Weld	3,798	1,542	121	0	4,160	9,621
20	Boulder	1,314	1,190	116	0	2,944	5,564
	Boulder-Longmont	715	293	15	0	988	2,011
	Total	2,029	1,483	131	0	3,932	7,575
21	Mesa	1,858	963	31	0	2,965	5,817
22	Dolores	33	16	1	0	50	100
	Montezuma	333	204	12	0	653	1,202
	Total	366	220	13	0	703	1,302
State Total		49,541	25,941	1,248	16	78,489	155,235
Percent of State Total		32%	17%	1%	0%	51%	100%

Section V:
Water Court



WATER COURT JUDGES

Division One	Greeley	Roger A. Klein
Division Two	Pueblo	C. Dennis Maes
Division Three	Alamosa	O. John Kuenhold
Division Four	Montrose	J. Steven Patrick
Division Five	Glenwood Springs	Daniel B. Petre
Division Six	Steamboat Springs	Michael A. O'Hara, III
Division Seven	Durango	Gregory G. Lyman

Water Court

The Water Right Determination and Administration Act of 1969 created seven water divisions based upon the drainage patterns of major rivers in Colorado: the South Platte, Arkansas, Rio Grande, Gunnison, Colorado, White and San Juan rivers. Each water division is staffed by a division engineer appointed by the state engineer; a water judge appointed by the Colorado Supreme Court; a water referee appointed by the water judge; and a water clerk assigned by the district court.

Water judges are district judges who have jurisdiction in the determination of water rights, the use and administration of water, and all other water matters within the jurisdiction of the water division. There are no jury trials in water courts, and all appeals are filed directly with the Colorado Supreme Court.

All water courts operate under a standard case definition approved by the Colorado Supreme Court in 1981. This made possible the establishment of water court filing standards, which have been reported annually by water divisions since July 1, 1981.

Specific information regarding each of the seven water divisions is available at <http://www.courts.state.co.us/supct/supctwaterctindex.htm>.

Table 33: Water Court Filings, Claims, and Percent Change: FY 2008, FY 2009

Division	FY08	FY09	Percent Change
One - Weld County, Greeley			
Filings	336	509	51%
Claims	1,778	2,219	25%
Two - Pueblo County, Pueblo			
Filings	112	161	44%
Claims	874	600	-31%
Three - Alamosa County, Alamosa			
Filings	28	35	25%
Claims	1,235	96	-92%
Four - Montrose County, Montrose			
Filings	210	203	-3%
Claims	518	599	16%
Five - Garfield County, Glenwood Springs			
Filings	215	195	-9%
Claims	1,189	897	-25%
Six - Routt County, Steamboat Springs			
Filings	126	79	-37%
Claims	573	147	-74%
Seven - La Plata County, Durango			
Filings	104	86	-17%
Claims	247	241	-2%
State Total			
Filings	1,131	1,268	12%
Claims	6,414	4,799	-25%

Section VI: Office of Dispute Resolution



The Office of Dispute Resolution

The Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) was created by the Colorado Dispute Resolution Act in 1983. The ODR's mission is to establish or make available dispute resolution programs and related services throughout the state, as designated by the Chief Justice of Colorado's Supreme Court. The courts were encouraged to expand use of the Dispute Resolution Act by HJR 97-1020.

ODR assists the courts in designing, implementing, and administering dispute resolution programs, including multi-door courthouse programs which provide case screening to assist in matching cases to an appropriate dispute resolution process. ODR also provides dispute resolution services, including mediation and other services; helps establish Colorado's dispute resolution policies; provides information to the public regarding dispute resolution and Colorado's dispute resolution programs; collaborates with other individuals and organizations, including governmental units, such as bar associations, community mediation centers, schools, private and public dispute resolution programs, and others to increase access to dispute resolution services; consults with state and local governments regarding the design, implementation, and administration of dispute resolution programs; and provides dispute resolution education and training.

ODR first began mediating cases in FY 1985, with 54 domestic relations cases. In FY 2009, an estimated 8,886 domestic relations, civil, criminal, juvenile, dependency and neglect, truancy, county court, and probate cases were filed with ODR from 20 of the state's 22 judicial districts. Of these, 6,050 were mediated or provided other ADR services; the remaining cases were withdrawn by the parties for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to mediation. Mediation services constituted approximately 96 percent of ODR's services in FY2009.

Other dispute resolution services provided include parenting coordination, parenting facilitation, family group conferences, settlement conferences, senior judge ADR Settlement Conferences, senior judge ADR screening conferences, and arbitration.

ODR receives a General Fund appropriation for program/administrative costs, and the parties pay the mediators directly for their services. ODR continues to provide subsidized ADR services for indigent parties based on its General Fund appropriation and its continuing receipt of the federal Access and Visitation Program grant funds. General information regarding the Office of Dispute Resolution is available at www.ColoradoODR.org.

Table 33: ADR Services Provided by Case Type and Disposition Percentage for FY2009

Case Type	Cases partially or completely resolved	Cases not resolved ¹	Cases served left with proposal ²	Cases inappropriate for ADR	Cases ongoing	Total # of cases ADR provided	ADR not provided ³	Total cases filed with ODR ⁴
Domestic	2,961	907	490	91	45	4,494	2,257	6,751
Percentage	66%	20%	11%	2%	1%			
District Civil	126	87	46	1	4	264	221	485
Percentage	48%	33%	17%	0%	2%			
Juvenile	107	36	10	4	0	157	65	222
Percentage	68%	23%	6%	3%	0%			
Criminal	200	20	4	4	7	235	28	263
Percentage	85%	9%	2%	2%	2%			
County Civil	163	124	39	3	3	332	151	483
Percentage	49%	37%	12%	1%	1%			
Dependency & Neglect	310	33	26	3	4	376	58	434
Percentage	82%	9%	7%	1%	1%			
Child Support Enforcement	105	19	6	0	2	132	42	174
Percentage	80%	14%	5%	0%	1%			
Probate	2	1	1	0	0	4	5	9
Percentage	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%			
Small Claims	21	5	0	0	0	26	4	30
Percentage	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%			
Restraining Orders	16	3	2	0	0	21	4	25
Percentage	76%	14%	10%	0%	0%			
Court of Appeals Domestic	3	5	0	0	0	8	1	9
Percentage	38%	62%	0%	0%	0%			
Truancy	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Percentage	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%			
Total Cases Provided	4,014	1,241	624	106	65	6,050	2,836	8,886
Percentage	66%	21%	10%	2%	1%			

¹ No agreement was reached or award entered at time of service. The parties may or may not have settled subsequent to ADR service; this information is not available.

² A proposed agreement was reached at time of service, but not signed. Parties left with proposal. Information is not available as to whether this agreement was finalized.

³ ADR was not provided for a variety of reasons, including settlement prior to mediation, refusal by one party, decision to use another provider, and

⁴ Total number of cases filed indicates the number of party contacts to initiate ADR services (mediation, arbitration, parenting plan facilitation, etc.) Prior to FY2008 data on number of case contacts was not measured in ODR reports.

Table 34: ADR Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2009*

Judicial District	Case Type												Total Cases Served
	Domestic	District Civil	Juvenile	Criminal	County Civil	Dependency & Neglect	Child Support Enforcement	Probate	Small Claims	Restraining Orders	Court of Appeals	Truancy	
1	264	29	6	0	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	322
2	295	27	57	0	0	174	67	0	0	0	8	1	629
3	36	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	40
4	1,104	56	2	235	173	176	0	1	1	21	0	0	1,769
5	29	3	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
7	15	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
8	453	10	20	0	0	20	15	0	0	0	0	0	518
9	27	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
10	378	35	8	0	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	434
11	199	14	1	0	8	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	239
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	124	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124
14	31	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	36
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
17	111	1	4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	121
18	810	61	18	0	41	0	36	1	5	0	0	0	972
19	156	1	26	0	2	4	8	0	1	0	0	0	198
20	137	18	5	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	167
21	313	1	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	322
22	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
State Total	4,494	264	157	235	332	376	132	4	26	21	8	1	6,050

*Mediation services constituted approximately 96% of ODR's services in FY2009. For detail concerning mediation services see Table 35 of this report. Other dispute resolution services provided include parenting plan facilitation, parenting coordination and decision making, family group conferences, settlement conferences, senior judge ADR settlement conferences, arbitration, mediation-arbitration, and early neutral evaluation.

Court of Appeals domestic case pilot program started FY2008 with senior appellate judges.

Table 35: Mediation Services Provided by District and Case Type for FY2009

Judicial District	Case Type													Total Cases Mediated
	Domestic	District Civil	Juvenile	Criminal	County Civil	Dependency & Neglect	Child Support Enforcement	Probate	Small Claims	Restraining Orders	Court of Appeals*	Other	Truancy	
1	263	29	6	0	22	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	321
2	249	27	38	0	0	83	67	0	0	0	8	1	473	
3	36	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	40	
4	1,093	56	2	235	172	175	0	1	1	21	0	0	1,756	
5	29	3	1	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	
6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
7	15	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73	
8	453	10	20	0	0	20	15	0	0	0	0	0	518	
9	27	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	
10	378	35	8	0	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	434	
11	199	14	1	0	8	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	239	
12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
13	124	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124	
14	31	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	36	
15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
16	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
17	111	1	4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	121	
18	789	43	18	0	40	0	36	1	5	0	0	0	932	
19	156	1	26	0	2	1	8	0	1	0	0	0	195	
20	124	18	4	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	153	
21	313	1	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	322	
22	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
State Total	4,401	246	137	235	330	281	132	4	26	21	8	0	1	5,822

*Court of Appeals domestic case pilot program started FY2008 with senior appellate judges.

135

Section VII: Financial Information



FINANCIAL INFORMATION

In Fiscal Year 2009, the Judicial Branch had a budget of \$338.8 million (\$233.2 million in general funds and \$105.6 million in cash and federal funds) and 3,359.6 full-time equivalent staff. The increase over FY2008 reflects \$17.0 in salary-related statewide common policy adjustments, \$8.1 for new probation and trial court staff, \$6.4 in cash-funds to allow for the second year of HB07-1054 judges and staff, and \$2.7 for court-appointed counsel rate increases and language interpreter costs.

In FY2009, personnel costs of \$237.6 million comprised the largest portion of the budget. Benefits accounted for \$35.7 million, operating costs \$12.4 million, victims' compensation and assistance programs \$27.2 million dollars and \$18.9 million was appropriated to mandated/interpreter costs for court appointed counsel, jury, interpreter and court costs. The remaining \$7.0 million was appropriated in various areas including federal funds and grants, the collections program, training, courthouse furnishings, leased space and other miscellaneous items.

	FY2009 Total Costs (Millions)
Personal Services (non Judge)	\$201,629,188
Personal Services (Judge)	\$35,987,463
Benefits	\$35,735,348
Operating	\$12,423,070
Mandated Costs/Interpreter Costs	\$18,987,821
Victims Comp/Assistance	\$27,215,160
Other	\$6,880,101
	338,858,151

The Judicial Branch's FY2009 appropriation represented 2.3% of the State of Colorado's total appropriated budget.


**COLORADO JUDICIAL BRANCH
Fiscal Year 2008-09 Collections**

CATEGORY	AMOUNT
GENERAL FUND	
1% Asset Forfeiture	\$ 14,675
Copy Work, Certifications, etc.	\$ 804,984
Court Registry Interest, NSF Fees, and Other Miscellaneous Fees	\$ 82,869
Miscellaneous Civil/Probate Docket Fees and Related	\$ 18,618,387
Miscellaneous Criminal Docket Fees and Related	\$ 1,914,123
Public Defender Fees	\$ 179,329
Supreme Court Docket Fee Tax (Non-Appeal Filings)	\$ 437
Unclaimed Funds	\$ 805,797
Victims Assistance (General Fund Portion)	\$ 1,393,594
Water Case Filing & Mailing Fees	\$ 139,631
Subtotal	\$ 23,953,826
Percentage of Total	12.6%
HIGHWAY USER'S TRUST FUND	
D.U.I. Fines	\$ 1,452,628
Traffic Fines & Forfeits	\$ 10,141,407
Subtotal	\$ 11,594,035
Percentage of Total	6.1%
VICTIM FUNDS	
Restitution (Reimbursements to Victims of Crime for Losses Incurred)	\$ 26,073,541
Victims Assistance Surcharges (for Local and State Victims Assistance Grant Programs)	\$ 16,390,049
Victims Compensation Costs (for Local Victims Compensation Programs)	\$ 12,207,407
Subtotal	\$ 54,670,997
Percentage of Total	28.8%
OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSES AND FUNDS	
Adolescent Substance Abuse Surcharges (for Div. of Alcohol & Drug Abuse)	\$ 70,859
Alcohol Evaluation/Supervision Fees	\$ 5,171,402
Animal Cruelty Surcharges (for Dept. of Agriculture)	\$ 1,554
Attorney Fee Reimbursements	\$ 166,462
Child Abuse Investigation Surcharge (for Div. of Criminal Justice)	\$ 61,221
Colorado Children's Trust Fund (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 320,182
Continuing Legal Education Fund	\$ 362,852
Court Security Fund	\$ 3,397,130
Displaced Homemaker Fee (for Dept. of Labor and Employment)	\$ 107,882
Drug Offender Surcharge Cash Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 4,650,326
Family Friendly Courts Surcharge	\$ 340,877
Family Stabilization Fees (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 2,478,929
Felony, Misdemeanor Fines (Judicial Fines Collection Cash Fund)	\$ 1,805,947
Fines - Parks and Outdoor Recreation Fund	\$ 40,134
Fines - Wildlife Cash Fund	\$ 60,535
Highway Constr. Workers Safety Fund (for Dept. of Transportation)	\$ 82,763
Illegal Alien - Bond Forfeitures (for Dept. of Corrections and County Jails)	\$ 6,375
Judicial Information Technology Fund	\$ 3,263,575
Judicial Performance Fund	\$ 848,533
Judicial Stabilization Fund	\$ 22,857,560
Justice Center Cash Fund	\$ 13,997,721
Law Enforcement Assistance Fees (for Dept. of Health and Environment, Transportation Safety, Human Services)	\$ 2,023,899
Law Examiner Board Fund	\$ 953,340
Misc. Cost Recoveries (Various Trial Court and Probation costs recovered, incl. court share of OJW)	\$ 2,802,064
Municipalities & Counties Share of Fees & Fines Collected, DMV's share of OJW	\$ 9,080,386
Offender ID Fund (for Dept. of Public Safety and Judicial Dept.)	\$ 535,481
Office of Dispute Resolution Fund	\$ 4,402
Persistent Drunk Driver Surcharge (for Dept. of Transportation, Revenue, Human Services)	\$ 1,229,725
Probation Supervision Fees (for Judicial Offender Services Fund)	\$ 11,047,497
Sex Offender Surcharge Fund (for Various Criminal Justice Agencies)	\$ 408,196
Supreme Court Committee Fund (Attorney Regulation)	\$ 6,937,540
Supreme Court Law Library Fund	\$ 480,751
Tax- Vital Statistics (for Dept. of Public Health and Environment)	\$ 78,641
Time Payment/Late Fees (Judicial Collection Enhancement Fund)	\$ 2,799,436
Traumatic Brain Injury Surcharges (for Dept. of Human Services)	\$ 762,929
Victim Address Confidentiality Surcharges (for Secy of State)	\$ 118,513
Useful Public Service Fees Collected (Judicial Operated Programs)	\$ 346,396
Youthful Offender Surcharge	\$ 872
Subtotal	\$ 99,702,887
Percentage of Total	52.5%
TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES	\$ 189,921,745

JUDICIAL BRANCH COLLECTIONS

In Fiscal Year 2009, the state courts throughout Colorado collected \$26 million in restitution for victims of crime along with \$164 million in other statutory fines, fees, and costs. Collection efforts are concentrated in Collections Investigator offices in the local courts and probation departments. Under this statewide program, the Judicial Branch has implemented a variety of proactive strategies and procedures to maximize the collection of restitution, fines and fees. Examples include: investigating offender assets and earnings; issuing wage attachments; locating offenders who are attempting to avoid their financial obligations to the court; intercepting state income tax refunds, lottery winnings, and property previously unclaimed; bank account garnishments; suspensions of drivers licenses; and referral of accounts to private collection agencies. In addition to the efforts of Collections Investigators, other system personnel also play key roles in enforcing payment including judges, clerks, probation officers, court administrators, district attorneys and other criminal justice agencies.


Helping make victims of crime whole through the recovery of restitution continues to be a major emphasis. The chart below shows the restitution recoveries over the last ten years. *(Note: The economic unrest, layoffs, and spiking unemployment in 2008-09 are viewed as being the major driving forces that contributed to the decline in restitution collections from FY08 to FY09.)*




FY 2009 Final Appropriations

UPDATE LINKS ON CHARTS ONCE FINANCIAL FIGURES UPDATED


FY2009 Statewide Total Appropriations




FY 2009 Judicial Appropriation By Agency



FY2009 Judicial Appropriation by Major Program



FY 2009 Judicial Appropriation By Major Cost Category



Section VIII:
Colorado Probation



CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

**FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Cheryl Lammers

**SECOND ADULT
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Fran Jamison, Acting

**SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
JUVENILE**

Shawn Cohn

**THIRD
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bernie Fay

**FOURTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Jack Ruszczuk

**FIFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Laurel Lamont

**SIXTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Vic Blasi

**SEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Carrol Warner

**EIGHTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Paul Cooper

**NINTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Shawnee Barnes

**TENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

David Simental

**ELEVENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Chris Gastelle

**TWELFTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Bill Gurule

**THIRTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Steven Proctor

**FOURTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Dennis Martinez

**FIFTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Byron Hall

**SIXTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Tobin Wright

**SEVENTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Mike Garcia

**EIGHTEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Robin Leaf

Shelly Sack, Deputy

**NINETEENTH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Kevin Nelan

Mary Nagler, Deputy

**TWENTIETH
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Greg Brown

**TWENTY-FIRST
JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Susan Gilbert

**TWENTY-SECOND JUDICIAL
DISTRICT**

Vic Blasi

Updated 9/09

COLORADO PROBATION

Colorado Probation is Committed to Public Safety, Victim and Community Reparation through Offender Accountability, Skill and Competency Development and Services to the Communities of Colorado.

The above Statement of Common Ground was developed to clearly identify the critical functions of justice that unify all probation departments in carrying out their mission. Probation's commitment to these practices requires the implementation of innovative approaches to offender assessment, supervision, victim involvement and services to the community. As new research becomes available and as best practices evolve it is necessary to adapt Probation's practices to better serve the public and increase public safety for the residents of Colorado.

This year's statistical report reflects our commitment to improve results, protect the public, reduce the overall cost of the correctional system and increase services to victims of crime.

Probation Programs

The Colorado Judicial Department administers adult and juvenile probation in the state's 22 judicial districts. This includes 23 probation departments with over 50 separate probation locations throughout the state. The Division of Probation Services develops and publishes guidelines and standards for regular probation supervision and all intensive probation programs. Within the limits of statute and these state standards, each district is free to develop and structure programs that address the needs of the local court and the community. In all districts, offenders are assessed on risk and need factors. Based on this assessment, those placed on regular probation are put on minimum, medium or maximum supervision.

The number of adult offenders sentenced to state probation in FY 2009 was 28,262 and on June 30, 2009 there were 40,968 adult offenders on supervision. In FY 2009, 64 percent of adults completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 2,176 adult offenders were sentenced to an intensive program and 15,587 were sentenced to private probation supervision.

In Fiscal Year 2009, 5,707 juvenile offenders were sentenced to probation and on June 30, 2009 there were 6,947 juvenile offenders on supervision. In FY 2009, 74 percent of juveniles completed regular state probation successfully. An additional 512 juvenile offenders were sentenced to Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP).

Intensive Offender Programs

Intensive programs are created in statute or otherwise established through a specific appropriation and provide the court with community sentencing options for high-risk offenders. These programs are offered only through state probation. Three main goals drive intensive probation programs. They are to assess criminal risk and provide enhanced levels of supervision; target offenders' service needs that relate to ongoing criminal activity; and identify and make referrals for appropriate treatment and services to reduce criminal behavior. Offenders must meet certain criteria, based on assessed risk and need, before being screened for placement in an intensive probation program. These programs offer specialized assessments, offense specific treatment, electronic monitoring, cognitive skills training, educational assessments, and literacy and employment programs. These intensive offender programs include adult intensive supervision; juvenile intensive supervision; the female offender program; and sex offender intensive supervision for adults.

