

AGENDA
COLORADO SUPREME COURT
RULES OF JUVENILE PROCEDURE COMMITTEE

Friday, February 5, 2021, 9:00 AM
Videoconference Meeting via Cisco Webex

- I. Call to Order
- II. Chair's Report
 - A. Approval of the 10/2/20 meeting minutes [pages 2–4]
 - B. New Member Welcome-Melanie Jordan of ORCP
 - C. Membership Renewals (most non-judge are up for renewal at end of March)
- III. Old Business
 - A. C.R.J.P. 3.7 & SB19-108 (referred to Juvenile Justice Committee) [pages 5–16]
 - No strong consensus among the 3 emails, but 2 comments mention the proposal at page 11.
 - B. Rule Proposal from the Access to Justice Committee Re Interlocutory Appeal Advisement (Judge Meinster, David Ayraud, Ruchi Kapoor, Terri Morrison)
 - Should the committee proceed with a rule? [pages 17–18]
 - C. Proposed ICWA Rules (Judge Furman)
 - Update on combining efforts with Court Services
- IV. New Business –
 - A. Committee Membership Make Up
 - B. Pending Legislation to reorganize Article 2 of Title 19
- V. Adjourn
 - A. Next Meeting: **April 23, 2021 9:00 AM**, via Webex

Cisco Webex

In order to use Webex videoconferencing, you need an internet connection and a device with a camera, microphone, and speaker (e.g., laptop, smartphone, or tablet).

You can download the Webex software (called “Webex Meetings”) for free in advance [here](#) or from your favorite app store. You can also arrive slightly early to the meeting, click on the link, and then be prompted to download the software.

If you have difficulties using a smart device, the original Webex invite also includes call-in information, so that you can participate by phone. Judicial’s IT department recommends using the Denver call-in number: (720) 650-7664.

**Colorado Supreme Court Rules of Juvenile Procedure Committee
Minutes of October 2, 2020 Meeting**

I. Call to Order

The Rules of Juvenile Procedure Committee came to order around 9:00 A.M. via videoconference. Members present or excused from the meeting were:

Name	Present	Excused
Judge Craig Welling, Chair	X	
Judge (Ret.) Karen Ashby, Chair		X
David P. Ayraud	X	
Magistrate Howard Bartlett		X
Jennifer Conn		X
Sheri Danz	X	
Traci Engdol-Fruhworth		X
Judge David Furman	X	
Ruchi Kapoor	X	
Shana Kloek AND Andi Truett	X	
Wendy Lewis		X
Peg Long	X	
Judge Ann Meinster	X	
Judge Dave Miller		X
Chief Judge Mick O'Hara		X
Trent Palmer		X
Professor Colene Robinson	X	
Magistrate Fran Simonet		X
Judge Traci Slade	X	
John Thirkell	X	
Pam Wakefield	X	
Non-voting Participants		
Justice Richard Gabriel, Liaison	X	
Terri Morrison		X
J.J. Wallace	X	

Attachments & Handouts:

- (1) Draft Minutes of 8/7/20 Meeting
- (2) Proposed Rule Re CASA
- (3) Revised Proposed Advisement Rule (after disposition) & Clean Version of the Rule with section 19-1-109, C.R.S. (2020)

I. Call to Order

- A. The Chair called the meeting to order shortly after 9 AM.

II. Chair's Report

A. The 8/7/2020 meeting minutes were approved by the committee.

III. Old Business

A. CASA Rule Proposal Final Vote

The committee amended the language of the comment. The committee voted unanimously to approve the draft rule proposal as amended and include it with the other approved rules.

B. Adjudicatory Jury Trials (referred to CIP)

Judge Slade indicated that any issues have been resolved because courts are doing trials again and nothing further is needed from the committee.

C. C.R.J.P. 3.7 & SB19-108 (referred to Juvenile Justice Committee)

Judge Walker forwarded the potential rule amendments to members of his committee and they will be providing feedback via email. The feedback will be gathered and presented in the meeting materials for the next meeting

D. Rule Proposal from the Access to Justice Committee Re Interlocutory Appeal Advisement (Judge Meinster, David Ayraud, Ruchi Kapoor, Terri Morrison)

The group explained that it met and reviewed the proposal from the Access to Justice Committee. The group used the proposal as a starting place for drafting a rule focusing on advising parties of their appellate rights after disposition, although it was acknowledged that some of those rights are less than clear. The draft focuses on advising on (1) the right to appeal after disposition; (2) expedited deadlines; (3) failure to appeal may result in waiver of claims; (4) right to counsel; and (5) where to find more information.