Adult Intensive Supervision Probation

The Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP) Program was implemented statewide in FY 1982, as a community sentencing alternative to incarceration for selected high risk adult offenders. In FY 1997, as a result of its proven effectiveness, the General Assembly approved expansion of the program's capacity from

750 to 1,500 offenders annually. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management that includes daily contact with the offender, increased levels of drug testing, curfews, electronic monitoring, home visits and required employment or educational/vocational efforts and attendance in treatment, as deemed necessary. There are 59.75 FTE AISP officers and the number of offenders assigned to an AISP officer is capped at 25. In FY2009 there were 1,498 offenders sentenced to AISP and on June 30, 2009 there were 1,571 on AISP. In FY 2009, 810 (66%) offenders successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections.

Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) Program was implemented in FY 1991 as a community sentencing option for selected high risk juvenile offenders. As a result of its proven effectiveness the General Assembly approved expansion of the program sufficient to allow its existence in all 22 judicial districts. The program is designed to deliver intensive case management to include monitoring of school progress, referral for remedial educational assistance, home visits, electronic monitoring, drug testing, skill building and treatment services, as required. There are 26.75 FTE JISP officers and the number of juveniles assigned to a JISP officer is capped at 18. In FY2009 there were 512 juveniles sentenced to JISP and on June 30, 2009 there were 440 on JISP. In FY 2009, 245 (45%) juveniles successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences in the Division of Youth Corrections.

Female Offender Program

The Female Offender Program (FOP) was initially a grant funded pilot project developed in FY 1991, to intervene in the lives of high risk, substance abusing female offenders. In FY 1995 the General Assembly, based on the results of the pilot program, provided state funding. The program is designed to deliver intensive gender based case management to include frequent contact, skill building, regular employment or vocational/educational efforts, drug testing, home visits, electronic monitoring and participation in treatment, as required. The program was terminated in FY 2004 as a result of required budget reductions. Prior to the programs termination there was a 0% recidivism rate, for one year following termination from probation, for those offenders that successfully completed the program in FY 2001 and FY 2002. The FOP was re-funded to its previous level in FY 2005 and increased by additional 3.5 FTE. There are 9.5 FTE FOP officers (located in the 10 judicial districts with the highest percent of women sentenced to DOC). The number of women assigned to each FOP officer is capped at 30. In FY 2009 there were 186 female offenders sentenced to FOP and on June 30, 2009 there were 265 on FOP. In FY 2009, 147 (73%) women successfully completed the program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation

The Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) Program is designed to provide the highest level of supervision to adult sex offenders who are placed on probation. Although initially created in statute in FY 1998 primarily for lifetime supervision cases, based on the risk posed by those offenders, the legislature made a significant change to the statute in FY 2001. All felony sex offenders convicted on or after July 1, 2001, are statutorily mandated to be supervised by the SOISP program. The initial staffing appropriated (46 FTE) in FY 1999 and FY 2000 was judged to be sufficient to meet the supervision requirements for the period necessary to achieve full program implementation. The program design includes a capped caseload of 25 offenders per SOISP officer.

Adult sex offending behavior is a considered to be a life-long problem in which the goal is not "curing" the offender, but rather management or control of the assaultive behavior. The State of Colorado has adopted a model of containment in the supervision and management of sex offenders. Depending on the offender, elements of containment may include severely restricted activities, daily contact with a probation officer, curfew checks, home visitation, employment visitation and monitoring, drug and alcohol screening, and/or sex offense specific treatment to include the use of polygraph testing. SOISP consists of three phases, each with specific criteria that must be met prior to a reduction in the level of supervision. In FY 2009 there were 492 offenders

sentenced to SOISP and on June 30, 2009 there were 1,296 on SOISP. In FY 2009 the program successfully terminated 129 (46%) offenders from the SOISP program who might otherwise have served sentences to the Department of Corrections. It is important to note that approximately half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years), so fewer in this group are eligible for termination each year.

Other Probation Programs

Private Probation

Chief Justice Directive 04-03 (originally CJD 96-05), defines the priority use of probation resources and defines the circumstances under which districts may contract with private probation. Part III of the directive states that districts may enter into agreements with public or private entities for the provision of probation services, including investigation services and the supervision of lower risk adult probationers. Although not every judicial district has access to or utilizes a private provider, Colorado Probation has contracted for private probation services since FY 1996, due in part to the inadequacy of staff resources to supervise all offenders sentenced to probation at the level required by Standard. In FY2009 there were 15,587 offenders sentenced to private probation. Of these 9,040 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI while 6,547 were sentenced for some other criminal offense. On June 30, 2009 there were 24,278 offenders being managed under private probation service contracts. Of these, 14,157 were on supervision for a DUI/DWAI while 10,121 were on supervision for some other criminal offense. In FY2009 private probation successfully terminated 6,819 (83%) DUI/DWAI offenders and another 4,886 (81%) criminal offenders. By utilizing private probation for these lower risk offenders, State probation departments can concentrate their resources on the higher risk offenders.

DUI/DWAI Offenders

In FY 2007 the Alcohol and Drug Driving Safety (ADDS) Program was fully integrated within each probation department. This integration provides an enhanced ability to deliver supervision for the higher risk portion of the Drinking/Driving offending population. The ADDS program conducts alcohol/drug evaluations and makes treatment recommendations for offenders convicted of driving under the influence of, or impaired by, drugs or alcohol. In FY 2009 the program completed 26,340 DUI/DWAI Evaluations for Colorado's county courts, excluding Denver County. The total number of monitored offenders is now included in this report. In FY2009 there were 9,882 offenders sentenced to monitoring. On June 30, 2009 there were 13,782 DUI/DWAI offenders being monitored by State Probation. In FY2009 state probation successfully terminated 9,735 (76%) DUI monitored offenders. Other DUI/DWAI offenders who were sentenced to probation supervision are included in this report in the Adult Probation section but are not separately identified.

Victim Services

In FY 1993 Colorado's General Assembly enacted victims' rights legislation for victims of personal crime. In 1996, the Victims' Rights Act was amended to require Colorado Probation departments to provide victim services. Each of the state's 23 probation departments is providing services to victims when the offender is placed on probation. In addition to provision of referrals and general assistance, in FY 2009 Probation Victim Assistance Coordinators sent 16,183 letters to victims of crime informing them of their right to receive notification; of these victims twenty percent (3,224) requested receipt of the statutorily required notification. There was a total of 10,396 critical probation notification events (defined in Table 97) in which victims were notified.

TABLE 38

Grand Totals - Probation
Adult Regular, Private, Juvenile, Intensive Programs, Monitoring and Investigations for
FY2008 and FY2009

	Investigations/DUI Evaluations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision/Monitoring)	
	FY2008	FY2009	FY2008	FY2009	FY2008	FY2009	FY2008	FY2009
State Adult Regular	15,391	14,659	25,778	28,275	18,878	19,651	39,727	40,968
Adult Intensive Supervision (AISP)			1,508	1,498	1,655	1,536	1,490	1,571
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision (SOISP)			453	492	326	323	1,184	1,296
Female Offender Program (FOP)			231	186	243	249	338	265
Private Probation Non-DUI/DWAI			6,693	6,547	5,455	6,090	9,992	10,121
Private Probation DUI/DWAI			7,289	9,040	5,738	8,257	10,310	14,157
State Monitored DUI/DWAI	27,255	26,340	14,672	9,882	15,598	12,945	18,515	13,782
Grand Total Adult Population	42,646	40,999	56,624	55,920	47,893	49,051	81,556	82,160

	Investigations		New Clients		Closures		Active as of June 30 (Supervision)	
	FY2008	FY2009	FY2008	FY2009	FY2008	FY2009	FY2008	FY2009
State Juvenile	2,437	2,153	5,924	5,707	4,733	4,753	7,205	6,947
Juvenile Intensive Supervision (JISP)			479	512	508	555	465	440
Grand Total Juvenile Population	2,437	2,153	6,403	6,219	5,241	5,308	7,670	7,387

Source: Adult, Private, Juvenile, Intensive Programs and Monitoring monthly statistical reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008-June 2009.

TABLE 39

FY 2009
Colorado Adult Probation
State Regular and Non-DUI/DWAI Private Combined
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

Note: Because adult state and private programs are reported separately, this summary table was created to identify termination rates for all non-DUI adult probationers. A similar table was not created for juveniles because they are not supervised by private.

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS								Total Terminations			
	Successful				Revoked				Absconded							
	State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL		State	Private (Non-DUI/DWAI)	TOTAL	
DISTRICT	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%	Number	Number	Number	%
1	922	649	1,571	68%	299	73	372	16%	253	123	376	16%	1,474	845	2,319	100%
2*	1,151	0	1,151	59%	381	0	381	20%	417	0	417	21%	1,949	0	1,949	100%
3*	142	0	142	85%	12	0	12	7%	14	0	14	8%	168	0	168	100%
4	835	335	1,170	60%	333	65	398	20%	234	140	374	19%	1,402	540	1,942	100%
5	470	67	537	75%	73	1	74	10%	109	0	109	15%	652	68	720	100%
6	261	57	318	74%	45	1	46	11%	62	4	66	15%	368	62	430	100%
7	272	1	273	78%	28	0	28	8%	50	0	50	14%	350	1	351	100%
8	921	414	1,335	76%	157	41	198	11%	155	78	233	13%	1,233	533	1,766	100%
9	224	135	359	81%	24	0	24	5%	32	28	60	14%	280	163	443	100%
10	329	138	467	59%	140	3	143	18%	166	12	178	23%	635	153	788	100%
11	405	79	484	69%	113	10	123	18%	83	10	93	13%	601	99	700	100%
12	139	94	233	67%	44	15	59	17%	43	12	55	16%	226	121	347	100%
13	242	68	310	73%	53	21	74	17%	39	3	42	10%	334	92	426	100%
14*	187	0	187	79%	20	0	20	8%	29	0	29	12%	236	0	236	100%
15*	91	0	91	89%	4	0	4	4%	7	0	7	7%	102	0	102	100%
16	105	1	106	83%	13	0	13	10%	9	0	9	7%	127	1	128	100%
17	1,243	507	1,750	68%	408	13	421	16%	340	70	410	16%	1,991	590	2,581	100%
18	1,227	1,241	2,468	68%	453	54	507	14%	544	87	631	17%	2,224	1,382	3,606	100%
19	550	271	821	71%	198	20	218	19%	99	24	123	11%	847	315	1,162	100%
20	450	487	937	73%	105	84	189	15%	89	61	150	12%	644	632	1,276	100%
21	339	321	660	77%	61	20	81	10%	64	47	111	13%	464	388	852	100%
22	124	21	145	66%	34	4	38	17%	29	9	38	17%	187	34	221	100%
STATE	10,629	4,886	15,515	69%	2,998	425	3,423	15%	2,867	708	3,575	16%	16,494	6,019	22,513	100%

* Private Probation Supervision is not available in this district.

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations and private (Non-DUI/DWAI) successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48 and Table 62.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports and Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

150

Colorado Probation: Regular Adult Probation



TABLE 40

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
Investigations

TYPE:	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre-Sentence Investigations		DUI/DWAI Evaluations ¹		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	304	7%	1,271	30%	2,476	58%	37	1%	0	0%	32	1%	158	4%	4,278	100%
2	219	10%	1,780	82%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	72	3%	105	5%	2,176	100%
3	7	3%	97	35%	142	51%	0	0%	0	0%	4	1%	26	9%	276	100%
4	131	2%	958	18%	3,887	74%	0	0%	22	0%	105	2%	164	3%	5,267	100%
5	19	1%	196	15%	1,009	79%	14	1%	0	0%	4	0%	31	2%	1,273	100%
6	24	3%	267	36%	414	56%	2	0%	0	0%	18	2%	9	1%	734	100%
7	0	0%	175	16%	741	69%	10	1%	93	9%	12	1%	49	5%	1,080	100%
8	52	2%	598	18%	2,445	72%	73	2%	0	0%	37	1%	200	6%	3,405	100%
9	7	1%	160	15%	678	63%	52	5%	122	11%	16	1%	38	4%	1,073	100%
10	31	2%	465	27%	1,022	60%	18	1%	89	5%	3	0%	83	5%	1,711	100%
11	41	6%	120	18%	421	64%	4	1%	1	0%	12	2%	60	9%	659	100%
12	3	0%	252	25%	462	46%	1	0%	264	26%	5	0%	14	1%	1,001	100%
13	35	4%	334	35%	482	51%	28	3%	0	0%	6	1%	60	6%	945	100%
14	19	2%	231	25%	532	58%	9	1%	0	0%	14	2%	109	12%	914	100%
15	2	1%	22	10%	183	85%	1	0%	0	0%	6	3%	2	1%	216	100%
16	1	1%	56	39%	72	50%	6	4%	0	0%	1	1%	8	6%	144	100%
17	124	3%	1,162	26%	3,142	70%	2	0%	0	0%	19	0%	52	1%	4,501	100%
18	171	4%	450	9%	4,063	84%	1	0%	0	0%	86	2%	69	1%	4,840	100%
19	112	4%	911	31%	1,635	56%	34	1%	1	0%	14	0%	191	7%	2,898	100%
20	19	1%	316	17%	1,451	77%	0	0%	0	0%	6	0%	83	4%	1,875	100%
21	72	6%	258	21%	805	65%	3	0%	5	0%	2	0%	90	7%	1,235	100%
22	14	3%	180	36%	278	56%	1	0%	0	0%	16	3%	9	2%	498	100%
STATE	1,407	3%	10,259	25%	26,340	64%	296	1%	597	1%	490	1%	1,610	4%	40,999	100%
Denver County ²	0	0%	0	0%	3,386	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3,386	100%
STATE TOTAL (with Denver County)	1,407	3%	10,259	23%	29,726	67%	296	1%	597	1%	490	1%	1,610	4%	44,385	100%

¹DUI/DWAI Evaluations: In previous annual reports this data was in Table 94. As of FY2008 this information is now included in this table.

²Denver County: Colorado Judicial contracts with the Denver County Court for alcohol evaluations. Denver County Court is not part of the State Court data system.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 42

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Court of Origin

TYPE: DISTRICT	District Court		County Court		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,336	54%	1,126	45%	32	1%	2,494	100%
2	2,626	98%	8	0%	46	2%	2,680	100%
3	134	43%	172	56%	3	1%	309	100%
4	1,042	61%	594	35%	72	4%	1,708	100%
5	378	43%	490	56%	8	1%	876	100%
6	236	57%	167	40%	13	3%	416	100%
7	355	58%	244	40%	10	2%	609	100%
8	778	41%	1,071	57%	43	2%	1,892	100%
9	249	64%	121	31%	17	4%	387	100%
10	643	58%	443	40%	14	1%	1,100	100%
11	254	49%	255	49%	12	2%	521	100%
12	211	60%	130	37%	8	2%	349	100%
13	365	75%	114	23%	9	2%	488	100%
14	248	55%	196	43%	11	2%	455	100%
15	98	69%	42	30%	2	1%	142	100%
16	130	50%	125	48%	6	2%	261	100%
17	1,809	40%	2,640	59%	35	1%	4,484	100%
18	1,858	36%	3,282	63%	75	1%	5,215	100%
19	763	66%	374	32%	23	2%	1,160	100%
20	602	35%	1,108	64%	25	1%	1,735	100%
21	501	65%	240	31%	31	4%	772	100%
22	103	46%	107	48%	12	5%	222	100%
STATE	14,719	52%	13,049	46%	507	2%	28,275	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 41

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Offense Type

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,011	41%	1,440	58%	9	0%	0	0%	34	1%	2,494	100%
2	2,148	80%	481	18%	0	0%	1	0%	50	2%	2,680	100%
3	75	24%	228	74%	0	0%	1	0%	5	2%	309	100%
4	940	55%	682	40%	3	0%	0	0%	83	5%	1,708	100%
5	287	33%	567	65%	7	1%	2	0%	13	1%	876	100%
6	182	44%	216	52%	3	1%	0	0%	15	4%	416	100%
7	284	47%	299	49%	4	1%	4	1%	18	3%	609	100%
8	664	35%	1,120	59%	47	2%	16	1%	45	2%	1,892	100%
9	207	53%	156	40%	7	2%	0	0%	17	4%	387	100%
10	393	36%	683	62%	3	0%	5	0%	16	1%	1,100	100%
11	174	33%	319	61%	2	0%	6	1%	20	4%	521	100%
12	100	29%	226	65%	7	2%	1	0%	15	4%	349	100%
13	282	58%	190	39%	3	1%	2	0%	11	2%	488	100%
14	169	37%	271	60%	1	0%	1	0%	13	3%	455	100%
15	52	37%	85	60%	0	0%	3	2%	2	1%	142	100%
16	83	32%	168	64%	0	0%	1	0%	9	3%	261	100%
17	1,102	25%	3,207	72%	120	3%	14	0%	41	1%	4,484	100%
18	1,495	29%	3,552	68%	34	1%	29	1%	105	2%	5,215	100%
19	644	56%	483	42%	3	0%	6	1%	24	2%	1,160	100%
20	419	24%	1,254	72%	19	1%	10	1%	33	2%	1,735	100%
21	449	58%	284	37%	4	1%	1	0%	34	4%	772	100%
22	84	38%	125	56%	1	0%	0	0%	12	5%	222	100%
STATE	11,244	40%	16,036	57%	277	1%	103	0%	615	2%	28,275	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 43

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

TYPE:	Probation		Probation Revoked and Re-sentenced		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Courtesy Supervision from Other Colorado Probation Districts		Direct Sentence Community Corrections		Deferred Judgment and Sentence		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,084	84%	96	4%	32	1%	140	6%	134	5%	8	0%	2,494	100%
2	1,624	61%	168	6%	46	2%	150	6%	148	6%	544	20%	2,680	100%
3	206	67%	5	2%	3	1%	30	10%	4	1%	61	20%	309	100%
4	1,171	69%	55	3%	72	4%	240	14%	43	3%	127	7%	1,708	100%
5	522	60%	17	2%	8	1%	99	11%	17	2%	213	24%	876	100%
6	288	69%	4	1%	13	3%	28	7%	11	3%	72	17%	416	100%
7	371	61%	6	1%	10	2%	115	19%	19	3%	88	14%	609	100%
8	1,295	68%	11	1%	43	2%	192	10%	70	4%	281	15%	1,892	100%
9	174	45%	5	1%	17	4%	71	18%	14	4%	106	27%	387	100%
10	556	51%	36	3%	14	1%	127	12%	45	4%	322	29%	1,100	100%
11	279	54%	12	2%	12	2%	55	11%	12	2%	151	29%	521	100%
12	211	60%	3	1%	8	2%	48	14%	15	4%	64	18%	349	100%
13	262	54%	9	2%	9	2%	84	17%	18	4%	106	22%	488	100%
14	248	55%	7	2%	11	2%	74	16%	17	4%	98	22%	455	100%
15	81	57%	2	1%	2	1%	31	22%	4	3%	22	15%	142	100%
16	170	65%	2	1%	6	2%	35	13%	11	4%	37	14%	261	100%
17	3,769	84%	77	2%	35	1%	294	7%	208	5%	101	2%	4,484	100%
18	3,567	68%	101	2%	75	1%	272	5%	151	3%	1,049	20%	5,215	100%
19	713	61%	26	2%	24	2%	138	12%	69	6%	190	16%	1,160	100%
20	1,348	78%	21	1%	25	1%	156	9%	44	3%	141	8%	1,735	100%
21	530	69%	14	2%	31	4%	66	9%	65	8%	66	9%	772	100%
22	154	69%	3	1%	12	5%	20	9%	1	0%	32	14%	222	100%
STATE	19,623	69%	680	2%	508	2%	2,465	9%	1,120	4%	3,879	14%	28,275	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

154

TABLE 44

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Gender

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	640	26%	1,848	74%	6	0%	2,494	100%
2	691	26%	1,987	74%	2	0%	2,680	100%
3	59	19%	249	81%	1	0%	309	100%
4	369	22%	1,337	78%	2	0%	1,708	100%
5	157	18%	718	82%	1	0%	876	100%
6	106	25%	310	75%	0	0%	416	100%
7	129	21%	480	79%	0	0%	609	100%
8	434	23%	1,458	77%	0	0%	1,892	100%
9	76	20%	311	80%	0	0%	387	100%
10	274	25%	826	75%	0	0%	1,100	100%
11	120	23%	401	77%	0	0%	521	100%
12	98	28%	251	72%	0	0%	349	100%
13	139	28%	349	72%	0	0%	488	100%
14	99	22%	355	78%	1	0%	455	100%
15	32	23%	108	76%	2	1%	142	100%
16	55	21%	205	79%	1	0%	261	100%
17	1,121	25%	3,362	75%	1	0%	4,484	100%
18	1,439	28%	3,774	72%	2	0%	5,215	100%
19	260	22%	900	78%	0	0%	1,160	100%
20	441	25%	1,293	75%	1	0%	1,735	100%
21	203	26%	568	74%	1	0%	772	100%
22	55	25%	167	75%	0	0%	222	100%
STATE	6,997	25%	21,257	75%	21	0%	28,275	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 45

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Age

TYPE: DISTRICT	12-17 Years*		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	9	0%	259	10%	463	19%	661	27%	420	17%	682	27%	0	0%	2,494	100%
2	0	0%	357	13%	503	19%	716	27%	435	16%	669	25%	0	0%	2,680	100%
3	1	0%	36	12%	63	20%	69	22%	43	14%	97	31%	0	0%	309	100%
4	1	0%	166	10%	305	18%	496	29%	279	16%	461	27%	0	0%	1,708	100%
5	1	0%	92	11%	165	19%	254	29%	153	17%	211	24%	0	0%	876	100%
6	1	0%	49	12%	74	18%	116	28%	66	16%	110	26%	0	0%	416	100%
7	3	0%	83	14%	105	17%	156	26%	99	16%	163	27%	0	0%	609	100%
8	7	0%	294	16%	364	19%	532	28%	280	15%	415	22%	0	0%	1,892	100%
9	0	0%	40	10%	60	16%	117	30%	62	16%	108	28%	0	0%	387	100%
10	8	1%	138	13%	186	17%	281	26%	184	17%	303	28%	0	0%	1,100	100%
11	5	1%	93	18%	87	17%	108	21%	68	13%	159	31%	1	0%	521	100%
12	1	0%	41	12%	63	18%	101	29%	42	12%	101	29%	0	0%	349	100%
13	0	0%	79	16%	99	20%	122	25%	67	14%	121	25%	0	0%	488	100%
14	1	0%	57	13%	94	21%	116	25%	73	16%	114	25%	0	0%	455	100%
15	1	1%	17	12%	32	23%	34	24%	16	11%	40	28%	2	1%	142	100%
16	1	0%	36	14%	38	15%	68	26%	40	15%	78	30%	0	0%	261	100%
17	18	0%	438	10%	828	18%	1,355	30%	788	18%	1,056	24%	1	0%	4,484	100%
18	39	1%	656	13%	919	18%	1,418	27%	835	16%	1,347	26%	1	0%	5,215	100%
19	2	0%	164	14%	193	17%	343	30%	208	18%	250	22%	0	0%	1,160	100%
20	30	2%	221	13%	350	20%	459	26%	231	13%	444	26%	0	0%	1,735	100%
21	1	0%	102	13%	143	19%	206	27%	112	15%	208	27%	0	0%	772	100%
22	1	0%	27	12%	37	17%	64	29%	37	17%	56	25%	0	0%	222	100%
STATE	131	0%	3,445	12%	5,171	18%	7,792	28%	4,538	16%	7,193	25%	5	0%	28,275	100%

*12-17 Years: Statistically DUI/DWAI and underage consumption are captured as "adult offenses" and represent most of the new cases in this age group. Additionally, few of these young offenders were processed as adults either due to a transfer hearing or direct file.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 46

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2,008	81%	91	4%	41	2%	309	12%	23	1%	22	1%	2,494	100%
2	1,227	46%	687	26%	30	1%	680	25%	21	1%	35	1%	2,680	100%
3	209	68%	5	2%	0	0%	91	29%	3	1%	1	0%	309	100%
4	1,137	67%	296	17%	15	1%	237	14%	11	1%	12	1%	1,708	100%
5	701	80%	5	1%	5	1%	160	18%	3	0%	2	0%	876	100%
6	302	73%	9	2%	3	1%	53	13%	41	10%	8	2%	416	100%
7	503	83%	6	1%	3	0%	92	15%	4	1%	1	0%	609	100%
8	1,678	89%	56	3%	9	0%	128	7%	10	1%	11	1%	1,892	100%
9	333	86%	8	2%	1	0%	42	11%	1	0%	2	1%	387	100%
10	669	61%	48	4%	0	0%	363	33%	8	1%	12	1%	1,100	100%
11	486	93%	8	2%	1	0%	18	3%	2	0%	6	1%	521	100%
12	213	61%	10	3%	0	0%	120	34%	3	1%	3	1%	349	100%
13	393	81%	12	2%	2	0%	74	15%	4	1%	3	1%	488	100%
14	410	90%	13	3%	3	1%	19	4%	3	1%	7	2%	455	100%
15	93	65%	3	2%	0	0%	44	31%	0	0%	2	1%	142	100%
16	179	69%	5	2%	0	0%	77	30%	0	0%	0	0%	261	100%
17	3,723	83%	366	8%	50	1%	313	7%	15	0%	17	0%	4,484	100%
18	3,820	73%	816	16%	91	2%	447	9%	19	0%	22	0%	5,215	100%
19	935	81%	21	2%	7	1%	194	17%	1	0%	2	0%	1,160	100%
20	1,542	89%	51	3%	24	1%	106	6%	3	0%	9	1%	1,735	100%
21	603	78%	31	4%	1	0%	125	16%	6	1%	6	1%	772	100%
22	127	57%	1	0%	1	0%	18	8%	70	32%	5	2%	222	100%
STATE	21,291	75%	2,548	9%	287	1%	3,710	13%	251	1%	188	1%	28,275	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 47

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	922	63%	299	20%	253	17%	1,474	100%
2	1,151	59%	381	20%	417	21%	1,949	100%
3	142	85%	12	7%	14	8%	168	100%
4	835	60%	333	24%	234	17%	1,402	100%
5	470	72%	73	11%	109	17%	652	100%
6	261	71%	45	12%	62	17%	368	100%
7	272	78%	28	8%	50	14%	350	100%
8	921	75%	157	13%	155	13%	1,233	100%
9	224	80%	24	9%	32	11%	280	100%
10	329	52%	140	22%	166	26%	635	100%
11	405	67%	113	19%	83	14%	601	100%
12	139	62%	44	19%	43	19%	226	100%
13	242	72%	53	16%	39	12%	334	100%
14	187	79%	20	8%	29	12%	236	100%
15	91	89%	4	4%	7	7%	102	100%
16	105	83%	13	10%	9	7%	127	100%
17	1,243	62%	408	20%	340	17%	1,991	100%
18	1,227	55%	453	20%	544	24%	2,224	100%
19	550	65%	198	23%	99	12%	847	100%
20	450	70%	105	16%	89	14%	644	100%
21	339	73%	61	13%	64	14%	464	100%
22	124	66%	34	18%	29	16%	187	100%
STATE	10,629	64%	2,998	18%	2,867	17%	16,494	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively state successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconded refers to probationers who became fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of probation closures are included in Table 48.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 48

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT	
1	922	51%	299	17%	253	14%	278	15%	18	1%	18	1%	23	1%	1,811	100%	146	1
2	1,151	45%	381	15%	417	16%	385	15%	136	5%	22	1%	85	3%	2,577	100%	187	2
3	142	79%	12	7%	14	8%	5	3%	0	0%	4	2%	3	2%	180	100%	16	3
4	835	51%	333	20%	234	14%	150	9%	49	3%	16	1%	13	1%	1,630	100%	157	4
5	470	65%	73	10%	109	15%	19	3%	29	4%	15	2%	5	1%	720	100%	74	5
6	261	62%	45	11%	62	15%	24	6%	7	2%	2	0%	17	4%	418	100%	39	6
7	272	72%	28	7%	50	13%	15	4%	8	2%	4	1%	3	1%	380	100%	85	7
8	921	68%	157	12%	155	11%	92	7%	6	0%	9	1%	18	1%	1,358	100%	137	8
9	224	68%	24	7%	32	10%	37	11%	7	2%	5	2%	1	0%	330	100%	51	9
10	329	44%	140	19%	166	22%	95	13%	4	1%	10	1%	6	1%	750	100%	107	10
11	405	64%	113	18%	83	13%	8	1%	0	0%	7	1%	12	2%	628	100%	56	11
12	139	52%	44	16%	43	16%	33	12%	0	0%	4	1%	5	2%	268	100%	34	12
13	242	64%	53	14%	39	10%	33	9%	7	2%	3	1%	4	1%	381	100%	64	13
14	187	71%	20	8%	29	11%	17	6%	0	0%	4	2%	5	2%	262	100%	60	14
15	91	81%	4	4%	7	6%	7	6%	0	0%	2	2%	2	2%	113	100%	30	15
16	105	74%	13	9%	9	6%	12	8%	0	0%	2	1%	1	1%	142	100%	39	16
17	1,243	50%	408	16%	340	14%	429	17%	47	2%	8	0%	8	0%	2,483	100%	241	17
18	1,227	46%	453	17%	544	21%	343	13%	18	1%	35	1%	27	1%	2,647	100%	145	18
19	550	50%	198	18%	99	9%	174	16%	51	5%	7	1%	11	1%	1,090	100%	133	19
20	450	61%	105	14%	89	12%	69	9%	9	1%	7	1%	14	2%	743	100%	106	20
21	339	63%	61	11%	64	12%	32	6%	26	5%	5	1%	10	2%	537	100%	101	21
22	124	61%	34	17%	29	14%	7	3%	6	3%	3	1%	0	0%	203	100%	20	22
STATE	10,629	54%	2,998	15%	2,867	15%	2,264	12%	428	2%	192	1%	273	1%	19,651	100%	2,028	STATE

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

159

TABLE 49

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
Type of Revocation

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	56	19%	63	21%	180	60%	299	100%
2	84	22%	50	13%	247	65%	381	100%
3	2	17%	1	8%	9	75%	12	100%
4	74	22%	39	12%	220	66%	333	100%
5	14	19%	22	30%	37	51%	73	100%
6	8	18%	3	7%	34	76%	45	100%
7	6	21%	5	18%	17	61%	28	100%
8	25	16%	38	24%	94	60%	157	100%
9	1	4%	3	13%	20	83%	24	100%
10	28	20%	25	18%	87	62%	140	100%
11	17	15%	15	13%	81	72%	113	100%
12	3	7%	6	14%	35	80%	44	100%
13	9	17%	10	19%	34	64%	53	100%
14	1	5%	7	35%	12	60%	20	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
16	1	8%	2	15%	10	77%	13	100%
17	78	19%	99	24%	231	57%	408	100%
18	88	19%	161	36%	204	45%	453	100%
19	46	23%	47	24%	105	53%	198	100%
20	14	13%	14	13%	77	73%	105	100%
21	27	44%	14	23%	20	33%	61	100%
22	0	0%	7	21%	27	79%	34	100%
STATE	582	19%	631	21%	1,785	60%	2,998	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 50

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
Length of Stay

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	611	34%	649	36%	285	16%	266	15%	1,811	100%
2	933	36%	961	37%	387	15%	296	11%	2,577	100%
3	103	57%	52	29%	18	10%	7	4%	180	100%
4	532	33%	511	31%	284	17%	303	19%	1,630	100%
5	356	49%	237	33%	74	10%	53	7%	720	100%
6	150	36%	161	39%	75	18%	32	8%	418	100%
7	130	34%	154	41%	55	14%	41	11%	380	100%
8	533	39%	478	35%	190	14%	157	12%	1,358	100%
9	105	32%	118	36%	65	20%	42	13%	330	100%
10	333	44%	234	31%	102	14%	81	11%	750	100%
11	261	42%	198	32%	93	15%	76	12%	628	100%
12	125	47%	91	34%	28	10%	24	9%	268	100%
13	110	29%	152	40%	76	20%	43	11%	381	100%
14	99	38%	92	35%	41	16%	30	11%	262	100%
15	42	37%	44	39%	11	10%	16	14%	113	100%
16	49	35%	54	38%	20	14%	19	13%	142	100%
17	1,178	47%	752	30%	345	14%	208	8%	2,483	100%
18	1,002	38%	898	34%	451	17%	296	11%	2,647	100%
19	363	33%	426	39%	179	16%	122	11%	1,090	100%
20	309	42%	269	36%	91	12%	74	10%	743	100%
21	191	36%	214	40%	74	14%	58	11%	537	100%
22	92	45%	79	39%	22	11%	10	5%	203	100%
STATE	7,607	39%	6,824	35%	2,966	15%	2,254	11%	19,651	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 51

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009

Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence ¹		Sex Offender ²		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE PROBATION CLIENTS		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
DISTRICT																					
1	85	2%	292	8%	740	20%	730	20%	537	14%	387	10%	583	16%	182	5%	168	5%	3,704	100%	
2	92	2%	677	15%	1,047	23%	1,176	26%	857	19%	424	9%	190	4%	47	1%	66	1%	4,576	100%	
3	10	3%	47	13%	103	28%	128	34%	31	8%	6	2%	33	9%	7	2%	8	2%	373	100%	
4	105	3%	405	11%	766	21%	644	18%	470	13%	25	1%	881	24%	151	4%	200	5%	3,647	100%	
5	27	3%	58	6%	191	19%	272	26%	176	17%	46	4%	196	19%	17	2%	44	4%	1,027	100%	
6	7	1%	80	11%	125	18%	106	15%	71	10%	36	5%	140	20%	28	4%	104	15%	697	100%	
7	14	1%	185	18%	260	25%	350	34%	95	9%	47	5%	3	0%	39	4%	42	4%	1,035	100%	
8	65	2%	284	10%	802	27%	546	19%	396	13%	423	14%	179	6%	62	2%	182	6%	2,939	100%	
9	10	2%	77	12%	127	20%	123	19%	124	19%	38	6%	77	12%	11	2%	60	9%	647	100%	
10	63	4%	182	12%	390	25%	194	13%	194	13%	175	11%	299	19%	23	1%	31	2%	1,551	100%	
11	26	3%	42	5%	164	20%	233	29%	116	14%	22	3%	147	18%	31	4%	34	4%	815	100%	
12	22	4%	52	10%	137	27%	160	32%	41	8%	34	7%	31	6%	16	3%	11	2%	504	100%	
13	7	1%	82	10%	156	19%	214	26%	140	17%	58	7%	70	9%	17	2%	74	9%	818	100%	
14	17	2%	47	7%	121	18%	195	28%	72	10%	44	6%	123	18%	13	2%	55	8%	687	100%	
15	3	1%	33	14%	59	25%	58	24%	33	14%	19	8%	11	5%	10	4%	11	5%	237	100%	
16	9	2%	61	15%	67	16%	117	28%	31	7%	33	8%	81	20%	2	0%	13	3%	414	100%	
17	143	3%	377	8%	1,016	21%	1,189	24%	935	19%	461	9%	632	13%	83	2%	62	1%	4,898	100%	
18	178	3%	560	8%	2,315	33%	889	13%	967	14%	567	8%	974	14%	165	2%	332	5%	6,947	100%	
19	30	2%	206	12%	458	26%	223	13%	265	15%	166	9%	311	18%	45	3%	63	4%	1,767	100%	
20	27	2%	171	10%	357	21%	389	23%	387	23%	131	8%	79	5%	85	5%	83	5%	1,709	100%	
21	20	1%	101	6%	284	17%	514	31%	181	11%	262	16%	138	8%	65	4%	102	6%	1,667	100%	
22	5	2%	41	13%	74	24%	59	19%	19	6%	3	1%	66	21%	8	3%	34	11%	309	100%	
STATE	965	2%	4,060	10%	9,759	24%	8,509	21%	6,138	15%	3,407	8%	5,244	13%	1,107	3%	1,779	4%	40,968	100%	

¹**Domestic Violence:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

²**Sex Offender:** A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 52.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 52

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
Domestic Violence and Sex Offender Caseloads
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009

Risk Level:	Domestic Violence Offenders									
	DV Maximum		DV Medium		DV Minimum		DV Administrative		TOTAL DV OFFENDERS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	284	49%	190	33%	93	16%	16	3%	583	100%
2	61	32%	61	32%	64	34%	4	2%	190	100%
3	5	15%	17	52%	10	30%	1	3%	33	100%
4	277	31%	356	40%	161	18%	87	10%	881	100%
5	43	22%	52	27%	79	40%	22	11%	196	100%
6	46	33%	71	51%	20	14%	3	2%	140	100%
7	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
8	83	46%	87	49%	9	5%	0	0%	179	100%
9	13	17%	27	35%	31	40%	6	8%	77	100%
10	185	62%	78	26%	11	4%	25	8%	299	100%
11	21	14%	78	53%	46	31%	2	1%	147	100%
12	17	55%	5	16%	9	29%	0	0%	31	100%
13	13	19%	38	54%	17	24%	2	3%	70	100%
14	53	43%	47	38%	22	18%	1	1%	123	100%
15	4	36%	6	55%	1	9%	0	0%	11	100%
16	30	37%	29	36%	17	21%	5	6%	81	100%
17	270	43%	234	37%	110	17%	18	3%	632	100%
18	77	8%	814	84%	45	5%	38	4%	974	100%
19	96	31%	84	27%	123	40%	8	3%	311	100%
20	23	29%	26	33%	19	24%	11	14%	79	100%
21	29	21%	80	58%	22	16%	7	5%	138	100%
22	23	35%	24	36%	19	29%	0	0%	66	100%
STATE	1,653	32%	2,406	46%	929	18%	256	5%	5,244	100%

Risk Level:	Sex Offenders (Non-SOISP)*									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	46	25%	93	51%	41	23%	2	1%	182	100%
2	25	53%	15	32%	4	9%	3	6%	47	100%
3	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%
4	30	20%	56	37%	65	43%	0	0%	151	100%
5	4	24%	6	35%	6	35%	1	6%	17	100%
6	1	4%	16	57%	9	32%	2	7%	28	100%
7	16	41%	17	44%	5	13%	1	3%	39	100%
8	22	35%	17	27%	5	8%	18	29%	62	100%
9	2	18%	3	27%	6	55%	0	0%	11	100%
10	8	35%	9	39%	6	26%	0	0%	23	100%
11	16	52%	11	35%	3	10%	1	3%	31	100%
12	5	31%	4	25%	6	38%	1	6%	16	100%
13	6	35%	6	35%	3	18%	2	12%	17	100%
14	3	23%	7	54%	3	23%	0	0%	13	100%
15	6	60%	1	10%	3	30%	0	0%	10	100%
16	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	41	49%	24	29%	9	11%	9	11%	83	100%
18	40	24%	68	41%	40	24%	17	10%	165	100%
19	19	42%	20	44%	5	11%	1	2%	45	100%
20	23	27%	32	38%	27	32%	3	4%	85	100%
21	17	26%	21	32%	25	38%	2	3%	65	100%
22	2	25%	6	75%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
STATE	338	31%	435	39%	271	24%	63	6%	1,107	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*SOISP's (Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation) active client numbers can be found in Table 96

163

TABLE 53

FY 2009
Colorado Adult State and Private (Non-DUI/DWAI) Probation
Active Clients on June 30, 2009

DISTRICT	TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED CRIMINAL CLIENTS		TOTAL NON-DUI/DWAI CRIMINAL PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,704	73%	1,378	27%	5,082	100%
2	4,576	100%	0	0%	4,576	100%
3	373	100%	0	0%	373	100%
4	3,647	70%	1,551	30%	5,198	100%
5	1,027	92%	90	8%	1,117	100%
6	697	89%	85	11%	782	100%
7	1,035	95%	52	5%	1,087	100%
8	2,939	81%	696	19%	3,635	100%
9	647	76%	201	24%	848	100%
10	1,551	86%	263	14%	1,814	100%
11	815	87%	123	13%	938	100%
12	504	90%	54	10%	558	100%
13	818	86%	136	14%	954	100%
14	687	100%	0	0%	687	100%
15	237	100%	0	0%	237	100%
16	414	100%	0	0%	414	100%
17	4,898	86%	827	14%	5,725	100%
18	6,947	78%	1,994	22%	8,941	100%
19	1,767	73%	664	27%	2,431	100%
20	1,709	58%	1,236	42%	2,945	100%
21	1,667	70%	731	30%	2,398	100%
22	309	89%	40	11%	349	100%
STATE	40,968	80%	10,121	20%	51,089	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports and Private Probation Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 54