A committee member noted that a court can issue several dispositional orders over the course of a case. The committee agreed that the right to appeal is attached to the initial dispositional order and recommended the rule only require an advisement at the initial dispositional order.

A committee member pointed out that only respondents have the right to appointed counsel through ORPC, not parties generally. Relatedly, which case participants or parties can appeal is not 100% clear and that makes providing a specific advisement difficult. The committee amended the proposal to say "indigent parties may have the right to assistance of appointed counsel."

The committee also agreed that the last section (on where to find more information) should be in the passive voice.

After making these changes, the committee debated whether it should proceed with an advisement rule. On the one hand, under the statute, an adjudicatory order is appealable, this is a unique statutory right, and providing an advisement is laudable. On the other hand, crafting an appropriate advisement given the ambiguities about the rights involved is difficult, and the

advisement ends up not being very specific (e.g. “there are expedited deadlines” and “you may waive claims if not appealed now” and “you may have a right to counsel”). There is also some concern that if there is a rule requiring an advisement and the court does not advise the parties, this creates rights (and related appealable issues) that otherwise would not exist. The committee is reluctant to create substantive rights and believes that those kinds of decisions should be left to the legislature.

The Chair suggested that we table the discussion until the next meeting. He asks the committee members, in the interim, to weigh the potential benefit of advisement against the risk of creating new problems. At the next meeting, the committee can decide whether to continue to draft an advisement rule or not.

E. ICWA Rules (tabled)

Judge Furman related that the ICWA subcommittee would be meeting soon to discuss the committee’s feedback from the last meeting. An update on the subcommittee’s progress will be provided at the next meeting.

IV. New Business

A. None

V. Adjourn-Next Meeting December 4, 2020, 9:00 A.M.

(Subsequently postponed to February 5, 2021)

The Committee adjourned at approximately 11 A.M.

Respectfully Submitted,
J.J. Wallace

wallace, jennifer

From: wallace, jennifer
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 2:46 PM
To: walker, doug; 'Sheri Danz'; Anna Lopez
Cc: welling, craig; 'Joe Thome'
Subject: RE: potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108
Attachments: CRJP 3.7 CJJ 6.29.2020.docx; 2019a_108_signed.pdf

Good afternoon Judge Walker,

I'm following up on getting feedback from the Juvenile Justice Committee regarding amending C.R.J.P. 3.7 to reflect the significant changes the legislature made to juvenile detention hearing decisions. I'm the staff person for the committee.

At the June 26th meeting of the Juvenile Rules of Procedure Committee, the committee noted the issue. The meeting [minutes](#) provide a summary:

The committee agreed that, as of July 1, the C.R.J.P. will be in conflict with the statute due to the Juvenile Justice Reform Act, SB19-108. Judge Furman pointed out that law is clear-the statute controls over a conflicting rule. The question is whether the committee should act to amend the rule, and if so, what the amendment should look like. Professor Robinson expressed concern over changing a delinquency rule. She said that the current charge to the committee was to overhaul the D&N rules. She worried that, by making this one change, it would appear that the committee has been regularly reviewing the delinquency rules and has signed off on all of them-that would be a false impression. Judge Slade felt reluctant to make changes to the delinquency rules without input from DAs and PDs and other delinquency stakeholders. That said, the committee was troubled by letting the conflict between the rule and statute persist. Sheri Danz recommended reaching out to the Juvenile Justice Committee. It meets the last Tuesday of the month. She will email the contacts for that committee so that Judge Welling, Clancy Johnson, and J.J. can coordinate with them. The committee made three suggestions for amending the rule: (1) deleting (h) entirely;

(2) adding a reference to article 2 of title 19 to (h); or

(3) delete (h) and add findings language to (g) with a cross-reference to the statutes.

I worked with Clancy Johnson (she's a staff attorney in Jeffco who works in juvenile with Judge Meinster), to draft the attached rule proposals reflecting the rules committee's thinking. Judge Welling, chair of the rules committee, looked them over and thought they reflected what the rules committee was suggesting for change. There are four (in one Word document). The first is the applicable portion of the current rule. The second incorporated most of the additions that would be required to reflect the changes made by SB19-108. The rules committee dislikes this approach—the committee would rather not reprint large portions of the statutes in the rule. The third is one simple way to amend the rule to refer people

to the statutes. The fourth is a different simple way to amend the rule to refer to the statutes.