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult and All Private Adult Probation
Active Clients on June 30, 2009

DISTRICT	TOTAL STATE SUPERVISED CRIMINAL CLIENTS		TOTAL NON-DUI/DWAI CRIMINAL PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE CLIENTS		TOTAL COLORADO PROBATION POPULATION	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3,704	54%	1,378	20%	1,772	26%	6,854	100%
2*	4,576	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4,576	100%
3*	373	100%	0	0%	0	0%	373	100%
4	3,647	60%	1,551	25%	916	15%	6,114	100%
5	1,027	86%	90	8%	73	6%	1,190	100%
6	697	87%	85	11%	15	2%	797	100%
7	1,035	94%	52	5%	18	2%	1,105	100%
8	2,939	57%	696	13%	1,548	30%	5,183	100%
9	647	72%	201	22%	53	6%	901	100%
10	1,551	62%	263	11%	678	27%	2,492	100%
11	815	64%	123	10%	336	26%	1,274	100%
12	504	51%	54	6%	422	43%	980	100%
13	818	85%	136	14%	3	0%	957	100%
14*	687	100%	0	0%	0	0%	687	100%
15*	237	100%	0	0%	0	0%	237	100%
16	414	100%	0	0%	0	0%	414	100%
17	4,898	61%	827	10%	2,315	29%	8,040	100%
18	6,947	56%	1,994	16%	3,382	27%	12,323	100%
19	1,767	61%	664	23%	486	17%	2,917	100%
20	1,709	37%	1,236	27%	1,613	35%	4,558	100%
21	1,667	61%	731	27%	341	12%	2,739	100%
22	309	58%	40	7%	186	35%	535	100%
STATE	40,968	63%	10,121	16%	14,157	22%	65,246	100%

* Private probation supervision is not available in this district.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Adult Monthly Statistical Reports and Private Probation Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

Colorado Probation: Private Probation



TABLE 55

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE:	Direct Sentence ¹		Transfer from State Probation ²		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	580	55%	467	45%	1,047	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	966	100%	966	100%
5	2	20%	8	80%	10	100%
6	0	0%	43	100%	43	100%
7	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
8	0	0%	712	100%	712	100%
9	0	0%	166	100%	166	100%
10	0	0%	83	100%	83	100%
11	47	48%	51	52%	98	100%
12	0	0%	44	100%	44	100%
13	103	98%	2	2%	105	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	2	0%	793	100%	795	100%
18	0	0%	631	100%	631	100%
19	0	0%	406	100%	406	100%
20	501	61%	325	39%	826	100%
21	0	0%	572	100%	572	100%
22	36	90%	4	10%	40	100%
STATE	1,271	19%	5,276	81%	6,547	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION						
TYPE:	Direct Sentence ¹		Transfer from State Probation ²		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT						
1	377	38%	614	62%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	569	100%	569	100%
5	3	12%	23	88%	26	100%
6	0	0%	15	100%	15	100%
7	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
8	0	0%	1,928	100%	1,928	100%
9	0	0%	39	100%	39	100%
10	0	0%	615	100%	615	100%
11	229	88%	31	12%	260	100%
12	0	0%	392	100%	392	100%
13	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	24	100%	24	100%
17	0	0%	1,140	100%	1,140	100%
18	0	0%	1,680	100%	1,680	100%
19	0	0%	387	100%	387	100%
20	20	4%	530	96%	550	100%
21	0	0%	241	100%	241	100%
22	173	97%	5	3%	178	100%
STATE	802	9%	8,238	91%	9,040	100%

¹**Direct Sentence:** A probationer sentenced to be supervised by a private provider. This practice is not uniform throughout the state.

²**Transfer from State Probation:** A probationer originally sentenced to state probation but later determined appropriate for transfer to a private provider.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

TABLE 56

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
New Clients by Gender

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
TYPE:	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	315	30%	727	69%	5	0%	1,047 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	275	28%	690	71%	1	0%	966 100%
5	2	20%	8	80%	0	0%	10 100%
6	12	28%	31	72%	0	0%	43 100%
7	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3 100%
8	208	29%	504	71%	0	0%	712 100%
9	45	27%	121	73%	0	0%	166 100%
10	28	34%	54	65%	1	1%	83 100%
11	24	24%	74	76%	0	0%	98 100%
12	16	36%	28	64%	0	0%	44 100%
13	19	18%	86	82%	0	0%	105 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	287	36%	508	64%	0	0%	795 100%
18	219	35%	412	65%	0	0%	631 100%
19	111	27%	295	73%	0	0%	406 100%
20	212	26%	612	74%	2	0%	826 100%
21	170	30%	400	70%	2	0%	572 100%
22	10	25%	30	75%	0	0%	40 100%
STATE	1,955	30%	4,581	70%	11	0%	6,547 100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
TYPE:	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
1	259	26%	732	74%	0	0%	991 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	122	21%	447	79%	0	0%	569 100%
5	5	19%	21	81%	0	0%	26 100%
6	3	20%	12	80%	0	0%	15 100%
7	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2 100%
8	554	29%	1,374	71%	0	0%	1,928 100%
9	10	26%	29	74%	0	0%	39 100%
10	168	27%	447	73%	0	0%	615 100%
11	67	26%	193	74%	0	0%	260 100%
12	88	22%	304	78%	0	0%	392 100%
13	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	2	8%	22	92%	0	0%	24 100%
17	291	26%	849	74%	0	0%	1,140 100%
18	518	31%	1,162	69%	0	0%	1,680 100%
19	75	19%	312	81%	0	0%	387 100%
20	159	29%	391	71%	0	0%	550 100%
21	47	20%	194	80%	0	0%	241 100%
22	54	30%	124	70%	0	0%	178 100%
STATE	2,423	27%	6,617	73%	0	0%	9,040 100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

169

TABLE 57

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI /DWAI
New Clients by Age

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
TYPE:	*12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	0%	130	12%	178	17%	270	26%	161	15%	303	29%	2	0%	1,047	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	121	13%	193	20%	265	27%	148	15%	239	25%	0	0%	966	100%
5	1	10%	3	30%	2	20%	2	20%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
6	0	0%	13	30%	8	19%	7	16%	4	9%	11	26%	0	0%	43	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	0	0%	147	21%	189	27%	158	22%	78	11%	140	20%	0	0%	712	100%
9	0	0%	18	11%	34	20%	38	23%	29	17%	47	28%	0	0%	166	100%
10	0	0%	23	28%	22	27%	10	12%	7	8%	21	25%	0	0%	83	100%
11	3	3%	26	27%	13	13%	18	18%	11	11%	27	28%	0	0%	98	100%
12	1	2%	5	11%	13	30%	11	25%	3	7%	11	25%	0	0%	44	100%
13	0	0%	15	14%	22	21%	24	23%	19	18%	25	24%	0	0%	105	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	110	14%	174	22%	225	28%	126	16%	158	20%	2	0%	795	100%
18	1	0%	123	19%	119	19%	134	21%	96	15%	158	25%	0	0%	631	100%
19	0	0%	61	15%	81	20%	110	27%	80	20%	73	18%	1	0%	406	100%
20	4	0%	160	19%	163	20%	204	25%	116	14%	179	22%	0	0%	826	100%
21	0	0%	81	14%	116	20%	183	32%	71	12%	120	21%	1	0%	572	100%
22	0	0%	8	20%	6	15%	13	33%	3	8%	10	25%	0	0%	40	100%
STATE	13	0%	1,044	16%	1,333	20%	1,673	26%	956	15%	1,522	23%	6	0%	6,547	100%

*12-17 Years: Adults in the 12-17 year category are the result of direct file or transfer hearing.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

169

TABLE 58

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation : DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Age

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
TYPE:	*12-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	2	0%	49	5%	160	16%	235	24%	164	17%	381	38%	0	0%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	25	4%	98	17%	163	29%	90	16%	193	34%	0	0%	569	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	3	12%	15	58%	4	15%	4	15%	0	0%	26	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	8	53%	3	20%	1	7%	3	20%	0	0%	15	100%
7	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
8	15	1%	229	12%	520	27%	534	28%	233	12%	397	21%	0	0%	1,928	100%
9	0	0%	2	5%	3	8%	10	26%	4	10%	20	51%	0	0%	39	100%
10	10	2%	62	10%	132	21%	149	24%	84	14%	178	29%	0	0%	615	100%
11	1	0%	10	4%	42	16%	55	21%	30	12%	122	47%	0	0%	260	100%
12	12	3%	48	12%	74	19%	85	22%	53	14%	120	31%	0	0%	392	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	4	17%	5	21%	4	17%	11	46%	0	0%	24	100%
17	2	0%	81	7%	212	19%	338	30%	193	17%	314	28%	0	0%	1,140	100%
18	5	0%	103	6%	263	16%	496	30%	277	16%	536	32%	0	0%	1,680	100%
19	0	0%	23	6%	64	17%	92	24%	78	20%	130	34%	0	0%	387	100%
20	6	1%	58	11%	129	23%	157	29%	57	10%	143	26%	0	0%	550	100%
21	1	0%	15	6%	51	21%	68	28%	21	9%	85	35%	0	0%	241	100%
22	3	2%	13	7%	37	21%	38	21%	24	13%	63	35%	0	0%	178	100%
STATE	57	1%	719	8%	1,801	20%	2,444	27%	1,317	15%	2,702	30%	0	0%	9,040	100%

***12-17 Years:** Statistically DUI/DWAI and underage consumption are captured as "adult offenses" and represent most of the new cases in this age group.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

170

TABLE 59

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Ethnic Group

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
TYPE:	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	928	89%	42	4%	15	1%	43	4%	6	1%	13	1%	1,047	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	713	74%	152	16%	10	1%	81	8%	5	1%	5	1%	966	100%
5	7	70%	1	10%	0	0%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
6	36	84%	0	0%	0	0%	4	9%	3	7%	0	0%	43	100%
7	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
8	642	90%	24	3%	6	1%	33	5%	2	0%	5	1%	712	100%
9	152	92%	1	1%	1	1%	10	6%	1	1%	1	1%	166	100%
10	58	70%	3	4%	0	0%	20	24%	0	0%	2	2%	83	100%
11	92	94%	0	0%	0	0%	6	6%	0	0%	0	0%	98	100%
12	19	43%	1	2%	0	0%	23	52%	1	2%	0	0%	44	100%
13	92	88%	3	3%	1	1%	7	7%	1	1%	1	1%	105	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	730	92%	27	3%	11	1%	25	3%	1	0%	1	0%	795	100%
18	486	77%	67	11%	18	3%	46	7%	3	0%	11	2%	631	100%
19	343	84%	11	3%	1	0%	49	12%	1	0%	1	0%	406	100%
20	750	91%	19	2%	10	1%	37	4%	2	0%	8	1%	826	100%
21	475	83%	13	2%	1	0%	62	11%	4	1%	17	3%	572	100%
22	24	60%	0	0%	1	3%	4	10%	10	25%	1	3%	40	100%
STATE	5,550	85%	364	6%	75	1%	452	7%	40	1%	66	1%	6,547	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 60

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI
New Clients by Ethnic Group

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION														
TYPE:	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	854	86%	19	2%	8	1%	106	11%	4	0%	0	0%	991	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	407	72%	53	9%	2	0%	104	18%	2	0%	1	0%	569	100%
5	26	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%
6	13	87%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	0	0%	15	100%
7	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
8	1,803	94%	38	2%	11	1%	61	3%	10	1%	5	0%	1,928	100%
9	34	87%	1	3%	0	0%	3	8%	0	0%	1	3%	39	100%
10	418	68%	11	2%	2	0%	174	28%	3	0%	7	1%	615	100%
11	249	96%	0	0%	0	0%	8	3%	3	1%	0	0%	260	100%
12	200	51%	8	2%	2	1%	178	45%	4	1%	0	0%	392	100%
13	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	15	63%	0	0%	0	0%	9	38%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
17	952	84%	38	3%	12	1%	130	11%	5	0%	3	0%	1,140	100%
18	1,324	79%	134	8%	32	2%	171	10%	6	0%	13	1%	1,680	100%
19	244	63%	5	1%	0	0%	135	35%	2	1%	1	0%	387	100%
20	516	94%	8	1%	3	1%	21	4%	1	0%	1	0%	550	100%
21	212	88%	2	1%	1	0%	25	10%	1	0%	0	0%	241	100%
22	90	51%	1	1%	0	0%	8	4%	77	43%	2	1%	178	100%
STATE	7,361	81%	318	4%	73	1%	1,135	13%	119	1%	34	0%	9,040	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION								
TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	649	77%	73	9%	123	15%	845	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	335	62%	65	12%	140	26%	540	100%
5	67	99%	1	1%	0	0%	68	100%
6	57	92%	1	2%	4	6%	62	100%
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	414	78%	41	8%	78	15%	533	100%
9	135	83%	0	0%	28	17%	163	100%
10	138	90%	3	2%	12	8%	153	100%
11	79	80%	10	10%	10	10%	99	100%
12	94	78%	15	12%	12	10%	121	100%
13	68	74%	21	23%	3	3%	92	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	507	86%	13	2%	70	12%	590	100%
18	1,241	90%	54	4%	87	6%	1,382	100%
19	271	86%	20	6%	24	8%	315	100%
20	487	77%	84	13%	61	10%	632	100%
21	321	83%	20	5%	47	12%	388	100%
22	21	62%	4	12%	9	26%	34	100%
STATE	4,886	81%	425	7%	708	12%	6,019	100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION								
TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	580	79%	81	11%	69	9%	730	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	236	60%	83	21%	74	19%	393	100%
5	40	93%	3	7%	0	0%	43	100%
6	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
8	1,001	90%	25	2%	86	8%	1,112	100%
9	11	48%	0	0%	12	52%	23	100%
10	308	83%	20	5%	43	12%	371	100%
11	276	88%	15	5%	23	7%	314	100%
12	254	73%	59	17%	37	11%	350	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
17	551	88%	10	2%	63	10%	624	100%
18	2,331	86%	147	5%	238	9%	2,716	100%
19	62	59%	31	30%	12	11%	105	100%
20	944	87%	76	7%	68	6%	1,088	100%
21	122	82%	14	9%	13	9%	149	100%
22	97	56%	15	9%	62	36%	174	100%
STATE	6,819	83%	580	7%	801	10%	8,200	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number is exclusively private successful terminations.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes probationers supervised by private who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of private probation closures are included in Table 62 and 63.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

173

TABLE 62

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation: Non- DUI/DWAI
All Closure Types

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	649	76%	73	9%	123	14%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	2	0%	850	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	335	58%	65	11%	140	24%	6	1%	18	3%	4	1%	5	1%	573	100%
5	67	99%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
6	57	88%	1	2%	4	6%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	65	100%
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	414	78%	41	8%	78	15%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	534	100%
9	135	83%	0	0%	28	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	163	100%
10	138	89%	3	2%	12	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	155	100%
11	79	80%	10	10%	10	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	99	100%
12	94	76%	15	12%	12	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	124	100%
13	68	72%	21	22%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	95	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	507	85%	13	2%	70	12%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	593	100%
18	1,241	89%	54	4%	87	6%	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	1	0%	1,387	100%
19	271	85%	20	6%	24	8%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	317	100%
20	487	76%	84	13%	61	10%	0	0%	5	1%	3	0%	1	0%	641	100%
21	321	82%	20	5%	47	12%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	390	100%
22	21	62%	4	12%	9	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%
STATE	4,886	80%	425	7%	708	12%	7	0%	24	0%	21	0%	19	0%	6,090	100%

Courtesy Transfers ¹	
Number	DISTRICT
53	1
0	2
0	3
34	4
5	5
6	6
0	7
31	8
27	9
28	10
6	11
0	12
2	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
9	17
50	18
62	19
62	20
26	21
1	22
402	STATE

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

174

TABLE 63

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation: DUI/DWAI
All Closure Types

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	580	79%	81	11%	69	9%	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	0	0%	735	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	236	60%	83	21%	74	19%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	396	100%
5	40	93%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%
6	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	1,001	90%	25	2%	86	8%	0	0%	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	1,116	100%
9	11	48%	0	0%	12	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
10	308	81%	20	5%	43	11%	0	0%	3	1%	4	1%	1	0%	379	100%
11	276	87%	15	5%	23	7%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	316	100%
12	254	72%	59	17%	37	10%	0	0%	1	0%	2	1%	2	1%	355	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
17	551	88%	10	2%	63	10%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	1	0%	627	100%
18	2,331	86%	147	5%	238	9%	0	0%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	2,719	100%
19	62	56%	31	28%	12	11%	1	1%	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%	110	100%
20	944	85%	76	7%	68	6%	0	0%	8	1%	9	1%	1	0%	1,106	100%
21	122	82%	14	9%	13	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	149	100%
22	97	56%	15	9%	62	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	174	100%
STATE	6,819	83%	580	7%	801	10%	1	0%	14	0%	37	0%	5	0%	8,257	100%

Courtesy Transfers¹	
Number	DISTRICT
40	1
0	2
0	3
47	4
4	5
2	6
0	7
28	8
13	9
9	10
1	11
2	12
2	13
0	14
0	15
0	16
38	17
46	18
55	19
49	20
11	21
2	22
349	STATE

¹**Courtesy Transfers:** Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

175

TABLE 64

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
Type of Revocation

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
TYPE:	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS for Non-DUI/DWAI Private Cases
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
DISTRICT							
1	7	10%	10	14%	56	77%	73 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	20	31%	10	15%	35	54%	65 100%
5	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1 100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1 100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
8	2	5%	9	22%	30	73%	41 100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
10	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3 100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	10 100%
12	0	0%	5	33%	10	67%	15 100%
13	0	0%	8	38%	13	62%	21 100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
17	1	8%	4	31%	8	62%	13 100%
18	8	15%	23	43%	23	43%	54 100%
19	2	10%	6	30%	12	60%	20 100%
20	8	10%	27	32%	49	58%	84 100%
21	6	30%	5	25%	9	45%	20 100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4 100%
STATE	55	13%	108	25%	262	62%	425 100%

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION							
TYPE:	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS for Private DUI/DWAI Cases
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number %
DISTRICT							
1	1	1%	27	33%	53	65%	81 100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
4	4	5%	11	13%	68	82%	83 100%
5	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3 100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
8	3	12%	6	24%	16	64%	25 100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
10	0	0%	2	10%	18	90%	20 100%
11	0	0%	2	13%	13	87%	15 100%
12	3	5%	13	22%	43	73%	59 100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1 100%
17	1	10%	3	30%	6	60%	10 100%
18	7	5%	73	50%	67	46%	147 100%
19	3	10%	8	26%	20	65%	31 100%
20	6	8%	37	49%	33	43%	76 100%
21	0	0%	6	43%	8	57%	14 100%
22	0	0%	2	13%	13	87%	15 100%
STATE	28	5%	191	33%	361	62%	580 100%

Key: *New Felony*: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (i.e. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

176

TABLE 65

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
Length of Stay

NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION											
TYPE:		0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
1	547	64%	251	30%	31	4%	21	2%	850	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	260	45%	226	39%	52	9%	35	6%	573	100%	
5	33	49%	28	41%	4	6%	3	4%	68	100%	
6	27	42%	27	42%	10	15%	1	2%	65	100%	
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	
8	403	75%	126	24%	4	1%	1	0%	534	100%	
9	111	68%	50	31%	2	1%	0	0%	163	100%	
10	92	59%	47	30%	7	5%	9	6%	155	100%	
11	60	61%	33	33%	6	6%	0	0%	99	100%	
12	104	84%	20	16%	0	0%	0	0%	124	100%	
13	46	48%	45	47%	3	3%	1	1%	95	100%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	
17	442	75%	147	25%	2	0%	2	0%	593	100%	
18	420	30%	720	52%	188	14%	59	4%	1,387	100%	
19	112	35%	183	58%	19	6%	3	1%	317	100%	
20	320	50%	265	41%	45	7%	11	2%	641	100%	
21	189	48%	170	44%	18	5%	13	3%	390	100%	
22	17	50%	17	50%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%	
STATE	3,184	52%	2,355	39%	391	6%	160	3%	6,090	100%	

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION											
TYPE:		0-12 months		13-24 months		25-36 months		37 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
1	219	30%	482	66%	34	5%	0	0%	735	100%	
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
4	156	39%	200	51%	37	9%	3	1%	396	100%	
5	15	35%	26	60%	2	5%	0	0%	43	100%	
6	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	
8	1,040	93%	71	6%	5	0%	0	0%	1,116	100%	
9	15	65%	8	35%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%	
10	282	74%	97	26%	0	0%	0	0%	379	100%	
11	183	58%	129	41%	3	1%	1	0%	316	100%	
12	260	73%	92	26%	3	1%	0	0%	355	100%	
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
16	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	
17	489	78%	129	21%	9	1%	0	0%	627	100%	
18	1,506	55%	1,052	39%	149	5%	12	0%	2,719	100%	
19	60	55%	40	36%	8	7%	2	2%	110	100%	
20	638	58%	453	41%	14	1%	1	0%	1,106	100%	
21	81	54%	63	42%	4	3%	1	1%	149	100%	
22	114	66%	46	26%	12	7%	2	1%	174	100%	
STATE	5,065	61%	2,890	35%	280	3%	22	0%	8,257	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 66

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
Active NON-DUI/DWAI PRIVATE Clients Risk Levels on June 30, 2009

NON- DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																		
Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Domestic Violence		Interstate		TOTAL PRIVATE NON-DUI/DWAI PROBATION CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	30	2%	22	2%	214	16%	702	51%	112	8%	0	0%	298	22%	0	0%	1,378	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	0%	18	1%	206	13%	313	20%	799	52%	0	0%	212	14%	2	0%	1,551	100%
5	0	0%	2	2%	10	11%	67	74%	3	3%	0	0%	8	9%	0	0%	90	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	9	11%	68	80%	4	5%	0	0%	4	5%	0	0%	85	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	19	37%	31	60%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	52	100%
8	26	4%	6	1%	56	8%	562	81%	46	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	696	100%
9	4	2%	0	0%	8	4%	76	38%	17	8%	0	0%	96	48%	0	0%	201	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	15	6%	179	68%	7	3%	1	0%	61	23%	0	0%	263	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	23	19%	79	64%	4	3%	0	0%	17	14%	0	0%	123	100%
12	0	0%	1	2%	5	9%	38	70%	5	9%	0	0%	5	9%	0	0%	54	100%
13	8	6%	0	0%	4	3%	32	24%	5	4%	0	0%	87	64%	0	0%	136	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	23	3%	5	1%	78	9%	376	45%	130	16%	0	0%	215	26%	0	0%	827	100%
18	19	1%	8	0%	140	7%	1,378	69%	51	3%	0	0%	395	20%	3	0%	1,994	100%
19	10	2%	5	1%	102	15%	273	41%	49	7%	0	0%	225	34%	0	0%	664	100%
20	25	2%	23	2%	129	10%	685	55%	197	16%	1	0%	176	14%	0	0%	1,236	100%
21	29	4%	11	2%	93	13%	531	73%	66	9%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	731	100%
22	1	3%	0	0%	4	10%	28	70%	5	13%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	40	100%
STATE	176	2%	101	1%	1,115	11%	5,418	54%	1,502	15%	2	0%	1,802	18%	5	0%	10,121	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 67

FY 2009
Colorado Private Probation
Active DUI/DWAI Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009

DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION																
Risk Levels:	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Interstate		TOTAL DUI/DWAI PRIVATE PROBATION CLIENTS	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	8	0%	14	1%	237	13%	1,399	79%	114	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1,772	100%
2	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	0	0%	0	0%	14	2%	22	2%	878	96%	2	0%	0	0%	916	100%
5	1	1%	0	0%	6	8%	57	78%	9	12%	0	0%	0	0%	73	100%
6	1	7%	0	0%	2	13%	12	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	3	17%	14	78%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
8	65	4%	14	1%	164	11%	1,231	80%	73	5%	0	0%	1	0%	1,548	100%
9	2	4%	0	0%	6	11%	27	51%	18	34%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%
10	4	1%	5	1%	137	20%	480	71%	52	8%	0	0%	0	0%	678	100%
11	11	3%	0	0%	52	15%	261	78%	12	4%	0	0%	0	0%	336	100%
12	23	5%	1	0%	21	5%	278	66%	99	23%	0	0%	0	0%	422	100%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	14	1%	12	1%	179	8%	1,611	70%	499	22%	0	0%	0	0%	2,315	100%
18	2	0%	3	0%	156	5%	3,140	93%	80	2%	0	0%	1	0%	3,382	100%
19	22	5%	14	3%	127	26%	257	53%	66	14%	0	0%	0	0%	486	100%
20	5	0%	15	1%	155	10%	1,247	77%	191	12%	0	0%	0	0%	1,613	100%
21	9	3%	3	1%	44	13%	241	71%	44	13%	0	0%	0	0%	341	100%
22	7	4%	1	1%	14	8%	144	77%	20	11%	0	0%	0	0%	186	100%
STATE	174	1%	82	1%	1,318	9%	10,423	74%	2,156	15%	2	0%	2	0%	14,157	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Private Probation Monthly Statistical Reports for July 2008- June 2009.

179

Colorado Probation: Regular Juvenile Probation



TABLE 68

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Investigations

TYPE: DISTRICT	Sex Offender Investigations		Pre- Sentence Investigations		Updated Pre-Sentence Investigations		Intake Evaluations		Interstate Investigations to Colorado		Administrative Investigations (additional cases)		TOTAL INVESTIGATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	7%	207	81%	1	0%	0	0%	6	2%	26	10%	257	100%
2	26	5%	452	93%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	2%	488	100%
3	0	0%	26	84%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	16%	31	100%
4	5	2%	148	71%	0	0%	0	0%	26	12%	30	14%	209	100%
5	2	5%	34	81%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	4	10%	42	100%
6	3	6%	40	82%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	4	8%	49	100%
7	0	0%	78	92%	2	2%	1	1%	1	1%	3	4%	85	100%
8	10	8%	82	62%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	38	29%	132	100%
9	2	2%	95	73%	6	5%	0	0%	3	2%	24	18%	130	100%
10	7	41%	6	35%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	24%	17	100%
11	6	86%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%	0	0%	7	100%
12	4	10%	29	74%	1	3%	4	10%	0	0%	1	3%	39	100%
13	3	5%	40	63%	7	11%	0	0%	2	3%	12	19%	64	100%
14	6	10%	42	67%	1	2%	0	0%	2	3%	12	19%	63	100%
15	0	0%	7	58%	0	0%	0	0%	1	8%	4	33%	12	100%
16	2	9%	11	48%	3	13%	0	0%	1	4%	6	26%	23	100%
17	22	10%	174	82%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	14	7%	211	100%
18	29	28%	41	40%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%	27	26%	102	100%
19	28	24%	37	32%	0	0%	0	0%	7	6%	43	37%	115	100%
20	1	5%	17	81%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	14%	21	100%
21	10	50%	6	30%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	3	15%	20	100%
22	4	11%	27	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	4	11%	36	100%
STATE	187	9%	1,599	74%	24	1%	5	0%	61	3%	277	13%	2,153	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 69

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Offense Type

TYPE: DISTRICT	Felony		Misdemeanor		Petty Offense		Traffic		Other (offense coded without a law class)		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	199	31%	376	59%	23	4%	0	0%	42	7%	640	100%
2	210	38%	317	57%	5	1%	0	0%	26	5%	558	100%
3	5	20%	19	76%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	25	100%
4	145	37%	219	56%	0	0%	2	1%	27	7%	393	100%
5	11	17%	46	70%	3	5%	0	0%	6	9%	66	100%
6	30	47%	32	50%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	64	100%
7	30	31%	58	59%	2	2%	1	1%	7	7%	98	100%
8	102	16%	355	57%	68	11%	0	0%	95	15%	620	100%
9	37	42%	39	44%	2	2%	0	0%	10	11%	88	100%
10	58	34%	97	57%	7	4%	0	0%	7	4%	169	100%
11	31	25%	68	54%	10	8%	0	0%	16	13%	125	100%
12	11	11%	54	53%	11	11%	0	0%	25	25%	101	100%
13	33	35%	57	61%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%	94	100%
14	10	20%	31	62%	2	4%	0	0%	7	14%	50	100%
15	6	27%	13	59%	0	0%	0	0%	3	14%	22	100%
16	9	20%	32	73%	0	0%	0	0%	3	7%	44	100%
17	206	37%	307	55%	11	2%	1	0%	34	6%	559	100%
18	322	38%	461	54%	34	4%	0	0%	33	4%	850	100%
19	146	23%	396	62%	46	7%	2	0%	47	7%	637	100%
20	73	26%	168	60%	25	9%	0	0%	14	5%	280	100%
21	61	30%	102	51%	8	4%	0	0%	30	15%	201	100%
22	4	17%	11	48%	0	0%	0	0%	8	35%	23	100%
STATE	1,739	30%	3,258	57%	258	5%	6	0%	446	8%	5,707	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 70

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Supervision Type

TYPE:	Probation		Probation Revoked and Resentenced		Deferred Adjudication		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Change of Venue		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT												
1	452	71%	4	1%	121	19%	4	1%	59	9%	640	100%
2	242	43%	15	3%	97	17%	3	1%	201	36%	558	100%
3	15	60%	0	0%	5	20%	0	0%	5	20%	25	100%
4	317	81%	9	2%	26	7%	21	5%	20	5%	393	100%
5	59	89%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	5	8%	66	100%
6	40	63%	0	0%	18	28%	0	0%	6	9%	64	100%
7	71	72%	1	1%	21	21%	2	2%	3	3%	98	100%
8	193	31%	3	0%	388	63%	2	0%	34	5%	620	100%
9	30	34%	0	0%	45	51%	4	5%	9	10%	88	100%
10	75	44%	4	2%	70	41%	4	2%	16	9%	169	100%
11	66	53%	4	3%	48	38%	1	1%	6	5%	125	100%
12	30	30%	0	0%	59	58%	3	3%	9	9%	101	100%
13	38	40%	0	0%	50	53%	2	2%	4	4%	94	100%
14	28	56%	0	0%	17	34%	1	2%	4	8%	50	100%
15	16	73%	0	0%	3	14%	1	5%	2	9%	22	100%
16	30	68%	0	0%	9	20%	1	2%	4	9%	44	100%
17	363	65%	6	1%	40	7%	9	2%	141	25%	559	100%
18	501	59%	12	1%	235	28%	4	0%	98	12%	850	100%
19	553	87%	5	1%	30	5%	7	1%	42	7%	637	100%
20	103	37%	1	0%	150	54%	1	0%	25	9%	280	100%
21	174	87%	1	0%	10	5%	6	3%	10	5%	201	100%
22	10	43%	0	0%	10	43%	1	4%	2	9%	23	100%
STATE	3,406	60%	65	1%	1,454	25%	77	1%	705	12%	5,707	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 71

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Gender

TYPE:		Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1	146	23%	492	77%	2	0%	640	100%	
2	106	19%	452	81%	0	0%	558	100%	
3	4	16%	21	84%	0	0%	25	100%	
4	84	21%	309	79%	0	0%	393	100%	
5	17	26%	49	74%	0	0%	66	100%	
6	22	34%	42	66%	0	0%	64	100%	
7	15	15%	83	85%	0	0%	98	100%	
8	188	30%	432	70%	0	0%	620	100%	
9	12	14%	76	86%	0	0%	88	100%	
10	46	27%	123	73%	0	0%	169	100%	
11	31	25%	94	75%	0	0%	125	100%	
12	31	31%	70	69%	0	0%	101	100%	
13	23	24%	71	76%	0	0%	94	100%	
14	8	16%	42	84%	0	0%	50	100%	
15	5	23%	17	77%	0	0%	22	100%	
16	7	16%	37	84%	0	0%	44	100%	
17	101	18%	457	82%	1	0%	559	100%	
18	171	20%	679	80%	0	0%	850	100%	
19	202	32%	435	68%	0	0%	637	100%	
20	65	23%	215	77%	0	0%	280	100%	
21	57	28%	144	72%	0	0%	201	100%	
22	3	13%	20	87%	0	0%	23	100%	
STATE	1,344	24%	4,360	76%	3	0%	5,707	100%	

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 72

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Age

TYPE: DISTRICT	10-14 Years		15 Years		16 Years		17 Years		18+ Years ¹		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	160	25%	103	16%	144	23%	160	25%	73	11%	0	0%	640	100%
2	127	23%	85	15%	119	21%	158	28%	69	12%	0	0%	558	100%
3	5	20%	4	16%	10	40%	4	16%	2	8%	0	0%	25	100%
4	85	22%	62	16%	94	24%	95	24%	57	15%	0	0%	393	100%
5	14	21%	9	14%	16	24%	15	23%	12	18%	0	0%	66	100%
6	13	20%	9	14%	16	25%	18	28%	8	13%	0	0%	64	100%
7	15	15%	16	16%	25	26%	25	26%	17	17%	0	0%	98	100%
8	129	21%	148	24%	156	25%	148	24%	39	6%	0	0%	620	100%
9	17	19%	13	15%	19	22%	32	36%	7	8%	0	0%	88	100%
10	37	22%	29	17%	39	23%	47	28%	17	10%	0	0%	169	100%
11	20	16%	32	26%	23	18%	35	28%	15	12%	0	0%	125	100%
12	27	27%	12	12%	28	28%	26	26%	8	8%	0	0%	101	100%
13	23	24%	15	16%	19	20%	27	29%	10	11%	0	0%	94	100%
14	11	22%	12	24%	12	24%	10	20%	5	10%	0	0%	50	100%
15	6	27%	2	9%	5	23%	4	18%	5	23%	0	0%	22	100%
16	17	39%	2	5%	5	11%	14	32%	6	14%	0	0%	44	100%
17	108	19%	91	16%	128	23%	147	26%	85	15%	0	0%	559	100%
18	145	17%	154	18%	188	22%	244	29%	119	14%	0	0%	850	100%
19	178	28%	125	20%	136	21%	150	24%	48	8%	0	0%	637	100%
20	49	18%	57	20%	74	26%	87	31%	13	5%	0	0%	280	100%
21	44	22%	33	16%	40	20%	51	25%	33	16%	0	0%	201	100%
22	7	30%	4	17%	2	9%	7	30%	3	13%	0	0%	23	100%
STATE	1,237	22%	1,017	18%	1,298	23%	1,504	26%	651	11%	0	0%	5,707	100%

¹**18+ Years:** Youth in this category committed their crime while still under the age of 18 but were new to probation after they turned 18.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 73

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
New Clients by Ethnic Group

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Asian	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	454	71%	30	5%	8	1%	129	20%	13	2%	6	1%	640	100%
2	147	26%	157	28%	6	1%	236	42%	9	2%	3	1%	558	100%
3	20	80%	0	0%	0	0%	5	20%	0	0%	0	0%	25	100%
4	230	59%	73	19%	4	1%	55	14%	2	1%	29	7%	393	100%
5	55	83%	0	0%	0	0%	11	17%	0	0%	0	0%	66	100%
6	43	67%	1	2%	1	2%	7	11%	6	9%	6	9%	64	100%
7	75	77%	1	1%	0	0%	18	18%	0	0%	4	4%	98	100%
8	572	92%	18	3%	1	0%	16	3%	3	0%	10	2%	620	100%
9	60	68%	0	0%	1	1%	25	28%	0	0%	2	2%	88	100%
10	88	52%	5	3%	0	0%	67	40%	1	1%	8	5%	169	100%
11	117	94%	1	1%	1	1%	4	3%	1	1%	1	1%	125	100%
12	80	79%	0	0%	0	0%	21	21%	0	0%	0	0%	101	100%
13	73	78%	0	0%	0	0%	18	19%	1	1%	2	2%	94	100%
14	47	94%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	2	4%	50	100%
15	14	64%	0	0%	0	0%	8	36%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
16	33	75%	1	2%	0	0%	9	20%	0	0%	1	2%	44	100%
17	417	75%	36	6%	8	1%	85	15%	5	1%	8	1%	559	100%
18	554	65%	186	22%	13	2%	76	9%	4	0%	17	2%	850	100%
19	541	85%	6	1%	1	0%	86	14%	2	0%	1	0%	637	100%
20	240	86%	9	3%	5	2%	25	9%	1	0%	0	0%	280	100%
21	149	74%	5	2%	1	0%	44	22%	2	1%	0	0%	201	100%
22	12	52%	2	9%	0	0%	4	17%	5	22%	0	0%	23	100%
STATE	4,021	70%	531	9%	50	1%	950	17%	55	1%	100	2%	5,707	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 74

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	273	68%	107	27%	19	5%	399	100%
2	388	67%	138	24%	50	9%	576	100%
3	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	15	100%
4	288	69%	107	25%	25	6%	420	100%
5	67	88%	7	9%	2	3%	76	100%
6	42	66%	20	31%	2	3%	64	100%
7	58	81%	9	13%	5	7%	72	100%
8	206	78%	51	19%	6	2%	263	100%
9	42	71%	14	24%	3	5%	59	100%
10	117	70%	38	23%	13	8%	168	100%
11	89	71%	34	27%	3	2%	126	100%
12	94	82%	18	16%	3	3%	115	100%
13	83	84%	16	16%	0	0%	99	100%
14	43	86%	5	10%	2	4%	50	100%
15	24	92%	2	8%	0	0%	26	100%
16	21	72%	8	28%	0	0%	29	100%
17	357	71%	109	22%	34	7%	500	100%
18	467	71%	155	23%	39	6%	661	100%
19	446	81%	92	17%	14	3%	552	100%
20	246	85%	41	14%	3	1%	290	100%
21	95	73%	27	21%	9	7%	131	100%
22	25	66%	11	29%	2	5%	38	100%
STATE	3,485	74%	1,010	21%	234	5%	4,729	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes those probationers supervised by the state who terminated successfully.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes those probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from probation supervision for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of juvenile probation closures are included in Table 75.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 75

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
All Probation Supervision Closure Types

TYPE:	Successful		Revoked		Absconded		Community Corrections Discharge		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES		Changes of Venue ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
DISTRICT																		
1	273	68%	107	27%	19	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	0%	401	100%	68	1
2	388	67%	138	24%	50	9%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	0	0%	579	100%	70	2
3	14	93%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%	2	3
4	288	68%	107	25%	25	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	421	100%	20	4
5	67	88%	7	9%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	76	100%	7	5
6	42	66%	20	31%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	64	100%	1	6
7	58	76%	9	12%	5	7%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	3	4%	76	100%	7	7
8	206	77%	51	19%	6	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	266	100%	10	8
9	42	71%	14	24%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	59	100%	1	9
10	117	70%	38	23%	13	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	168	100%	15	10
11	89	71%	34	27%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	126	100%	13	11
12	94	82%	18	16%	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	115	100%	4	12
13	83	84%	16	16%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	99	100%	9	13
14	43	86%	5	10%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	50	100%	4	14
15	24	92%	2	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26	100%	5	15
16	21	72%	8	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%	4	16
17	357	71%	109	22%	34	7%	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%	1	0%	504	100%	98	17
18	467	70%	155	23%	39	6%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	664	100%	112	18
19	446	81%	92	17%	14	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	553	100%	32	19
20	246	84%	41	14%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	1%	293	100%	12	20
21	95	73%	27	21%	9	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	131	100%	13	21
22	25	66%	11	29%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	38	100%	0	22
STATE	3,485	73%	1,010	21%	234	5%	0	0%	4	0%	5	0%	15	0%	4,753	100%	507	STATE

¹Changes of Venue: Beginning in FY2008 changes of venue were removed from "Total Closures" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are also reported separately to continue to track the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