Because your committee has delinquency stakeholders, the rules committee wanted to get any feedback you have to offer on the proposals (or to suggest an entirely different approach). Hopefully, these proposals will give your committee a jumping-off place to provide feedback.

Let me know if you need anything else from me.

Thanks,

J.J. Wallace
Staff Attorney, Colorado Supreme Court
(720) 625-5272
jennifer.wallace@judicial.state.co.us

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure
Rule 3.7
[Current Rule]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) Hearing. The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S.

(h) Court Orders. At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by § 19-2-508., C.R.S. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115., C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community.

(i) [NO CHANGE]

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure

Rule 3.7

[Incorporate Everything Proposal; not favored by the Juvenile Rules Committee]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) Hearing. The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S. **A detention hearing shall not be combined with a preliminary hearing or a first advisement.**¹

(h) Court Findings and Orders.

(1) At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by § 19-2-508., C.R.S. **If the court orders further detention of the juvenile, said orders shall contain the findings provided in subsections (3) and (4) of this rule.**

(2) **Except for a juvenile described in § 19-2-507.5(2),**² the court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115., C.R.S.

(3) The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that:³

(A) none of the limitations on detention prescribed by § 19-2-507.5 apply;⁴

(B) probable cause exists to believe that the delinquent act charged was committed by the juvenile;⁵

(C) the juvenile scored as detention-eligible on the validated detention screening instrument or there are grounds to override the result of the detention screening instrument;⁶ and

(D) ~~the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community~~ poses a substantial risk of serious harm to others or a substantial risk of flight from prosecution and community-based alternatives to detention are insufficient to reasonably mitigate that risk.⁷ There is a rebuttable presumption that a juvenile poses a substantial risk of serious harm to others based on certain charges listed in § 19-2-508(3)(a)(V),⁸ with exclusions provided in 19-2-508(a)(VI).⁹ A substantial risk of flight from prosecution is distinguished from simple failure to appear and must generally be evidenced by a demonstrated record of repeat, recent willful failures to appear at a scheduled court appearance.¹⁰

¹ 19-2-508(3)(a)(III) (in statute since 2014)

² See 19-2-508(6) (adds “except for a juvenile described in section 19-2-507.5(2)”) (SB 19-108)

³ 19-2-508(3)(a)(IV)(A)-(C) (SB 19-108)

⁴ See 19-2-507.5 (SB 19-108)

⁵ 19-2-508(3)(a)(IV)(A) (SB 19-108)

⁶ 19-2-508(3)(a)(IV)(B) (SB 19-108)

⁷ 19-2-508(3)(a)(IV)(C) (SB 19-108)

⁸ See 19-2-508(3)(a)(V) (was previously a presumption that the juvenile was a danger to himself or herself or to the community)

⁹ See 19-2-508(3)(a)(VI) (excludes BB guns, pellet guns and gas guns—in statute prior to SB 19-108)

¹⁰ 19-2-508(3)(a)(IV)(C) (SB 19-108)

(4) If the court orders further detention of a juvenile pursuant to § 19-2-508, said order shall contain specific findings as follows:¹¹

(A) Whether placement of the juvenile out of his or her home would be in the juvenile's and the community's best interest;¹²

(B) Whether reasonable efforts have been made to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of the juvenile from the home, whether it is reasonable that such efforts not be provided due to the existence of an emergency situation that requires the immediate removal of the juvenile from the home, or whether such efforts not be required due to the circumstances described in § 19-1-115(7);¹³ and

(C) Whether procedural safeguards to preserve parental rights have been applied in connection with the removal of the juvenile from the home, any change in the juvenile's placement in a community placement, or any determination affecting visitation of the juvenile.¹⁴

(i) [NO CHANGE]

¹¹ 19-2-508(3)(a)(XI)(A)-(C) (in statute prior to SB 19-108)

¹² 19-2-508(3)(a)(XI)(A) (in statute prior to SB 19-108)

¹³ 19-2-508(3)(a)(XI)(B) (in statute prior to SB 19-108)

¹⁴ 19-2-508(3)(a)(XI)(C) (in statute prior to SB 19-108)

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure

Rule 3.7

[Delete (h) entirely and let the statutes speak for itself proposal]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) Hearing. The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S.

~~(h) **Court Orders.** At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115, C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community. Repealed.~~

(i) [NO CHANGE]

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure
Rule 3.7
[Add reference to applicable article/title]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) Hearing. The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S.