188

TABLE 76

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Type of Revocation

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	11%	22	21%	73	68%	107	100%
2	16	12%	9	7%	113	82%	138	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
4	23	21%	18	17%	66	62%	107	100%
5	3	43%	1	14%	3	43%	7	100%
6	4	20%	1	5%	15	75%	20	100%
7	2	22%	1	11%	6	67%	9	100%
8	10	20%	12	24%	29	57%	51	100%
9	1	7%	1	7%	12	86%	14	100%
10	8	21%	5	13%	25	66%	38	100%
11	5	15%	6	18%	23	68%	34	100%
12	2	11%	5	28%	11	61%	18	100%
13	1	6%	9	56%	6	38%	16	100%
14	0	0%	1	20%	4	80%	5	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
16	0	0%	2	25%	6	75%	8	100%
17	20	18%	21	19%	68	62%	109	100%
18	19	12%	34	22%	102	66%	155	100%
19	16	17%	15	16%	61	66%	92	100%
20	1	2%	3	7%	37	90%	41	100%
21	13	48%	5	19%	9	33%	27	100%
22	0	0%	5	45%	6	55%	11	100%
STATE	156	15%	176	17%	678	67%	1,010	100%

Key: *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 77

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Length of Stay

TYPE:	0-3 months		4-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25 + months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number
1	49	12%	35	9%	113	28%	68	17%	62	15%	74	18%	401	100%
2	37	6%	44	8%	147	25%	127	22%	105	18%	119	21%	579	100%
3	1	7%	1	7%	6	40%	1	7%	3	20%	3	20%	15	100%
4	15	4%	36	9%	127	30%	79	19%	70	17%	94	22%	421	100%
5	10	13%	8	11%	29	38%	18	24%	7	9%	4	5%	76	100%
6	4	6%	5	8%	22	34%	20	31%	7	11%	6	9%	64	100%
7	2	3%	6	8%	39	51%	12	16%	9	12%	8	11%	76	100%
8	16	6%	43	16%	105	39%	60	23%	27	10%	15	6%	266	100%
9	4	7%	11	19%	21	36%	8	14%	9	15%	6	10%	59	100%
10	11	7%	43	26%	63	38%	33	20%	11	7%	7	4%	168	100%
11	13	10%	17	13%	44	35%	24	19%	14	11%	14	11%	126	100%
12	6	5%	14	12%	60	52%	15	13%	12	10%	8	7%	115	100%
13	3	3%	9	9%	50	51%	19	19%	14	14%	4	4%	99	100%
14	3	6%	0	0%	24	48%	9	18%	7	14%	7	14%	50	100%
15	2	8%	2	8%	6	23%	3	12%	9	35%	4	15%	26	100%
16	1	3%	1	3%	7	24%	6	21%	7	24%	7	24%	29	100%
17	50	10%	49	10%	164	33%	84	17%	68	13%	89	18%	504	100%
18	52	8%	77	12%	222	33%	132	20%	89	13%	92	14%	664	100%
19	89	16%	119	22%	188	34%	89	16%	35	6%	33	6%	553	100%
20	31	11%	108	37%	73	25%	36	12%	29	10%	16	5%	293	100%
21	10	8%	21	16%	36	27%	24	18%	25	19%	15	11%	131	100%
22	0	0%	2	5%	14	37%	11	29%	6	16%	5	13%	38	100%
STATE	409	9%	651	14%	1,560	33%	878	18%	625	13%	630	13%	4,753	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 78

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Active Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009

DISTRICT	New: w/in the first 30 days of supervision start date		Maximum		Medium		Minimum		Administrative		Community Corrections		Sex Offender ¹		Interstate Transfer to Another State		TOTAL STATE JUVENILE CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	22	3%	111	17%	229	34%	146	22%	95	14%	2	0%	62	9%	5	1%	672	100%
2	17	2%	148	19%	231	29%	219	28%	95	12%	0	0%	75	10%	0	0%	785	100%
3	0	0%	4	11%	11	30%	13	35%	5	14%	0	0%	0	0%	4	11%	37	100%
4	6	1%	150	21%	244	33%	180	25%	72	10%	1	0%	64	9%	13	2%	730	100%
5	2	2%	13	16%	23	28%	24	29%	15	18%	0	0%	1	1%	4	5%	82	100%
6	2	2%	20	24%	24	29%	18	21%	2	2%	0	0%	9	11%	9	11%	84	100%
7	2	1%	35	25%	38	28%	52	38%	8	6%	0	0%	1	1%	2	1%	138	100%
8	13	2%	101	16%	121	19%	13	2%	350	55%	1	0%	18	3%	14	2%	631	100%
9	0	0%	31	29%	16	15%	44	41%	9	8%	0	0%	8	7%	0	0%	108	100%
10	9	5%	18	10%	56	30%	73	40%	27	15%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	184	100%
11	6	4%	16	9%	109	64%	15	9%	7	4%	1	1%	13	8%	3	2%	170	100%
12	2	2%	13	11%	25	22%	56	49%	10	9%	0	0%	6	5%	2	2%	114	100%
13	0	0%	16	15%	26	24%	40	37%	14	13%	0	0%	6	6%	5	5%	107	100%
14	1	1%	20	22%	27	29%	22	24%	11	12%	1	1%	4	4%	6	7%	92	100%
15	0	0%	6	17%	10	29%	11	31%	1	3%	1	3%	4	11%	2	6%	35	100%
16	0	0%	19	28%	18	26%	21	30%	8	12%	0	0%	1	1%	2	3%	69	100%
17	17	2%	107	15%	233	33%	195	27%	111	16%	0	0%	46	6%	7	1%	716	100%
18	73	7%	124	12%	299	28%	367	35%	94	9%	2	0%	62	6%	35	3%	1,056	100%
19	18	3%	76	12%	215	35%	225	36%	48	8%	0	0%	33	5%	7	1%	622	100%
20	3	1%	41	18%	48	21%	75	33%	39	17%	2	1%	20	9%	2	1%	230	100%
21	11	4%	18	7%	61	24%	104	40%	35	14%	1	0%	19	7%	9	3%	258	100%
22	0	0%	9	33%	9	33%	7	26%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%
STATE	204	3%	1,096	16%	2,073	30%	1,920	28%	1,058	15%	12	0%	452	7%	132	2%	6,947	100%

¹Sex Offender: A detailed breakout of the risk levels of these offenders can be found in Table 79.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percents because of rounding

Source: Regular Juvenile Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

191

FY 2009
Colorado State Juvenile Probation
Active Sex Offender Client Risk Levels on June 30, 2009

Risk Level:	* Juvenile Sex Offenders (Non- SOISP)									
	Sex Offender Maximum		Sex Offender Medium		Sex Offender Minimum		Sex Offender Administrative		TOTAL SEX OFFENDERS	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	16	26%	46	74%	0	0%	0	0%	62	100%
2	18	24%	32	43%	24	32%	1	1%	75	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	38	59%	24	38%	2	3%	0	0%	64	100%
5	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
6	1	11%	5	56%	2	22%	1	11%	9	100%
7	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	11	61%	5	28%	1	6%	1	6%	18	100%
9	0	0%	6	75%	1	13%	1	13%	8	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
11	0	0%	12	92%	0	0%	1	8%	13	100%
12	0	0%	1	17%	5	83%	0	0%	6	100%
13	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	2	33%	6	100%
14	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
15	2	50%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
16	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	9	20%	21	46%	12	26%	4	9%	46	100%
18	13	21%	43	69%	6	10%	0	0%	62	100%
19	8	24%	19	58%	0	0%	6	18%	33	100%
20	1	5%	6	30%	13	65%	0	0%	20	100%
21	3	16%	15	79%	1	5%	0	0%	19	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	124	27%	241	53%	70	15%	17	4%	452	100%

* Juvenile Sex Offenders Non SOISP: This chart does not include Juvenile Sex Offenders who have been placed on Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP). Colorado does not currently have an ISP program for juvenile sex offenders.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)



FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	106	55%	77	40%	9	5%	0	0%	192	100%
2A*	120	43%	126	45%	31	11%	0	0%	277	100%
3	7	41%	9	53%	1	6%	0	0%	17	100%
4	40	40%	54	53%	7	7%	0	0%	101	100%
5	14	61%	9	39%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
6	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
7	0	0%	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
8	62	41%	81	54%	7	5%	0	0%	150	100%
9	4	40%	5	50%	1	10%	0	0%	10	100%
10	13	27%	32	65%	4	8%	0	0%	49	100%
11	4	36%	7	64%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
12	4	50%	4	50%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
13	5	15%	29	85%	0	0%	0	0%	34	100%
14	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	0	0%	7	100%
15	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
16	4	40%	5	50%	1	10%	0	0%	10	100%
17	87	53%	57	35%	20	12%	0	0%	164	100%
18	50	23%	153	70%	17	8%	0	0%	220	100%
19	29	28%	62	61%	11	11%	0	0%	102	100%
20	30	44%	33	49%	5	7%	0	0%	68	100%
21	4	10%	32	82%	3	8%	0	0%	39	100%
22	2	67%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
TOTAL	594	40%	783	52%	121	8%	0	0%	1,498	100%

2A*: Denver Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-ISP submitted by probation districts July 2008-June 2009.

193

FY 2009
Colorado State Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	121	65%	57	31%	7	4%	185	100%
2	112	55%	66	32%	26	13%	204	100%
3	6	50%	4	33%	2	17%	12	100%
4	55	63%	20	23%	13	15%	88	100%
5	6	55%	5	45%	0	0%	11	100%
6	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	8	100%
7	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%	7	100%
8	81	78%	20	19%	3	3%	104	100%
9	9	82%	0	0%	2	18%	11	100%
10	21	48%	19	43%	4	9%	44	100%
11	8	67%	4	33%	0	0%	12	100%
12	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
13	20	69%	9	31%	0	0%	29	100%
14	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
15	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	103	74%	27	19%	10	7%	140	100%
18	120	69%	41	24%	12	7%	173	100%
19	47	60%	27	35%	4	5%	78	100%
20	46	71%	9	14%	10	15%	65	100%
21	29	88%	2	6%	2	6%	33	100%
22	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
STATE	810	66%	314	26%	95	8%	1,219	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from ISP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes AISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from AISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation. Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of AISP discharges are included in Table 82.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

194

TABLE 82

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	District
1	114	52%	7	3%	7	3%	32	15%	57	26%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	218	100%	11	1
2	91	37%	21	9%	26	11%	32	13%	66	27%	4	2%	2	1%	1	0%	0	0%	243	100%	25	2
3	5	38%	1	8%	2	15%	1	8%	4	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	3	3
4	53	51%	2	2%	13	13%	12	12%	20	19%	0	0%	2	2%	1	1%	0	0%	103	100%	9	4
5	6	46%	0	0%	0	0%	2	15%	5	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	1	5
6	7	78%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	0	6
7	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	2	7
8	78	55%	3	2%	3	2%	36	25%	20	14%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	142	100%	1	8
9	9	82%	0	0%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	9
10	18	34%	3	6%	4	8%	8	15%	19	36%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	53	100%	4	10
11	7	54%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	4	31%	0	0%	1	8%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	11
12	5	83%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	12
13	20	51%	0	0%	0	0%	9	23%	9	23%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	39	100%	1	13
14	4	67%	1	17%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	0	14
15	1	14%	2	29%	0	0%	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	1	15
16	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	6	100%	1	16
17	99	54%	4	2%	10	5%	41	22%	27	15%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	184	100%	16	17
18	119	51%	1	0%	12	5%	60	26%	41	18%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	234	100%	25	18
19	46	46%	1	1%	4	4%	19	19%	27	27%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%	101	100%	12	19
20	44	52%	2	2%	10	12%	19	22%	9	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	85	100%	6	20
21	25	60%	4	10%	2	5%	8	19%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	42	100%	4	21
22	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	22
TOTAL	757	49%	53	3%	95	6%	290	19%	314	20%	6	0%	10	1%	6	0%	5	0%	1,536	100%	122	STATE

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

195

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	12	21%	3	5%	42	74%	57	100%
2	14	21%	8	12%	44	67%	66	100%
3	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	4	100%
4	8	40%	2	10%	10	50%	20	100%
5	2	40%	1	20%	2	40%	5	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
7	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	3	100%
8	8	40%	4	20%	8	40%	20	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
10	4	21%	1	5%	14	74%	19	100%
11	1	25%	0	0%	3	75%	4	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
13	2	22%	3	33%	4	44%	9	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
17	9	33%	7	26%	11	41%	27	100%
18	9	22%	6	15%	26	63%	41	100%
19	12	44%	8	30%	7	26%	27	100%
20	2	22%	1	11%	6	67%	9	100%
21	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
TOTAL	85	27%	47	15%	182	58%	314	100%

Key: *New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

196

Colorado Probation: Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)



FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage
1	101	95%	5	5%	0	0%	106	100%
2	49	92%	4	8%	0	0%	53	100%
3	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
4	80	90%	9	10%	0	0%	89	100%
5	5	71%	2	29%	0	0%	7	100%
6	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	30	91%	3	9%	0	0%	33	100%
9	3	60%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
10	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	4	67%	2	33%	0	0%	6	100%
14	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
17	47	85%	8	15%	0	0%	55	100%
18	83	90%	9	10%	0	0%	92	100%
19	10	100%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
20	5	83%	1	17%	0	0%	6	100%
21	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
22	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
TOTAL	445	90%	47	10%	0	0%	492	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

Note: Approximately half of the SOISP probationers are serving indeterminate sentences (minimum of 10 years). Therefore, it is important to consider terminations within the context of the active SOISP caseload. Many more probationers are being successfully supervised on SOISP (1,296) than are terminating unsuccessfully (148) as reflected below in the 6/30/09 active caseload and termination table.

Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Program (SOISP) Active as of 6/30/09 ¹	
DISTRICT	Number
1	170
2A	102
3	7
4	243
5	12
6	27
7	0
8	100
9	10
10	72
11	19
12	5
13	21
14	4
15	1
16	1
17	104
18	260
19	60
20	41
21	32
22	5
STATE	1,296

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	40	68%	17	29%	2	3%	59	100%
2A	1	6%	16	94%	0	0%	17	100%
3	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
4	31	46%	27	40%	10	15%	68	100%
5	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
6	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	4	33%	7	58%	1	8%	12	100%
9	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
10	7	41%	10	59%	0	0%	17	100%
11	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	5	31%	10	63%	1	6%	16	100%
18	17	43%	20	50%	3	8%	40	100%
19	5	36%	7	50%	2	14%	14	100%
20	2	33%	3	50%	1	17%	6	100%
21	4	57%	2	29%	1	14%	7	100%
22	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
STATE	124	46%	126	46%	22	8%	272	100%

Key: *Successful Termination:* This category includes those probationers that have terminated from SOISP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.
Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes SOISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from SOISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.
 A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section. These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.
 Absconder refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of SOISP discharges are included in Table 86.

¹Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non- SOISP sex offender population is included in Regular Adult Probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

198

TABLE 86

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to: Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	36	51%	4	6%	2	3%	12	17%	17	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	71	100%
2	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	62%	7	27%	1	4%	1	4%	0	0%	26	100%
3	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
4	18	24%	13	17%	10	13%	0	0%	27	36%	4	5%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	75	100%
5	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	2	13%	2	13%	1	7%	2	13%	7	47%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15	100%
9	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
10	0	0%	7	39%	0	0%	0	0%	10	56%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	18	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
14	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
17	1	5%	4	21%	1	5%	1	5%	10	53%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	19	100%
18	0	0%	17	33%	3	6%	2	4%	20	39%	2	4%	3	6%	3	6%	1	2%	51	100%
19	3	21%	2	14%	2	14%	0	0%	7	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%
20	2	33%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
21	0	0%	4	40%	1	10%	2	20%	2	20%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
TOTAL	68	21%	56	17%	22	7%	19	6%	126	39%	17	5%	10	3%	4	1%	1	0%	323	100%

Courtesy Transfers ¹	
Number	DISTRICT
3	1
7	2
1	3
7	4
2	5
0	6
0	7
0	8
1	9
1	10
0	11
0	12
1	13
1	14
0	15
0	16
4	17
7	18
1	19
3	20
1	21
0	22
40	TOTAL

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

199

TABLE 87

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	18%	1	6%	13	76%	17	100%
2	3	19%	1	6%	12	75%	16	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	5	19%	2	7%	20	74%	27	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
6	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	1	14%	6	86%	7	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	1	10%	0	0%	9	90%	10	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%	10	100%
18	1	5%	1	5%	18	90%	20	100%
19	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%
20	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
21	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
TOTAL	15	12%	6	5%	105	83%	126	100%

Key:
New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-SOISP submitted by probation districts July 2008- June 2009.

Colorado Probation: Female Offender Program (FOP)



TABLE 88

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Courtesy Transfer from other Colorado Probation Districts		Interstate Transfers to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	49%	13	37%	5	14%	0	0%	35	100%
2	1	10%	6	60%	3	30%	0	0%	10	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	9	39%	14	61%	0	0%	0	0%	23	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	4	44%	4	44%	1	11%	0	0%	9	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	10	48%	10	48%	1	5%	0	0%	21	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	12	50%	8	33%	4	17%	0	0%	24	100%
18	0	0%	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
19	4	20%	15	75%	1	5%	0	0%	20	100%
20	9	75%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%	12	100%
21	4	24%	13	76%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	70	38%	98	53%	18	10%	0	0%	186	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-FOP submitted by probation districts July 2008-June 2009.

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	15	60%	9	36%	1	4%	25	100%
2	13	57%	6	26%	4	17%	23	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	13	81%	3	19%	0	0%	16	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	14	88%	1	6%	1	6%	16	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	9	64%	2	14%	3	21%	14	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	25	78%	5	16%	2	6%	32	100%
18	11	73%	3	20%	1	7%	15	100%
19	17	63%	9	33%	1	4%	27	100%
20	17	0%	1	100%	0	0%	18	100%
21	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	15	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	147	73%	41	20%	13	6%	201	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations:* This category includes those probationers that have terminated from FOP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes FOP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from FOP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. A revocation to Community Corrections is NOT included in this section.

These offenders are not included on this table as they are still under the supervision and jurisdiction of probation.

Absconders refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of FOP discharges are included in Table 90.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-FOP submitted by probation districts for July 2008-June 2009.

TABLE 90

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Courtesy Transfers ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	DISTRICT
1	11	34%	4	13%	1	3%	5	16%	9	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	32	100%	2	1
2	6	22%	7	26%	4	15%	3	11%	6	22%	0	0%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%	7	2
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	3
4	13	62%	0	0%	0	0%	4	19%	3	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	21	100%	1	4
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	5
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	6
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	7
8	7	35%	7	35%	1	5%	4	20%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	0	8
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	9
10	5	25%	4	20%	3	15%	6	30%	2	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	12
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	15
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	16
17	22	52%	3	7%	2	5%	10	24%	5	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	42	100%	3	17
18	8	47%	3	18%	1	6%	2	12%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%	2	18
19	16	48%	1	3%	1	3%	6	18%	9	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%	2	19
20	16	80%	1	5%	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	20	100%	1	20
21	12	71%	1	6%	0	0%	2	12%	2	12%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%	0	21
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	22
TOTAL	116	47%	31	12%	13	5%	43	17%	41	16%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	4	2%	249	100%	18	TOTAL

¹Courtesy Transfers: Beginning in FY2008 courtesy transfers were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing discharge categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the cases transferred between districts.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

203

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Female Offender Program (FOP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1	11%	2	22%	6	67%	9	100%
2	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	6	100%
3	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
4	1	33%	0	0%	2	67%	3	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
8	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
10	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
13	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%
18	1	0%	2	0%	0	0%	3	100%
19	2	22%	0	0%	7	78%	9	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
21	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	8	20%	6	15%	27	66%	41	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-FOP submitted by probation districts July 2008- June 2009.

Colorado Probation: Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)



Table 92

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
New Clients by Order Type

DISTRICT	Direct Sentence		Transfer from Regular Probation		Change of Venue		Interstate Transfer to Colorado		Total New Clients	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	33	47%	31	44%	6	9%	0	0%	70	100%
2	64	66%	29	30%	4	4%	0	0%	97	100%
3	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
4	7	44%	9	56%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
6	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
8	8	28%	19	66%	2	7%	0	0%	29	100%
9	3	50%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%
10	10	30%	23	70%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%
11	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
13	4	36%	7	64%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
14	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
15	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	2	100%
16	5	45%	5	45%	1	9%	0	0%	11	100%
17	13	20%	43	65%	10	15%	0	0%	66	100%
18	29	31%	61	65%	4	4%	0	0%	94	100%
19	2	18%	9	82%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
20	3	27%	8	73%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%
21	4	15%	21	81%	1	4%	0	0%	26	100%
22	6	75%	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
TOTAL	198	39%	285	56%	29	6%	0	0%	512	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-JISP submitted by probation districts July 2008-June 2009.