(h) Court Orders. At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by ~~§ 19-2-508, C.R.S. article 2 of title 19 or sections 19-2-507 to -508~~. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115., C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community.

Commented [wj1]: These are alternatives-the rule should refer to one of the options.

Commented [wj2]: This last sentence should be deleted.

(i) [NO CHANGE]

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure

Rule 3.7

[Incorporate the ideas of (h) into (g) and reference specific statutes]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) **Hearing.** The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by ~~§ 19-2-508, C.R.S.~~ article 2 of title 19 or sections 19-2-507 to -508.

(h) ~~**Court Orders.** At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115, C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community. Repealed.~~

(i) [NO CHANGE]

Commented [wj3]: These are alternatives-the rule should refer to one of the options.

wallace, jennifer

From: walker, doug
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2020 12:16 PM
To: wallace, jennifer
Subject: RE: potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108

Jennifer,

My two cents are that the rule should just refer to the statute and leave the wording to the legislature. Therefore, I am in favor of the option that says,

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure
Rule 3.7

[Delete (h) entirely and let the statutes speak for itself proposal]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) Hearing. The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S.

(h) Repealed.

(i) [NO CHANGE]

This would let the rule always stay current with any future changes from the legislature (unless they move the whole statute to a different number).

I do note that the second to last alternative continues the language of the old statute that, “The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community.” This is no longer what the statute provides and should be stricken, no matter which proposal is adopted.

Douglas S. Walker
District Court Judge
22nd Judicial District
970-565-1111
doug.walker@judicial.state.co.us

wallace, jennifer

From: van gheem, veronique
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2020 3:04 PM
To: wallace, jennifer
Subject: FW: potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108
Attachments: CRJP 3.7 CJJ 6.29.2020.docx; 2019a_108_signed.pdf

Importance: Low

Hi JJ,

I work with probation on the Juvenile Justice Reform issues for SCAO. If you're interested in my input, I think I like the rule amendment that cross-references the statute (last option in attached document). I'm just wondering about the last sentence of (h) where it provides, "the court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community." Is that in-line with SB19-108? I thought that the court can only further detain the juvenile if the criteria in 19-2-508 (3)(a)(IV) existed (and specifically (C)...substantial risk of harm or substantial risk of flight from prosecution). Even with the statute cross-reference option, I might remove the last sentence of (h).

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure
Rule 3.7

[Add reference to applicable article/title]

(a)-(f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) **Hearing.** The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S.

(h) **Court Orders.** At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by article 2 of title 19 or sections 19-2-507 to -508. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115., C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community.

But, remove last sentence of (h) and also add section 507 to the end of (g). However, I do like the version you have below as well.

Thanks,

Veronique Van Gheem, Esq.
Senior Assistant Legal Counsel
Colorado Office of the State Court Administrator

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure
Rule 3.7

[Incorporate the ideas of (h) into (g) and reference specific statutes]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) **Hearing.** The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by ~~§ 19-2-508, C.R.S.~~ article 2 of title 19 or sections 19-2-507 to -508.

(h) **Court Orders.** ~~At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by § 19-2-508., C.R.S. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115., C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community.~~ Repealed.

wallace, jennifer

From: pugh, patrick
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 12:16 PM
To: wallace, jennifer; morgen, jennifer; walker, doug
Cc: joe thome
Subject: RE: potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108

This version looks good to me.

Thank you!

Patrick Pugh
District Court Judge
17th Judicial District

From: wallace, jennifer
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 12:07 PM
To: morgen, jennifer; walker, doug; pugh,patrick
Cc: joe thome
Subject: RE: potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108

Good afternoon,

Thanks for the feedback. With regard to the third proposal (add reference to applicable article/title), you're right, it's doesn't reflect the legislative changes. I've gotten two comments about it so far, pointing to the same sentence. I think we meant to delete that last sentence of (h), and it inadvertently did not get marked. It was supposed to look like this:

Colorado Rule of Juvenile Procedure
Rule 3.7
[Add reference to applicable article/title]

(a) – (f) [NO CHANGE]

(g) **Hearing.** The purposes of a detention hearing are to determine if a juvenile should be detained further and to define conditions under which he or she may be released, if release is appropriate. Detention hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S.