TABLE 93

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revoked		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	17	35%	27	56%	4	8%	48	100%
2	51	47%	42	39%	15	14%	108	100%
3	5	63%	3	38%	0	0%	8	100%
4	12	39%	19	61%	0	0%	31	100%
5	3	43%	4	57%	0	0%	7	100%
6	3	27%	8	73%	0	0%	11	100%
7	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
8	14	50%	14	50%	0	0%	28	100%
9	3	43%	3	43%	1	14%	7	100%
10	10	31%	20	63%	2	6%	32	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	7	78%	2	22%	0	0%	9	100%
13	3	38%	5	63%	0	0%	8	100%
14	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	2	29%	4	57%	1	14%	7	100%
17	53	62%	32	37%	1	1%	86	100%
18	32	36%	55	61%	3	3%	90	100%
19	8	47%	8	47%	1	6%	17	100%
20	10	77%	3	23%	0	0%	13	100%
21	8	29%	16	57%	4	14%	28	100%
22	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
STATE	245	45%	267	49%	32	6%	544	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This category includes those probationers that have terminated from JISP and probation or transition to regular supervision probation.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes JISP probationers who were revoked or absconded. A revocation is defined as a termination from JISP for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony.

Absconded refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation services.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconders and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of JISP discharges are included in Table 94.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Source: Intensive Programs Data Collection Coversheet-JISP submitted by probation districts for July 2008-June 2009.

TABLE 94

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Discharges

DISTRICT	Successful: Transfer to Regular Probation		Successful: Completed Program no longer on Probation		Absconded		Re-Sentenced to Community Corrections		Revoked		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		Transfer to Another Specialized Program		Total Discharges		Changes of Venue ¹	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	#	%	Number	%	#	%	#	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	DISTRICT
1	12	24%	5	10%	4	8%	0	0%	27	55%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	49	100%	15	1
2	43	38%	8	7%	15	13%	0	0%	42	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%	113	100%	25	2
3	2	25%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	3	38%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	0	3
4	9	28%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	19	59%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	32	100%	0	4
5	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	5
6	1	9%	2	18%	0	0%	0	0%	8	73%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	0	6
7	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	7
8	9	32%	5	18%	0	0%	0	0%	14	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28	100%	0	8
9	2	29%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	0	9
10	2	6%	8	24%	2	6%	1	3%	20	61%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%	0	10
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	11
12	6	67%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%	2	22%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	1	12
13	2	25%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	5	63%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	1	13
14	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	14
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	15
16	1	14%	1	14%	1	14%	0	0%	4	57%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	100%	1	16
17	50	58%	3	3%	1	1%	0	0%	32	37%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	86	100%	17	17
18	23	25%	9	10%	3	3%	0	0%	55	60%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	92	100%	11	18
19	7	41%	1	6%	1	6%	0	0%	8	47%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	100%	0	19
20	0	0%	10	77%	0	0%	0	0%	3	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	0	20
21	7	24%	1	3%	4	14%	0	0%	16	55%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	29	100%	1	21
22	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	22
TOTAL	180	32%	65	12%	32	6%	1	0%	267	48%	0	0%	1	0%	3	1%	6	1%	555	100%	73	TOTAL

¹Changes of Venue: Beginning in FY2008 changes of venue were removed from "Total Discharges" because they are accounted for within the existing closure categories. They are also reported separately to allow for tracking the changes of venue between courts and probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

207

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP)
Type of Revocation

DISTRICT	Revoked: New Felony		Revoked: New Misdemeanor		Revoked: Technical		Total Revocations	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	5	19%	2	7%	20	74%	27	100%
2	6	14%	4	10%	32	76%	42	100%
3	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	3	100%
4	8	42%	2	11%	9	47%	19	100%
5	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4	100%
6	1	13%	1	13%	6	75%	8	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%
8	4	29%	3	21%	7	50%	14	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
10	5	25%	1	5%	14	70%	20	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
12	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
13	1	20%	0	0%	4	80%	5	100%
14	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%
17	6	19%	8	25%	18	56%	32	100%
18	10	18%	9	16%	36	65%	55	100%
19	1	13%	2	25%	5	63%	8	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%
21	4	25%	7	44%	5	31%	16	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%
TOTAL	53	20%	41	15%	173	65%	267	100%

Key:
New Felony: Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on probation supervision.
New Misdemeanor: Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on probation supervision.
Technical: Includes revocations for technical probation supervision violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
Total Revocations: Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from probation.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

208

Colorado Probation: Intensive Programs Summary



TABLE 96

FY2009
Colorado State Probation Intensive Programs
Active Clients Summary on June 30, 2009

DISTRICT	Adult Intensive Supervision Probation (AISP)		Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation (SOISP) ¹		Female Offender Program (FOP)		Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation (JISP) ²		TOTAL ACTIVE CLIENTS	
1	170	39%	170	39%	42	10%	54	12%	436	100%
2A ³	336	70%	102	21%	39	8%	0	0%	477	100%
2J ⁴	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	75	100%	75	100%
3	21	57%	7	19%	0	0%	9	24%	37	100%
4	158	35%	243	54%	31	7%	15	3%	447	100%
5	25	64%	12	31%	0	0%	2	5%	39	100%
6	6	15%	27	69%	0	0%	6	15%	39	100%
7	10	83%	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%	12	100%
8	160	52%	100	32%	14	5%	34	11%	308	100%
9	16	50%	10	31%	0	0%	6	19%	32	100%
10	53	28%	72	38%	30	16%	34	18%	189	100%
11	9	28%	19	59%	0	0%	4	13%	32	100%
12	9	60%	5	33%	0	0%	1	7%	15	100%
13	27	48%	21	38%	0	0%	8	14%	56	100%
14	8	53%	4	27%	0	0%	3	20%	15	100%
15	6	67%	1	11%	0	0%	2	22%	9	100%
16	12	44%	1	4%	0	0%	14	52%	27	100%
17	143	46%	104	34%	12	4%	49	16%	308	100%
18	149	29%	260	51%	35	7%	63	12%	507	100%
19	98	51%	60	31%	21	11%	14	7%	193	100%
20	56	41%	41	30%	21	15%	18	13%	136	100%
21	35	33%	32	30%	20	19%	19	18%	106	100%
22	5	28%	5	28%	0	0%	8	44%	18	100%
TOTAL	1,512	43%	1,296	37%	265	8%	440	13%	3,513	100%

¹Sex Offender Intensive Supervision Probation: The Non-SOISP sex offender population is included in the Regular Adult Probation Tables.

²Juvenile Intensive Supervision Probation: This number may include sex offenders that have been sentenced to JISP.

³2A: Denver Adult Probation. This is the only district with just adult offenders, all other districts include both adult and juvenile.

⁴2J: Denver Juvenile Probation. This is the only district with just juvenile offenders, all other districts include both adult and juvenile.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

Colorado Probation: Victim Services



**Colorado Probation
Summary of Victims Services ¹
FY 2004 to FY 2009**

	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006	FY2007	FY2008	FY2009
VICTIM SERVICES²						
Initial Notifications Sent	10,934	12,077	13,936	14,949	16,988	16,183
Notification Requests Returned	2,635	2,363	2,165	2,512	3,066	3,224
Percent of Victims Requesting Notification	24%	20%	16%	17%	18%	20%
VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS³						
Date of Probation Termination	1,936	1,914	1,807	1,741	2,209	2,251
Early Termination Requests	439	300	316	315	318	451
Probation Revocation Hearing/Disposition	3,434	3,392	3,710	4,040	4,532	4,738
Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision	467	307	309	480	678	680
Change of Venue/Transfer of Jurisdiction	441	429	331	481	509	430
Abscond/Warrant	1,379	1,494	1,385	1,355	1,565	1,812
Death of a Probationer	25	36	21	28	15	34
TOTAL NOTIFICATIONS	8,121	14,307	7,879	8,440	9,826	10,396

¹**Summary of Colorado Probation Victim Services:** Effective in FY2008 the information included in this chart reflects only activities that are statutorily required. In previous years additional courtesy notification events were reported; these were "Change of Probation Officer and Supervision Location" and "Hearing Other than Revocation". Prior to FY07 the VRA stated that probation had to notify victims of domestic violence of "any violation of probation". In the 2006 legislative session this requirement was repealed and the following provision for notification: "concerning domestic violence cases, any conduct by the defendant that results in an increase in supervision level by the probation department" was added. This new provision replaced the old legislation and became effective in FY07 and is now reported in "Modification of Probation Sentence/Supervision".

²**VICTIM SERVICES:** These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

³**VICTIM NOTIFICATION OF CRITICAL PROBATION EVENTS:** These numbers include all of the statutorily (24-4.1-303 (13.5) (a) C.R.S) required notifications of the critical stages of probation supervision. These notifications are done by state probation and private probation providers.

Colorado Probation:
Alcohol/Drug Driving Safety Program
(ADDS)



TABLE 98

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation- ADDS
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients

DISTRICT	Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed pre- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence (Alcohol Evaluation completed post- sentence)		Monitoring Sentence Revoked and Reinstated		Monitoring Deferred Sentence		TOTAL Monitoring	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	85	11%	658	85%	29	4%	0	0%	772	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	55	55%	9	9%	1	1%	35	35%	100	100%
4	2,051	63%	237	7%	33	1%	913	28%	3,234	100%
5	160	21%	587	76%	23	3%	4	1%	774	100%
6	139	33%	264	62%	0	0%	23	5%	426	100%
7	270	39%	400	58%	13	2%	12	2%	695	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
9	219	26%	616	72%	10	1%	9	1%	854	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	2	20%	6	60%	0	0%	2	20%	10	100%
13	338	81%	11	3%	8	2%	59	14%	416	100%
14	337	69%	129	26%	22	4%	3	1%	491	100%
15	17	9%	126	68%	0	0%	43	23%	186	100%
16	91	81%	0	0%	0	0%	22	19%	113	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
18	0	0%	1	7%	14	93%	0	0%	15	100%
19	225	18%	981	77%	72	6%	0	0%	1,278	100%
20	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
21	178	36%	321	64%	0	0%	0	0%	499	100%
22	2	40%	2	40%	1	20%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	4,169	42%	4,350	44%	238	2%	1,125	11%	9,882	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

* Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 99

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation- ADDS
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Gender

TYPE: DISTRICT	Female		Male		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	219	28%	553	72%	0	0%	772	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	30	30%	70	70%	0	0%	100	100%
4	953	29%	2,281	71%	0	0%	3,234	100%
5	139	18%	635	82%	0	0%	774	100%
6	93	22%	331	78%	2	0%	426	100%
7	154	22%	541	78%	0	0%	695	100%
8	0	0%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
9	129	15%	721	84%	4	0%	854	100%
10	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	4	100%
11	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
12	2	20%	8	80%	0	0%	10	100%
13	88	21%	328	79%	0	0%	416	100%
14	108	22%	383	78%	0	0%	491	100%
15	43	23%	143	77%	0	0%	186	100%
16	25	22%	88	78%	0	0%	113	100%
17	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
18	3	20%	12	80%	0	0%	15	100%
19	293	23%	985	77%	0	0%	1,278	100%
20	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
21	132	26%	367	74%	0	0%	499	100%
22	1	20%	4	80%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	2,414	24%	7,462	76%	6	0%	9,882	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 100

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Ethnic Group

TYPE: DISTRICT	Caucasian		African American		Asian		Hispanic		Native American		Other		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	657	85%	15	2%	8	1%	89	12%	2	0%	1	0%	772	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	81	81%	0	0%	0	0%	17	17%	2	2%	0	0%	100	100%
4	2,314	72%	337	10%	41	1%	501	15%	19	1%	22	1%	3,234	100%
5	628	81%	7	1%	5	1%	133	17%	0	0%	1	0%	774	100%
6	338	79%	2	0%	2	0%	35	8%	47	11%	2	0%	426	100%
7	583	84%	8	1%	1	0%	98	14%	2	0%	3	0%	695	100%
8	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
9	802	94%	3	0%	1	0%	42	5%	4	0%	2	0%	854	100%
10	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%
11	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	7	70%	0	0%	0	0%	3	30%	0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
13	353	85%	12	3%	0	0%	49	12%	2	0%	0	0%	416	100%
14	454	92%	3	1%	1	0%	26	5%	3	1%	4	1%	491	100%
15	156	84%	5	3%	0	0%	24	13%	1	1%	0	0%	186	100%
16	103	91%	1	1%	0	0%	9	8%	0	0%	0	0%	113	100%
17	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
18	10	67%	2	13%	0	0%	2	13%	1	7%	0	0%	15	100%
19	786	62%	22	2%	5	0%	459	36%	4	0%	2	0%	1,278	100%
20	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	100%
21	435	87%	9	2%	1	0%	49	10%	3	1%	2	0%	499	100%
22	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	7,723	78%	426	4%	65	1%	1,539	16%	90	1%	39	0%	9,882	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court which is not a part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 101

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation
New State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients by Age

TYPE: DISTRICT	0-17 Years		18-20 Years		21-24 Years		25-32 Years		33-39 Years		40 and above		Unknown		TOTAL NEW CLIENTS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	9	1%	73	9%	149	19%	187	24%	113	15%	241	31%	0	0%	772	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	1	1%	9	9%	16	16%	28	28%	12	12%	34	34%	0	0%	100	100%
4	41	1%	316	10%	702	22%	925	29%	400	12%	850	26%	0	0%	3,234	100%
5	11	1%	78	10%	156	20%	237	31%	108	14%	184	24%	0	0%	774	100%
6	1	0%	36	8%	88	21%	122	29%	52	12%	127	30%	0	0%	426	100%
7	15	2%	61	9%	129	19%	196	28%	87	13%	207	30%	0	0%	695	100%
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%	3	100%
9	3	0%	57	7%	179	21%	266	31%	154	18%	195	23%	0	0%	854	100%
10	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	50%	1	25%	0	0%	4	100%
11	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	3	30%	2	20%	3	30%	2	20%	0	0%	10	100%
13	5	1%	57	14%	78	19%	94	23%	64	15%	118	28%	0	0%	416	100%
14	10	2%	34	7%	87	18%	141	29%	71	14%	147	30%	1	0%	491	100%
15	14	8%	32	17%	39	21%	35	19%	17	9%	49	26%	0	0%	186	100%
16	4	4%	16	14%	22	19%	23	20%	12	11%	36	32%	0	0%	113	100%
17	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%
18	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	33%	3	20%	7	47%	0	0%	15	100%
19	9	1%	151	12%	264	21%	384	30%	175	14%	295	23%	0	0%	1,278	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%	3	100%
21	6	1%	55	11%	106	21%	148	30%	58	12%	125	25%	1	0%	499	100%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	60%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	129	1%	975	10%	2,020	20%	2,800	28%	1,333	13%	2,623	27%	2	0%	9,882	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 102

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Successful/Unsuccessful Terminations

TYPE:	SUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS		UNSUCCESSFUL TERMINATIONS				TOTAL TERMINATIONS	
	Successful		Revocation		Absconded			
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,169	88%	45	3%	116	9%	1,330	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	62	91%	2	3%	4	6%	68	100%
4	1,763	65%	940	35%	2	0%	2,705	100%
5	715	89%	16	2%	68	9%	799	100%
6	323	69%	53	11%	94	20%	470	100%
7	609	83%	9	1%	114	16%	732	100%
8	979	77%	100	8%	197	15%	1,276	100%
9	436	69%	13	2%	180	29%	629	100%
10	207	52%	72	18%	120	30%	399	100%
11	12	75%	2	13%	2	13%	16	100%
12	36	72%	6	12%	8	16%	50	100%
13	260	67%	74	19%	55	14%	389	100%
14	335	83%	7	2%	64	16%	406	100%
15	84	90%	0	0%	9	10%	93	100%
16	85	83%	0	0%	17	17%	102	100%
17	1,350	80%	91	5%	251	15%	1,692	100%
18	4	57%	3	43%	0	0%	7	100%
19	975	80%	114	9%	133	11%	1,222	100%
20	30	71%	2	5%	10	24%	42	100%
21	297	98%	0	0%	7	2%	304	100%
22	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%	5	100%
STATE	9,735	76%	1,549	12%	1,452	11%	12,736	100%

Key: *Successful Terminations*: This number includes the state successful terminations.

Unsuccessful Terminations: This column includes revocations and absconsions. A revocation is defined as a termination from monitoring for a technical violation, new misdemeanor, or new felony. Absconcion refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with monitoring.

Total Terminations: In this table only, the total terminations include: revocations, absconsions and successful terminations from probation supervision. Additional types of monitoring closures are included in Table 103.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 103

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Closure Types

TYPE: DISTRICT	Successful		Revocation		Absconded		Deported		Death		Administrative Closure		TOTAL CLOSURES	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	1,169	87%	45	3%	116	9%	0	0%	5	0%	3	0%	1,338	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	62	91%	2	3%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68	100%
4	1,763	65%	940	34%	2	0%	2	0%	11	0%	9	0%	2,727	100%
5	715	89%	16	2%	68	8%	2	0%	3	0%	1	0%	805	100%
6	323	66%	53	11%	94	19%	9	2%	3	1%	5	1%	487	100%
7	609	78%	9	1%	114	15%	11	1%	6	1%	33	4%	782	100%
8	979	76%	100	8%	197	15%	0	0%	3	0%	3	0%	1,282	100%
9	436	69%	13	2%	180	28%	1	0%	1	0%	3	0%	634	100%
10	207	52%	72	18%	120	30%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	400	100%
11	12	75%	2	13%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	100%
12	36	55%	6	9%	8	12%	0	0%	2	3%	14	21%	66	100%
13	260	66%	74	19%	55	14%	0	0%	2	1%	1	0%	392	100%
14	335	73%	7	2%	64	14%	5	1%	3	1%	42	9%	456	100%
15	84	89%	0	0%	9	10%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	94	100%
16	85	83%	0	0%	17	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	103	100%
17	1,350	80%	91	5%	251	15%	0	0%	6	0%	0	0%	1,698	100%
18	4	50%	3	38%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%
19	975	79%	114	9%	133	11%	4	0%	6	0%	5	0%	1,237	100%
20	30	70%	2	5%	10	23%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	43	100%
21	297	98%	0	0%	7	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	304	100%
22	4	80%	0	0%	1	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	9,735	75%	1,549	12%	1,452	11%	37	0%	51	0%	121	1%	12,945	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

TABLE 104

FY 2009
Colorado State Adult Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Type of Revocation

TYPE: DISTRICT	New Felony		New Misdemeanor		Technical		TOTAL REVOCATIONS	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	3	7%	12	27%	30	67%	45	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	0	0%	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%
4	8	1%	47	5%	885	94%	940	100%
5	2	13%	6	38%	8	50%	16	100%
6	0	0%	1	2%	52	98%	53	100%
7	0	0%	0	0%	9	100%	9	100%
8	2	2%	5	5%	93	93%	100	100%
9	0	0%	0	0%	13	100%	13	100%
10	1	1%	1	1%	70	97%	72	100%
11	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	2	100%
12	0	0%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%
13	7	9%	11	15%	56	76%	74	100%
14	2	29%	1	14%	4	57%	7	100%
15	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
16	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
17	4	4%	5	5%	82	90%	91	100%
18	0	0%	1	33%	2	67%	3	100%
19	0	0%	15	13%	99	87%	114	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
21	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
22	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
STATE	30	2%	106	7%	1,413	91%	1,549	100%

Key:

- New Felony:* Includes revocations for a new felony offense committed while on monitoring.
- New Misdemeanor:* Includes revocations for a new misdemeanor offense committed while on monitoring.
- Technical:* Includes revocations for technical violations (e.g. drug use, failure to report/attend treatment, etc).
- Total Revocations:* Total includes all felony, misdemeanor, and technical violations resulting in a revocation from monitoring.

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

FY2009
Colorado State Probation
State DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
Length of Stay

TYPE:	0-6 months		7-12 months		13-18 months		19-24 months		25-48 months		49+ months		TOTAL CLOSURES	
DISTRICT	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
1	436	33%	505	38%	273	20%	101	8%	23	2%	0	0%	1,338	100%
2*	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
3	1	1%	44	65%	15	22%	6	9%	2	3%	0	0%	68	100%
4	1,120	41%	1,163	43%	353	13%	77	3%	14	1%	0	0%	2,727	100%
5	257	32%	288	36%	159	20%	65	8%	31	4%	5	1%	805	100%
6	29	6%	193	40%	146	30%	60	12%	52	11%	7	1%	487	100%
7	121	15%	297	38%	124	16%	150	19%	87	11%	3	0%	782	100%
8	42	3%	471	37%	458	36%	187	15%	120	9%	4	0%	1,282	100%
9	122	19%	215	34%	145	23%	82	13%	69	11%	1	0%	634	100%
10	1	0%	8	2%	149	37%	127	32%	110	28%	5	1%	400	100%
11	2	13%	0	0%	1	6%	2	13%	11	69%	0	0%	16	100%
12	14	21%	12	18%	9	14%	16	24%	14	21%	1	2%	66	100%
13	51	13%	107	27%	97	25%	98	25%	37	9%	2	1%	392	100%
14	132	29%	140	31%	73	16%	70	15%	34	7%	7	2%	456	100%
15	29	31%	21	22%	21	22%	11	12%	11	12%	1	1%	94	100%
16	42	41%	20	19%	9	9%	8	8%	21	20%	3	3%	103	100%
17	30	2%	927	55%	389	23%	179	11%	124	7%	49	3%	1,698	100%
18	2	25%	0	0%	0	0%	1	13%	0	0%	5	63%	8	100%
19	275	22%	371	30%	297	24%	178	14%	115	9%	1	0%	1,237	100%
20	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	14%	31	72%	6	14%	43	100%
21	89	29%	148	49%	50	16%	13	4%	4	1%	0	0%	304	100%
22	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%
STATE	2,797	22%	4,933	38%	2,768	21%	1,437	11%	910	7%	100	1%	12,945	100%

Note: Percentages may not total 100 percent because of rounding.

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monitoring Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

FY 2009
Colorado State Probation
Total Active DUI/DWAI Monitored Clients
on June 30, 2009

DISTRICT	NUMBER
1	626
2*	0
3	118
4	2,864
5	955
6	535
7	862
8	285
9	1,444
10	150
11	31
12	28
13	559
14	653
15	318
16	141
17	1,155
18	315
19	1,762
20	346
21	631
22	4
TOTAL STATE	13,782

*Denver District Court. DUI/DWAI cases are filed in Denver County Court, which is not part of the State Court data system.

Source: ADDS Monthly Statistical Reports submitted by probation districts for July 2008- June 2009.

Section IX: Glossary of Terms



Probation

Absconder:	Refers to probationers who become fugitives and are no longer compliant with probation supervision.
Adjudication:	Refers to a juvenile case indicating that the charges have been proven or the juvenile has pled guilty to committing a delinquent act. The equivalent for adult offenders is "conviction."
Administrative Investigations:	A supplemental report to a Pre- Sentence Report addressing additional cases.
Administrative Closure:	Includes no services provided to an offender (i.e. in custody in another jurisdiction).
Administrative Client Risk Level or Active:	An assigned supervision level indicating lower supervision need by probation because of other more intensive "supervision" being provided elsewhere (such as jail or an inpatient treatment facility) or minimal conditions of the sentence remain and more intensive supervision is no longer necessary.
Change of Venue:	Occurs when a delinquent juvenile has been transferred from one Colorado judicial district, where the offense occurred, to another one where the juvenile will receive supervision. Change of Venue typically occurs because the juvenile resides in a jurisdiction other than where the offense took place.
Client Risk Level:	Probation offenders are classified using a risk assessment instrument. The instrument used for adults are the LSI (Level of Supervision Inventory), the SARA (Spousal Assault Risk Assessment) and the Oregon Sex Offender assessment instrument. The juvenile instrument is the CYO- LSI (Colorado Young Offender Level of Service Inventory) and the O'Brien Sex Offender Assessment is used for juvenile sex offenders. These instruments determine the level of supervision for an offender (e.g. Maximum, Medium, and Minimum).
Courtesy Transfers:	A case that has closed in the supervising jurisdiction and returned to the originating or sentencing district.
Courtesy Supervision:	Occurs when an adult offender has received a probation sentence in one Colorado judicial district but will be supervised by the probation department in another Colorado judicial district because they reside in that jurisdiction.
Community Corrections:	A community-based sentence that is more restricted than probation supervision but less restrictive than a secure facility. A community corrections sentence typically includes both a residential and non-residential component with supervision from a case manager. Because probation maintains jurisdiction over offenders sentenced to community corrections, they are also monitored by a probation officer.
Deferred Judgment and Sentence/Adjudication:	Refers to a case status in which the offender, (adult or juvenile) has entered a plea of guilty and, through an agreement with the district attorney and consent of the court, the case is continued for a set period of time. The offender is usually supervised by probation and upon successful completion of the deferred judgment the case is dismissed.
Direct File:	The District Attorney files a case in which a juvenile is prosecuted as an adult.
Intake:	The initial process for setting up the supervision of probationers in the absence of a pre-sentence investigation. The intake process typically entails a review of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence, an assessment of risk and needs, and initial referral for services.
Interstate:	Refers to offenders who have committed crimes in a state other than where they reside. These offenders apply to have their supervision transferred to their state of residence and are screened by the Interstate Compact Office prior to acceptance of supervision.
Investigations:	An investigative process conducted by probation officers prior to sentencing on a criminal offense. The information (e.g. risk for re-offense, service needs, criminal, social, education and substance abuse history, impact of the offense on victim(s) etc.) gathered during a pre-sentence investigation is used to inform sentencing decisions and supervision strategies.

Length of Stay:	The period of time an offender is on probation supervision.
Monitoring:	DUI/DWAI offenders who as a part of their sentence are required to have their compliance with court orders monitored by the probation department.
Revoked:	The withdrawal of a probation sentence due to a new misdemeanor or felony offense or a technical violation of the Terms and Conditions of the probation sentence. Following a revocation of probation, the offender is re-sentenced by the court.
Success:	Successful terminations represent those offenders who meet their Terms and Conditions of their probation sentence and the length of their sentence has expired.
Technical Violation:	Occurs when a probationer has been non-compliant with the Term(s) and Condition(s) of the probation sentence (e.g. drug use, missed appointments etc).
Transfer Hearing:	The court makes a determination after a hearing to prosecute a juvenile as an adult.

Supreme Court Glossary of Terms

Civil Appeal:	A written request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a civil case.
Criminal Appeal:	A request to modify or reverse the judgment of a trial court or intermediate level appellate court in a criminal case.
Habeas Corpus:	(Habeas corpus ad subjiciendum) "An independent civil action to determine not the guilt or innocence of the person held in custody, but whether the custody is unlawful. Common grounds for relief under the writ include a conviction based on illegally obtained evidence, a denial of effective assistance of counsel, or a conviction by a jury that was improperly selected and impaneled. Use of the writ is not limited to criminal matters. It is also available in civil matters, as, for example, to challenge a person's custody of a child or the institutionalization of a person declared incompetent." (http://dictionary.lp.findlaw.com)
Interlocutory:	An interlocutory appeal is one which is not determinable of the controversy, but which is necessary for a suitable adjudication of the merits. Colorado Appellate Rule 4.1 governs interlocutory appeals in criminal cases and provides that the state may file an interlocutory appeal in the Supreme Court from a district court ruling granting a motion in advance of the trial by the defendant for the return of property and to suppress evidence or to suppress an extra-judicial confession or admission. This is only one example of an interlocutory appeal.
Interrogatories:	Pursuant to Article VI, section 3 of the state Constitution, the Court may be required to answer "important questions upon solemn occasions" propounded by the Governor, the Senate, or the House of Representatives. These questions are called "interrogatories."
Judicial Discipline or Disability:	Judges who are alleged to have engaged in misconduct or to be suffering from a disability which is or is likely to become of a permanent character may be subject to judicial discipline. The Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline investigates such allegations and, if it concludes that the judge has engaged in misconduct or is disabled, it may recommend to the Supreme Court a range of sanctions, including removal of the judge from office, retirement of the judge for a disability, reprimand or censure of the judge, or assessment of costs against the judge.
Original Proceedings:	Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure 21 provides the Colorado Supreme Court with discretion to exercise original jurisdiction in extraordinary circumstances where no other remedy is available. Original proceedings are used to test whether the trial court is proceeding without jurisdiction or in excess of its jurisdiction and to review a serious abuse of discretion when an appellate remedy would be inadequate. The remedy in an original proceeding takes the form of a special mandate from the Supreme Court addressed to an individual, official body, or lower court and may be used to restrain or compel the acts of a trial court. The Court issues a "rule to show cause" why the relief requested in the petition should not be granted. After considering the written briefs, the Supreme Court

either makes the rule absolute (grants the relief requested) or discharges the rule (denies the relief requested).

Petitions in Certiorari:

A writ of common law origin issued by a superior to an inferior court requiring the latter to produce a certified record of a particular case tried therein. The writ is generally issued so that the issuing court may inspect the proceedings and determine whether there have been any irregularities. Like the United States Supreme Court, the Colorado Supreme Court uses the writ as a discretionary device to choose which cases it wishes to hear. Colorado Appellate Rules 49-58 govern writs of certiorari.

Reapportionment:

Article 5, section 48 of the state Constitution provides that after the decennial federal census, the senatorial districts and representative districts shall be established, revised, or altered, and the members of the Senate and the House of Representatives apportioned among them, by a Colorado reapportionment commission. The commission must publish a preliminary plan for reapportionment of the members of the General Assembly and shall hold public hearings thereon. After the completion of such hearings, the commission must finalize its plan and submit the same to the Colorado Supreme Court for review and determination as to whether the plan meets constitutional standards. The Supreme Court must either approve the plan or return the plan and the court's reasons for disapproval to the commission. If the plan is returned, the commission shall revise and modify it to conform to the court's requirements and resubmit the plan to the court. If the plan is approved by the court, it shall be filed with the secretary of state for implementation.

Request for Stay Pending Appeal:

A stay is a suspension of the case or some designated proceedings within it. Colorado Appellate Rule 8 governs stays pending appeal.

Rule 21.1:

This rule of appellate procedure governs certification of questions of law to the Colorado Supreme Court by the United States Supreme Court, federal courts of appeals, federal district courts, and the federal Court of Claims. Under the rule, a certifying court may request that the Colorado Supreme Court answer a question of law if there is involved in any proceeding before the certifying court a question of state law which may be determinative of the cause pending and to which it appears that there is no controlling precedent in the Colorado Supreme Court's decisions.

Special Concurrences:

An opinion in which a justice expresses agreement with the particular result in a given case while objecting to a line of reasoning. The special concurrence may relate to the majority opinion in its entirety or to the result only.

Statutory Review:

Section 1-40-107, C.R.S., provides a mechanism by which registered electors who meet certain criteria and who believe that a ballot title contains multiple subjects may petition the Supreme Court to review the title board's action or decision.

Unauthorized Practice:

The practice of law by one who is not licensed as an attorney in Colorado. The Supreme Court has the exclusive jurisdiction to define the practice of law and to prohibit the unauthorized practice of law within the state (see C.R.C.P. 229 through 240.1).

Trial Court Glossary of Terms

Administrative Support Order:

Cases in which the delegate child support unit (typically the department of social services) files a paternity or child support case (See §26-13.5-101 C.R.S.).

Ancillary Proceedings:

A type of probate filing in which a probate case had been opened in another state but the decedent had property in the state of Colorado.

Breach of Contract:

A civil case in which the plaintiff claims parties made an agreement either written or otherwise and the other side has breached this contract (e.g. §13-80-102 C.R.S.).

Breach of Warranty:

A civil case in which a plaintiff claims that a product does not perform as represented.

C.R.C.C.P.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of County Civil Procedure
C.R.C.P.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure
C.R.S.:	Abbreviation for Colorado Revised Statutes.
Civil Protection Orders:	(Prior to 7/1/03, known as Restraining Orders). District and county courts have original concurrent jurisdiction to issue temporary or permanent civil protection orders for any of the following purposes: 1) to prevent assaults and threatened bodily harm; 2) to prevent domestic abuse; 3) to prevent emotional abuse of the elderly; and 4) to prevent stalking (See 13-14-102 C.R.S. and 13-1-136 C.R.S. and C.R.C.C.P. Rule 365)
Condemnation:	A civil case in which the plaintiff, usually the Department of Transportation but may be a city or state district, is asking that property be condemned and taken so that it may be put to that department's use (See §38-3-105 C.R.S.).
County Court Counter Claim:	A civil case in which a defendant desires to counterclaim against the Plaintiff. In County Court if the counterclaim exceeds the jurisdiction of County Court, a transfer of the case to District Court may be requested by the defendant or made automatically by the court (See C.R.C.P. 313).
County Felony:	Criminal felony cases that have preliminary work done at the County Court level before being bound over (sent) to District Court.
Covenant:	Provisions in a deed limiting the use of the property and prohibiting certain uses. Breach of covenant claims are usually filed by homeowners associations.
Criminal Non-support:	A criminal action for the non-payment of child support.
Declaratory Judgment:	A civil case in which the plaintiff is asking the court to declare (order) something. It is used to settle and gain relief from uncertainty with respect to rights, status, or other legal relations.
Dependency and Neglect:	A child is dependent or neglected if a parent, guardian, or legal custodian has abandoned the child or has subjected the child to mistreatment or abuse, whether the parent is or is not at fault. In addition, a child is dependent or neglected if the child has run away from home or is beyond the control of his or her parents (For other examples, see §19-3-102 C.R.S.).
Determination of Interests:	A civil case in which the plaintiff asks the court to determine his or her interest in something (<i>e.g. two attorneys have been partners in a law practice. Now the partnership is being dissolved, and the attorneys cannot agree on who gets what, for example: furniture, computer, client list, etc.</i>).
Disposition:	The final settlement of a matter. With reference to decisions announced by a court, a judge's ruling is commonly referred to as a disposition.
Distrain Warrant:	A filing by the State Department of Revenue for a judgment for outstanding state taxes that allows for a lien to be placed on the debtor's assets.
Expedited Placement:	A dependency and neglect case in which at least one child of the family is under the age of 6 years on the date the petition is filed qualifies as an Expedited Permanency Planning (EPP) case (§19-3-104 C.R.S.).
Felony:	A crime punishable by death or by imprisonment in a state penal institution.
Forcible Entry and Detainer:	Eviction; a civil case in which the plaintiff is seeking to regain possession of leased real property and possibly money damages (See §13-40-110 C.R.S.).
Foreclosure other than Rule 120:	A legal proceeding to terminate a mortgager's interest in property, instituted by the lender either to gain title or to force a sale in order to satisfy the unpaid debt secured by the property (See §38-38-701 C.R.S.).
Foreign Judgment:	A judgment from any state or federal court granting or denying recovery for a sum of money other than judgments for taxes, a fine or other penalty, or a judgment for support in family or matrimonial matters (See §13-53-102 C.R.S.).

Goods Sold and Delivered:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that defendant purchased products, not services, but has failed to pay for them.
Incoming Registration of Support:	A domestic case in which a person had a Support Order that was entered in another state and he or she wants to enforce the case here, generally to modify the support order or to issue garnishments or wage assignments.
Infraction:	A breach, violation, or infringement, as of a law, contract, right, or duty. A violation of a statute for which the only sentence authorized is a fine.
Injunctive Relief:	Plaintiff asks the court to restrain or enjoin another party from taking an action that may cause harm or result in injury, loss or damage to the plaintiff. May also include a temporary protection order. (See C.R.C.P. 65)
Intestate-Formal:	Formal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing a petition and, after notice and hearing, the court enters an order, adjudicates intestacy, determines heirs and appoints a personal representative.
Intestate-Informal:	Informal probate proceedings, with no will involved, may be commenced by an interested person filing an application for informal appointment.
Lien:	A civil case in which the plaintiff has provided goods and/or services to a party, and has not been paid. Plaintiff retains right to hold property until payment for services is received. If services were provided on real property, see Mechanic's Lien. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
Mechanic's Lien:	Plaintiff has provided goods and/or services on a property (real property) for which he or she has not been paid and plaintiff has subsequently filed a lien against the property to ensure payment. (See §38-22-101 C.R.S.)
Misdemeanor:	A criminal offense punishable by a sentence in the county jail.
Money:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims a demand for money owed.
Note:	A civil case in which the plaintiff claims defendant has signed a note (a borrower's legally binding written promise to repay a debt to a lender on a specified date) and has defaulted on the payment for that note.
Outgoing Registration of UIFSA:	An administrative order filed by the Department of Health Services that it wants to have enforced in another state.
Public Administrator Statement:	A type of probate filing. The public administrator files statements of accounts in small estates.
Public Utilities Commission:	A review of a decision by the Public Utilities Commission for the purpose of having the lawfulness of the final decision inquired into and determined. (See §40-6-115 C.R.S.)
Registration of Foreign Decree:	When a party to a domestic relations case, filed in another state, seeks to register (or file) an out-of-state case into the State of Colorado for purposes of enforcement. (See §14-11-101 C.R.S.)
Replevin:	A civil case in which a party having right to possession is asking to recover from another who has either wrongfully taken or detained property. (See C.R.C.P. 104.)
Restraining Orders:	See Civil Protection Orders
Rule 105 Quiet Title:	Plaintiff asks the court to determine the rights of all parties with respect to real property and its possession (See C.R.C.P. 105 and C.R.C.P. 105.1(b)).
Rule 106 Writs/Contempt:	A civil case brought in District Court that allows for relief to be obtained in the following instances: 1) where any person not being committed or detained for any criminal or supposed criminal matter is illegally confined or restrained; 2) to

compel a lower judicial body, governmental body, corporation, board, officer or person to perform an act which the law specially enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station, or to compel the admission of a party to the use and enjoyment of a right or office to which he is entitled, and from which he is unlawfully precluded; 3) when any person usurps, intrudes into, or unlawfully holds or exercises any office or franchise; 4) where any governmental body or officer or any lower judicial body exercising judicial or quasi-judicial functions has exceeded its jurisdiction or abused its discretion, and there is no remedy otherwise provided by law.

- Rule 120 Deed of Trust:** A party holding interest in property through an instrument in which there is default on the instrument, may ask the court to order the property sold by the public trustee (See C.R.C.P. Rule 120).
- Services Rendered:** A civil case in which a party performs a service but is not compensated as agreed.
- Single Transaction:** A type of probate filing in which the court, without appointing a conservator, may enter an order to authorize, direct or ratify any transaction necessary or desirable for the best interests of the protected person.
- Special Administrator-Formal:** A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed by the Court on petition of any interested person and finding, after notice and hearing, that appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or secure its proper administration, including when a personal representative cannot or should not act. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.)
- Special Administrator-Informal:** A type of probate filing. A Special Administrator may be appointed informally by the Registrar on application of any interested person when necessary to protect the estate of a decedent prior to the appointment of a general personal representative or if a prior appointment has been terminated. (See §15-12-614 C.R.S.) Note there is a general policy in most courts to accept only formal proceedings.
- Special District:** Persons proposing the organization of a special district (i.e. water, fire protection, metropolitan, park & recreation, sanitation etc.) may petition the court for the organization of the district. (See §32-1-301 C.R.S.)
- Specific Performance:** A civil case in which the plaintiff claims that a contract exists between parties and one party has failed to perform the conditions of the contract. The plaintiff asks the court to order that the conditions of the contract be performed.
- Testate-Formal:** A probate filing. A formal proceeding for adjudication regarding the priority or qualification of one who is an applicant for appointment as personal representative, or of one who previously has been appointed personal representative in informal proceedings, or if an issue concerning the testacy of the decedent is or may be involved (See §15-12-414 C.R.S.).
- Testate-Informal:** A probate filing. An informal probate of will and informal appointment of personal representative.
- Termination (terminated case):** A case in which all outstanding issues have been resolved; a case that has reached final resolution.
- Uniform Veterans Guardianship Act:** A type of probate filing, commonly referred to as a UVGA case, which applies to beneficiaries of monies from the Veterans Administration. The word guardian and guardianship is used but in essence this is a conservatorship and essentially treated as a conservatorship.
- Writ of Habeas Corpus:** Plaintiff claims that he or she has been illegally confined and is asking for an order releasing him or her. (See §13-45-101 C.R.S. and §13-45-102 C.R.S.)