(h) **Court Orders.** At the conclusion of a detention hearing, the court shall enter orders prescribed by § 19-2-508, C.R.S. ~~Article 2 of title 19 or sections 19-2-507 to -508. The court may also issue temporary orders for legal custody of a juvenile as provided in § 19-1-115, C.R.S. The court may further detain a juvenile only if it finds from information provided at the hearing that the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself or to the community.~~

Of the two comments I've received, each preferred that version of the rule, but without that last sentence that incorrectly states the standard (so, as marked above).

Let me know, with this change, what you think and which version you think would be best. Or feel free to make another new suggestion on how to address the conflict.

Thanks for your time. It's appreciated. And let me know if you have any other questions.

J.J.

From: morgen, jenifer
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 11:54 AM
To: walker, doug; wallace, jennifer
Cc: [joe thome](#)
Subject: FW: potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108

Judge Walker and Jennifer,

Please see the feedback below from Judge Pugh. Has this question been raised?

Jenifer Morgen
Chief Probation Officer
17th Judicial District

From: pugh, patrick
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2020 8:51 AM
To: morgen, jenifer; delgado, kathy; blackett, david
Subject: RE: [potential item for next JJRC--revision of Juvenile Rules to reflect SB 108](#)

Hi Jenifer –

I am not sure that subsection (h) in the proposed rule comports with the language of the statute. If I recall correctly, the legislature removed the provision that the court can further detain a juvenile if the juvenile is a danger to himself or herself. The language now requires a finding that the juvenile is a substantial risk of harm to the community or is running from prosecution. Has anyone raised this?

Patrick Pugh
District Court Judge
17th Judicial District

Rule 4.3.5 Advisement of the Right to Appeal

(a) The court's initial written and oral disposition order shall inform the parties of the following:

(1) Parties have the right to appeal an order adjudicating a child to be neglected or dependent upon the entry of the disposition order ~~pursuant to Section 19-3-508, C.R.S.~~

(2) There are expedited deadlines for appeal and for review of a magistrate's decision in a dependency and neglect case.

(3) Failure to timely appeal may cause the party to lose the opportunity to raise the claims later.

(4) Indigent ~~parties may have the right to the assistance of appointed counsel; parties have the right to the seek the assistance of appointed appellate counsel through the Office of Respondent Parents' Counsel;~~ and

(5) ~~Information regarding the process for filing an appeal may be obtained from the self-represented litigant coordinator for the district in which the juvenile court is located or the court of appeals. Self-represented parties may obtain information from the self-represented litigant coordinator for the district in which the juvenile court is located or the court of appeals concerning the procedures for filing the notice of appeal and obtaining necessary forms.~~

West's Colorado Revised Statutes Annotated
Title 19. Children's Code (Refs & Annos)
Article 1. General Provisions
Part 1. General Provisions (Refs & Annos)

C.R.S.A. § 19-1-109

§ 19-1-109. Appeals

Currentness

(1) An appeal as provided in the introductory portion to [section 13-4-102\(1\), C.R.S.](#), may be taken from any order, decree, or judgment. Appellate procedure shall be as provided by the Colorado appellate rules. Initials shall appear on the record on appeal in place of the name of the child and respondents. Appeals shall be advanced on the calendar of the appellate court and shall be decided at the earliest practical time.

(2)(a) The people of the state of Colorado shall have the same right to appeal questions of law in delinquency cases as exists in criminal cases.

(b) An order terminating or refusing to terminate the legal relationship between a parent or parents and one or more of the children of such parent or parents on a petition, or between a child and one or both parents of the child, shall be a final and appealable order.

(c) An order decreeing a child to be neglected or dependent shall be a final and appealable order after the entry of the disposition pursuant to section 19-3-508. Any appeal shall not affect the jurisdiction of the trial court to enter such further dispositional orders as the court believes to be in the best interests of the child.

(3) A workgroup to consider necessary changes to practices, rules, and statutes in order to ensure that appeals in cases concerning relinquishment, adoption, and dependency and neglect be resolved within six months after being filed shall be established. The workgroup shall be known as the child welfare appeals workgroup and shall be created in the state judicial department.

Credits

Repealed and reenacted by Laws 1987, S.B.144, § 1, eff. Oct. 1987. Amended by [Laws 1997, S.B.97-218, § 7, eff. July 1, 1997](#); [Laws 2000, Ch. 323, § 3, eff. Aug. 2, 2000](#).

Notes of Decisions (27)

C. R. S. A. § 19-1-109, CO ST § 19-1-109
Current through all legislation of the 2020 Regular Session.